

ON THE CONVERGENCE OF CERTAIN SEQUENCES, V

Jovan D. Kečkić and Vljako Lj. Kocić

(Received June 6, 1974)

This note is concerned with the convergence of sequences $(x_n^1), \dots, (x_n^k)$, defined by

$$(1) \quad x_{n+p}^i = f_i(x_n^1, \dots, x_n^k, x_{n+1}^1, \dots, x_{n+1}^k, \dots, x_{n+p-1}^1, \dots, x_{n+p-1}^k)$$

($i = 1, \dots, k$), where x_j^i ($i = 1, \dots, k$; $j = 1, \dots, p$) are arbitrary.

In further text we shall suppose that (E, d) is a complete metric space, that $f_i: E^{pk} \rightarrow E$ and that A_1, \dots, A_p are real matrices with nonnegative entries. If A is a square matrix, $N(A)$ will denote any norm of A , i.e. any nonnegative real functional with the properties

- (i) $N(A) = 0$ if and only if $A = O$
- (ii) $N(\lambda A) = \lambda N(A)$ ($\lambda \geq 0$)
- (iii) $N(A + B) \leq N(A) + N(B)$
- (iv) $N(AB) \leq N(A)N(B)$
- (v) $A \leq B$ implies $N(A) \leq N(B)$.

E. Udovičić proved in [1] that if

$$(2) \quad \left\| \begin{array}{l} d(f_1(u_{11}, \dots, u_{1k}, u_{21}, \dots, u_{2k}, \dots, u_{p1}, \dots, u_{pk}), \\ \quad f_1(u_{21}, \dots, u_{2k}, u_{31}, \dots, u_{3k}, \dots, u_{p+1,1}, \dots, u_{p+1,k}) \\ \quad \vdots \\ d(f_k(u_{11}, \dots, u_{1k}, u_{21}, \dots, u_{2k}, \dots, u_{p1}, \dots, u_{pk}), \\ \quad f_k(u_{21}, \dots, u_{2k}, u_{31}, \dots, u_{3k}, \dots, u_{p+1,1}, \dots, u_{p+1,k})) \end{array} \right\|$$

$$\leq \sum_{i=1}^p A_i \left\| \begin{array}{l} d(u_{i1}, u_{i+1,1}) \\ \quad \vdots \\ d(u_{ik}, u_{i+1,k}) \end{array} \right\|$$

and

$$(3) \quad \sum_{i=1}^p N(A_i) < 1,$$

then sequences $(x_n^1), \dots, (x_n^k)$ are convergent in E , and the unique solution of the system

$$(4) \quad x^i = f_i(x^1, \dots, x^k, x^1, \dots, x^k, \dots, x^1, \dots, x^k) \quad (i = 1, \dots, k)$$

is provided by

$$x^i = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} x_n^i \quad (i = 1, \dots, k).$$

On the basis of some results given in [2], we have asked in [3] the following question:

Is it possible to replace condition (3) by the weaker condition

$$N\left(\sum_{i=1}^p A_i\right) < 1?$$

This paper gives an affirmative answer to that question.

Theorem. *Let (E, d) be a complete metric space and let the functions $f_i: E^{pk} \rightarrow E (i = 1, \dots, k; p$ a fixed positive integer) satisfy (2) for every $u_{11}, \dots, u_{pk} \in E$, where*

$$N\left(\sum_{i=1}^p A_i\right) \leq q < 1.$$

Then the sequences $(x_n^1), \dots, (x_n^k)$, defined by (1) converge to x^1, \dots, x^k , respectively $(x^1, \dots, x^k \in E)$, where (x^1, \dots, x^k) is the unique solution of the system (4).

Proof. Let

$$D_r = \left\| \begin{array}{c} d(x_r^1, x_{r+1}^1) \\ \vdots \\ d(x_r^k, x_{r+1}^k) \end{array} \right\|.$$

From (2) follows

$$(5) \quad D_{n+p+i} \leq \sum_{v=1}^p A_v D_{n+i+v-1}.$$

Adding inequalities (5) for $i = 0, 1, \dots, m$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=0}^m D_{n+p+i} &\leq \sum_{v=1}^p A_v \sum_{i=0}^m D_{n+i+v-1} \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{v=1}^p A_v\right) \left(\sum_{i=0}^m D_{n+p+i} + \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} D_{n+i}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$N\left(\sum_{i=0}^m \mathbf{D}_{n+p+i}\right) \leq N\left(\sum_{v=1}^p \mathbf{A}_v\right) N\left(\sum_{i=0}^m \mathbf{D}_{n+p+i} + \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \mathbf{D}_{n+i}\right) \\ \leq qN\left(\sum_{i=0}^m \mathbf{D}_{n+p+i}\right) + qN\left(\sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \mathbf{D}_{n+i}\right),$$

which implies

$$(6) \quad N\left(\sum_{i=0}^m \mathbf{D}_{n+p+i}\right) \leq \frac{q}{1-q} N\left(\sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \mathbf{D}_{n+i}\right).$$

Let $\mathbf{D} = \limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \mathbf{D}_n$. Then from (5) we find $N(\mathbf{D}) \leq qN(\mathbf{D})$, i.e. $N(\mathbf{D}) = 0$, or equivalently $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \mathbf{D}_n = \mathbf{O}$, which together with (6) yields

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} d(x_{n+p+m}^i, x_{n+p}^i) = 0 \quad (i = 1, \dots, k).$$

Hence, the sequences $(x_n^1), \dots, (x_n^k)$ are Cauchy's and therefore convergent.

Let $x^i = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} x_n^i$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \begin{array}{c} d(x_{n+p}^1, f_1(x^1, \dots, x^k, \dots, x^1, \dots, x^k)) \\ \vdots \\ d(x_{n+p}^k, f_k(x^1, \dots, x^k, \dots, x^1, \dots, x^k)) \end{array} \right\| \\ = & \left\| \begin{array}{c} d(f_1(x_n^1, \dots, x_n^k, \dots, x_{n+p-1}^1, \dots, x_{n+p-1}^k), f_1(x^1, \dots, x^k, \dots, x^1, \dots, x^k)) \\ \vdots \\ d(f_k(x_n^1, \dots, x_n^k, \dots, x_{n+p-1}^1, \dots, x_{n+p-1}^k), f_k(x^1, \dots, x^k, \dots, x^1, \dots, x^k)) \end{array} \right\| \\ \leq & \left\| \begin{array}{c} d(f_1(x_n^1, \dots, x_n^k, \dots, x_{n+p-1}^1, \dots, x_{n+p-1}^k), \\ \qquad \qquad \qquad f_1(x_{n+1}^1, \dots, x_{n+1}^k, \dots, x^1, \dots, x^k)) \\ \vdots \\ d(f_k(x_n^1, \dots, x_n^k, \dots, x_{n+p-1}^1, \dots, x_{n+p-1}^k), \\ \qquad \qquad \qquad f_k(x_{n+1}^1, \dots, x_{n+1}^k, \dots, x^1, \dots, x^k)) \end{array} \right\| \\ & + \dots + \\ & \left\| \begin{array}{c} d(f_1(x_{n+p-1}^1, \dots, x_{n+p-1}^k, \dots, x^1, \dots, x^k), f_1(x^1, \dots, x^k, \dots, x^1, \dots, x^k)) \\ \vdots \\ d(f_k(x_{n+p-1}^1, \dots, x_{n+p-1}^k, \dots, x^1, \dots, x^k), f_k(x^1, \dots, x^k, \dots, x^1, \dots, x^k)) \end{array} \right\| \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \left(\sum_{\nu=1}^p \mathbf{A}_\nu \right) \left(\left\| \begin{array}{c} d(x_{n+p-1}^1, x^1) \\ \vdots \\ d(x_{n+p-1}^k, x^k) \end{array} \right\| + \sum_{i=0}^{p-2} \left\| \begin{array}{c} d(x_{n+i}^1, x_{n+i+1}^1) \\ \vdots \\ d(x_{n+i}^k, x_{n+i+1}^k) \end{array} \right\| \right),$$

which implies

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} d(x_{n+p}^i, f_i(x^1, \dots, x^k, \dots, x^1, \dots, x^k)) = 0 \quad (i = 1, \dots, k)$$

or

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} x_n^i = f_i(x^1, \dots, x^k, \dots, x^1, \dots, x^k) \quad (i = 1, \dots, k).$$

Hence, (x^1, \dots, x^k) is a solution of the system (4). To prove that this solution is unique, suppose that (y^1, \dots, y^k) is also a solution of (4). Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \begin{array}{c} d(x^1, y^1) \\ \vdots \\ d(x^k, y^k) \end{array} \right\| = \\ & = \left\| \begin{array}{c} d(f_1(x^1, \dots, x^k, \dots, x^1, \dots, x^k), f_1(y^1, \dots, y^k, \dots, y^1, \dots, y^k)) \\ \vdots \\ d(f_k(x^1, \dots, x^k, \dots, x^1, \dots, x^k), f_k(y^1, \dots, y^k, \dots, y^1, \dots, y^k)) \end{array} \right\| \\ & \leq \sum_{\nu=1}^p \mathbf{A}_\nu \left\| \begin{array}{c} d(x^1, y^1) \\ \vdots \\ d(x^k, y^k) \end{array} \right\|. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$N \left(\left\| \begin{array}{c} d(x^1, y^1) \\ \vdots \\ d(x^k, y^k) \end{array} \right\| \right) \leq qN \left(\left\| \begin{array}{c} d(x^1, y^1) \\ \vdots \\ d(x^k, y^k) \end{array} \right\| \right),$$

which is impossible.

The theorem is proved.

REFERENCES

- [1] E. Udovičić, *On the convergence of sequences defined by difference equations*, Mat. Vesnik **8** (23) (1971), 249—260.
- [2] J. D. Kečkić, *On the convergence of certain sequences, II*. Univ. Beograd. Publ. Elektrotehn. Fak. Ser. Mat. Fiz. № 247 — № 273 (1969), 77—81.
- [3] J. D. Kečkić, *On the convergence of certain sequences, IV*, Publ. Inst. Math. (Beograd) **16** (30) (1973), 91—94.