

## IMPLICIT DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION IN LOCALLY CONVEX SPACES

(Communicated November 20, 1973)

*O. Hadžić*

V. V. Mosyagin and A. I. Povolockij [1] have proved a theorem on the existence of a solution of the equation:

$$(1) \quad F\left(t, x, \frac{dx}{dt}\right) = 0 \quad x(0) = x_0$$

in locally convex spaces, using a generalization of Krasnosel'skij's fixed point theorem which was proved by Millionščikov.

We shall generalize their theorem in two directions, using some results from the theory of  $\psi$ -densifying operators and a fixed point theorem which we have proved in [5].

As in [1], let  $\{p_i\}_{i \in I}$  be a family of seminorms defining the topology in the locally convex space  $E$ ,

$$U_b = \{x \mid x \in E, p_{i_k}(x - x_0) \leq b, k = 1, 2, \dots, m; i_k \in I, b > 0\},$$

$$U_c = \{z \mid z \in E, p_{j_r}(z - z_0) \leq c, r = 1, 2, \dots, s; j_r \in I, c > 0\}$$

and

$F(t, x, z) = f_0(t, x, z) + f_1(t, x)$  be a mapping from  $[0, T] \times U_b \times U_c$  into  $E$ . Further, let the mapping  $f_0$  satisfy the following conditions [1]:

1. The mapping  $f_0$  is uniformly continuous on  $[0, T] \times U_b \times U_c$  and for every  $i \in I$  there exists  $L(i)$ ,  $0 < L(i) \leq 1$  so that:

$$p_i(z_1 + h_0 f_0(t, x, z_1) - z_2 - h_0 f_0(t, x, z_2)) \leq L(i) p_i(z_1 - z_2)$$

for every  $z_1, z_2 \in U_c, x \in U_b, t \in [0, T]$  ( $h_0 \neq 0$ )

2. The set  $\overline{q([0, T], U_b, U_c)}$  is compact, where  $g(t, x, z) = z + h_0 f_0(t, x, z)$ .

3.  $\sup p_{j_r}(g(t, x, z) - z_0) + \sup p_{j_r}(h_0 f_1(t, x)) \leq c$

$$(t, x, z) \in [0, T] \times U_b \times U_c \quad (t, x) \in [0, T] \times U_b$$

$$r = 1, \dots, s \quad r = 1, 2, \dots, s$$

and  $T \cdot M_{i_k} \leq b$   $k = 1, 2, \dots, m$ ; where

$\sup p_i(g(t, x, z)) + \sup p_i(h_0 f_1(t, x)) \leq M_i$ ; for every  $i \in I$ .

$$(t, x, z) \in [0, T] \times U_b \times U_c \quad (t, x) \in [0, T] \times U_b$$

Now, we shall give some definitions and theorems (see [2])

**Definition 1.** Let  $\mathfrak{M}$  be a subset of  $2^E$  and  $Q \in \mathfrak{M}$  implies  $\overline{co} Q \in \mathfrak{M}$ . Further, let  $(A, \leq)$  be a partially ordered set. The measure of noncompactness  $\psi$  is a function  $\psi: \mathfrak{M} \rightarrow A$  so that  $\psi(\overline{co} Q) = \psi(Q)$ .

**Definition 2.**  $F: \Lambda \times M \rightarrow E$ ,  $M \subseteq E$  is  $\psi$ -densifying if the implication  $\{\psi[F(\Lambda \times Q)] \geq \psi(Q)\} \Rightarrow \{Q \text{ is compact}\}$  holds  
The measure  $\psi$  is:

- a) *monotone* if  $Q_1 \subseteq Q_2$  implies  $\psi(Q_1) \leq \psi(Q_2)$  for every  $Q_1, Q_2 \in \mathfrak{M}$ .
- b) *algebraic semiadditive* if  $\psi(Q_1 + Q_2) \leq \psi(Q_1) + \psi(Q_2)$  for every  $Q_1, Q_2 \in \mathfrak{M}$ .
- c) *semihomogeneous* if  $\psi(zQ) = |z| \psi(Q)$  for every  $Q \in \mathfrak{M}$  and  $z$ -complex number.
- d) *continuous* if for every  $Q \in \mathfrak{M}$ ,  $p \in P$ ,  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a neighbourhood  $V$  of the origin so that:
 
$$|\psi(Q_1)(p) - \psi(Q_2)(p)| < \varepsilon$$
 where  $Q_1$  and  $Q_2$  are such that  $Q_1 \subseteq Q_2 + V$  and  $Q_2 \subseteq Q_1 + V$ .
- e) *1-regular* if  $\psi(Q) = 0$  implies that the set  $Q$  is totally bounded.

f) *2-regular* if for every totally bounded set  $Q$  the equality  $\psi(Q) = 0$  holds. For example, Kuratowski's measure of noncompactness has all these properties.

**Theorem A [2].** Let  $R$  be a closed  $\theta$  and convex subset of a locally convex space  $E$ , let  $\psi$  be a measure of noncompactness defined on  $E$  and  $F$  be a  $\psi$ -densifying mapping of  $R$  into  $R$ . Suppose that one of the following two conditions is satisfied:

I. For every  $(x_0 \in R, Q \subseteq R, Q \neq \emptyset)$   $\psi(\{x_0\} \cup Q) = \psi(Q)$ .

II.  $\psi$  is semiadditive and invariant in respect to translation.

Then  $\gamma(\Phi, R) = 1$ .

**Theorem B (Theorem 2 in [5])** Let  $G$  be a closed and convex subset of the topological Hausdorff locally convex, complete space  $E$  and  $S, T$  two mappings of  $G$  into  $E$  satisfying following conditions:

1. For every  $x, y \in G$ ,  $Tx + Sy \in G$ .

2. a) For every  $i \in I$  there exists  $q(i) \geq 0$  and  $f: I \rightarrow I$  such that

$$p_i(Tx - Ty) \leq q(i) p_{f(i)}(x - y) \text{ for every } x, y \in G$$

b) For every  $i \in I$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  there exist  $a_n(i) \geq 0$  and  $g(i) \in I$  such that for every  $x \in E$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  the inequality  $p_{f^n(i)}(x) \leq a_n(i) p_{g(i)}(x)$  holds.

c) *The series*

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \prod_{k=0}^{n-2} q[f^k(i)] a_{n-1}(i) \right)$$

is convergent.

3. *The mapping S is continuous and SF is relatively compact set. Then there exists at least one point  $x_0 \in G$  such that:*

$$Sx_0 + Tx_0 = x_0.$$

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $\psi$  be a measure of noncompactness defined on E which is invariant in respect to translation and has the properties a) – f). Further, suppose that the mapping  $f_1: [0, T] \times U_b \rightarrow E$  is uniformly continuous and satisfies the following conditions:*

(\*) *For every bounded set  $M \subseteq U_b$  and for every  $t \in [0, T]$*

$$\psi(f_1(t, M)) \leq L(t, \psi(M))$$

where  $L$  is a mapping from  $[0, T] \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  so that the problem  $z' = L(t, z); z(0) = 0$  has one and only one solution  $z(t) \equiv 0, t \in [0, T]$ .

If the mappings  $f_1$  and  $f_0$  satisfy conditions 1. — 3. and if either condition I or II is satisfied there exists at least one solution of the equation (1) which is defined on  $[0, T]$ .

**Proof:** Let  $\mathcal{C}^1([0, T], E)$  be the set of all continuously differentiable mapping from  $[0, T]$  into  $E$ . The topology in  $\mathcal{C}^1([0, T], E)$  is defined by the family of the seminorms:

$$\tilde{p}_i(\tilde{x}) = \sup_{t \in [0, T]} p_i[x(t)] + \sup_{t \in [0, T]} p_i[x'(t)]$$

It is known that  $\mathcal{C}^1([0, T], E)$  is, in this topology, a complete locally convex space. The measure of noncompactness  $\psi_{\mathcal{C}^1}$  on  $\mathcal{C}^1([0, T], E)$  is defined in the following way. Let  $\mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{C}^1}$  be a family of all bounded sets  $Q \subset \mathcal{C}^1([0, T], E)$  for which the set  $Q' = \{\tilde{x}'(t) | \tilde{x} \in Q\}$  is equicontinuous. The measure  $\psi_{\mathcal{C}^1}$  is introduced by:  $\psi_{\mathcal{C}^1}(Q)(t) = \psi(Q'_t)$  and maps  $\mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{C}^1}$  into  $\mathcal{C}([0, T], E)$ .  $\mathcal{C}([0, T], E)$  is partially ordered by the relation  $\leq: f_1 \leq f_2 \Leftrightarrow f_1(t) \leq f_2(t) \forall t \in [0, T]$ .

Let

$$V_{1,i} = \{\tilde{x} | \tilde{x} \in \mathcal{C}^1([0, T], E), x(0) = x_0, p_i(x(t_1) - x(t_2)) \leq M_i | t_1 - t_2 | \\ \text{for every } (t_1, t_2) \in [0, T]^2\} \quad i \in I$$

$$V_{2,jr} = \{\tilde{x}, \tilde{x} \in \mathcal{C}^1([0, T], E), p_{jr}(x'(t) - z_0) \leq c, \text{ for every} \\ t \in [0, T]\} \quad r = 1, 2, \dots, s.$$

$$V_{3,i} = \left\{ x, x \in \mathcal{C}^1([0, T], E), p_i(x'(t_1) - x'(t_2)) \leq \frac{\varphi_i(|t_1 - t_2|)}{1 - L(i)} \right\} \\ i \in I$$

where:

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_i(\eta) &= \sup p_i(g(t_1, x(t_1), x'(t_1)) - g(t_2, x(t_2), x'(t_2))) + \\ &\quad \tilde{x} \in \bigcap_{i \in I} V_{1,i} \cap \left( \bigcap_{r=1}^s V_{2,jr} \mid |t_1 - t_2| \leq \eta \right) \\ &\quad + \sup p_i(h_0 f_1(t_1, x(t_1)) - h_0 f_1(t_2, x(t_2))) \\ &\quad \tilde{x} \in \bigcap_{i \in I} V_{1,i} \cap \left( \bigcap_{r=1}^s V_{2,jr} \mid |t_1 - t_2| \leq \eta \right). \end{aligned}$$

In is evident that  $\varphi_i(\eta) \rightarrow 0$  when  $\eta \rightarrow 0$ . In [1] it has been shown that

$$V = \bigcap_{i \in I} V_{1,i} \cap \left( \bigcap_{r=1}^s V_{2,jr} \right) \cap \left( \bigcap_{i \in I} V_{3,i} \right)$$

is closed and convex subset of  $\mathcal{C}^1([0, T], E)$ .

The equation (1) is equivalent to the integral equation:

$$(2) \quad x(t) = x_0 + \int_0^t g[s, x(s), x'(s)] ds + \int_0^t h_0 f_1[s, x(s)] ds$$

The mappings  $T$  and  $S$  will be defined as follows:

$$Tx = h_0 \int_0^t f_1[s, x(s)] ds$$

$$Sx = x_0 + \int_0^t g[s, x(s), x'(s)] ds.$$

Our aim is to prove that the mapping  $T+S$ , the set  $V$  and the measure  $\psi_{\mathcal{C}^1}$  satisfy the conditions of the theorem A. As it has been shown in [3],  $T+S$  maps  $V$  into  $V$ . Next we shall show that the set  $V$  is a bounded subset of  $\mathcal{C}^1([0, T], E)$ . It follows:

$$\begin{aligned} p_i(x(t)) &= p_i(x(t) - x(0) + x(0)) \leq p_i(x(t) - x(0)) + p_i(x(0)) \leq \\ &\leq M_i \cdot |t| + p_i(x_0) \leq M_i \cdot T + p_i(x_0) \text{ for every } \tilde{x} \in V, \text{ since } V \subset \bigcap_{i \in I} V_{1,i}. \text{ Further} \end{aligned}$$

$$p_i \left[ \frac{x(t+h) - x(t)}{h} \right] \leq M_i.$$

and so, by continuity of the seminorms  $p_i$ ,

$$p_i(x'(t)) = p_i \left[ \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{x(t+h) - x(t)}{h} \right] = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} p_i \left[ \frac{x(t+h) - x(t)}{h} \right] \leq M_i.$$

This means that the set  $V$  is a bounded subset of  $\mathcal{C}^1([0, T], E)$ . From  $V \subset \bigcap_{i \in I} V_{3,i}$  it follows that the set  $V' = \{x'(t), x \in V\}$  is equicontinuous and from  $V_0 = \{x(0) \mid x \in V\} = x_0$  that the set  $V_0$  is compact. Using these facts and the con-

dition (\*) we conclude, by theorem 1.4.2\*[2], that the mapping  $T$  is  $\psi_{\mathcal{C}^1}$ -densifying on the set  $V$ . Further the set  $\overline{g}([0, T], U_b, U_c)$  is compact and the measure  $\psi$  is 2-regular, so the mapping  $S$  is  $\psi_{\mathcal{C}^1}$ -densifying on the  $V$ . From this we conclude that the mapping  $T+S$  is also  $\psi_{\mathcal{C}^1}$ -densifying on the set  $V \subset \mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{C}^1}$ . Now it is easy to show that all conditions of the theorem A are satisfied and that therefore exists at least one solution of the equation (2), i.e. (1).

In [1]  $f_1$  is a contraction type mapping so our theorem generalizes the result of Mosyagin and Povolockij.

**Theorem 2.** *Suppose that the conditions 1., 2. and 3. are satisfied and that the mapping  $f_1$  satisfies the following conditions:*

i) *For every  $i \in I$ , there exist  $q(i) \geq 0$  and the mapping  $\varphi: I \rightarrow I$  so that*

$$p_i(f_1(t, x) - f_1(t, y)) \leq q(i) p_{\varphi(i)}(x - y)$$

*for every  $t \in [0, T]$  and  $x, y \in U_b$*

ii) *For every  $i \in I$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  there exist*

*$a_n(i) \geq 0$  and  $g: I \rightarrow I$  so that:*

$$p_{\varphi^n(i)}(x) \leq a_n(i) p_{g(i)}(x) \text{ for every } x \in E \text{ and}$$

$$R = \sup_{i \in I} \overline{\lim}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sqrt[n]{\prod_{k=0}^{n-1} q[\varphi^k(i)] a_n(i)} \neq \infty \text{ and } \frac{1}{Rh_0} > 1.$$

*Then there exists at least one solution of the equation (1) defined on  $[0, T_1]$ ;  $T_1 = \min\left(T, \frac{1}{Rh_0} - 1\right)$ .*

**Proof:** Let  $T, S, V$  and  $\mathcal{C}^1$  are as in the theorem 1. We shall prove that all conditions of the theorem B are satisfied. Indeed we have

$$\begin{aligned} p_i(\tilde{T}x_1 - \tilde{T}x_2) &= h_0 \left\{ \sup_{t \in [0, T_1]} p_i \left[ \int_0^t (f_1(s, x_1(s)) - f_1(s, x_2(s))) ds \right] + \right. \\ &+ \sup_{t \in [0, T_1]} p_i [f_1(t, x_1(t)) - f_1(t, x_2(t))] \left. \right\} \leq h_0 (T+1) q(i) \sup_{t \in [T, 0]} p_{\varphi(i)}(x_1(t) - \\ &- x_2(t)) = h_0 (T+1) q(i) \tilde{p}_{\varphi(i)}(\tilde{x}_1 - \tilde{x}_2) = Q(i) \tilde{p}_{\varphi(i)}(\tilde{x}_1 - \tilde{x}_2) \text{ and} \\ \tilde{p}_{\varphi^n(i)}(\tilde{x}) &= \sup_{t \in [0, T_1]} p_{\varphi^n(i)}(x(t)) + \sup_{t \in [0, T_1]} p_{\varphi^n(i)}(x'(t)) \leq \\ &\leq a_n(i) \sup_{t \in [0, T_1]} p_{g(i)}(x(t)) + a_n(i) \sup_{t \in [0, T_1]} p_{g(i)}(x'(t)) = a_n(i) \tilde{p}_{g(i)}(\tilde{x}) \end{aligned}$$

---

\* In fact this theorem was proved for Banach spaces but it can be easily proved also in the case of locally convex spaces.

Further the series

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left( \prod_{k=0}^{n-2} Q[\varphi^k(i)] \right) a_n(i) = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [h_0(T+1)]^{n-1} \prod_{k=0}^{n-2} q[\varphi^k(i)] \times a_{n-1}(i)$$

is convergent for  $T < \frac{1}{Rh_0} - 1$ .

The conditions 1. and 4. can be easily verified as in [2]. For  $\varphi(i) = i$  for every  $i \in I$  from our theorem follows the result in [1].

In the special case when  $f_1(t, x) = A(t)x$  we can replace the conditions i) and ii) by the following:

For every  $i \in I$  and  $k \in N$  there exist  $q_i(k) \geq 0$  and  $\varphi: I \rightarrow I$ , independent from  $k$  so that

$$p_i(A(t_1) A(t_2) \dots A(t_k)x - A(t_1) A(t_2) \dots A(t_k)y) \leq q_i(k) p_{\varphi(i)}(x - y)$$

for every  $x, y \in U_b$  and

$$(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_k) \in [0, T] \times [0, T] \times \dots \times [0, T] \text{ so that}$$

$k$  - times

$$0 \leq t_k \leq t_{k-1} \leq \dots \leq t_1 \leq T.$$

$$R = \sup_{i \in I} \lim_{n \in N} \sqrt[n]{\frac{q_i(n)}{n!}} \neq \infty \left\{ h = \min \left( T_1, \frac{1}{Rh_0} \right) \right\}$$

For the proof see [4].

#### REFERENCES

- [1] В. В. Мосягин, А. И. Поволоцкий, *Задача Коши для нелинейного дифференциального уравнения в локально выпуклом пространстве*, уч. зап. Петрозав. Ун., Т. XVIII, вып. 2. 1970, 122—127.
- [2] Б. Н. Садовский, *Предельно компактные и уплотняющие операторы*, УМН, XXVII, вып. 1 (163), 1972, 81—146.
- [3] B. Stanković, *Dve teoreme o diferencijalnim jednačinama u lokalno konveksnim prostorima*, CLAS SANU, odeljenje prirodnomatematickih nauka, knj. 35. 1972, str. 33—42.
- [4] O. Hadžić, *Egzistencija rešenja jedne klase diferencijalnih jednačina u lokalno konveksnim prostorima*, Matematički vesnik 8 (23), sv. 2. 1971, 163—170.
- [5] O. Hadžić, *Existence Theorems for the System*  $\begin{cases} x = H(x, y) \\ y = K(x, y) \end{cases}$  in Locally Convex Spaces, Publ. Inst. Math., tome 16 (30), 1973, pp. 65—73.