

THEOREM ON DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS  
 FOR MIKUSIŃSKI OPERATORS

*Bogoljub Stanković*

(Communicated September 26, 1973)

The field  $\mathcal{M}$  of Mikusiński operators is the extension of the integral domain  $\mathcal{C}$  [6]. The relative poor topological structure of Mikusiński operators field  $\mathcal{M}$  [1], [13] is the reason that it is difficult to investigate the differential equations in  $\mathcal{M}$  especially nonlinear [4], [7], [8], [10], [11]. In this paper we prove a theorem for differential equations in  $\mathcal{M}$  and apply it to a nonlinear differential equation and to a system of linear differential equations.

As the field  $\mathcal{M}$  contains the integral operator  $I$ , the differential operator  $s$  and the translation operator, the differential equations in  $\mathcal{M}$  cover some classes of partial differential equations, integral equations, difference equations and their combinations for numerical functions and are interesting for applications.

1. Let  $\mathcal{J} \equiv [0, \infty)$  and  $f \equiv \{f(t)\}$  be the representation of  $f(t) \in \mathcal{C}\mathcal{J}$  in  $\mathcal{C}$ . Let  $\mathcal{M}(\lambda)$  be the vector space of mappings which maps the interval  $\Omega \equiv [0, \Lambda]$  into  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $\mathcal{C}(\lambda)$  be the subspace of  $\mathcal{M}(\lambda)$  of those elements which can be written in the form  $f(\lambda) = \{f(\lambda, t)\}$ , where  $f(\lambda, t) \in \mathcal{C}_{\Omega \times \mathcal{J}}$ . In  $\mathcal{C}(\lambda)$  is defined an ordering relation  $\leq : f(\lambda) \leq_T g(\lambda) \Leftrightarrow f(\lambda, t) \leq g(\lambda, t), (\lambda, t) \in \Omega \times [0, T]$ ; similar  $f(\lambda) = \mathcal{O}_T[g(\lambda)]$ . The absolute value is also introduced in  $\mathcal{C}(\lambda) : |f(\lambda)| = \{|f(\lambda, t)|\}$ . An element  $f(\lambda) \in \mathcal{C}(\lambda)$  is equal to zero if and only if  $f(\lambda, t) = 0, (\lambda, t) \in \Omega \times \mathcal{J}$ . Let  $\nu_T$  be a saturated family of semi-norms in  $\mathcal{C}(\lambda)$ :

$$\nu_T[f(\lambda)] = \text{Max}_{(\lambda, t) \in \Omega \times [0, T]} |f(\lambda, t)| \equiv f_T \quad 0 < T < \infty.$$

In  $\prod_{i=1}^m \mathcal{C}(\lambda)$  the family of semi-norms is:

$$N_T[\mathbf{x}(\lambda)] \equiv \text{Max}_{1 \leq i \leq m} \nu_T[x_i(\lambda)] \equiv \mathbf{x}_T.$$

where  $\mathbf{x}(\lambda) = \{x_1(\lambda), x_2(\lambda), \dots, x_m(\lambda)\}$ . In the same manner we have:  $|\mathbf{x}(\lambda)| = \{|x_1(\lambda)|, \dots, |x_m(\lambda)|\}$  and  $\mathbf{x}(\lambda) \leq \mathbf{y}(\lambda) \Leftrightarrow x_i(\lambda) \leq y_i(\lambda), i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ .

Let  $\mathbf{x}_0 \in \prod_{i=1}^m \mathcal{M}$  and the family  $(q_\alpha), \alpha \in \mathcal{J}$ , be from  $\mathcal{M}$ . By definition  $\mathcal{D}(\lambda)$  is a subset of  $\prod_{i=1}^m \mathcal{M}(\lambda)$  for which: 1.  $\mathbf{x}_0 \in \mathcal{D}(\lambda)$ ; 2. For every  $\alpha \in \mathcal{J}$  and

$\mathbf{a}(\lambda), \mathbf{b}(\lambda) \in \mathcal{D}(\lambda), q_\alpha[\mathbf{a}(\lambda) - \mathbf{b}(\lambda)] \in \prod_{\lambda}^m \mathcal{C}(\lambda)$ . In  $\mathcal{D}(\lambda)$  we suppose a family of pseudodistances  $d_\alpha$  to be defined [2], [5]:  $d_\alpha[\mathbf{a}(\lambda), \mathbf{b}(\lambda)] = |q_\alpha[\mathbf{a}(\lambda) - \mathbf{b}(\lambda)]|$ . In our case  $d_\alpha, \alpha \in \mathcal{J}$ , maps  $\mathcal{D}(\lambda) \times \mathcal{D}(\lambda)$  into  $\prod_{\lambda}^m \mathcal{C}(\lambda)$  and induces in  $\mathcal{D}(\lambda)$  a convergence class:  $\mathbf{a}_n(\lambda) \in \mathcal{D}(\lambda)$  converges to  $\mathbf{a}(\lambda) \in \mathcal{D}(\lambda)$  if and only if for every  $\alpha \in \mathcal{J}, d_\alpha[\mathbf{a}_n(\lambda), \mathbf{a}(\lambda)] \Rightarrow 0$  in  $\prod_{\lambda}^m \mathcal{C}(\lambda)$ . The defined limit is unique. The definition of the derivative and the integral in  $\mathcal{D}(\lambda)$  is this induced from  $\prod_{\lambda}^m \mathcal{M}(\lambda)$ . The relation between the convergence class  $ccl[\mathcal{D}(\lambda), \mathcal{M}(\lambda)]$  induced from  $\mathcal{M}(\lambda)$  into  $\mathcal{D}(\lambda)$  and that defined by the pseudodistances  $ccl[\mathcal{D}(\lambda), d_\alpha]$  is:  $ccl[\mathcal{D}(\lambda), d_\alpha] \subseteq ccl[\mathcal{D}(\lambda), \mathcal{M}(\lambda)]$ , so that every sequence which converges in  $[\mathcal{D}(\lambda), d_\alpha]$ , converges in  $\prod_{\lambda}^m \mathcal{M}(\lambda)$  too.

**Theorem.** *Let  $\mathcal{K}(\lambda)$  be a sequentially complete subspace of  $\mathcal{D}(\lambda)$  and  $\varphi$  a mapping of  $\mathcal{J}$  into  $\mathcal{J}$ . We suppose that for every  $\lambda \in \Omega, \alpha \in \mathcal{J}$  and  $\mathbf{x}(\lambda), \mathbf{y}(\lambda) \in \mathcal{K}(\lambda)$ :*

1.  $f$  maps  $\Omega \times \mathcal{K}(\lambda)$  into  $\mathcal{D}(\lambda)$ ;
2. The mapping  $R: R\mathbf{x}(\lambda) = \mathbf{x}_0 + \int_0^\lambda f[u, \mathbf{x}(u)] du$  maps  $\mathcal{K}(\lambda)$  into  $\mathcal{K}(\lambda)$ .
3. There exists  $r(\alpha) \in \mathcal{C}^+$  so that:

$$r(\alpha) d_{\varphi^{k-1}(\alpha)} \{f[\lambda, \mathbf{x}(\lambda)], 0\} \leq \nu(\alpha) \delta^{k-1} \mathbf{a}_{x, \alpha}(\lambda)$$

where  $\delta \in \mathcal{R}^+, \nu(\alpha) \in \mathcal{R}^+, \mathbf{a}_{x, \alpha}(\lambda) \in \prod_{\lambda}^m \mathcal{C}^+(\lambda)$ .

4. For every  $\mathbf{x}(\lambda), \mathbf{y}(\lambda) \in \mathcal{K}(\lambda)$  and  $\alpha \in \mathcal{J}$  exists a sequence of matrices  $\{\mathbf{B}_i(\lambda)\}$  over  $\mathcal{C}^+(\lambda)$  of a type  $m \times m$  with the property:

$$\prod_{n=1}^{k-1} \mathbf{B}_n(u_n) = \{b_{i,j}^k(u_n)\}, \quad 0 \leq u_n \leq \Lambda, \quad b_{i,j}^k(u_n) \leq_T C r(\alpha) \Gamma^\beta (k+1)$$

where  $\beta < 1, C \in \mathcal{R}^+$ , and so that:

$$d_{\varphi^i(\alpha)} \{f[\lambda, \mathbf{x}(\lambda)], f[\lambda, \mathbf{y}(\lambda)]\} \leq \frac{\mu(\alpha)}{\delta} \mathbf{B}_{i+1}(\lambda) d_{\varphi^{i+1}(\alpha)} [\mathbf{x}(\lambda), \mathbf{y}(\lambda)], \mu(\alpha) \in \mathcal{R}^+.$$

The majorant of  $b_{i,j}^k(\lambda)$  does not depend on  $\mathbf{x}(\lambda)$  and  $\mathbf{y}(\lambda)$  when they belong to the sequence  $\{\mathbf{x}_n(\lambda)\}, \mathbf{x}_k(\lambda) = R\mathbf{x}_{k-1}(\lambda)$ .

Then there exists one and only one solution of the differential equation:

$$(1) \quad \mathbf{x}'(\lambda) = f[\lambda, \mathbf{x}(\lambda)], \quad \mathbf{x}(0) = \mathbf{x}_0 \in \mathcal{K}(\lambda)$$

in the subspace  $\mathcal{K}(\lambda)$  and this solution can be constructed by the sequence  $\{\mathbf{x}_n(\lambda)\}$ .

PROOF. Over the space  $\mathcal{K}(\lambda)$  the differential equation (1) and the integral equation:

$$(2) \quad \mathbf{x}(\lambda) = \mathbf{x}_0 + \int_0^\lambda f[u, \mathbf{x}(u)] du$$

are equivalent. We shall prove this.

Let us suppose that we have a solution  $\mathbf{x}(\lambda)$  in  $\mathcal{K}(\lambda)$  of the equation (2). According to the definition of the integral in  $\mathcal{M}(\lambda)$  there exists  $q \in \mathcal{M}$  such that  $qf(\lambda, \mathbf{x}(\lambda)) = \mathbf{F}(\lambda) \in \prod^m \mathcal{C}(\lambda)$  and

$$\int_0^\lambda f[u, \mathbf{x}(u)] du = \frac{1}{q} \left\{ \int_0^\lambda \mathbf{F}(u, t) du \right\}.$$

The equation (2) can be written now in the form:

$$q \mathbf{x}(\lambda) = q \mathbf{x}_0 + \left\{ \int_0^\lambda \mathbf{F}(u, t) du \right\}.$$

The function given by  $\int_0^\lambda \mathbf{F}(u, t) du$  has a continuous partial derivative in  $\lambda$  over  $\mathcal{C}_{\Omega \times \mathcal{T}}$  and this derivative equals  $\mathbf{F}(\lambda, t)$ . Then  $\mathbf{x}(\lambda) = \mathbf{x}_0$  has a derivative in  $\mathcal{M}(\lambda)$  too and for this derivative is:

$$[\mathbf{x}(\lambda) - \mathbf{x}_0]' = \mathbf{x}'(\lambda) = \frac{1}{q} \{ \mathbf{F}(\lambda, t) \} = f[\lambda, \mathbf{x}(\lambda)]$$

hence  $\mathbf{x}(\lambda)$  satisfies also the equation (1).

Let us suppose the opposite, i.e. that the equation (1) has a solution  $\mathbf{x}(\lambda) \in \mathcal{K}(\lambda)$ . By the definition of the derivative in  $\mathcal{M}(\lambda)$ , there exists an element  $p \in \mathcal{M}$  such that  $p \mathbf{x}(\lambda) = \mathbf{F}(\lambda) \in \prod^m \mathcal{C}(\lambda)$  and  $\mathbf{F}(\lambda, t)$  has a continuous partial derivative in  $\lambda$ . To the equations (1) corresponds the equation

$$\{ \mathbf{F}'_\lambda(\lambda, t) \} = pf[\lambda, \mathbf{x}(\lambda)] \in \mathcal{C}(\lambda).$$

After a formal integration in  $\mathcal{C}(\lambda)$ :

$$\{ \mathbf{F}(\lambda, t) \} - \{ \mathbf{F}(0, t) \} = \int_0^\lambda pf[u, \mathbf{x}(u)] du$$

hence

$$\mathbf{x}(\lambda) = \mathbf{x}_0 + \int_0^\lambda f[u, \mathbf{x}(u)] du$$

Let us construct now the sequence  $\mathbf{x}_k(\lambda) = R \mathbf{x}_{k-1}(\lambda)$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ . This sequence belongs to  $\mathcal{K}(\lambda)$  because  $\mathbf{x}_0 \in \mathcal{K}(\lambda)$  too. We shall show that it is a Cauchy sequence:

$$\begin{aligned} d_\alpha[\mathbf{x}_k(\lambda), \mathbf{x}_{k-1}(\lambda)] &= d_\alpha[R \mathbf{x}_{k-1}(\lambda), R \mathbf{x}_{k-2}(\lambda)] \leq \\ &\leq \int_0^\lambda d_\alpha[f(u, \mathbf{x}_{k-1}(u)), f(u, \mathbf{x}_{k-2}(u))] du \\ &\leq \frac{\mu(\alpha)}{\delta} \int_0^\lambda \mathbf{B}_1(u) d_{\varphi(\alpha)}[\mathbf{x}_{k-1}(u), \mathbf{x}_{k-2}(u)] du \\ &\dots\dots\dots \\ &\leq \frac{\mu(\alpha)^{k-1}}{\beta^{k-1}} \int_0^\lambda du_1 \dots \int_0^{u_{k-2}} \prod_{n=1}^{k-1} \mathbf{B}_n(u_n) d_{\varphi^{k-1}(\alpha)}[\mathbf{x}_1(u_{k-1}), \mathbf{x}_0] du_{k-1} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} r(\alpha) d_{\varphi^{k-1}(\alpha)}[\mathbf{x}_1(u_{k-1}), \mathbf{x}_0] &= r(\alpha) d_{\varphi^{k-1}(\alpha)}[R \mathbf{x}_0, \mathbf{x}_0] \\ &\leq \int_0^{u_{k-1}} r(\alpha) d_{\varphi^{k-1}(\alpha)}\{f[u_k, \mathbf{x}_0], 0\} du_k \\ &\leq \nu(\alpha) \delta^{k-1} (\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{x}_0}, \alpha(\lambda))_T u_{k-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Now for every  $\alpha \in \mathcal{G}$  and  $T < \infty$  there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$ , such that

$$d_\alpha[\mathbf{x}_k(\lambda), \mathbf{x}_{k-1}(\lambda)] = \mathcal{O}_T(\varepsilon_k), \quad \varepsilon_k, i = \frac{1}{(k!)^\varepsilon}, \quad i = 1, \dots, m.$$

After this inequality it is easy to show that the sequence  $\mathbf{x}_n(\lambda)$  is a Cauchy sequence. Let  $\mathbf{x}(\lambda)$  be its limit. We shall show that  $\mathbf{x}(\lambda)$  is the demanded solution of the equation (2), respectively equation (1).

The initial value  $\mathbf{x}(0) = \mathbf{x}_0$  is satisfied because it is satisfied by every member of the sequence  $\mathbf{x}_n(\lambda)$ . For every  $\alpha \in \mathcal{G}$

$$\begin{aligned} d_\alpha[\mathbf{x}(\lambda), R \mathbf{x}(\lambda)] &\leq d_\alpha[\mathbf{x}(\lambda), \mathbf{x}_k(\lambda)] + d_\alpha(\mathbf{x}_k(\lambda), R \mathbf{x}(\lambda)) \\ &\leq d_\alpha[\mathbf{x}(\lambda), \mathbf{x}_k(\lambda)] + d_\alpha[R \mathbf{x}_{k-1}(\lambda), R \mathbf{x}(\lambda)] \\ &\leq d_\alpha[\mathbf{x}(\lambda), \mathbf{x}_k(\lambda)] + \frac{\mu(\alpha)}{\delta} \int_0^\lambda \mathbf{B}_1(u) d_{\varphi(\alpha)}[\mathbf{x}_{k-1}(u), \mathbf{x}(u)] du. \end{aligned}$$

We know that the first and the second operation in  $\mathcal{M}$  are continuous so the second part of this inequality tends to zero when  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . It follows that  $\mathbf{x}(\lambda) = R \mathbf{x}(\lambda)$ .

It remain only to prove that the found solution is unique in  $\mathcal{K}(\lambda)$ . Let us suppose that we have at least two solutions in  $\mathcal{K}(\lambda)$ :  $x(\lambda)$  and  $y(\lambda)$ , then:

$$\begin{aligned} d_\alpha [x(\lambda), y(\lambda)] &= d_\alpha [R x(\lambda), R y(\lambda)] \\ &\leq \int_0^\lambda d_\alpha \{f(u, x(u)), f[u, y(u)]\} du \\ &\leq \frac{\mu(\alpha)}{\delta} \int_0^\lambda B_1(u) d_{\varphi(\alpha)} [x(u), y(u)] du \\ &\dots\dots\dots \\ &\leq \frac{\mu^k(\alpha)}{\delta^k} \int_0^\lambda du_1 \dots \int_0^{u_{k-1}} \prod_{n=1}^k B_n(u_n) d_{\varphi^k(\alpha)} [x(u_k), y(u_k)] du_k \\ &\leq \frac{\mu^k(\alpha)}{\delta^k} \int_0^\lambda du_1 \dots \int_0^{u_{k-1}} \prod_{n=1}^k B_n(u_n) \{d_{\varphi^k(\alpha)} [x(u_k), x_0] + \\ &\quad + d_{\varphi^k(\alpha)} [x_0, y(u_k)]\} du_k \\ &= O_T(z_k), \quad z_{k,i} = \frac{2}{(k!)^\varepsilon}, \quad i=1, \dots, m, \quad \varepsilon > 0, \quad T < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

whence  $x(\lambda) = y(\lambda)$ .

2. We shall now apply our theorem to two special cases stressing the nature of the conditions supposed in it. Both families of these equations have their own sense.

Proposition 1. *The nonlinear differential equation*

$$(3) \quad y'(\lambda) = s^\beta a(\lambda) y^{m+1}(\lambda), \quad y(0) = I^{\frac{\beta}{m}}(\lambda), \quad m \geq 1, \quad \beta > 0$$

has a unique solution in the set  $y_0 I^{\frac{\beta}{m}} [I + C(\lambda)]$  if  $y_0^m a(\lambda) \in \mathcal{C}(\lambda)$ ;  $I$  is the unique element in  $\mathcal{M}$ .

Proof. — We shall introduce the change of variables:  $y(\lambda) = m^{\frac{1}{m}} y_0 x(\lambda)$  and the equation (3) will obtain the form:

$$(4) \quad x'(\lambda) = s^\beta w(\lambda) x^{m+1}(\lambda), \quad x(0) = m^{-\frac{1}{m}} I^{\frac{\beta}{m}} \equiv x_0$$

where  $w(\lambda) = ma(\lambda) y_0^m \in \mathcal{C}(\lambda)$ .

Before applying our theorem we shall prove three lemmas:

Lemma 1. *Let  $S_p(x)$  be the numerical sum:*

$$S_p(x) = m^{-\frac{1}{m}} \sum_{k=0}^p \frac{\Gamma\left(k + \frac{1}{m}\right)}{\Gamma(k+1) \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{m}\right)} x^k, \quad m \geq 1, \quad x \geq 0, \quad p \in \mathcal{N},$$

and  $\hat{S}_p(x) = S_p(x) - m^{-\frac{1}{m}}$ . The following inequality is valued:

$$(5) \quad l^{\frac{\beta}{m}+1} w_T \int_0^\lambda S_p^{m+1}(w_T ul) du \leq l^{\frac{\beta}{m}} \hat{S}_{(m+1)p+1}(w_T \lambda l),$$

where  $w_T$  is a nonnegative number and  $l$  an integral operator in  $\mathcal{M}$ .

Proof. Using the known relation:

$$(6) \quad \sum_{i=0}^k \frac{\Gamma(i+\alpha)}{\Gamma(i+1)\Gamma(\alpha)} \frac{\Gamma(k-i+\beta)}{\Gamma(k-i+1)\Gamma(\beta)} = \frac{\Gamma(k+\alpha+\beta)}{\Gamma(k+1)\Gamma(\alpha+\beta)}$$

we have

$$S_p(x) S_p(x) \leq m^{-\frac{2}{m}} \sum_{k=0}^{2p} \frac{\Gamma\left(k + \frac{2}{m}\right)}{\Gamma(k+1)\Gamma\left(\frac{2}{m}\right)} x^k.$$

With the same procedure we have:

$$\begin{aligned} S_p^{m+1}(x) &\leq m^{-\frac{m+1}{m}} \sum_{k=0}^{(m+1)p} \frac{\Gamma\left(k + \frac{m+1}{m}\right)}{\Gamma(k+1)\Gamma\left(\frac{m+1}{m}\right)} x^k \\ &\leq m^{-\frac{1}{m}} \sum_{k=1}^{(m+1)p+1} \frac{\Gamma\left(k + \frac{1}{m}\right)}{\Gamma(k+1)\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{m}\right)} kx^{k-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} l^{\frac{\beta}{m}+1} w_T \int_0^\lambda S_p^{m+1}(w_T lu) du &\leq l^{\frac{\beta}{m}} m^{-\frac{1}{m}} \int_0^\lambda \sum_{k=1}^{(m+1)p+1} \frac{\Gamma\left(k + \frac{1}{m}\right)}{\Gamma(k+1)\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{m}\right)} ku^{k-1} (w_T l)^k du \\ &\leq l^{\frac{\beta}{m}} m^{-\frac{1}{m}} \sum_{k=1}^{(m+1)p+1} \frac{\Gamma\left(k + \frac{1}{m}\right)}{\Gamma(k+1)\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{m}\right)} (w_T \lambda l)^k. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2. Let  $w(\lambda) \in \mathcal{C}(\lambda)$  and  $\mathcal{L}$  be the set of those elements

$$m^{-\frac{1}{m}} l^{\frac{\beta}{m}} [I+f(\lambda)], \quad f(\lambda) \in \mathcal{C}(\lambda), \quad m \geq 1, \quad \beta \geq 0,$$

for every of which there exists a number  $p \geq 1$  so that:  $m^{-\frac{1}{m}} f(\lambda) \leq \hat{S}_p(w_T \lambda l)$ .

Then the mapping  $R$ :

$$Rx(\lambda) = m^{-\frac{1}{m}} l^{\frac{\beta}{m}} + \int_0^\lambda s^\beta w(u) x^{m+1}(u) du$$

maps  $\mathcal{L}$  into  $\mathcal{L}$ .

Proof. — Let  $x(\lambda)$  be from  $\mathcal{L}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} Rx(\lambda) - m^{-\frac{1}{m}} l^{\frac{\beta}{m}} &= \int_0^{\lambda} s^{\beta} w(u) x^{m+1}(u) du \\ &\leq \int_0^{\lambda} w_T l l^{\frac{\beta}{m}} m^{-\frac{1}{m}} [I+f(u)]^{m+1} du \\ &\leq l^{\frac{\beta}{m}} \int_0^{\lambda} w_T l S_p^{m+1}(w_T u l) du \\ &\leq l^{\frac{\beta}{m}} \hat{S}_{(n+1)p+1}(w_T \lambda l). \end{aligned}$$

We used here proposition of the lemma 1.

Lemma 3. *There exists  $F(\lambda) \in \mathcal{C}(\lambda)$  such that  $\hat{S}_p(w_T \lambda l) \leq m^{-\frac{1}{m}} F(\lambda)$  for all  $p \in \mathcal{C}\mathcal{N}$ .*

Proof. —

$$\hat{S}_p(w_T \lambda l) \leq m^{-\frac{1}{m}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma\left(k + \frac{1}{m}\right)}{\Gamma(k+1)\Gamma\left(\frac{L}{m}\right)} (w_T \lambda l)^k.$$

This series converges in  $\mathcal{C}(\lambda)$  and represents an element of  $\mathcal{C}(\lambda)$ .

Now we can apply our theorem to the equation (4).

The sequentially complete space  $\mathcal{K}(\lambda)$  is the space of elements which has the form:

$$m^{-\frac{1}{m}} l^{\frac{\beta}{m}} [I+g(\lambda)], \quad g(\lambda) \in \mathcal{C}(\lambda).$$

The family  $(q_{\alpha})$  reduces to one element  $s^{\frac{\beta}{m}}$  and  $\delta=1$ . The space  $\mathcal{D}(\lambda) \equiv u(\lambda) \mathcal{K}(\lambda)$ ,  $u(\lambda) \in (\mathcal{C}(\lambda) \cup I)$ . We shall show that the conditions of our theorem are satisfied:

1. The function  $f[\lambda, x(\lambda)] = s^{\beta} w(\lambda) x^{m+1}(\lambda)$  gives for  $x(\lambda) \in \mathcal{K}(\lambda)$ :

$$f[\lambda, x(\lambda)] = w(\lambda) l^{\frac{\beta}{m}} m^{-\frac{m+1}{m}} [I+g(\lambda)]^{m+1} \in \mathcal{D}(\lambda).$$

2. The mapping  $R$  maps  $\mathcal{K}(\lambda)$  into  $\mathcal{K}(\lambda)$ : For  $x(\lambda) \in \mathcal{K}(\lambda)$

$$Rx(\lambda) = m^{-\frac{1}{m}} l^{\frac{\beta}{m}} \left\{ I + \int_0^{\lambda} w(u) m^{-1} [I+g(u)]^{m+1} du \right\} \in \mathcal{K}(\lambda).$$

3.  $|s^{\frac{\beta}{m}} l f[\lambda, x(\lambda)]| = |m^{-\frac{m+1}{m}} l w(\lambda) [I+g(\lambda)]^{m+1}|$  whence

$$v(\alpha) = m^{-\frac{m+1}{m}}, \quad r(\alpha) = l, \quad a_{x, \alpha}(\lambda) = |l w(\lambda) [I+g(\lambda)]^{m+1}| \in \mathcal{C}^+(\lambda).$$

4. For  $x(\lambda) = m^{-\frac{1}{m}} l^{\frac{\beta}{m}} [I + g(\lambda)]$  and  $y(\lambda) = m^{-\frac{1}{m}} l^{\frac{\beta}{m}} [I + h(\lambda)]$  the sequence of matrices  $\{B_i(\lambda)\}$  is a stationary sequence of elements of  $\mathcal{C}(\lambda)$ :

$$B(\lambda) = m^{-1} \left| w(\lambda) \sum_{k=0}^m [I + g(\lambda)]^k [I + h(\lambda)]^{m-k} \right|$$

and

$$B^k(\lambda) \leq \frac{B_T^k T^{k-1}}{\Gamma(k-1)}.$$

Using lemmas 2 and 3 we have for  $x(\lambda)$  and  $y(\lambda)$ , when they belong to the constructed sequence  $x_n(\lambda)$ :

$$B(\lambda) \leq m^{-1} (m+1) |w(\lambda) [I + F(\lambda)]^m|.$$

So we have all the suppositions of our theorem satisfied and the proposition 1 is proved.

Before we apply our theorem to a system of linear differential equations we shall give properties of some sets and functions we need.

*Finite set of real numbers.* Let us consider the set of  $m^2$ ,  $m \leq 2$ , non-negative numbers  $\beta_{i,j}$ ,  $1 \leq i, j \leq m$ . Let  $(i_0, i_1, \dots, i_\alpha)$  be a subset of first  $m$  integers such that  $i_\alpha = i_0$ ;  $i_k \neq i_j$ ,  $k \neq j \neq \alpha$ . We denote by  $\sigma_\alpha(\beta_{i,j})$  the sum

$$\sigma_\alpha(\beta_{i,j}) = \sum_{k=0}^{\alpha-1} \beta_{i_k, i_{k+1}}.$$

The number of such sums is finite. Let  $\zeta$  be the maximum value of the quotients  $\sigma_\alpha(\beta_{i,j})/\alpha$  for all sums  $\sigma_\alpha(\beta_{i,j})$ . Every sum  $\sum_{p=0}^{k-1} \beta_{i_p, i_{p+1}}$ ,  $k > m$  may be expanded into a finite sum of  $\sigma_\alpha$ -sums and a remainder  $P$  whose number of elements in the sum is less than  $m$  [3], and we have:

$$\sum_{p=0}^{k-1} \beta_{i_p, i_{p+1}} = \sum_{i=1}^r \sigma_{\alpha_i} + P \leq \zeta \sum_{i=1}^r \alpha_i + P \leq \zeta k + \gamma,$$

where  $\gamma$  is a constant independent of  $k$ .

*The function  $F_{p,k}(t)$ .* We shall use a special function:

$$F_{p,k}(t) = \begin{cases} t^{-p-1} \Phi\left(-p, -\sigma; -\frac{1}{2^k} t^{-\sigma}\right), & t > 0 \\ 0, & t = 0 \end{cases} \begin{cases} k \text{ integer,} \\ p \in \mathcal{R} \\ 0 < \sigma < 1, \end{cases}$$

where  $\Phi$  is the known function of E. M. Wright [14]. The properties of this functions, we need, are [12]:

$$1. F_{p,k} \in \mathcal{C}; \quad 2. F_{\sigma,k} \geq 0; \quad 3. s^\beta F_{p,k} = F_{p+\beta,k}; \quad 4. F_{p,k+1} F_{q,k+1} = F_{p+q,k};$$

$$5. \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} F_{0,r+i} = \left\{ t^{-1} \Phi\left(0, -\sigma; -\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \frac{1}{2^{r+i}} t^{-\sigma}\right) \right\};$$

$$6. |F_{p,k}(t)| \leq 2^k \left(\frac{p+1}{\sigma}\right) N^p \Gamma\left(\frac{p+1}{\sigma}\right), \quad N \text{ depends only on } \sigma,$$



The space  $\mathcal{O}^*(\lambda)$ . Let us consider in  $\mathcal{M}(\lambda)$  such elements as for every  $k$  integer have a representative in the equivalence class of the form:

$$\frac{\omega_k(\lambda)}{F_{0,k}}, \quad \omega_k(\lambda) \in \mathcal{O}(\lambda).$$

The subset of such elements we note by  $\mathcal{O}^*(\lambda)$ . It is a vector space and is not empty; we know that  $\mathcal{O}(\lambda) \subset \mathcal{O}^*(\lambda)$  and  $s^\beta \mathcal{O}(\lambda) \subset \mathcal{O}^*(\lambda)$ ,  $\beta \in \mathcal{R}$ . For every  $k$  integer  $F_{0,k} \mathcal{O}^*(\lambda) \subset \mathcal{O}(\lambda)$  and we can define a family of pseudodistances  $d_k$  in  $\mathcal{O}^*(\lambda)$ :  $d_k[a(\lambda), b(\lambda)] = |F_{0,k}[a(\lambda) - b(\lambda)]|$ . Our space  $\mathcal{O}^*(\lambda)$  is sequentially complete [4]. We shall prove it.

Let  $\eta_n(\lambda)$  be a Cauchy sequence of  $\mathcal{O}^*(\lambda)$ , i.e. for every  $k$  integer:

$$\nu_T[F_{0,k}\eta_n(\lambda) - F_{0,k}\eta_m(\lambda)] \rightarrow 0, \quad n, m \rightarrow \infty.$$

It follows that  $F_{0,k}\eta_n(\lambda) = y_{n,k}(\lambda)$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $\mathcal{O}(\lambda)$  for every fixed  $k$ . As  $\mathcal{O}(\lambda)$  is complete, there exists  $\omega_k(\lambda) \in \mathcal{O}(\lambda)$  which is the limit of this sequence for every  $k$ .

Let us consider the element  $\eta(\lambda) = \frac{\omega_k(\lambda)}{F_{0,k}}$ . We shall show that this element belongs to the set  $\mathcal{O}^*(\lambda)$ , i.e.  $\omega_k(\lambda)F_{0,p} = \omega_p(\lambda)F_{0,k}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} & \nu_T[F_{0,p}\omega_k(\lambda) - F_{0,k}\omega_p(\lambda)] \leq \\ & \leq \nu_T[F_{0,p}\omega_k(\lambda) - F_{0,p}F_{0,k}\eta_n(\lambda)] + \nu_T[F_{0,p}F_{0,k}\eta_n(\lambda) - F_{0,k}\omega_p(\lambda)] \\ & \leq T\nu_T(F_{0,p})\nu_T(\omega_k(\lambda) - y_{n,k}(\lambda)) + T\nu_T(F_{0,k})\nu_T(y_{n,p}(\lambda) - \omega_p(\lambda)). \end{aligned}$$

The second part of this inequality tends to zero when  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , for every  $k, p$  integers and  $T < \infty$ , and the proof is finished.

In the product  $\prod^k \mathcal{O}^*(\lambda)$  we can bring over the structure of the vector space and the convergence class induced by the family  $d_k$  as it becomes customary, applying to coordinates.

Now we can prove the following proposition for a system of linear differential equations:

**Proposition 2.** *Let us suppose:*

1.  $a_{i,j}(\lambda) = s^{\beta_{i,j}} \omega_{i,j}(\lambda)$ ,  $\omega_{i,j}(\lambda) \in \mathcal{O}(\lambda)$ ,  $1 \leq i, j \leq m$ ;
2.  $b_i(\lambda) \in \mathcal{O}^*(\lambda)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, m$ ;
3.  $\zeta = \text{Max} \frac{\sigma_\alpha(\beta_{i,j})}{\alpha} < 2$ .

*Then the system:*

$$(7) \quad x'_i(\lambda) = \sum_{j=1}^m a_{i,j}(\lambda) x_j(\lambda) + b_i(\lambda), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m$$

*with the initial conditions  $x_i(0) = x_{i,o} \in \mathcal{O}^*(\lambda)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, m$ , has a unique solution in  $\prod^m \mathcal{O}^*(\lambda)$ .*

PROOF. The system (7) can be written in the vector form:

$$(8) \quad \mathbf{x}'(\lambda) = \mathbf{A}(\lambda) \mathbf{x}(\lambda) + \mathbf{b}(\lambda)$$

$\mathbf{A}(\lambda)$  is the matrix  $\{a_{i,j}(\lambda)\}$ ,  $\mathbf{x}(\lambda) = \{x_1(\lambda), \dots, x_m(\lambda)\}$ ,  $\mathbf{b}(\lambda) = \{b_1(\lambda), \dots, b_m(\lambda)\}$  and  $\mathbf{x}_0 = \{x_{1,0}, \dots, x_{m,0}\}$ .

We shall show that the conditions of our theorem are satisfied.

Let  $\mathcal{L}(\lambda)$  be in this case  $\prod_{\lambda}^m \mathcal{O}^*(\lambda)$  and the family  $(q_\alpha)$  be  $(F_{0,k})$ ,  $k$  integer; the mapping  $\varphi$ :  $\varphi(k) = k + 1$ .

1.  $f[\lambda, \mathbf{x}(\lambda)] = \mathbf{A}(\lambda) \mathbf{x}(\lambda) + \mathbf{b}(\lambda)$ . From the property 4 of the function  $F_{p,k}$  follows:

$$F_{0,k} \mathbf{A}(\lambda) \mathbf{x}(\lambda) + F_{0,k} \mathbf{b}(\lambda) = F_{0,k+1} \mathbf{A}(\lambda) F_{0,k+1} \mathbf{x}(\lambda) + F_{0,k} \mathbf{b}(\lambda) \in \prod_{\lambda}^m \mathcal{O}(\lambda).$$

2. The mapping  $R$  is:  $R \mathbf{x}(\lambda) = \mathbf{x}_0 + \int_0^\lambda \mathbf{A}(u) \mathbf{x}(u) du + \int_0^\lambda \mathbf{b}(u) du$ . We know

that  $\mathbf{x}_0$  and  $\mathbf{b}(\lambda)$  belong to  $\prod_{\lambda}^m \mathcal{O}^*(\lambda)$ . It remains to show that

$$\int_0^\lambda \mathbf{A}(u) \mathbf{x}(u) du \in \prod_{\lambda}^m \mathcal{O}^*(\lambda).$$

For this it is enough to see that:

$$\int_0^\lambda \sum_{j=1}^m s^{\beta_{i,j}} \omega_{i,j}(u) \frac{w_{j,k}(u)}{F_{0,k}} du \in \mathcal{O}^*(\lambda), \quad j = 1, \dots, m, \quad x_j = \frac{w_{j,k}}{F_{0,k}}.$$

Let  $\beta$  be  $\beta = \max_{1 \leq i, j \leq m} \beta_{i,j}$ . Using the properties of the function  $F_{0,k}$  we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\lambda \sum_{j=1}^m s^{\beta_{i,j}} \omega_{i,j}(u) \frac{w_{j,k}(u)}{F_{0,k}} du &= \int_0^\lambda \sum_{j=1}^m s^{-(\beta - \beta_{i,j})} \omega_{i,j}(u) \frac{w_{j,k}(u)}{F_{-\beta,k}} du \\ &= \frac{I}{F_{0,k-1}} \int_0^\lambda \sum_{j=1}^m s^{-(\beta - \beta_{i,j})} \omega_{i,j}(u) w_{j,k}(u) F_{\beta,k} du. \end{aligned}$$

The function given by the integral belongs to  $\mathcal{O}(\lambda)$ .

3. Let  $\beta = \max_{1 \leq i, j \leq m} \beta_{i,j}$ ,  $r(\alpha) = F_{0,\alpha}$ ,  $\alpha$  integer, then

$$\begin{aligned} |F_{0,\alpha} F_{0,\alpha+k-1} f[\lambda, \mathbf{x}(\lambda)]| &= |F_{0,\alpha+k-1} \mathbf{A}(\lambda) \mathbf{w}_\alpha(\lambda) + F_{0,\alpha+k-1} \mathbf{b}_\alpha(\lambda)| \\ &= |F_{\beta,\alpha+k-1}| |I^\beta \mathbf{A}(\lambda) \mathbf{w}_\alpha(\lambda) + I^\beta \mathbf{b}_\alpha(\lambda)| < \\ &\leq 2^{\frac{\beta+1}{\sigma}(k+\alpha-1)} N^\beta \Gamma\left(\frac{\beta+1}{\sigma}\right) |I^\beta \mathbf{A}(\lambda) \mathbf{w}_\alpha(\lambda) + I^\beta \mathbf{b}_\alpha(\lambda)| \end{aligned}$$

whence

$$\delta = 2^{\frac{\beta+1}{\sigma}}, \quad \nu(\alpha) = 2^{\frac{\beta+1}{\sigma}\alpha} N^\beta \Gamma\left(\frac{\beta+1}{\sigma}\right)$$

and

$$a_{x,\alpha}(\lambda) = |l^\beta A(\lambda) w_\alpha(\lambda) + l^\beta b_\alpha(\lambda)|; \quad w_\alpha(\lambda) = F_{0,\alpha} x(\lambda), \quad b_\alpha(\lambda) = F_{0,\alpha} b(\lambda).$$

$$4. \quad |F_{0,\alpha+i}[A(\lambda)x(\lambda) - A(\lambda)y(\lambda)]| \leq \{ |F_{0,\alpha+i+1} a_{i,j}(\lambda)| \} \times \\ \times |F_{0,\alpha+i+1}[x(\lambda) - y(\lambda)]|.$$

The sequence of matrices  $\{B_k(\lambda)\}$  can be now:  $B_k(\lambda) = \{ |F_{0,\alpha+k} s^{\beta_{i,j}} \omega_{i,j}(\lambda)| \}$  and  $\mu(\alpha) = 2 \frac{\beta+1}{\sigma}$ . We see that  $B_k(\lambda)$  does not depend on  $x(\lambda)$  or  $y(\lambda) \in \mathcal{K}(\lambda)$  and

$$b_{i,j}^k = \left| F_{0,\sigma} \prod_{p=1}^{k-1} F_{0,\alpha+p} \sum_{p_{k-2}=1}^m \cdots \sum_{p_1=1}^m a_{i,p_{k-2}} a_{p_{k-2},p_{k-1}} \cdots a_{p_1,j} \right|.$$

We shall fix the number  $\sigma$  in the function  $F_{p,k}$  so that  $\zeta/\sigma < 2$ . Using the properties 3, 5 and 6 for the function  $F_{p,k}$  and the inequality

$$\omega^k(\lambda) \leq \omega_T^k \frac{T^k}{\Gamma(k-1)}$$

we have for  $b_{i,j}^k$ :  $b_{i,j}^k = O_T[F_{0,\alpha} \Gamma^\beta(k)]$ ,  $\beta < 1$ .

3. As an illustration of our proposition 2. let us consider the diffusion partial differential equation:

$$(9) \quad \frac{\partial u(\lambda, t)}{\partial t} = A(\lambda) \frac{\partial^2 u(\lambda, t)}{\partial \lambda^2} + B(\lambda) \frac{\partial u(\lambda, t)}{\partial \lambda}.$$

We know that  $A(\lambda) > 0$  for  $0 \leq \lambda \leq \Lambda$ . To this equation corresponds in  $\mathcal{M}(\lambda)$ :

$$(10) \quad \frac{d^2 u(\lambda)}{d\lambda^2} + s \frac{B(\lambda)}{A(\lambda)} l \frac{du(\lambda)}{d\lambda} - s^2 \frac{I}{A(\lambda)} lu(\lambda) = - \frac{I}{A(\lambda)} u_0(\lambda)$$

where  $u_0(\lambda) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} u(\lambda, t)$ . The equation (10) is equivalent to the system:

$$(11) \quad \begin{aligned} u_1'(\lambda) &= s l u_2(\lambda) \\ u_2'(\lambda) &= s^2 \frac{I}{A(\lambda)} l u_1(\lambda) - s \frac{B(\lambda)}{A(\lambda)} l u_2(\lambda) - \frac{I}{A(\lambda)} u_0(\lambda) \end{aligned}$$

in which  $\beta_{1,1} = -\infty$ ,  $\beta_{1,2} = 1$ ,  $\beta_{2,1} = 2$ ,  $\beta_{2,2} = 1$ ; whence  $\zeta = \frac{3}{2} < 2$ . If we suppose that  $A(\lambda)$  and  $B(\lambda) \in \mathcal{C}_\Omega$ , the proposition 2 asserts the existence of the unique solution with the initial condition:  $u(0, t)$  and  $u'(0, t)$  from  $\mathcal{C}^*(\lambda)$ . The construction of the solution is given by the sequence  $\{x_n(\lambda)\}$ .

Let us remarque that the derivatives and limits are in the sense of operators and they have not to exist in the classical sense.

## REFERENCES

- [1] T. Boehme, *On Mikusiński operators*, *Studia Math.* XXXIII (1969), 127—140.
- [2] L. Collatz, *Funktionalanalysis und numerische Mathematik*, Springer — Verlag, Berlin (1964), 40—44.
- [3] D. Ž. Đoković, *Asymptotic behaviour of the maximal element of a matrix*, *Publications Inst. Math. Beograd* 8 (1968), 73—75.
- [4] O. Hadžić — B. Stanković, *Some theorems on fixed point in locally convex spaces*, *Publications Inst. Math. Beograd* 10 (1970), 9—19.
- [5] Đ. Kurepa, *Tableaux ramifiés d'ensembles. Espaces pseudodistanciés*, *C. R.* 198 (1934), 1563—1565.
- [6] J. Mikusiński, *Sur les fondements du calcul opératoire*, *Studia Math.* XI (1950), 41—70.
- [7] M. Skendžić, *The non linear Cauchy problem of operator differential equations*, *Publications Inst. Math. Beograd* 10 (1970), 133—141.
- [8] M. Skendžić, *O jednom rešenju nelinearne diferencijalne jednačine operatora Mikusińskog*, *Mat. Vesnik Beograd* 10 (1973), 231—236.
- [9] B. Stanković, *Two theorems on differential equation in locally convex spaces*, *Bull. Ac. Serbe Sc. et Arts Beograd* 9 (1972), 1—7.
- [10] B. Stanković, *The existence and the unicity of solution of a system of operator differential equations*, *Publications Inst. Math. Beograd* 9 (1969), 85—92.
- [11] B. Stanković, *Operator linear differential equation of order  $m$* , *Journal Diff. Equations*, V. 5, № 1 (1969), 1—11.
- [12] B. Stanković, *On the function of E. M. Wright*, *Publications Inst. Math. Beograd* 10 (1970), 113—124.
- [13] K. Urbanik, *Sur la structure nontopologique du corps des opérateurs*, *Studia Math.* XIV (1954), 243—246.
- [14] E. M. Wright, *The generalized Bessel function of order greater than one*, *Quart. J. Math. Oxford series* 11 (1940), 36—48.