

## SYSTEMS OF LINEAR BOOLEAN EQUATIONS

*Sergiu Rudeanu*

(Received November 14, 1975)

### Abstract.

In a previous paper [3] we constructed the general solution of a system of linear Boolean equations by using the Löwenheim formula which gives the reproductive general solution of a Boolean equation. In this short communication we consider a slightly more general type of linear Boolean equations and obtain a simpler form of the general solution.

### § 1

The term "linear Boolean equation" has been given two different meanings.

The first one coincides with the classical concept of linear equation applied to the ring structure of a Boolean algebra. Properties specific to systems of linear Boolean-ring equations have been studied by Parker and Bernstein [2] (see also [4] ch. 6 § 3).

The second meaning of linearity in Boolean algebra, suggested by a simple analogy, is due to Löwenheim [1]. Let  $(\mathbf{B}, \cup, \cdot, ', 0, 1)$  be an arbitrary Boolean algebra; Löwenheim has studied Boolean equations of the form

$$(1) \quad \bigcup_{j=1}^n a_{ij} x_j = b_i \quad (i = 1, \dots, m),$$

finding the necessary and sufficient condition

$$(2) \quad b_i \leq \bigcup_{j=1}^n a_{ij} \prod_{\substack{h=1 \\ h \neq i}}^m (a'_{hj} \cup b_h) \quad (i = 1, \dots, m)$$

for the consistency of (1) and concentrating on the case when the system  $\{b_i\} i = 1, \dots, m$  is orthogonal, normal or orthonormal (see also [4] ch. 7 § 3). We have shown [3] that if the system (1) is consistent then it has the reproductive general solution

$$(3) \quad x_j = \left[ \prod_{i=1}^m (a'_{ij} \cup b_i) \right] \left[ t_j \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^m b_i \prod_{k=1}^n (t_k \cup a'_{ik} \cup \bigcup_{h=1}^m a_{hk} b'_h) \right] \quad (j = 1, \dots, n).$$

In the sequel we consider several slight generalizations of the definition (1) of linear equations, by adding a constant term to the left side and by replacing the sign " $=$ " by inequality signs; we prove that all these types of equations and inequations reduce to (1) and we obtain a recurrent form of the general solution to (1), which seems much simpler than (3). An application to the determination of bijectivity domains of Boolean transformations will be given in [5].

We assume that the reader has some acquaintance with Boolean calculus.

## § 2

Consider the following systems of equations and inequations

$$(4) \quad a_i \cup \bigcup_{j=1}^n a_{ij} x_j \leq b_i \quad (i: = 1, \dots, m),$$

$$(5) \quad c_i \leq a_i \cup \bigcup_{j=1}^n a_{ij} x_j \quad (i: = 1, \dots, m),$$

$$(6) \quad a_i \cup \bigcup_{j=1}^n a_{ij} x_j = d_i \quad (i: = 1, \dots, m),$$

$$(7) \quad \bigcup_{j=1}^n a_{ij} x_j \leq b_i \quad (i: = 1, \dots, m),$$

$$(8) \quad c_i \leq \bigcup_{j=1}^n a_{ij} x_j \quad (i: = 1, \dots, m).$$

**Lemma 1.** *The system (4) reduces to a system of the form (1).*

**Proof.** Since  $a_i \leq b_i$  that is  $a_i b_i' = 0$  ( $i: = 1, \dots, m$ ), is a necessary condition for the consistency of (4), this system can be written

$$(9) \quad \bigcup_{j=1}^n a_{ij} b_i' x_j = 0 \quad (i: = 1, \dots, m).$$

**Lemma 2.** *The system (5) reduces to a system of the form (8).*

**Proof.** The system (5) can be written

$$c_i a_i' (\bigcup_{j=1}^n a_{ij} x_j)' = 0 \quad (i: = 1, \dots, m)$$

or, equivalently,

$$(10) \quad c_i a_i' \leq \bigcup_{j=1}^n a_{ij} x_j \quad (i: = 1, \dots, m).$$

**Lemma 3.** *The system (8) reduces to a system of the form (1).*

**Proof.** The system (8) can be written

$$(11) \quad \bigcup_{j=1}^n a_{ij} x_j = c_i \cup v_i \quad (i: = 1, \dots, m),$$

where  $v_1, \dots, v_n$  are arbitrary parameters in **B**.

**Lemma 4.** *The system (5) reduces to a system of the form (1).*

**Proof.** Immediate from lemmas 2 and 3.

**Lemma 5.** *The system (6) reduces to a system of the form (1).*

**Proof.** Write (6) in the form

$$(12) \quad d_i \leq a_i \cup \bigcup_{j=1}^n a_{ij} x_j \leq d_i \quad (i: = 1, \dots, m)$$

and apply lemmas 1 and 4, thus obtaining a system of the form (1) with  $2m$  equations.

**Lemma 6.** *The system (7) reduces to a system of the form (1).*

**Proof.** The system (7) can be written

$$(13) \quad \bigcup_{j=1}^n a_{ij} x_j = b_i v_i \quad (i: = 1, \dots, m),$$

where  $v_1, \dots, v_n$  are arbitrary parameters in **B**.

**Proposition 1.** *Any system of equations and/or inequations of (some of) the forms (1), (4)–(8), reduces to a system of the form (1).*

**Proof.** Immediate from lemmas 1–6.

**Theorem 1.** *The vector  $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  is a solution of the system*

$$(7) \quad \bigcup_{j=1}^n a_{ij} x_j \leq b_i \quad (i: = 1, \dots, m)$$

if and only if

$$(14) \quad x_j \leq \prod_{i=1}^m (a'_{ij} \cup b_i) \quad (j: = 1, \dots, n).$$

**Proof.** The system (7) can be written successively in the following equivalent forms:

$$\begin{aligned} b'_i \bigcup_{j=1}^n a_{ij} x_j &= 0 & (i: = 1, \dots, m), \\ a_{ij} b'_i x_j &= 0 & (i: = 1, \dots, m; j: = 1, \dots, n), \\ x_j &\leq a'_{ij} \cup b_i & (i: = 1, \dots, m; j: = 1, \dots, n), \end{aligned}$$

and this is equivalent to (14).

to be consistent. Then the vector  $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  is a solution of (1) if and only if

$$(18.j) \quad \bigcup_{i=1}^m b_i \left( \prod_{k=1}^{j-1} a'_{ik} \right) \left[ \prod_{k=j+1}^n (a'_{ik} \cup x'_k) \right] \\ \leq x_j \leq \prod_{i=1}^m (a'_{ij} \cup b_i) \quad (j: = 1, \dots, n).$$

Comment. As for theorem 2.

Proof. Write the system (1) in the form

$$(19) \quad b_i \leq \bigcup_{j=1}^n a_{ij} x_j \leq b_i \quad (i: = 1, \dots, m)$$

and apply theorems 1 and 2.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] L. Löwenheim, *Gebietdeterminanten*. Math. Ann. 79 (1919), 222—236.
- [2] W. L. Parker and B. A. Bernstein, *On uniquely solvable Boolean equations*. Univ. Calif. Publ. Math, N. S., 3 (1955), No. 1, 1—29.
- [3] S. Rudeanu, *On Boolean matrix equations*. Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl. 17 (1972), 1075—1090.
- [4] S. Rudeanu, *Boolean Functions and Equations*. North-Holland Publ. Co., Amsterdam/London 1974.
- [5] S. Rudeanu, *Injectivity domains of Boolean transformations*. Gr. C. Moisil: in memoriam. Editura Academiei R. S. Romania, Bucharest 1976 (in press).

University of Oran and  
University of Bucharest

to be consistent. Then the vector  $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  is a solution of (1) if and only if

$$(18.j) \quad \bigcup_{i=1}^m b_i \left( \prod_{k=1}^{j-1} a'_{ik} \right) \left[ \prod_{k=j+1}^n (a'_{ik} \cup x'_k) \right] \\ \leq x_j \leq \prod_{i=1}^m (a'_{ij} \cup b_i) \quad (j: = 1, \dots, n).$$

Comment. As for theorem 2.

Proof. Write the system (1) in the form

$$(19) \quad b_i \leq \bigcup_{j=1}^n a_{ij} x_j \leq b_i \quad (i: = 1, \dots, m)$$

and apply theorems 1 and 2.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] L. Löwenheim, *Gebietdeterminanten*. Math. Ann. 79 (1919), 222—236.
- [2] W. L. Parker and B. A. Bernstein, *On uniquely solvable Boolean equations*. Univ. Calif. Publ. Math, N. S., 3 (1955), No. 1, 1—29.
- [3] S. Rudeanu, *On Boolean matrix equations*. Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl. 17 (1972), 1075—1090.
- [4] S. Rudeanu, *Boolean Functions and Equations*. North-Holland Publ. Co., Amsterdam/London 1974.
- [5] S. Rudeanu, *Injectivity domains of Boolean transformations*. Gr. C. Moisil: in memoriam. Editura Academiei R. S. Romania, Bucharest 1976 (in press).

University of Oran and  
University of Bucharest