

DEGREE OF CONVERGENCE OF QUASI-HERMITE-FEJÉR INTERPOLATION

J. Prasad and R. B. Saxena

(Received February 1, 1975)

Let

$$-1 = x_{n+1} < x_n < \dots < x_1 < x_0 = 1$$

be $n+2$ given points and let $f(x)$ be a given function on $[-1, 1]$. P. Szász [3] introduced the interpolation polynomials $R_n(f; x)$ of degree $\leq 2n+1$ satisfying the conditions:

$$R_n(f; x_\nu) = f(x_\nu); \quad \nu = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n+1,$$

$$R'_n(f; x_\nu) = 0; \quad \nu = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

He called $R_n(f; x)$ — the quasi-Hermite-Fejér interpolation polynomials. For the explicit expression of $R_n(f; x)$ we have

$$(1.1) \quad R_n(f; x) = f(-1) \frac{(1-x)w(x)^2}{2w(-1)^2} + f(1) \frac{(1+x)w(x)^2}{2w(1)^2} \\ + \sum_{\nu=1}^n f(x_\nu) \frac{1-x^2}{1-x_\nu^2} [1 + c_\nu(x-x_\nu)] \left(\frac{w(x)}{(x-x_\nu)w'(x_\nu)} \right)^2$$

where

$$w(x) = C \prod_{\nu=1}^n (x-x_\nu), \quad C \neq 0$$

and

$$c_\nu = \frac{2x_\nu}{1-x_\nu^2} - \frac{w''(x_\nu)}{w'(x_\nu)}; \quad \nu = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

If $w(x) = P_n(x)$ where $P_n(x)$ is the Legendre polynomial of exact degree n then from (1.1) we have

$$(1.2) \quad R_n(f; x) = f(-1) \frac{1-x}{2} P_n^2(x) + f(1) \frac{1+x}{2} P_n^2(x) + \sum_{\nu=1}^n f(x_\nu) A_\nu(x)$$

where

$$(1.3) \quad A_\nu(x) = \frac{1-x^2}{1-x_\nu^2} \left(\frac{P_n(x)}{(x-x_\nu)P'_n(x_\nu)} \right)^2.$$

The polynomials (1.2) were first obtained by Egerváry and P. Turán [1] as the solution of the problem of most economical interpolation process. They showed that for $f(x) \in C[-1, 1]$

$$(1.4) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} R_n(f; x) = f(x).$$

A little differently from Egerváry and Turán, P. Szász also established the same result using the non-negativity of the fundamental polynomials. Utilizing the same fact we can find the degree of convergence of the sequence $R_n(f; x)$ in a simple way, namely the theorem 1 below. Let us denote by $C_\omega[-1, 1]$ the class of all those functions defined on $[-1, 1]$ for which

$$(1.5) \quad \omega_f(\delta) \leq c \omega(\delta)$$

where $\omega_f(\delta)$ is the modulus of continuity of $f(x)$ and $\omega(\delta)$ is a certain modulus of continuity. We shall prove the following:

Theorem 1. *If $f(x) \in C_\omega[-1, 1]$ then*

$$(1.6) \quad |R_n(f; x) - f(x)| \leq K_1 \omega\left(\frac{(1-x^2)^{1/4}}{\sqrt{n}}\right)$$

where K_1 (later on K_2, K_3, \dots) is an absolute constant.

The result (1.6) appears to be interesting in the sense that $f(x)$ can be approximated arbitrarily at the end points of $[-1, 1]$ by $R_n(f; x)$. As for the order is concerned a better result than (1.6) on the pattern of Vértesi [5] can be established. Precisely the following is true:

Theorem 2. *If $f(x) \in C_\omega[-1, 1]$ then for all $x \in [-1, 1]$*

$$(1.7) \quad |R_n(f; x) - f(x)| \leq K_2 \left[\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{i^2} \omega\left(\frac{i\sqrt{1-x^2}}{n}\right) + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{i^2} \omega\left(\frac{i^2}{n^2}\right) \right].$$

From (1.5) and (1.7) it follows that

$$(1.8) \quad |R_n(f; x) - f(x)| \leq K_3 \left[\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{i^2} \omega_f\left(\frac{i\sqrt{1-x^2}}{n}\right) + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{i^2} \omega_f\left(\frac{i^2}{n^2}\right) \right].$$

Now if $\omega_f(\delta) \leq \delta^\alpha$ ($0 < \alpha < 1$) then from (1.8) we have that

$$(1.9) \quad |R_n(f; x) - f(x)| \leq K_4 \left[\frac{(1-x^2)^{\alpha/2}}{n^\alpha} + \frac{1}{n^{2\alpha}} \right], \quad (0 < \alpha < 1/2; -1 \leq x \leq 1),$$

$$(1.10) \quad |R_n(f; x) - f(x)| \leq K_5 \left[\frac{(1-x^2)^{\alpha/2}}{n^\alpha} + \frac{\log n}{n} \right], \quad (\alpha = 1/2; -1 \leq x \leq 1)$$

and

$$(1.11) \quad |R_n(f; x) - f(x)| \leq K_6 \left[\frac{(1-x^2)^{\alpha/2}}{n^\alpha} + \frac{1}{n} \right], \quad (1/2 < \alpha < 1; -1 \leq x \leq 1).$$

Moreover, if $\omega(\delta) = \delta$ then

$$(1.12) \quad |R_n(f; x) - f(x)| \leq K_7 \left[\sqrt{1-x^2} \left(\frac{\log n}{n} \right) + \frac{1}{n} \right], \quad (-1 \leq x \leq 1).$$

Our result is best possible for $f(x) \in \text{Lip } \alpha$ ($0 < \alpha < 1/2$) when $-1 < x < 1$. For the case when $f(x) \in \text{Lip } 1$ and $-1 < x < 1$ we shall prove the following:

Theorem 3. *There exists a function $f(x) \in \text{Lip } 1$ and a constant c^* such that*

$$(1.13) \quad |R_n(f; 0) - f(0)| \geq c^* \frac{\log n}{n}, \quad n = 6, 8, 10, \dots$$

Further making use of the inequalities [6]

$$(1.14) \quad \frac{\pi}{n+1} \int_{\frac{\pi}{n+1}}^{\pi} \frac{\omega(t\sqrt{1-x^2})}{t^2} dt \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{i^2} \omega\left(\frac{i+1}{n+1} \pi \sqrt{1-x^2}\right) \leq \leq \frac{8\pi}{n+1} \int_{\frac{\pi}{n+1}}^{\pi} \frac{\omega(t\sqrt{1-x^2})}{t^2} dt,$$

$$(1.15) \quad \frac{\pi}{n+1} \int_{\frac{\pi}{n+1}}^{\pi} \frac{\omega(t^2)}{t^2} dt \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{i^2} \omega\left(\frac{(i+1)^2 \pi^2}{(n+1)^2}\right) \leq \frac{8\pi}{n+1} \int_{\frac{\pi}{n+1}}^{\pi} \frac{\omega(t^2)}{t^2} dt$$

and following on the lines of [6] the estimates in Theorem 2 can be obtained in terms of the arithmetic means of $\left\{ \omega\left(\frac{\sqrt{1-x^2}}{n} + \frac{1}{n^2}\right) \right\}$ as given by the following:

Theorem 4. *If $f(x) \in C_{\omega}[-1, 1]$ then for all $x \in [-1, 1]$,*

$$(1.16) \quad |R_n(f; x) - f(x)| \leq K_8 n^{-1} \sum_{\nu=1}^n \omega\left(\frac{\sqrt{1-x^2}}{\nu} + \frac{1}{\nu^2}\right).$$

The inequality (1.16) is an improvement over that of Mills and Varma [2] in the sense that it gives better local approximation at the end points of the interval.

2. We recall the following well-known results which will be of frequent use. From [1] we have for $-1 \leq x \leq 1$ and $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$

$$(2.1) \quad \sum_{\nu=1}^n \frac{1-x^2}{1-x_{\nu}^2} \left(\frac{P_n(x)}{(x-x_{\nu}) P_n'(x_{\nu})} \right)^2 \equiv 1 - P_n^2(x) \leq 1.$$

From Szegő [4] we have for $-1 \leq x \leq 1$,

$$(2.2) \quad |P_n(x)| \leq 1,$$

$$(2.3) \quad (1-x^2)^{1/4} |P_n(x)| \leq (2/\pi)^{1/2} n^{-1/2},$$

$$(2.4) \quad |P_n'(x_{\nu})| \sim \nu^{-3/2} n^2, \quad \nu = 1, 2, \dots, \left[\frac{n}{2} \right],$$

$$(2.5) \quad |P'_n(x_\nu)| \sim (n+1-\nu)^{-3/2} n^2, \quad \nu = \left[\frac{n}{2} \right] + 1, \dots, n,$$

$$(2.6) \quad (1-x_\nu^2) > (\nu-1/2)^2 (n+1/2)^{-2}, \quad \nu = 1, 2, \dots, \left[\frac{n}{2} \right],$$

$$(2.7) \quad (1-x_\nu^2) > (n-\nu+1/2)^2 (n+1/2)^{-2}, \quad \nu = \left[\frac{n}{2} \right] + 1, \dots, n,$$

and

$$(2.8) \quad \frac{(\nu-1/2)\pi}{n+1/2} < \theta_\nu < \frac{\nu\pi}{n+1/2} \quad \nu = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

$x_\nu = \cos \theta_\nu$, and $x = \cos \theta$.

Also from Szász [3] we have that

$$(2.9) \quad \sum_{\nu=1}^n \frac{1}{(1-x_\nu^2) [P'_n(x_\nu)]^2} = 1.$$

3. We denote by x_j the zero of $P_n(x)$ which is nearest to x ; then as in [5] we can prove that

$$(3.1) \quad |f(x) - f(x_\nu)| \leq K_9 \left[\omega \left(\frac{\sin \theta}{n} \right) + \omega \left(\frac{1}{n^2} \right) \right] \text{ if } \nu = j$$

$$\leq K_{10} \left[\omega \left(\frac{i \sin \theta}{n} \right) + \omega \left(\frac{i^2}{n^2} \right) \right] \text{ if } j < \nu = j + i \leq n$$

or $1 \leq \nu = j - i < j$.

Proof of Theorem 1. From (1.2) and (2.1) we have that

$$(3.2) \quad R_n(f; x) - f(x) = \left\{ [f(1) - f(x)] \frac{1+x}{2} + [f(-1) - f(x)] \frac{1-x}{2} \right\} P_n^2(x) + \sum_{\nu=1}^n [f(x_\nu) - f(x)] A_\nu(x)$$

and hence

$$(3.3) \quad |R_n(f; x) - f(x)| \leq \frac{1}{2} [(1+x)\omega(1-x) + (1-x)\omega(1+x)] P_n^2(x) + \sum_{\nu=1}^n \omega(|x-x_\nu|) A_\nu(x) = S_1 + S_2.$$

Now consider

$$S_1 = \frac{1}{2} [(1+x)\omega(1-x) + (1-x)\omega(1+x)] P_n^2(x).$$

Let $x \geq 0$ then

$$\frac{\omega(1+x)}{1+x} \leq \frac{2\omega(1-x)}{1-x}$$

and hence

$$S_1 \leq \frac{3}{2} (1+x) \omega(1-x) P_n^2(x) \leq 3 \omega(1-x^2) P_n^2(x)$$

which is also valid if $x < 0$. So it follows that for $-1 \leq x \leq 1$,

$$S_1 \leq 3 \omega(1-x^2) P_n^2(x).$$

Now making use of (2.2), (2.3) and simplifying we obtain

$$(3.4) \quad S_1 \leq 3 [n\sqrt{1-x^2} + 1] \omega\left(\frac{\sqrt{1-x^2}}{n}\right) P_n^2(x) \leq 6 \omega\left(\frac{\sqrt{1-x^2}}{n}\right).$$

Owing to (2.1) we have that

$$\begin{aligned} S_2 &= \sum_{v=1}^n \omega(|x-x_v|) A_v(x) \leq \omega(\delta) \sum_{v=1}^n \left[1 + \frac{|x-x_v|}{\delta}\right] A_v(x) \\ &= \omega(\delta) \sum_{v=1}^n A_v(x) + \frac{\omega(\delta)}{\delta} \sum_{v=1}^n |x-x_v| A_v(x) \\ (3.5) \quad &\leq \omega(\delta) + \frac{\omega(\delta)}{\delta} \sum_{v=1}^n |x-x_v| A_v(x). \end{aligned}$$

On applying Schwarz's inequality and using (2.1), (2.9) and (2.3) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{v=1}^n |x-x_v| A_v(x) &\leq \left[\sum_{v=1}^n (x-x_v)^2 A_v(x) \right]^{1/2} \left[\sum_{v=1}^n A_v(x) \right]^{1/2} \\ &\leq \left[\sum_{v=1}^n (x-x_v)^2 A_v(x) \right]^{1/2} \\ (3.6) \quad &= \sqrt{1-x^2} |P_n(x)| \left[\sum_{v=1}^n \frac{1}{(1-x_v^2) \{P_n'(x_v)\}^2} \right]^{1/2} = \sqrt{1-x^2} |P_n(x)| \\ &\leq (2/\pi)^{1/2} (1-x^2)^{1/4} n^{-1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently from (3.5) and (3.6) we have that

$$(3.7) \quad S_2 \leq 2 \omega\left(\frac{(1-x^2)^{1/4}}{\sqrt{n}}\right)$$

if we choose $\delta = n^{-1/2} (1-x^2)^{1/4}$.

Hence from (3.3), (3.4) and (3.7) the theorem follows.

Proof of Theorem 2. (3.2) yields

$$\begin{aligned} (3.8) \quad |R_n(f; x) - f(x)| &\leq \left[\frac{1+x}{2} |f(-1) - f(x)| + \frac{1-x}{2} |f(-1) - f(x)| \right] P_n^2(x) \\ &\quad + \sum_{v=1}^n |f(x_v) - f(x)| A_v(x) = \sigma_1 + \sigma_2. \end{aligned}$$

As above in this case also we get

$$(3.9) \quad \sigma_1 \leq 6 \omega \left(\frac{\sqrt{1-x^2}}{n} \right).$$

Further we have

$$(3.10) \quad \begin{aligned} \sigma_2 &= \sum_{\nu=1}^n |f(x_\nu) - f(x)| A_\nu(x) \\ &= |f(x_j) - f(x)| A_j(x) + \sum_{\nu \neq j} |f(x_\nu) - f(x)| A_\nu(x) = \sigma_1^* + \sigma_2^*. \end{aligned}$$

Owing to (2.1) and (3.1) we obtain

$$(3.11) \quad \begin{aligned} \sigma_1^* &\leq K_9 \left[\omega \left(\frac{\sin \theta}{n} \right) + \omega \left(\frac{1}{n^2} \right) \right] A_j(x) \\ &\leq K_9 \left[\omega \left(\frac{\sin \theta}{n} \right) + \omega \left(\frac{1}{n^2} \right) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

If $x = \cos \theta$ and $x_\nu = \cos \theta_\nu$, then (2.6) and (2.7) yield

$$(3.12) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{\sqrt{1-x^2}}{(x-x_\nu)^2} &= \frac{\sin \theta}{(\cos \theta - \cos \theta_\nu)^2} \leq \frac{1}{2 \sin^2 \left(\frac{\theta - \theta_\nu}{2} \right) \sin \left(\frac{\theta + \theta_\nu}{2} \right)} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\sin^2 \left(\frac{\theta - \theta_\nu}{2} \right) \sin \theta_\nu} \leq \frac{(2n+1)}{(2\nu-1) \sin^2 \left(\frac{\theta - \theta_\nu}{2} \right)}. \end{aligned}$$

From (2.8) and using the fact that x_j is the nearest zero to x we get

$$(3.13) \quad \frac{1}{\sin \frac{|\theta - \theta_\nu|}{2}} \leq \frac{2n+1}{2i-1}, \quad \nu \neq j; \nu = j \pm i.$$

Consequently from (3.12) (3.13), (2.3), (2.4), (2.5), (2.6) and (2.7) it follows that

$$(3.14) \quad A_\nu(x) \leq \frac{K_{11}}{i^2}, \quad \nu \neq j, \nu = j \pm i.$$

Now making use of (3.14) and (3.1) we obtain

$$(3.15) \quad \sigma_2^* \leq K_{12} \sum_{\nu \neq j} \frac{1}{i^2} \left[\omega \left(\frac{i\sqrt{1-x^2}}{n} \right) + \omega \left(\frac{i^2}{n^2} \right) \right].$$

So from (3.10), (3.11) and (3.15) it follows that for $-1 \leq x \leq 1$,

$$(3.16) \quad \sigma_2 \leq K_9 \left[\omega \left(\frac{\sqrt{1-x^2}}{n} \right) + \omega \left(\frac{1}{n^2} \right) \right] + K_{12} \sum_{\nu \neq j} \frac{1}{i^2} \left[\omega \left(\frac{i\sqrt{1-x^2}}{n} \right) + \omega \left(\frac{i^2}{n^2} \right) \right].$$

Thus from (3.8), (3.9) and (3.16) we have the theorem.

4. Proof of Theorem 3. Let $f(x) = |x|$, $x = \cos \theta = 0$, $\theta = \frac{\pi}{2}$ and $n = 6, 8, 10, \dots$. Then from (1.2) and (2.1) it follows that

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{aligned} R_n(f; 0) - f(0) &= P_n^2(0) + \sum_{v=1}^n [f(x_v) - f(0)] A_v(0) \\ &= P_n^2(0) + \sum_{v=1}^n \frac{P_n^2(0)}{(1-x_v^2) |x_v| [P_n'(x_v)]^2} \\ &\geq \sum_{v=1}^{\frac{n}{2}} \frac{P_n^2(0)}{x_v (1-x_v^2) [P_n'(x_v)]^2}. \end{aligned}$$

From Szegő [4], p. 163 we have that

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{aligned} |P_n(0)| &= \frac{1 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot \dots \cdot (n-1)}{2 \cdot 4 \cdot \dots \cdot (n-2) n} \\ &> \frac{1}{3} n^{-1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Now on using (4.2), (2.8) and (2.4) we get from (4.1),

$$(4.3) \quad R_n(f; 0) - f(0) \geq K_{13} n^{-3} \sum_{v=1}^{\frac{n}{2}} \frac{v}{\cos \theta_v}.$$

Further, if $\theta = \pi/2$ then on using (2.8) we obtain

$$(4.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{v=1}^{\frac{n}{2}} \frac{v}{\cos \theta_v} &= \sum_{v=1}^{\frac{n}{2}} \frac{\frac{n}{2} - v}{(\cos \theta_v - \cos \theta)} \\ &= \sum_{v=1}^{\frac{n}{2}} \frac{v}{2 \sin \left(\frac{\theta + \theta_v}{2} \right) \sin \left(\frac{\theta - \theta_v}{2} \right)} \geq \sum_{v=1}^{\frac{n}{2}} \frac{v}{2 \left(\frac{\theta - \theta_v}{2} \right)} \\ &\geq \frac{2n}{\pi} \sum_{v=1}^{\frac{n}{2}} \frac{v}{n - 2v + 2} > K_{14} n^2 \log n, \quad n \geq 6. \end{aligned}$$

Hence from (4.3) and (4.4) it follows that

$$(4.5) \quad R_n(f; 0) - f(0) > \frac{c^* \log n}{n}$$

from which the Theorem follows.

REFERENCES

- [1] E. Egerváry and P. Turán. *Notes on interpolation V (On the stability of interpolation)*. Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hung. 9 (1958), 259—267.
- [2] T. M. Mills and A. K. Varma, *On a theorem of E. Egerváry and P. Turán on the stability of interpolation*, J. of Approx. Theory II (3) (1974), 275—282.
- [3] P. Szász, *On quasi-Hermite-Fejér interpolation*, Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hung. 10 (1959), 413—439.
- [4] G. Szegő, *Orthogonal polynomials*, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Pub. 23 (1959).
- [5] P. O. H. Vértesi, *Hermite-Fejér interpolation based on the roots of Jacobi polynomials*, Studia Sci. Math. Hung. 5 (1970), 395-399.
- [6] R. B. Saxena, *A note on the rate of convergence of Hermite-Fejér interpolation polynomials*, Canad. Math. Bull. 17 (1974), 299—301.

California State University
Los Angeles, California, 90032 U.S.A.

and

Lucknow University, Lucknow, India