

THE FUNCTIONALS OF THE KIND OF BANACH LIMITS

Dimitrije Hajduković

(Received November 30, 1974)

Introduction

S. Banach ([1], p. 34) defined generalized limits (now commonly referred to as Banach limits) as positive, linear, shift-invariant functionals on the space of all bounded real-valued functions $t \rightarrow f(t)$, $t \geq 0$, which assign the value 1 to the constant function $t \rightarrow f(t) = 1$, $t \geq 0$.

It is the object of this paper to introduce a slightly more general family of functionals (than the Banach limits) defined on the vector space of all bounded functions $t \rightarrow f(t)$, $t \geq 0$, with values at an arbitrary real normed space.

In the sequel the following notation is used:

- (i) X denotes an arbitrary real normed space,
- (ii) E denotes the real vector space of all bounded functions $t \rightarrow f(t)$, $t \geq 0$, with values at X ,
- (iii) m denotes the real vector space of all bounded sequences of points of X .

Existential theorems

Let us put

$$(1) \quad p(f) = \inf_{a_i} \left\{ \overline{\lim}_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n f(t+a_i) \right\| \right\}, \quad f \in E,$$

where the infimum is taken over all possible choices of natural numbers n and all nonnegative real numbers a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n .

The functional $f \rightarrow p(f)$, $f \in E$, is seen to be real-valued.

Lemma 1. *Let f and g be two arbitrary functions from E and let us suppose that $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} g(t) = 0 \in X$. Then*

$$(2) \quad p(f+g) = p(f).$$

Proof. For any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a number T so that $\|g(t)\| < \varepsilon$, $t \geq T$. Hence, for all $n = 1, 2, \dots$, all $a_i \geq 0$ and all $t \geq T$

$$\frac{1}{n} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n [f(t+a_i) + g(t+a_i)] \right\| \leq \frac{1}{n} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n f(t+a_i) \right\| + \varepsilon,$$

which implies

$$\inf_{a_i} \left\{ \overline{\lim}_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n [f(t+a_i) + g(t+a_i)] \right\| \right\} \leq \inf_{a_i} \left\{ \overline{\lim}_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n f(t+a_i) \right\| \right\} + \varepsilon.$$

Since $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, by (1), we have $p(f+g) \leq p(f)$. Hence also we have $p(f) = p((f+g) + (-g)) \leq p(f+g)$. Therefore, $p(f+g) = p(f)$.

Lemma 2. *If for a function $h \in E$, $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} h(t) = s \in X$, then*

$$(3) \quad p(h) = \|s\|.$$

Proof. Let us put $h(t) = f(t) + g(t)$, $t \geq 0$, with $f(t) = s \in X$, $t \geq 0$, and $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} g(t) = 0 \in X$. Then, by (1) and (2), $p(h) = p(f+g) = \|s\|$, which completes the proof.

We can now proceed to the following statement.

Theorem 1. *Let E be the real vector space of all bounded functions $t \rightarrow f(t)$, $t \geq 0$, with values at a real normed space X and let the functional $f \rightarrow p(f)$, $f \in E$, be defined by means of (1). Then there exists a (nonunique) functional L defined on the whole space E with the following properties:*

- (i) $L(af + bg) = aL(f) + bL(g)$ ($a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, $f, g \in E$);
- (ii) $f_a(t) = f(t+a)$, $t \geq 0$, implies $L(f_a) = L(f)$ ($a > 0$);
- (iii) $|L(f)| \leq p(f)$ ($f \in E$).

Also, let \mathcal{L} denote the family of all functional L defined on E satisfying the conditions (i)–(iii). Then for all $f \in E$

$$(iv) \quad (\forall L \in \mathcal{L}) L(f) = 0 \text{ if and only if } p(f) = 0$$

Sketch of the proof. It is evident that $p(af) = |a| \cdot p(f)$, $a \in \mathbb{R}$, $f \in E$. Also, it is not difficult (see [2], p. 191–192) to prove that $p(f+g) \leq p(f) + p(g)$, $f, g \in E$. Hence, $f \rightarrow p(f)$, $f \in E$, is the symmetric convex functional on E . According to the consequence of the Hahn-Banach theorem from [1] (see also the Exercise 11.2 from [2], p. 187), there exists a (nonunique) linear functional L defined on the whole space E so that $|L(f)| \leq p(f)$, $f \in E$, that is the functional L satisfying the conditions (i) and (iii) of the theorem. Now, for any $r > 0$ and $f \in E$ let us put

$$g_r = f_r - f, \quad s_i = (i-1)r, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots$$

Then for all $n = 1, 2, \dots$ and all $t \geq 0$ we have

$$\frac{1}{n} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n g_r(t+s_i) \right\| \leq \frac{1}{n} \|f(t+nr) - f(t)\| \leq \frac{2}{n} \sup_t \|f(t)\|.$$

Hence, for arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a natural number N so that

$$\frac{1}{N} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^N g_r(t+s_i) \right\| < \varepsilon, \quad t \geq 0,$$

which implies

$$\overline{\lim}_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^N g_r(t + s_i) \right\| \leq \varepsilon,$$

and

$$\inf_{a_i} \left\{ \overline{\lim}_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n g_r(t + a_i) \right\| \right\} \leq \varepsilon.$$

Since $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, we have

$$p(g_r) = \inf_{a_i} \left\{ \overline{\lim}_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n g_r(t + a_i) \right\| \right\} = 0.$$

Hence, by the conditions (i) and (iii) of the theorem, we have

$$0 = L(g_r) = L(f_r - f) = L(f_r) - L(f), \text{ or } L(f_r) = L(f),$$

that is that the condition (ii) of the theorem is satisfied, and we have proved the existence of a functional L satisfying the conditions (i)–(iii) of the theorem.

Now let E_0 be the subspace (of the space E) of all functions $f \in E$ having $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} f(t) = 0 \in X$. Then it is clear that

$$(4) \quad p(f) = L(f) = 0, \quad f \in E_0.$$

Let us put $h(t) = s \in X, t > 0 (s \neq 0)$. Then $h \in E \setminus E_0$ and $p(h) = \|s\| > 0$. Hence, the functional $f \rightarrow L(f), f \in E_0$, can be extended to the subspace (of the space E) spanned by E_0 and h by defining the values at $f + ah (f \in E_0, a \in R)$ to be $L(f) + ac$, where c is any element of the interval $[-p(h), p(h)]$. (This is a consequence of the proof of the Hahn-Banach theorem and (4)). Hence, there exist the functionals on E satisfying the conditions (i)–(iii) of the theorem with distinct values at h . Consequently, L is not a unique functional (satisfying the conditions (i)–(iii)).

Last, to complete the proof of theorem, it only remains to show that the condition (iv) of the theorem is satisfied. Indeed, let \mathcal{L} denote the family of all functionals $f \rightarrow L(f), f \in E$, satisfying the conditions (i)–(iii) of the theorem. Then, by the condition (iii), $p(f) = 0, f \in E$, implies $L(f) = 0, f \in E$ for every $L \in \mathcal{L}$. Conversely, $L(f) = 0, f \in E$, for every $L \in \mathcal{L}$ implies $p(f) = 0, f \in E$ because if $p(f) > 0$ for some $f \in E$, then, as in the proof above, there must exist at least two functionals satisfying the conditions (i)–(iii) with distinct values at f , which is in contradiction to $L(f) = 0$ for every $L \in \mathcal{L}$. The proof is now complete.

Let m be the real vector space of all bounded sequences of points from an arbitrary normed space X and let the functional p on m be defined by

$$p((x_i)) = \inf_{i_k} \left\{ \overline{\lim}_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n x_{i_k + j} \right\| \right\}, \quad (x_i) \in m,$$

where infimum is taken over all possible choices of natural numbers n and i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n

In a similar fashion as in the proof above we can prove the following statement.

Theorem 2. *There exists a (nonunique) functional L on m with the following properties:*

- (i) $L((ax_i + by_i)) = aL((x_i)) + bL((y_i))$ ($a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, $(x_i), (y_i) \in m$);
- (ii) $L((x_{i+1})) = L((x_i))$ ($(x_i) \in m$);
- (iii) $|L((x_i))| \leq p((x_i))$ ($(x_i) \in m$).

Also, if \mathcal{L} denotes the family of all functionals L satisfying the conditions (i)—(iii), then for all $(x_i) \in m$

- (iv) $(\forall L \in \mathcal{L}) L((x_i)) = 0$ if and only if $p((x_i)) = 0$.

Our next result gives us a connection between the functionals from the theorems 1. – 2. and Banach limits.

Theorem 3 *Let now E denote the real vector space of all realvalued bounded functions $t \rightarrow f(t)$, $t \geq 0$. Let \mathcal{B} be the family of all Banach limits defined on E and \mathcal{L} the family of all functionals from the theorem 1 defined on E . Then:*

- (i) *Every Banach limit is a functional from \mathcal{L} , i.e. $B \in \mathcal{B}$ implies $B \in \mathcal{L}$,*
- (ii) *There exists some $L \in \mathcal{L}$ such that $L \notin \mathcal{B}$.*

In other words, in the particular case $X = \mathbb{R}$, the family \mathcal{B} of all Banach limits is a proper subfamily of the family \mathcal{L} of all functionals from the theorem 1.

Sketch of the proof. Every Banach limit $B \in \mathcal{B}$ is a linear shiftinvariant functional on E , or every Banach limit satisfies the conditions (i)—(ii) from the theorem 1. Also, it is easy to see (see, for example, the Exercise 11.5 from [2]) that $-p(f) \leq B(f) \leq p(f)$, $f \in E$, $B \in \mathcal{B}$, where p is defined by means of (1), so that the condition (iii) of the theorem 1 is satisfied. Consequently, every Banach limit satisfies the conditions (i)—(iii) of the theorem 1, and we have proved the statement (i) of the theorem.

Last, to complete the proof, consider the realvalued function $t \rightarrow f(t) = s$, $s \neq 0$, $t \geq 0$. For this function clearly we have $B(f) = s$, $\forall B \in \mathcal{B}$ and $p(f) = |s| > 0$, and, as in the proof above, it follows that there exist at least two functionals $L', L'' \in \mathcal{L}$ so that $L'(f) \neq L''(f)$. Hence it follows that there exists some $L \in \mathcal{L}$ which is not a Banach limit, i.e. $L \notin \mathcal{B}$. The proof is now complete.

In a similar fashion we can prove the following statement.

Theorem 4. *Let $1^{+\infty}$ be the real vector space of all real bounded sequences. Let \mathcal{B} denote the family of all Banach limits on $1^{+\infty}$ and \mathcal{L} the family of all functionals from the theorem 2 defined on $1^{+\infty}$. Then:*

- (i) *Every Banach limit $B \in \mathcal{B}$ is a functional from \mathcal{L} .*
- (ii) *There exists some $L \in \mathcal{L}$, which is not a Banach limit, i.e. $L \notin \mathcal{B}$.*

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Banach, *Théorie des opérations linéaires*, Warszawa 1932.
- [2] G. Bachman and L. Narici, *Functional Analysis*, Academic Press, New York and London 1966.