

ON BASES AND PROJECTIONS IN NON-ARCHIMEDEAN BANACH SPACES

*P. K. Jain and N. M. Kapoor**

(Received December 3. 1976)

1. Introduction

In [3, 5], it has been shown that a non-archimedean (n.a.) Banach space of countable type has a basis. Very recently, the authors [1] have obtained a necessary and sufficient condition for a general n.a. Banach space (countable or uncountable type) to have a basis and derived certain criterion for a basis to be orthogonal. In this paper, it has been observed that there is close connection between the existence of bases and projections. In fact if there is a basis for a closed linear subspace F of a n.a. Banach space E , a very general condition allows to define a projection of E on F .

2. Notations and Terminology

Let K be a n.a. non-trivial valued field which is complete under the metric of valuation of rank one. Throughout, by E we shall mean a n.a. Banach space over the field K with n.a. norm $\|\cdot\|$. Let E', E'', E''' denote the duals of E, E', E'' respectively. Let J, J' be the natural embeddings of E into E'' and E' into E''' . For general properties of n.a. Banach spaces we refer to [2, 4, 6].

Let $X \subset E \setminus \{0\}$ be any system of vectors. We may write

$$X = \{x_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\},$$

where Λ is an index set of any cardinality. Let Σ be the set of all finite subsets of Λ directed by inclusion.

A system X is said to be summable to x in E if $\lim_{\sigma} \sum_{\lambda \in \sigma} x_\lambda = x$, $\sigma \in \Sigma$, where $\lim_{\sigma} y_\sigma$ denotes the limit of a net $\{y_\sigma : \sigma \in \Sigma\}$ in E . Further, a system X

* The research work of this author has been supported partially by the University Grants Commission, India.

is a basis for E if to each x in E there exists a unique system $\{\alpha_\lambda: \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ of scalars such that $\{\alpha_\lambda x_\lambda: \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ is summable to x i.e.

$$(2.1) \quad x = \lim_{\sigma} \sum_{\lambda \in \sigma} \alpha_\lambda x_\lambda, \quad \sigma \in \Sigma$$

Clearly, with each basis $\{x_\lambda\}$ there is associated a unique family $\{f_\lambda\}$ of linear functionals on E such that $f_\lambda(x) = \alpha_\lambda$, where x is given by (2.1). Thus, without ambiguity, we may write a basis $\{x_\lambda\}$ as $\{x_\lambda, f_\lambda\}$ as and when we need so. If for a basis $\{x_\lambda, f_\lambda\}$ the family $\{f_\lambda\}$ is in E' , then the basis is said to be Schauder.

A double system $\{x_\lambda, f_\lambda\}$, $x_\lambda \in E$, $f_\lambda \in E'$ is called a biorthogonal system if $f_\mu(x_\lambda) = \delta_{\lambda\mu}$, where $\delta_{\lambda\mu}$ denotes the Kronecker symbol. Let $\{U_\sigma\}$ be a family of linear operators on E defined by

$$U_\sigma x = \sum_{\lambda \in \sigma} f_\lambda(x) x_\lambda, \quad x \in E, \quad \sigma \in \Sigma.$$

Obviously, the operators U_σ are continuous projections of E with the property $U_\sigma U_\tau = U_{\sigma \cap \tau}$.

By a projection on E we mean a linear transformation $P: E \rightarrow E$ such that $P^2 = P$.

3. Projections on E

Theorem 3.1. *Let $\{y_\lambda: \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ be a basis system* in E . If there exists a system $\{f_\lambda: \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ in E' such that $f_\lambda(y_\mu) = \delta_{\lambda\mu}$ and $\{f_\lambda(x)y_\lambda\}$ is summable in E for all x in E , then P given by*

$$(3.1) \quad Px = \lim_{\sigma} \sum_{\lambda \in \sigma} f_\lambda(x) y_\lambda,$$

defines a projection of E on $\overline{sp}\{y_\lambda\}$. Conversely, if K is spherically complete and P is a projection of E on $sp\{y_\lambda\}$, then there exists a unique system $\{f_\lambda: \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ in E' such that $f_\lambda(y_\mu) = \delta_{\lambda\mu}$ and (3.1) holds.

Proof. The proof of first part is simple and is omitted. For the converse, let $\{g_\lambda: \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ be the associated biorthogonal family to $\{y_\lambda: \lambda \in \Lambda\}$. Since K is spherically complete, by Hahn-Banach theorem (see [4], p. 8) there exists an extension g'_λ of g_λ ($\lambda \in \Lambda$) to the whole space E . Obviously, $g'_\lambda \in E'$.

Let $P^*: \{\overline{sp}\{y_\lambda\}\}' \rightarrow E'$ be defined by

$$P^*g(x) = g(Px), \quad g \in \{\overline{sp}\{y_\lambda\}\}', \quad x \in E.$$

* $\{y_\lambda: \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ is said to be a basis system in E if $\{y_\lambda\}$ is a basis for $\overline{sp}\{y_\lambda\}$.

Take $f_\lambda = P^* g'_\lambda \in E'$. Then $f_\lambda(y_\mu) = \delta_{\lambda\mu}$. Since $\{y_\lambda, g_\lambda\}$ is a basis for $\overline{sp}\{y_\lambda\}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} Px &= \lim_{\lambda \in \sigma} \sum g_\lambda (Px) y_\lambda \\ &= \lim_{\sigma} \sum_{\lambda \in \sigma} f_\lambda(x) y_\lambda, \quad x \in E. \end{aligned}$$

The uniqueness of the family $\{f_\lambda\}$ follows obviously.

As an immediate consequence of the first part in Theorem 3.1, we have.

Theorem 3.2. *Let $\{x_\lambda, f_\lambda\}$ be a basis for E . If $\{F(f_\lambda) x_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ is summable in E for all F in E'' , then $J(E)$ is complemented** in E'' .*

The converse of theorem 3.2 is available in

Theorem 3.3. *Let $\{x_\lambda, f_\lambda\}$ be a basis for E and P a projection of unit norm of E'' on $J(E)$. If for every f in E' there is unique \mathfrak{F} in E'' such that $\|\mathfrak{F}\| = \|f\|$ and $f(x) = \mathfrak{F}(Jx)$ for all x in E , then $\{F(f_\lambda) x_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ is summable in E for all F in E'' .*

Proof. Since $\{x_\lambda, f_\lambda\}$ is a basis for E , we have

$$\begin{aligned} J^{-1}PF &= \lim_{\sigma} \sum_{\lambda \in \sigma} f_\lambda (J^{-1}PF) x_\lambda \\ &= \lim_{\sigma} \sum_{\lambda \in \sigma} J' f_\lambda (PF) x_\lambda \\ &= \lim_{\sigma} \sum_{\lambda \in \sigma} P^* J' f_\lambda (F) x_\lambda, \quad F \in E'', \end{aligned}$$

where P^* defined as in Theorem 3.1. To establish the theorem it is enough to show that $P^* J' f_\lambda = J' f_\lambda$.

Let F_λ be the restriction of $J' f_\lambda$ to $J(E)$. Then $F_\lambda(Jx) = J' f_\lambda(Jx) = f_\lambda(x)$, $x \in E$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \|F_\lambda\| &= \sup \left\{ \frac{\|F_\lambda(Jx)\|}{\|Jx\|} : Jx \neq 0 \right\} \\ &= \sup \left\{ \frac{\|f_\lambda(x)\|}{\|Jx\|} : Jx \neq 0 \right\} \\ &\geq \sup \left\{ \frac{\|f_\lambda(x)\|}{\|x\|} : x \neq 0 \right\} \end{aligned}$$

since $\|Jx\| \leq \|x\|$. Thus

$$\|F_\lambda\| \geq \|f_\lambda\| \geq \|J' f_\lambda\|.$$

** A subspace F of E is said to be complemented in E if there is a projection from E onto F .

Further $P^*J'f_\lambda \in E''''$ and $P^*J'f_\lambda(Jx) = J'f_\lambda(PJx) = J'f_\lambda(Jx) = F_\lambda(Jx)$, for all x in E . Consequently, $P^*J'f_\lambda$ is an extension of F_λ to E'' and so

$$\|F_\lambda\| \leq \|P^*J'f_\lambda\| \leq \|P^*\| \|J'f_\lambda\| \leq \|J'f_\lambda\|,$$

because $\|P^*\| \leq \|P\| = 1$. Hence, $\|P^*J'f_\lambda\| = \|J'f_\lambda\|$. Since $f_\lambda(x) = J'f_\lambda(Jx) = P^*J'f_\lambda(Jx)$ for all x in E , it follows that $P^*J'f_\lambda = J'f_\lambda$.

4. Existence of basis in E

Theorem 4.1. *It $\{x_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ is a basis for E , then there exists a positive constant α such that*

$$\text{dist. } (S_\sigma, E_\sigma) \geq \alpha, \quad \sigma \in \Sigma,$$

where

$$(4.1) \quad S_\sigma = \{x : x \in sp \{x_\lambda : \lambda \in \sigma\}, \|x\| = 1\}$$

$$(4.2) \quad E_\sigma = \overline{sp \{x_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda \sim \sigma\}}$$

$$(4.3) \quad \text{dist} \{S_\sigma, E_\sigma\} = \inf \|x - y\| : x \in S_\sigma, y \in E_\sigma.$$

The converse holds provided $\|E\| = |K|$.

Proof. Since $\|x\| \leq \sup_\sigma \|U_\sigma x\| < \infty$ for all x in E , we have ([4], p. 75)

$$1 \leq \sup \left\{ \frac{\sup_\sigma \|U_\sigma x\|}{\|x\|} : x \neq 0 \right\} = \sup_\sigma \|U_\sigma\| < \infty.$$

Take

$$\alpha = \frac{1}{\sup_\sigma \|U_\sigma\|},$$

so that $0 < \alpha \leq 1$. Since each U_σ is a continuous projection, $(I - U_\sigma)$ is closed and hence $E_\sigma \subset (I - U_\sigma)E$. Therefore, S_σ being the unit sphere of $U_\sigma E$, we have $\text{dist} (S_\sigma, E_\sigma) = \inf \{\|x - y\| : x \in U_\sigma E, y \in E_\sigma, \|x\| = 1\}$

$$\geq \inf \{\|x - y\| : x \in U_\sigma E, y \in (I - U_\sigma)E, \|x\| = 1\}$$

$$\geq \alpha \inf \{\|U_\sigma(x - y)\| : x \in U_\sigma E, y \in (I - U_\sigma)E, \|x\| = 1\},$$

since $\|U_\sigma(x - y)\| \leq \|U_\sigma\| \|x - y\| \leq \alpha^{-1} \|x - y\|$. Further it, may be noted that $U_\sigma(x - y) = x$. Hence

$$\text{dist} (S_\sigma, E_\sigma) \geq \alpha.$$

The proof of the converse part is simple and the details are omitted.

REFERENCES

- [1] Jain P. K. and Kapoor, N. M. *On bases in non-archimedean Banach spaces* (Communicated).
- [2] Monna, A. F. *Analyse non-archimédienne*, Springer Verlag, Berlin (1970).
- [3] Monna A. F. and Springer, T. A. *Sur la structure des espaces de Banach non-archimédiens* Proc. Kon. Ned. Akad. V. Wet. A 68 (1965), 602—614.
- [4] Narici, L., Beckenstein, E., and Bachman, G. *Functional Analysis and valuation theory*, Marcel. Dekker, INC. New York, (1971).
- [5] Van Der Put, M., *Espaces de Banach non-archimédiens*, Bull. Soc. Math. France 97, (1969), 309—320.
- [6] Van Rooij A. C. M., *Non-archimedean functional analysis*, Mathematisch Instituut Katholieke Universiteit, Nijmegen (1971).

Faculty of Mathematics,
University of Delhi,
Delhi—110007
INDIA.

Department of Mathematics,
Hans Raj College,
University of Delhi,
Delhi—110007,
INDIA.