## CONVERGENT SUBSEQUENCES OF GENERALIZED SEQUENCES OF SETS

## Alexander Abian

(Received January 21, 1973)

In what follows, we let  $\omega$  denote the set of all natural numbers and  $\Omega$  a cardinal number which is cofinal to  $\omega$ , i.e.,  $cf(\Omega) = \omega$ .

In this paper we prove that every sequence  $(S_i)_{i\in\Omega}$  of type  $\Omega$  of subsets  $S_i$  of natural numbers has a convergent subsequence of type  $\Omega$ .

Also, we show that for every infinite cardinal c there exists a sequence  $(D_u)_{u \in c}$  of type c of subsets  $D_u$  of the powerset of c (i. e.,  $x \in D_u$  implies  $x \subseteq c$ ) such that  $(D_u)_{u \in c}$  has no convergent subsequence of any infinite cardinal type.

The above is done by extending the notions of limit superior and limit inferior of denumerable sequences of sets to sequences of sets indexed by arbitrary sets of ordinal numbers.

For related results pertaining to the denumerable case (i.e.,  $\Omega = c = \omega$ ) reference is made to [1] and [2].

As usal, we identify every ordinal (and therefore every cardinal number, i.e., an intial ordinal) number with the set of all ordinals less than it (since for ordinals u and v we have u < v if and only if  $u \in v$ ). It then follows that for every set A of ordinal numbers, as well as every family  $(A_i)_{i \in q}$  of sets  $A_i$  of ordinal numbers, we have

$$(1) \qquad \qquad \bigcup A = \sup A \quad and \quad \bigcup_{i \in q} A_i = \sup_{i \in q} A_i$$

We recall that every set A of ordinals is similar to a unique ordinal  $\overline{A}$  which is called the *type* of A. Moreover, as expected, if A is a set of ordinals then the sequence  $(S_i)_{i \in A}$  is called of type  $\overline{A}$ .

Let A be a set of ordinal numbers. Based on (1), we define *limit superior*  $\lim_{i \in A} S_i$  of a sequence  $(S_i)_{i \in A}$  of sets  $S_i$  by:

(2) 
$$\overline{\lim}_{i \in A} S_i = \{x \mid \bigcup \{v \mid v \in A \text{ and } x \in S_v\} = \bigcup A\}$$

and we define *limit inferior*  $\lim_{i \in A} S_i$  of  $(S_i)_{i \in A}$  by

(3) 
$$\lim_{i \in A} S_i = \{x \mid \bigcup \{v \mid v \in A \text{ and } x \in S_v\} < \bigcup A\}$$

From (2) and (3) it follows that  $\overline{\lim}_{i \in A} S_i$  as well as  $\lim_{\overline{i \in A}} S_i$  always exists (of course, allowing  $\varnothing$  as a value) and  $\lim_{\overline{i \in A}} S_i \subseteq \overline{\lim}_{i \in A} S_i$ . Furthermore, we call  $(S_i)_{i \in A}$  convergent to  $\lim_{i \in A} S_i$  if and only if  $\overline{\lim}_{i \in A} S_i = \lim_{\overline{i \in A}} S_i$ , in which case

(4) 
$$\lim_{i \in A} S_i = \overline{\lim}_{i \in A} S_i = \lim_{i \in A} S_i$$

In view of (2), (3), (4), we see that  $(S_i)_{i\in A}$  is not convergent if and only if for some m

(5) 
$$\bigcup \{v \mid v \in A \text{ and } m \in S_v\} = \bigcup \{v \mid v \in A \text{ and } m \notin S_v\} = \bigcup A$$

Finally, for every set H of ordinal numbers and every ordinal number k we set

(6) 
$$I_k(H) =$$
 the set of the first  $k+1$  elements of  $H$ 

(when it exists). Thus,  $I_0(\{9, \omega+1, 3, \omega+2, \omega\}) = \{3\}$  and  $I_2(\{9, \omega+1, 3, \omega+2, \omega\}) = \{3, 9, \omega\}$ .

Theorem. Let  $\Omega$  be a cardinal number which is cofinal to  $\omega$ , i.e.,  $cf(\Omega) = \omega$ . Let  $(S_i)_{i \in \Omega}$  be a sequence of type  $\Omega$  of subsets  $S_i$  of  $\omega$ . Then  $(S_i)_{i \in \Omega}$  has a convergent subsequence of type  $\Omega$ , i.e., there exists a subset A of  $\Omega$  such that

(7) 
$$\overline{\lim}_{i \in A} S_i = \lim_{\overline{i} \in A} S_i \quad and \quad \overline{A} = \Omega$$

Proof. Since  $cf(\Omega) = \omega$ , there exists a strictly increasing sequence  $(r_i)_{i \in \omega}$  of ordinals  $r_i$  such that (using notation (1))

$$\bigcup_{i \in \omega} r_i = \Omega$$

For every natural number n, i.e., for every  $n \in \omega$ , we definite by induction a subset  $A_n$  of  $\Omega$  as follows:

(9) 
$$A_{0} = \{v \mid v \in \Omega \text{ and } 0 \in S_{v}\}$$

$$provided, \quad \{v \mid v \in \Omega \text{ and } 0 \in S_{v}\} = \Omega,$$

$$otherwise,$$

(10) 
$$A_0 = \{v \mid v \in \Omega \text{ and } 0 \notin S_v\}$$

and (using notation (6)),

(11) 
$$A_{n+1} = I_{r_n}(A_n) \cup \{v \mid v \in A_n \text{ and } (n+1) \in S_v\}$$

$$provided, \ \{\overline{v \mid v \in A_n \text{ and } (n+1) \in S_v}\} = \Omega,$$

$$otherwise,$$

(12) 
$$A_{n+1} = I_{r_n}(A_n) \cup \{v \mid v \in A_n \text{ and } (n+1) \oplus S_v\}$$

From (6) we see that  $I_{r_n}(A_n) \subseteq A_n$  and therefore, in view of (11) and (12), we have  $A_{n+1} \subseteq A_n$ . Hence,

(13) 
$$m \le n \text{ implies } A_n \subseteq A_m \subseteq \Omega \text{ for every } m, n \in \omega$$

Let us observe that if P is a subset of  $\Omega$  such that  $\overline{P} < \Omega$  then  $\overline{\Omega - P} = \Omega$ . But then from (12) it follows that

(14) 
$$\overline{A}_n = \Omega$$
 for every  $n \in \omega$ 

Since  $(r_i)_{i \in \omega}$  is strictly increasing, from (6), (8), (14) we have

(15) 
$$\overline{I_{r_n}(A_n)} < \Omega \text{ for every } n \in \omega$$

and

$$(16) \qquad \overline{\bigcup_{r \in \omega} I_{r_n}(A_n)} = \Omega$$

Let the subset A of  $\Omega$  be defined by

$$A = \bigcup_{r \in \omega} I_{r_n}(A_n)$$

Obviously, in view of (6) and (13) we have

(18) 
$$A \subseteq A_n \text{ for every } n \in \omega$$

We claim that A, as given by (17), is the desired subset of  $\Omega$  mentioned in (7).

From (16) and (17) it follows that

(19) 
$$\overline{A} = \Omega$$
 and  $\bigcup A = \Omega$ 

Hence, in order to establish (7), in view of (5) it is enough to show that for no  $m \in \omega$  it is the case that

(20) 
$$\bigcup \{v \mid v \in A \text{ and } m \in S_v\} = \bigcup \{v \mid v \in A \text{ and } m \in S_v\} = \bigcup A = \Omega$$

Let us assume on the contrary that (20) holds for some  $m \in \omega$ .

We assert that  $m \neq 0$ . This is because otherwise, by (18) and (20) we would have

$$(21) \qquad \qquad \cup \{v \mid v \in A_0 \text{ and } 0 \in S_v\} = \cup \{v \mid v \in A_0 \text{ and } 0 \notin S_v\} = \Omega$$

which is impossible. Indeed, if (9) holds then we see that  $\{v \mid v \in A_0 \text{ and } 0 \notin S_v\} = \emptyset$  which condradicts (21), and, if (10) holds then  $\{v \mid v \in A_0 \text{ and } 0 \in S_v\} = \emptyset$  which again contradicts (21).

Hence, m=n+1 for some  $n \in \omega$ . But then from (18) and (20) we have

(22) 
$$\bigcup \{v \mid v \in A_{n+1} \text{ and } (n+1) \in S_v\} = \bigcup \{v \mid v \in A_{n+1} \text{ and } (n+1) \notin S_v\} = \Omega$$

Now, if (11) holds, then by (11), (13), (15) we have:

$$\{v \mid v \in A_{n+1} \text{ and } (n+1) \in S_v\} \subset \{v \mid v \in I_{r_n}(A_n)\} < \Omega$$

which cotradicts (22), and, if (12) holds, then

$$\{v \mid v \in A_{n+1} \text{ and } (n+1) \notin S_v\} \subset \{v \mid v \in I_{r_n}(A_n)\} < \Omega$$

which again contradicts (22).

Thus, our assumption is false and  $(S_i)_{i \in A}$  is convergent which implies (7). Hence, the Theorem is proved.

In contradistinction with the above Theorem, we show below that for every infinite cardinal c there exists a sequence  $(D_i)_{i \in C}$  with no convergent subsequence of any infinite cardinal type  $a \le c$ .

Lemma. Let c be an infinite cardinal and let  $(D_u)_{u \in c}$  be a sequence of subsets  $D_u$  of the the powerset of c such that

$$D_u = \{H \mid H \subseteq c \text{ and } u \in H\} \text{ for every } u \in c$$

Then  $(D)_{u \in c}$  has no convergent subsequence of any infinite cardinal type a with  $a \le c$ .

Proof. Let A be a subset of c such that  $\overline{A} = a$ . Let  $A = B \cup C$  with  $B \cap C = \emptyset$  and  $\overline{B} = \overline{C} = \overline{A} = a$ . Such a decomposition of A exists since  $\overline{A} = a$  and a is an infinite cardinal. Let us consider the subset E of c given by

$$E = \{x \mid x \in c \text{ and } x \notin B\}$$

But then

$$\bigcup \{v \mid v \in A \text{ and } E \in S_v\} = \bigcup \{v \mid v \in A \text{ and } E \notin S_v\} = \bigcup A = a$$

which, in view of (20) shows that  $(D_u)_{u \in A}$  is not a convergent subsequence of  $(D_u)_{u \in C}$ . Since A is an arbitrary subset of c with  $\overline{A} = a$  (where a is an arbitrary infinite cardinal such that  $a \le c$ ) we see that the Lemma is established.

## REFERENCES

[1]. Sierpiński, W., Algèbre des Ensembles, Monografie Matem. 23 (1951) 107. Warsaw.

[2]. Zaidman, S. and Ponearu, V., A Topology on certain Family of Sets, Comunicarile Acad. R. P. R, 4/5-6 (1954).

Dept. of Math. Iowa State University Ames, Iowa 50011