

A NOTE ON M. PREŠIĆ'S METHOD

Miroslav D. Ašić, Vera V. Kovačević

(Communicated January 23, 1976)

In [2] an iterative method for finding k roots of a polynomial $P(x)$ of degree n , where $1 < k < n$, is proposed. The formulas at the i -th step are:

$$(1) \quad a_j(i+1) = a_j(i) - \frac{P(a_j(i))}{\prod_{\substack{s=1 \\ s \neq j}}^k (a_j(i) - a_s(i)) Q_i(a_j(i))}, \quad j = 1, \dots, k$$

where

$$(2) \quad P(x) = (x - a_1(i)) \cdots (x - a_k(i)) Q_i(x) + R_i(x).$$

The following result is proved:

If ξ_1, \dots, ξ_k are roots of $P(x)$ and $P'(\xi_1) \cdots P'(\xi_k) \neq 0$, then there exists a neighbourhood U of $\mathbf{a} = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_k)^T$ such that the sequence $(\mathbf{a}(i)) = ((a_1(i), \dots, a_k(i))^T)$ generated by (1) converges to \mathbf{a} if $(a_1(0), \dots, a_k(0))^T \in U$. Furthermore, the convergence is quadratic.

The purpose of this note is to show that this method belongs to the class of quasi-Newton methods.

Definition 1. A method for finding a zero of a twice continuously differentiable function $\mathbf{F}: R^k \rightarrow R^k$ is called a quasi-Newton method if the following properties hold (see [1]):

$$(i) \quad \mathbf{a}(i+1) = \mathbf{a}(i) - \sigma(i) \mathbf{s}(i), \quad i = 0, 1, \dots; \sigma(i) > 0 \text{ and } \mathbf{s}(i) \in R^k$$

(ii) If the sequence $(\mathbf{a}(i))$ converges to a point \mathbf{a} such that $\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{a}) = \mathbf{0}$ and $\det \mathbf{J}(\mathbf{a}) \neq 0$ then

$$\frac{1}{\|\mathbf{g}(i)\|} \|\sigma(i) \mathbf{s}(i) - \mathbf{J}^{-1}(\mathbf{a}(i)) \mathbf{g}(i)\| = \mathcal{O}(\|\mathbf{g}(i-v)\|),$$

where $0 < v < k$, $\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{x})$ is the Jacobian of \mathbf{F} and $\mathbf{g}(i) = \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{a}(i))$.

In order to obtain M. Prešić's method we formulate the following problem: find a zero of $\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{x}) = (P(x_1), \dots, P(x_k))^T$ and in order to solve it, use the formulas

$$(1') \quad \begin{aligned} \mathbf{a}(i+1) &= \mathbf{a}(i) - \sigma(i) \mathbf{s}(i), \quad i=0, 1, \dots \\ \sigma(i) &= 1, \quad i=0, 1, \dots \\ \mathbf{s}(i) &= \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{a}(i)) \mathbf{J}^{-1}(\mathbf{a}(i)) \mathbf{g}(i), \quad i=0, 1, \dots, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{a}(i)) = \text{diag} \left\{ \frac{P'(a_1(i))}{P'(a_1(i)) - R'_1(a_1(i))}, \dots, \frac{P'(a_k(i))}{P'(a_k(i)) - R'_k(a_k(i))} \right\}$$

($P(x)$ and $R_i(x)$ being the same as in (2)).

Note that $\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{a}(i))$ is well defined in some neighbourhood of \mathbf{a} since $P'(a_j(i))$ is close to $P'(\xi_j) \neq 0$ and $R'_i(a_j(i))$ is close to zero if $\|\mathbf{a}(i) - \mathbf{a}\|$ is small enough. It is clear that the method (1') satisfies condition (i) of Definition 1. In order to show that it satisfies the condition (ii) suppose that

$$\mathbf{a}(i) \rightarrow \mathbf{a}, \quad i \rightarrow \infty, \quad \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{a}) = \mathbf{0} \quad \text{and} \quad \det \mathbf{J}(\mathbf{a}) \neq 0.$$

We have

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\|\mathbf{g}(i)\|} \|\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{a}(i)) \mathbf{J}^{-1}(\mathbf{a}(i)) \mathbf{g}(i) - \mathbf{J}^{-1}(\mathbf{a}(i)) \mathbf{g}(i)\| &\leq \|\mathbf{J}^{-1}(\mathbf{a}(i))\| \cdot \|\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{a}(i)) - \mathbf{I}\| = \\ &= \|\mathbf{J}^{-1}(\mathbf{a}(i))\| \cdot \left\| \text{diag} \left\{ \frac{R'_1(a_1(i))}{P'(a_1(i)) - R'_1(a_1(i))}, \dots, \frac{R'_k(a_k(i))}{P'(a_k(i)) - R'_k(a_k(i))} \right\} \right\|. \end{aligned}$$

The coefficients of $R_i(x)$ can be obtained by setting $x = a_1(i), \dots, x = a_k(i)$ in (2). The determinant of this system is a Vandermonde determinant and the solutions are linear combinations of $P(a_1(i)), \dots, P(a_k(i))$ with coefficients which depend on i but are bounded, since $\mathbf{a}(i) \rightarrow \mathbf{a}, i \rightarrow \infty$. Hence the coefficients of $R'_i(x)$ are also linear combinations of $P(a_1(i)), \dots, P(a_k(i))$ with bounded coefficients and as $(\mathbf{a}(i))$ is bounded we conclude that

$$(4) \quad |R'_i(a_j(i))| \leq c \sum_{s=1}^k |P(a_s(i))|.$$

Relations (3) and (4) imply that the condition (ii) is also satisfied, with $\nu = 0$.

Let us show that the sequence generated by (1') is convergent if $\|\mathbf{a}(0) - \mathbf{a}\|$ is small enough. We have

$$\mathbf{a}(i+1) = \mathbf{a}(i) - \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{a}(i)) \mathbf{J}^{-1}(\mathbf{a}(i)) \mathbf{g}(i)$$

or

$$\mathbf{a}(i+1) - \mathbf{a} = \mathbf{a}(i) - \mathbf{a} - \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{a}(i)) \mathbf{J}^{-1}(\mathbf{a}(i)) [\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{a}(i)) - \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{a})].$$

By Taylor's formula

$$\mathbf{a}(i+1) - \mathbf{a} = \mathbf{a}(i) - \mathbf{a} - \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{a}(i)) \mathbf{J}^{-1}(\mathbf{a}(i)) [\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{a})(\mathbf{a}(i) - \mathbf{a}) + \mathcal{O}(\|\mathbf{a}(i) - \mathbf{a}\|^2) \mathbf{e}],$$

where $\mathbf{e} = (1, \dots, 1)^T$, and hence

$$(5) \quad \|\mathbf{a}(i+1) - \mathbf{a}\| \leq \|\mathbf{a}(i) - \mathbf{a}\| [\|\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{a}(i)) \mathbf{J}^{-1}(\mathbf{a}(i)) \mathbf{J}(\mathbf{a})\| + K \|\mathbf{a}(i) - \mathbf{a}\|].$$

As $\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{a}) = \mathbf{I}$ and $\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x})\mathbf{J}^{-1}(\mathbf{x})\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{a})$ is continuous at \mathbf{a} , there exists a ball $B_\varepsilon(\mathbf{a})$ such that

$$\|\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x})\mathbf{J}^{-1}(\mathbf{x})\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{a})\| + K\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{a}\| < \frac{1}{2} \text{ for } \mathbf{x} \in B_\varepsilon(\mathbf{a}).$$

If $\mathbf{a}(0) \in B_\varepsilon(\mathbf{a})$ from (5) it follows that $\mathbf{a}(i) \in B_\varepsilon(\mathbf{a})$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots$, and furthermore that $(\mathbf{a}(i))$ converges to \mathbf{a} . According to Theorem 2 in [1] the convergence is quadratic.

Global convergence theorem cannot be obtained without further assumptions as can be seen from the following examples:

Example 1 The polynomial $P(x) = x^5 - 3x^3 - 2x$ is square-free and has three real roots. Let $\mathbf{a}(0) = (1, -1)^T$. Then $\mathbf{a}(1) = (-1, 1)^T$, $\mathbf{a}(2) = (1, -1)^T = \mathbf{a}(0)$ and the sequence $(\mathbf{a}(i))$ diverges.

Example 2 The polynomial $P(x) = 8x^2 - 1$ has two distinct real roots. Let $\mathbf{a}(0) = (1/2, 1/4)^T$. Then $\mathbf{a}(1) = (0, 0)^T$ and $\mathbf{a}(2)$ is not defined.

REFERENCES

- [1] G. P. McCormick and K. Ritter, *Methods of conjugate directions versus quasi-Newton methods*, Mathematical Programming Vol 3, № 1 (1972).
 [2] M. Prešić, *Un procédé itératif pour déterminer k zéros d'un polynôme*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris T. 273 (1971).