

A FIXED POINT THEOREM WITH A FUNCTIONAL INEQUALITY

S. A. Husain and V. M. Sehgal

(Received March 18, 1976)

In a recent paper [1], Ćirić investigated mappings f on a complete metric space (X, d) that satisfy the following condition: there exists a constant $k < 1$ such that for all $x, y \in X$,

$$(1) \quad d(fx, fy) \leq k \max \{d(x, fx), d(y, fy), d(x, y), d(x, fy), d(y, fx)\},$$

and showed that such mappings have a unique fixed point in X . The purpose of this paper is to strengthen Ćirić's result by considering mappings that satisfy a functional inequality.

Throughout this paper, let (X, d) be a complete metric space, R^+ the nonnegative reals and $\varphi: (R^+)^5 \rightarrow R^+$ is a continuous function which is non-decreasing in each coordinate variable and satisfies the condition $\varphi(t, t, t, t, t) < t$ for any $t > 0$.

The following is the main result of this paper.

Theorem 1. *Let $f: X \rightarrow X$ satisfy the condition: for all $x, y \in X$,*

$$(2) \quad d(fx, fy) \leq \varphi(d(x, fx), d(y, fy), d(x, y), d(x, fy), d(y, fx)).$$

If for some $x_0 \in X$

$$(3) \quad \sup \{d(x_0, f^n x_0) : n \in I \text{ (positive integers)}\} < \infty.$$

Then f has a unique fixed point in X .

Proof. For each $n \in I$, let

$$\delta_n = \sup \{d(f^p x_0, f^q x_0) : p, q \geq n\}$$

Then by (3) $\delta_n < \infty$. Since $\delta_n (n \geq 1)$ is a nonincreasing sequence in R^+ , there is a $\delta \geq 0$ such that $\delta_n \rightarrow \delta$. We claim that $\delta = 0$. If $\delta > 0$ then for any $p, q \in I$,

$$\begin{aligned} d(f^p x_0, f^q x_0) &\leq \varphi(d(f^{p-1} x_0, f^p x_0), d(f^{q-1} x_0, f^q x_0), d(f^{p-1} x_0, f^{q-1} x_0), \\ &\quad d(f^{p-1} x_0, f^q x_0), d(f^{q-1} x_0, f^p x_0)) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, if $p, q \geq n$, it follows that

$$\delta_n \leq \varphi(\delta_{n-1}, \delta_{n-1}, \delta_{n-1}, \delta_{n-1}, \delta_{n-1})$$

and hence by the continuity of φ , $\delta \leq \varphi(\delta, \delta, \delta, \delta, \delta) < \delta$, a contradiction. Thus $\delta = 0$. This, implies that $\{f^n x_0\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X and hence, by completeness, there is a $u \in X$ such that $f^n x \rightarrow u$. Now, since

$$d(fu, f^{n+1}x_0) \leq \varphi(d(u, fu), d(f^n x_0, f^{n+1}x_0), d(u, f^n x_0), d(u, f^{n+1}x_0), d(f^n x_0, fu)).$$

Therefore, as $n \rightarrow \infty$ the above inequality yields

$$(4) \quad d(fu, u) \leq \varphi(d(u, fu), 0, 0, 0, d(u, fu)).$$

If $d(u, fu) = t > 0$ then by (4)

$$t \leq \varphi(t, t, t, t, t) < t,$$

a contradiction. Thus $fu = u$.

To prove uniqueness, suppose there is a $v \neq u$ for which $fu = u$ and $fv = v$. Let $r = d(u, v) > 0$. Then by (2)

$$r = d(u, v) = d(fu, fv) \leq \varphi(0, 0, r, r, r) < r,$$

contradicting $r > 0$. Thus $v = u$.

Corollary 1. *Suppose $f: X \rightarrow X$ satisfies either (1) or the condition: there exists nonnegative constants a, b, c with $2a + 2b + c < 1$ such that for all $x, y \in X$,*

$$(5) \quad d(fx, fy) \leq a(d(x, fx) + d(y, fy)) + b(d(x, fy) + d(y, fx)) + cd(x, y)$$

Then f has a unique fixed point in X .

Proof. Since (5) implies (1) with $k = 2a + 2b + c$, it suffices to prove the result satisfying condition (1). Now, it follows (see Ćirić [1]) that mappings (1) also satisfy (3) for each $x \in X$. Further, defining $\varphi: (R^+)^5 \rightarrow R^+$ as

$$\varphi(t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4, t_5) = k \max\{t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4, t_5\},$$

it is easy to verify that φ satisfies the conditions of Theorem 1. Thus f has a unique fixed point in X .

It may be remarked that several fixed point theorems have been obtained (see Hardy & Rogers [2], Kannan [3], Reich [4], Sehgal [5]) under condition (5) when some of the constants in (5) are zeros. All these results are special cases of (1) and hence of Theorem 1. Now, we give a simple example of a mapping f that satisfies (2) but not (1) for any value of $k < 1$.

EXAMPLE. Let $X = [0, \infty)$ with $d(x, y) = |x - y|$. Define a mapping $f: X \rightarrow X$ by

$$fx = \frac{x}{1+x}$$

and let $\varphi: (R^+)^5 \rightarrow R^+$ be defined as

$$\varphi(t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4, t_5) = \frac{t_3}{1+t_3}.$$

Then it is easy to verify that φ satisfies all the conditions of Theorem 1. Furthermore, for any $x, y \in X$,

$$d(fx, fy) = \frac{|x-y|}{1+x+y+xy} \leq \frac{|x-y|}{1+|x-y|} = \varphi(|x-fx|, |y-fy|, |x-y|, |x-fy|, |y-fx|)$$

Thus (2) holds. Since f satisfies (3) for each $x \in X$, therefore, Theorem 1 applies and in fact $f0=0$ is the unique fixed point of f in X . However, f does not satisfy (1), for otherwise there is a $k < 1$ such that for all $x \in X$

$$(6) \quad \frac{x}{1+x} = d(f0, fx) \leq k \max \left\{ 0, \frac{x^2}{1+x}, x, \frac{x}{1+x}, x \right\}.$$

Since for any $x \in R^+$, $\frac{x^2}{1+x} \leq x$, it follows by (6) that for each $x \geq 1$, $\frac{x}{1+x} \leq kx$ that is $\frac{1}{1+x} \leq k$ for each $x \geq 1$. This is clearly impossible. Thus, f does not satisfy (1) for any value of $k < 1$. Therefore, Ćirić's result (with Condition (1), Corollary 1) is in fact a special case of Theorem 1.

REFERENCES

- [1] Ćirić, L. B., *A generalization of Banach's contraction principle*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 45 (2) (1974) 267—273.
- [2] Hardy, G. E. & Rogers, T. D., *A generalization of a fixed point theorem of Reich*, Canad. Math. Bull. 16 (2) (1973) 201—206.
- [3] Kannan, R., *Some remarks on fixed points*, Bull. Calcutta Math. Soc. 60 (1968) 71—76.
- [4] Reich, S., *Kannan's fixed point theorems*, Boll. Unione Mat. Italiana, 4 (1971) 1—11.
- [5] Sehgal, V. M., *Some fixed and common fixed point theorems in metric spaces* Canad. Math. Bull., 16 (4) (1974) 257—259.

Department of Mathematics
University of Wyoming
Laramie, Wyoming 82071
USA