

## C-COMplete SYSTEMS IN NORMED SPACES

*Pavle M. Miličić*

(Communicated August 30, 1975)

### 1. Introduction

It is a known fact that an orthonormal sequence  $(e_i)$  in a Hilbert space is complete if and only if it is linearly dense in it. In that case it is also Schauder's basis in it. In incomplete inner-product spaces the situation is more complex. That is, a complete orthonormal sequence in an incomplete inner-product space is not necessarily linearly dense nor a basis. M. Golomb has introduced in [1] a concept of  $C$ -complete sequence as an equivalent for the concept of linearly dense sequence in the incomplete inner-product space.

That paper ([1]) inspired us to write this article, in which we obtain similar results in incomplete normed spaces. We also found certain necessary and sufficient conditions for an orthonormal sequence to be a Schauder's basis in, so called, semi-inner product space and some generalizations of Parseval's equality and Minkowski's inequality.

### 2. Orthonormal systems in semi-inner product spaces

Let  $X$  be a normed space over the field  $\Phi$ . ( $\Phi$  is the field of complex numbers  $C$  or the field of real numbers  $R$ ). Let  $p > 1$  be a fixed real number. Let  $[\cdot, \cdot]$  stand for a generalized semi-inner product over  $X^2$  (s. i. p. g.) whose index is  $p$  and which satisfies conditions:

$$(\forall x \in X) ([x, x] = \|x\|^p),$$

$$(\forall x, y \in X) (\forall \lambda \in \Phi) ([x, \lambda y] = |\lambda|^{p-2} \bar{\lambda} [x, y]).$$

Such s. i. p. g. always exists ([3]). If  $p=2$  then  $[\cdot, \cdot]$  is semi-inner product (s. i. p.) which is a generalization of inner product  $(\cdot, \cdot)$ . The space  $X$  with an s. i. p. g.  $[\cdot, \cdot]$  we denote as s. i. p. s. g. Besides, in this paper,  $X^*$  is the dual space of  $X$ ,  $S$  is the unit sphere of  $X$  and  $N$  is the set of natural numbers.

The following definitions are essential for this paper.

**Definition 1.** A vector system  $(e_i)_{i \in I}$  is orthonormal in s. i. p. s. g.  $X$  if and only if

$$(\forall i, j \in I) ([e_i, e_j] = \delta_{ij}),$$

where  $\delta_{ij}$  is Kronecker's delta.

It can be easily seen that each s. i. p. s. g. possesses at least one orthonormal system and that vectors of an orthonormal system are linearly independent.

**Definition 2.** Let  $E = (e_i)_{i \in I}$  be an orthonormal system in s. i. p. s. g.  $X$ .  $\hat{x}_i = [x, e_i]$  are left Fourier's coefficients and  $\check{x}_i = [e_i, x]$  are right Fourier's coefficients of the vector  $x$  relative to the system  $E$ .

**Definition 3.** A system  $(e_i)_{i \in I}$  is complete in s. i. p. s. g.  $X$  if

$$((\forall i \in I) (\hat{x}_i = 0)) \Rightarrow x = 0.$$

**Definition 4.** Orthonormal sequence  $(e_i)$  is C-regular in s. i. p. s. g.  $X$  if for all  $x \in S$ , the sequence  $\sum_1^n \hat{x}_i e_i$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $X$ .

In [4], the existence of orthonormal systems which fulfil the conditions of definitions 3. and 4., their cardinality, and necessary and sufficient conditions, for such a system to be a Schauder's basis in a Banach space, are discussed. It was shown that in  $l^p$  space with s. i. p. g.

$$(1) \quad (\forall x = (x_i), y = (y_i) \in l^p) ([x, y] = \sum_1^\infty x_i |y_i|^{p-1} \operatorname{sgn} y_i),$$

the vector sequence

$$(2) \quad e_n = (\underbrace{0, 0, \dots, 0}_{n-1}, 1, 0, \dots) \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots)$$

satisfies condition of definitions 1., 3. and 4. If  $K$  is the set of all vectors in  $l^p$  which have finitely many coordinates different from zero, then  $K$  is incomplete s. i. p. s. g. relative to s. i. p. g. (1) and the sequence (2) satisfies conditions of definitions 1., 3. and 4. in  $K$ .

Also, in  $L^p_{(0,1)}$  the Haar's sequence of functions

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_0 &= 1. \\ \varphi_{01}, \\ \varphi_{11}, \varphi_{12}, \\ \dots \dots \dots \\ \varphi_{n1}, \varphi_{n2}, \dots, \varphi_{n2^n}, \\ \dots \dots \dots \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{where } \varphi_{ni} = \begin{cases} 2^{\frac{n}{p}}, & \frac{i-1}{2^n} < t < \frac{2i-1}{2^{n+1}} \\ -2^{\frac{n}{p}}, & \frac{2i-1}{2^{n+1}} < t < \frac{i}{2^n} \\ 0, & t \notin \left[ \frac{i-1}{2^n}, \frac{i}{2^n} \right] \end{cases} \quad (n=0, 1, 2, \dots; i=1, 2, \dots, 2^n),$$

is orthonormal sequence with properties of definitions 3. and 4. who are relative to s. i. p. g.

$$(\forall x, y \in L^p_{(0,1)}) ([x, y] = \int_0^1 x |y|^{p-1} \text{sgn } y dt).$$

### 3. C-Complete orthonormal systems

**Definition 5.** A sequence  $(x_i)$  in s. i. p. s. g.  $X$  is C-complete if each Cauchy sequence  $(y_n)$  in  $X$  for which

$$(\forall i \in N) (\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [y_n, x_i] = 0)$$

is a null sequence, i. e.,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|y_n\| = 0$ .

The sequence (2) is C-complete in  $K$ .

**Theorem 1.** A sequence  $(x_i)$  in a complete s. i. p. s. g.  $X$  is C-complete if and only if it is complete in  $X$ .

**Proof.** C-completeness implies completeness also in incomplete space. Indeed, if  $(x_i)$  is C-complete and if  $x \in X$  such that  $(\forall i \in N) ([x, x_i] = 0)$  then, the sequence  $y_n = x$  is a Cauchy sequence for which

$$(\forall i \in N) (\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [y_n, x_i] = [x, x_i] = 0).$$

Therefrom,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|y_n\| = \|x\| = 0$ .

Conversely, let  $(x_i)$  be complete and  $(y_n)$  a Cauchy sequence for which

$$(\forall i \in N) (\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [y_n, x_i] = 0).$$

Since  $X$  is complete, there is a  $y \in X$  such that  $y = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n$ . Since  $[\dots]$  is linear and bounded functional in first argument, it follows that

$$(\forall i \in N) (\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [y_n, x_i] = [y, x_i] = 0).$$

Because of completeness of the sequence  $(x_i)$ ,  $y = 0$ , i. e.,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|y_n\| = \|y\| = 0.$$

**Theorem 2. 1)** If an orthonormal sequence  $(e_i)$  is C-regular and C-complete in  $X$ , then it is linearly dense in  $X$ .

2) If an orthonormal sequence  $(e_i)$  is linearly dense in  $X$ , and if the sequence  $f_i = [\cdot, e_i]$  is linearly dense in  $X^*$ , then the sequence  $(e_i)$  is  $C$ -complete in  $X$ .

Proof. 1) If a sequence  $(e_i)$  is  $C$ -regular then the sequence  $x_n = x - \sum_1^n \hat{x}_i e_i$  is a Cauchy sequence, as

$$\|x_n - x_m\| = \left\| \sum_{n+1}^m \hat{x}_i e_i \right\| = \|x\| \left\| \sum_{n+1}^m \hat{y}_i e_i \right\|,$$

where  $x = \|x\|y$  and  $y = S$ . Moreover,

$$[x_n, e_i] = \begin{cases} 0, & i \leq n \\ [x, e_i], & i > n \end{cases}$$

and, therefore,

$$(\forall i \in N) (\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [x_n, e_i] = 0).$$

Since  $(e_i)$  is  $C$ -complete we have  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n\| = 0$ . Therefore

$$(3) \quad (\forall \varepsilon > 0) (\exists n_0 \in N) (\forall n \geq n_0) (\|x - \sum_1^n \hat{x}_i e_i\| < \varepsilon),$$

which proves that the sequence  $(e_i)$  is linearly dense in  $X$ .

2) Let  $(y_n)$  be a Cauchy sequence in  $X$  such that

$$(4) \quad (\forall i \in N) (\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [y_n, e_i] = 0).$$

$(y_n)$  is bounded. Since  $(f_i)$  is linearly dense in  $X^*$ , according to theorem 2 p. 184 [2] and (4), we have

$$(\forall x \in X) (\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [y_n, x] = [0, x] = 0).$$

Let  $\varepsilon$  be arbitrary small positive number and  $n_0 \in N$  such that, for  $m > n \geq n_0$ ,  $\|y_m - y_n\| < \varepsilon M^{1-p}$  where  $M = \sup_n \|y_n\|$ . For all  $x \in X$ , such that  $\|x\| \leq M$ , we have

$$|[y_m, x] - [y_n, x]| = |[y_m - y_n, x]| \leq \|y_m - y_n\| \|x\|^{p-1} \leq \varepsilon M^{1-p} M^{p-1} = \varepsilon.$$

Consequently, the sequence  $[y_n, x]$  uniformly converges to 0 for  $\|x\| \leq M$ . Now, let  $n' \in N$  be such that  $[y_{n'}, x] < \varepsilon$ . If we set  $x = y_{n'}$ , then  $[y_{n'}, y_{n'}] < \varepsilon$  or  $\|y_{n'}\|^p < \varepsilon$ . This inequality shows that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|y_n\| = 0$ , and the proof is completed.

Remark 1. If an orthonormal sequence  $(e_i)$  is linearly dense in an inner product space  $(X, (\cdot, \cdot))$ , then the sequence  $f_i = (\cdot, e_i)$  is linearly dense in  $X^*$ . (Remark 1. and Remark 2., p. 178 [2]).

**Remark 2.** Theorem 2. is a generalization of the main result (Theorem) in [1]. Indeed, each orthonormal sequence  $(e_i)$  in an inner product space  $(X, (.,.))$  is C-regular in  $(X, (.,.))$  and C-completeness of the sequence  $(x_i)$  is clearly equivalent to C-completeness of the sequence obtained from  $(x_i)$  by orthonormalization.

**Remark 3.** The proof of theorem 2 is different from the proof of Theorem [1].

**Theorem 3.** *Let the sequence  $(e_i)$  be orthonormal in s. i. p. s. g.  $X$  and the sequence  $f_i=[., e_i]$  be linearly dense in  $X^*$ . Then the sequence  $(e_i)$  is a Schauder's basis if and only if the sequence  $(e_i)$  is C-complete and C-regular in  $X$ .*

**Proof.** If  $(e_i)$  is C-complete and C-regular then (3) holds, i. e.

$$(\forall x \in X) (x = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_1^n \hat{x}_i e_i = \sum_1^\infty \hat{x}_i e_i),$$

which proves that  $(e_i)$  is a Schauder's basis in  $X$ . Conversely, if  $(e_i)$  is a Schauder's basis then there exists a unique sequence  $(\varphi_i) \subset X^*$  such that

$$(\forall x \in X) (x = \sum_1^\infty \varphi_i(x) e_i).$$

In that case  $(\forall k \in N) (\varphi_k(x) = \hat{x}_k)$  therefore  $x = \sum_1^\infty \hat{x}_k e_i$ . That means that the sequence  $\sum_1^n \hat{x}_i e_i$  converges in  $X$ , which shows that the sequence  $(e_i)$  is C-regular and linearly dense in  $X$ . By part 2) of Theorem 2, it is C-complete.

**Corollary.** *If one of the following two conditions is satisfied:*

1.  $(e_i)$  is orthonormal, C-regular and C-complete in  $X$ ;

2.  $(e_i)$  is orthonormal, C-regular and linearly dense in  $X$  and  $f_i=[., e_i]$  is linearly dense in  $X^*$ , then

(5) 
$$(\forall x \in X) (x = \sum_1^\infty \hat{x}_i e_i),$$

(6) 
$$(\forall x, y \in X) ([x, y] = \sum_1^\infty \hat{x}_i \check{y}_i),$$

(7) 
$$(\forall x, y \in X) ((\sum_1^\infty [x+y, e_i] [e_i, x+y])^{1/p} \leq (\sum_1^\infty \hat{x}_i \check{x}_i)^{1/p} + (\sum_1^\infty \hat{y}_i \check{y}_i)^{1/p}).$$

The equality (6) is a result of representation (5) and property of linearity and continuity of s. i. p. g.  $[\dots]$  in the first argument. Otherwise, if  $[\dots]$  is an inner product, it becomes Parseval's equality. The inequality (7) follows from (6), the representation  $\|x\| = [x, x]^{1/p}$  and two inequality  $\|x+y\| \leq \|x\| + \|y\|$ . If  $X = l^p$  with s. i. p. g. (1), then Minkowski's inequality is obtained

$$\left(\sum_1^{\infty} |x_i + y_i|^p\right)^{1/p} \leq \left(\sum_1^{\infty} |x_i|^p\right)^{1/p} + \left(\sum_1^{\infty} |y_i|^p\right)^{1/p}.$$

## REFERENCES

- [1] M. Golomb, *Complete orthonormal systems in pre-Hilbert spaces*, Amer. Math. Monthly, 79 (1972), 263—267.  
 [2] А. Н. Колмогоров, С. В. Фомин, *Элементы теории функций и функционального анализа*, Москва 1972.  
 [3] P. M. Miličić, *Sur le semi-produit scalaire généralisé*, Mat. vesnik 10 (25). Sv. 4 (1973) 325—329.  
 [4] P. M. Miličić, *Les systèmes orthonormés dans des espaces normés*, Mat. vesnik, 1 (14) (29), 1977.

Prirодно-matematički fakultet,  
 Studentski trg 16  
 Beograd, Yugoslavia