

## NON-UNIQUE FIXED POINTS IN $L$ -SPACES

*J. Achari*

(Received December 15, 1976)

### Introduction

In a recent paper Ćirić [1] proved some fixed point theorem when the mapping  $T$  of a metric space  $(M, d)$  satisfies the following inequality

$$(1) \quad \min \{d(Tx, Ty), d(x, Tx), (y, Ty)\} - \min \{d(x, Ty), d(y, Tx)\} \leq \alpha d(x, y)$$

for  $x, y \in M$  and for some  $0 < \alpha < 1$ .

The chief aim of the present paper is to study the above mapping in spaces of type  $L$  of Fréchet, which we shall call separated  $L$ -spaces. A similar result in non-separated  $L$ -spaces will also be stated.

### 1. Some Definitions

**Definition 1.** Let  $N$  denote the set of all non-negative integers. A pair  $(M, \rightarrow)$  of a set  $M$  and a subset  $\rightarrow$  of the set  $M^N \times M$  is called an  $L$ -space if the following conditions are satisfied:

$$(L-1) \text{ If } x_n = x \in M \text{ for all } n \in N, \text{ then } (\{x_n\}_{n \in N}, x) \in \rightarrow$$

$$(L-2) \text{ If } (\{x_n\}_{n \in N}, x) \in \rightarrow, \text{ then } (\{x_{n_i}\}_{i \in N}, x) \in \rightarrow$$

for every subsequence  $\{x_{n_i}\}_{i \in N}$  of  $\{x_n\}_{n \in N}$ . In what follows, we shall write  $\{x_n\}_{n \in N} \rightarrow x$  or  $x_n \rightarrow x$  instead of  $(\{x_n\}_{n \in N}, x) \in \rightarrow$ , and read  $\{x_n\}_{n \in N}$  converges to  $x$ .

**Definition 2.** Let  $(M, \rightarrow)$  be an  $L$ -space. It is said to be separated if each sequence in  $M$  converges to atmost one point of  $M$ .

**Definition 3.** Let  $d$  be a non-negative extended real valued function on  $M \times M$ :  $0 \leq d(x, y) \leq \infty$  for all  $x, y \in M$ . The  $L$ -space  $(M, \rightarrow)$  is said to be  $d$ -complete if each sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n \in N}$  in  $M$  with  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} d(x_{n+1}, x_n) < \infty$  converges to atmost one point of  $M$ .

## 2. Main theorems

**Theorem 1.** Let  $(M, \rightarrow)$  be a separated  $L$ -space which is  $d$ -complete for a non-negative extended real valued function  $d$  on  $M \times M$  and  $T$  be a continuous mapping of  $M$  into itself satisfying the following conditions for some  $\alpha, \beta$  with  $0 < \alpha < 1, 0 < \beta \leq \infty$ :

$$(2) \quad \min \{d(Tx, Ty), d(x, Tx), d(y, Ty)\} - \min \{d(x, Ty), d(y, Tx)\} \leq \alpha d(x, y)$$

for  $x, y \in M$  with  $d(x, y) < \beta$

$$(3) \quad d(Tb, b) < \beta \text{ for some } b \in M.$$

Then  $T$  has a fixed point and the sequence  $\{T^n b\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges to the fixed point.

**Proof.** Now

$$\min \{d(T^{n+1}b, T^n b), d(T^n b, T^{n+1}b), d(T^n b, T^{n-1}b)\} - \min \{d(T^{n-1}b, T^{n+1}b),$$

$$d(T^n b, T^n b)\} \leq \alpha d(T^{n-1}b, T^{n+1}b)$$

i.e.

$$d(T^{n+1}b, T^n b) \leq \alpha d(T^{n-1}b, T^n b)$$

By induction we get

$$d(T^{n+1}b, T^n b) \leq \alpha^n d(Tb, b)$$

for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and so we have  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} d(T^{n+1}b, T^n b) < \infty$ . Hence the  $d$ -completeness of the space implies that the sequence  $\{T^n b\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges to some  $u \in M$ . So, by the continuity of  $T$ , there is a subsequence  $\{T^{n_i} b\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  of  $\{T^n b\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  such that  $T(T^{n_i} b) \rightarrow Tu$ . But then since  $\{T(T^{n_i} b)\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a subsequence of  $\{T^n b\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ , we have  $T(T^{n_i} b) \rightarrow u$ . Therefore  $Tu = u$ . This completes the proof of the Theorem.

**Theorem 2.** Let  $(M, \rightarrow)$  be an  $L$ -space which is  $d$ -complete for a continuous non-negative extended real valued function  $d$  on the product space  $M \times M$  with the property that  $d(x, y) = 0$  implies  $x = y$ . If  $T$  be a continuous mapping of  $M$  into itself satisfying conditions (2) and (3) of Theorem 1 for some  $\alpha, \beta$  with  $0 < \alpha < 1, 0 < \beta \leq \infty$ , then  $T$  has a fixed point.

**Proof.** By induction (as in theorem 1)

$$(4) \quad d(T^{n+1}b, T^n b) \leq \alpha^n d(Tb, b)$$

for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Hence the same argument employed in the proof of Theorem 1 yields that the sequence  $\{T^n b\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges to some  $u \in M$  and that  $T(T^{n(k)} b) \rightarrow Tu$  for some subsequence  $\{T^{n(k)} b\}$  of the sequence  $\{T^n b\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ . Therefore the continuity of  $T$  implies that

$$d(T(T^{n(k)} b), T^{n(k)} b) \rightarrow d(u, Tu)$$

for some subsequence  $\{T^{n(k_i)}\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  of  $\{T^{n(k)} b\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ . However (4) shows that

$$d(T(T^{n(k_i)} b), T^{n(k_i)} b) \rightarrow 0.$$

Hence  $d(u, Tu) = 0$  and thus we have  $Tu = u$ .

*Acknowledgement.* The author expresses his sincere thanks to Prof. S. Kasahara for his valuable support.

#### REFERENCE

- [1] Ćirić, Lj. B., *On some maps with a non-unique fixed point*, Publ. Inst. Math. 17 (31), 52—58 (1974).
- [2] Kasahara, S., *On some generalizations of the Banach contraction theorem*, Math Seminar Notes 13 (1975), 1—10.

Dept. of Mathematics  
Indian Institute of Technology  
Kharagpur, India