

ON THE DEPENDENCE OF THE CONTINUOUS SOLUTIONS  
 OF A FUNCTIONAL EQUATION ON AN ARBITRARY FUNCTION

Czesław Dyjak

(Received November 25, 1974)

In this paper we consider the problem of the dependence of continuous solutions of the functional equation of  $n$ -th order

$$(1) \quad \varphi [f^n(x)] = g(x, \varphi [f^{n-1}(x)], \dots, \varphi [f(x)], \varphi(x))$$

on an arbitrary function

This problem was considered by J. Kordylewski and M. Kuczma in [1] for the linear equation of the first order, D. Czaja—Pośpiech and M. Kuczma in [2] for the nonlinear equation of the first order and by B. Choczewski in [3] for the systems of the higher orders (see also in [4] pp. 46—47, 75—77 and 244—254). However the results contained in [1]—[3] do not imply our Theorem.

Let  $I = \langle 0, x_0 \rangle$ ,  $0 < x_0 < \infty$ , and assume the following hypotheses about given functions  $f$  and  $g$ :

- (i)  $f: I \rightarrow R$ , is continuous and  $0 < f(x) < x$ ,  $x \in I \setminus \{0\}$ .
- (ii)  $g: I \times R^n \rightarrow R$ , continuous;
- (2)  $g(0, 0, \dots, 0) = 0$ ;
- (3)  $|g(x, y_1, \dots, y_n) - g(x, \bar{y}_1, \dots, \bar{y}_n)| < \sum_{i=1}^n s_i |y_i - \bar{y}_i|$ ,  
 $x \in I$ ,  $y_i, \bar{y}_i \in R$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ .

We will use the following lemma proved by J. Matkowski in [5]:

*Lemma.* Suppose that  $s_i \geq 0$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$  and all the roots of the polynomial

$$(4) \quad p(z) = z^n - s_1 z^{n-1} - \dots - s_n$$

have the absolute values less than 1. If  $a_k, b_k \geq 0$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots$  fulfil the recurrent inequality

$$(5) \quad a_{k+n} \leq s_1 a_{k+n-1} + \dots + s_n a_k + b_k, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

and  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} b_k = 0$ , then  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} a_k = 0$ .

It is well known, that, under hypotheses (i) and (ii), equation (1) has very many continuous solutions in interval  $I \setminus \{0\}$ . Namely, the continuous solution of the equation (1) depends on the arbitrary function (compare [4], p. 254). In general, these solutions cannot be extended to the continuous solutions onto the whole interval  $I$ . More precisely,  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} \varphi(x)$  does not exist in general. Nevertheless the following theorem holds:

**Theorem.** *If hypotheses (i) and (ii) hold and all the roots of the polynomial (4) have the absolute values less than 1, then every continuous solution  $\varphi: I \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  of the equation (1) has the following property*

$$(6) \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} \varphi(x) = 0.$$

**Proof.** Let us put  $I_k = \langle f^k(x_0), f^{k-1}(x_0) \rangle$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ . Then we have

$$(7) \quad f^i(I_k) = I_{k+i}, \quad I \setminus \{0\} = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} I_k, \quad i, k = 1, 2, \dots$$

Take an arbitrary continuous function  $\varphi$  which fulfils equation (1) in  $I \setminus \{0\}$  and put

$$(8) \quad \begin{aligned} a_k &= \sup \{ |\varphi(x)|; x \in I_k \}; \\ b_k &= \sup \{ |g(x, 0, \dots, 0)|; x \in I_k \}. \end{aligned}$$

Let us notice that the relations (6) and  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} a_k = 0$  are equivalent, and therefore it is sufficient to prove the latter. In virtue of (7), (8) and (3) we have

$$\begin{aligned} a_{k+n} &= \sup_{x \in I_{k+n}} |\varphi(x)| = \sup_{x \in I_k} |\varphi[f^n(x)]| \\ &= \sup_{x \in I_k} |g(x, \varphi[f^{n-1}(x)], \dots, \varphi[f(x)], \varphi(x)) \\ &\quad - g(x, 0, \dots, 0) + g(x, 0, \dots, 0)| \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^n s_i \sup_{x \in I_k} |\varphi[f^{n-i}(x)]| + b_k \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n s_i \sup_{x \in I_{k+n-i}} |\varphi(x)| + b_k \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n s_i a_{k+n-i} + b_k. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (2) and from the continuity of the function  $g(x, 0, \dots, 0)$  that  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} b_k = 0$ . Since  $a_k \geq 0$ ,  $b_k \geq 0$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots$  in virtue of lemma we have

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} a_k = 0,$$

which completes the proof.

Remark. If in our theorem we replace the continuity of the function  $g(x, 0, \dots, 0)$  in  $I$  by hypothesis that  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} g(x, 0, \dots, 0) = 0$ , then, in general, we lose the continuity of the solution  $\varphi$  in  $I \setminus \{0\}$ , but the relation (6) remains valid. The proof is analogous.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Kordylewski, J., Kuczma, M., *On some linear functional equations*, I, II, *Ann. Polon. Math.* **9** (1960), pp. 119—136, **11** (1962), pp. 203—207.
- [2] Czaja — Pośpiech, D., Kuczma, M., *Continuous solutions of some functional equations in the indeterminate case*, *ibidem* **24** (1970), pp. 9—20.
- [3] Choczewski, B., *On continuous solutions of some functional equations of the  $n$ -th order*, *ibidem* **11** (1961), pp. 123—132.
- [4] Kuczma, M., *Functional equations in a single variable*, *Monografie Mat.* **46**, Warszawa 1968.
- [5] Matkowski, J., *Integrable solutions of functional equations*, *Dissertationes Math.* **CXXVII** (1975).

Institute of Mathematics,  
 Technical University,  
 Częstochowa, Poland