

HOW MANY ALGEBRAIC (FINITE) EXTENSIONS OVER THE RATIONALS

Keng-Teh Tan

(Received April 29, 1974)

1. Introduction

In this note, we will prove the following two results:

Proposition A: The cardinality of the set of all non-isomorphic finite extensions over \mathbf{Q} is \aleph_0 .

Proposition B: The cardinality of the set of all non-isomorphic algebraic extensions over \mathbf{Q} is \aleph_1 .

We define the irreducible bound for an arbitrary field k . Some basic properties of fields in terms of this bound are also observed.

All our terminologies and notations are standard, see [1] for instance. Unless otherwise stated, all fields considered here will be of zero characteristic. The letter k always denotes a field. \mathbf{Q} , \mathbf{A} , \mathbf{R} , \mathbf{C} , as usual, denote respectively the fields of rational, algebraic, real and complex numbers. Also, \mathbf{N} denotes the set of all positive integers.

2. Proof of The Propositions

We first give the following

Definition: Let k be a field of arbitrary characteristic. Let

$$Ib(k) = \sup \{ \deg f(x) \mid f(x) \in k[x], f(x) \text{ irreducible} \} \leq \infty.$$

We call $Ib(k)$ the irreducible bound of k .

The following facts are well known:

- (a) $Ib(\mathbf{C}) = 1$; (b) $Ib(\mathbf{R}) = 2$;
(c) $Ib(\mathbf{Q}) = \infty$; (d) $Ib(\mathbf{A}) = 1$.

In fact, (a) follows from the fundamental theorem of algebra, (c) follows from Eisenstein's theorem, (b) follows from the fact that every irreducible poly-

nomial in $\mathbf{R}[x]$ is either linear or quadratic. (d) follows from the following more general fact:

(e) k is algebraically closed iff $Ib(k) = 1$.

We now prove the following result:

(f) Let $n \in \mathbf{N}$. Then $Ib(k) \leq n$ iff every algebraic extension of k is finite of degree at most n .

Proof: (\Rightarrow) Let K be an algebraic extension of k . Let $\alpha \in K$ be algebraic of degree t_1 over k with t_1 maximal. Such a t_1 exists by assumption. Then $[k(\alpha):k] = t_1 \leq n$. We claim that $K = k(\alpha)$. Assume the contrary. Let $\beta \in K \setminus k(\alpha)$ and the algebraic degree of β over $k(\alpha)$ be t_2 . Then

$$[k(\alpha)(\beta):k] = t_1 t_2 > t_1.$$

However, since k is of zero characteristic, it is perfect, hence $k(\alpha)(\beta)$, being a finite extension over k , is a finite separable extension over k . Thus there exists $\gamma \in k(\alpha)(\beta)$ such that $k(\alpha)(\beta) = k(\gamma)$, by the primitive element theorem ([1], page 358). It follows that γ is algebraic of degree $t_1 t_2$ over k , contradicting the maximality of t_1 .

(\Leftarrow) Let $f(x) \in k[x]$ be irreducible and $\deg f(x) = m$. Then there exists an extension K over k with $[K:k] \leq m!$ and $f(x)$ splits completely over K . Thus $m \leq m! \leq n$. Hence $Ib(k) \leq n$.

An interesting consequence of (f) is the following known fact:

(g) \mathbf{C} is the only proper algebraic extension of \mathbf{R} .

Indeed, every proper algebraic extension of \mathbf{R} must be of degree 2. The uniqueness now follows from the fact that any extension of \mathbf{R} of degree 2 is the splitting field for $f(x) = x^2 + 1$ over \mathbf{R} .

Proof of proposition A. Let $n \in \mathbf{N}$ be fixed and K an extension of degree n over \mathbf{Q} . Then in view of Theorem 33 [2], page 107, we may assume, without loss of generality, that $K \subseteq \mathbf{A}$. Thus $K = \mathbf{Q}(\alpha)$ for some $\alpha \in \mathbf{A}$ by the primitive element theorem. Since $|\mathbf{A}| = \aleph_0$, it follows that there are at most \aleph_0 non-isomorphic extensions of degree n over \mathbf{Q} . Consequently, the cardinality of the set of all non-isomorphic finite extensions over \mathbf{Q} is at most \aleph_0 . Trivially there are at least \aleph_0 non-isomorphic finite extensions over \mathbf{Q} by considerations of degrees. This proves proposition A.

Proof of proposition B. Let $P = \{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n, \dots\}$ be the set of all primes ordered naturally. Let $\alpha_i \in \mathbf{R}$ be such that $\alpha_i^{p_i} = 2$. Let T be the collection of all non-empty subsets of P . Then $|T| = \aleph_1$. For $X \in T$, let $\bar{X} = \{\alpha_i \mid p_i \in X\}$. Then $\mathbf{Q}(\bar{X})$ is algebraic over \mathbf{Q} , since each element in \bar{X} is algebraic over \mathbf{Q} .

We now show that for $X \neq Y$, $X, Y \in T$, $\mathbf{Q}(\bar{X})$ is not isomorphic to $\mathbf{Q}(\bar{Y})$.

Let $q \in X \setminus Y$. Then $\mathbf{Q}(\bar{X})$ contains an element α with $\alpha^q = 2$. But for each $y \in \mathbf{Q}(\bar{Y})$, $y^q \neq 2$. Indeed, assume that some $y \in \mathbf{Q}(\bar{Y})$ be such that $y^q = 2$. Then $\mathbf{Q} \subseteq \mathbf{Q}(y) \subseteq \mathbf{Q}(\bar{Y})$ and $[\mathbf{Q}(y):\mathbf{Q}] = q$ as follows from Eisenstein's theorem ([1], page 255).

Let $|Y| = \omega \leq \aleph_0$ and $Y = \{P_{i_\beta} \mid 1 \leq \beta \leq \omega\}$.

Then

$$\mathbf{Q}(\bar{Y}) = \sum_{n=1}^{\omega} \mathbf{Q}(\alpha_{i_1}, \dots, \alpha_{i_n}).$$

Thus,

$$y \in \mathbf{Q}(\alpha_{i_1}, \dots, \alpha_{i_m}) = F,$$

say, for some $m \in \mathbf{N}$. Hence $q \mid [F:\mathbf{Q}]$. Clearly, $[F:\mathbf{Q}] \mid p_{i_1} \dots p_{i_m}$. So $q \mid p_{i_1} \dots p_{i_m}$, a contradiction. This proves the claim. Consequently, there are at least \aleph_1 non-isomorphic algebraic extensions over \mathbf{Q} . However, it is well known that every algebraic extension of \mathbf{Q} is isomorphic to a subfield of \mathbf{A} , the algebraic closure of \mathbf{Q} ([2], Theorem 33, page 107) and that $|\mathbf{A}| = \aleph_0$, the proposition now follows.

Remark: The author believes that for each positive integer $n > 1$, there are \aleph_0 non-isomorphic extensions of degree n over \mathbf{Q} . The author knows of no proof yet.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. B. Fraleigh, *A first Course in Abstract Algebra*, Addison-Wesley Publishing Co., 1971.
 [2] O. Zariski, P. Samuel, *Commutative algebra*, vol. I, Von Norstrand, 1965.

Department of Mathematics,
 University of Malaya,
 Kuala Lumpur,
 Malaysia