

ON AN APPROXIMATION OF FUNCTION AND ITS DERIVATIVES

*J. Prasad*

(Received February 5, 1972)

Let  $w_n(x)$  denote the Jacobi polynomials with the weight function

$$\rho(x) = (1-x)^{-1/2} (1+x)^{-1/2}.$$

If  $\hat{w}_n(x)$  denote the corresponding normalized Jacobi polynomials then it is well-known that

$$(1.1) \quad \hat{w}_n(x) = \left[ \frac{2n \Gamma(n+1) \Gamma(n)}{\Gamma(n+1/2) \Gamma(n+1/2)} \right]^{1/2} w_n(x).$$

Now let

$$S_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k \hat{w}_k(x)$$

be the  $n^{\text{th}}$  partial sum of the Fourier series of Jacobi polynomials of a function  $f(x)$ . Natanson [1] proved the following:

**Theorem 1 ([1]).** *Let  $p$  be a positive integer which is greater than or equal to 1. Then on the interval  $[-1, 1]$  every function  $f(x)$  with a continuous  $p^{\text{th}}$  derivative can be expanded in a uniformly convergent Fourier series of Jacobi polynomials  $\hat{w}_n(x)$ .*

As far as we know this is the latest result on this topic. In this note we improve Natanson's result by establishing the following:

**Theorem 2.** *If  $f(x)$  has  $p$  continuous derivatives on  $[-1, 1]$  and  $f^{(p)}(x) \in \text{Lip } \mu$ , ( $0 < \mu < 1$ ) then for  $p + \mu \geq 1/2$ ,*

$$(1.2) \quad |f(x) - S_n(x)| \leq \frac{c_1}{n^{p+\mu-(1/2)}}, \quad x \in [-1, 1]$$

and for  $p + \mu \geq 2r + (1/2)$ ;  $r \geq 1$ ,

$$(1.3) \quad |f^{(r)}(x) - S_n^{(r)}(x)| \leq \frac{c_2}{n^{p+\mu-2r-(1/2)}}, \quad x \in [-1, 1],$$

where  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  are positive constants.

2. In order to prove the Theorem 2 we shall require the following well known results.

From [1] we have for  $\gamma > -1$  and  $\lambda > -1$ ,

$$(2.1) \quad \frac{\Gamma(n + \gamma + \lambda + 1)}{\Gamma(n + \gamma + 1)} < d_1 n^\lambda$$

where  $d_1$  is a positive constant. Now making use of (2.1) one can easily see that

$$(2.2) \quad \frac{\Gamma(n + 1) \Gamma(n)}{\Gamma(n + 1/2) \Gamma(n + 1/2)} < d_2.$$

Also from [3] we have for  $-1 \leq x \leq 1$ ,

$$(2.3) \quad |w_n(x)| \leq d_3 n^{-1/2}.$$

Then from (1.1), (2.2) and (2.3) it follows that for  $-1 \leq x \leq 1$ ,

$$(2.4) \quad |\hat{w}_n(x)| \leq d_4,$$

where  $d_i$ ,  $i=2, 3, 4$ , are all positive constants. Further upon applying Markov's inequality [1] to (2.4) we obtain

$$(2.5) \quad |\hat{w}_n^{(r)}(x)| \leq d_5 n^{2r},$$

where  $d_5$  is a positive constant.

Some Lemmas. In order to prove Theorem 2 we need the following lemmas.

**Lemma 1.** *If  $-1 \leq x \leq 1$ , then*

$$(3.1) \quad \int_{-1}^1 (1-t^2)^{-1/2} \left| \sum_{k=0}^n \hat{w}_k(x) \hat{w}_k(t) \right| dt \leq c_3 n^{1/2}$$

and

$$(3.2) \quad \int_{-1}^1 (1-t^2)^{-1/2} \left| \sum_{k=0}^n \hat{w}_k^{(r)}(x) \hat{w}_k(t) \right| dt \leq c_4 n^{2r+(1/2)},$$

where  $c_3$  and  $c_4$  are positive constants.

**Proof.** We give here the proof for (3.1) only. The proof of (3.2) can be given on the same lines using (2.5). Making use of (2.4) we obtain

$$(3.3) \quad \int_{-1}^1 (1-t^2)^{-1/2} \left[ \sum_{k=0}^n \hat{w}_k(t) \hat{w}_k(x) \right]^2 dt = \sum_{k=0}^n |\hat{w}_k(x)|^2 \leq c_5 n.$$

Consequently using Cauchy's inequality and (3.3) we get

$$\int_{-1}^1 (1-t^2)^{-1/2} \left| \sum_{k=0}^n \hat{w}_k(t) \hat{w}_k(x) \right| dt \leq \left[ \int_{-1}^1 (1-t^2)^{-1/2} \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^n \hat{w}_k(t) \hat{w}_k(x) \right\}^2 dt \right]^{1/2} \left[ \int_{-1}^1 (1-t^2)^{-1/2} dt \right]^{1/2} \leq c_6 n^{1/2},$$

from which (3.1) follows.

Lemma 3.2 If  $-1 \leq x \leq 1$  and  $p + \mu \geq 0$ , then

$$(3.4) \quad \int_{-1}^1 (1-t^2)^{(p+\mu)/2} \left[ (1-t^2)^{-1/2} \left| \sum_{k=0}^n \hat{w}_k(x) \hat{w}_k(t) \right| \right] dt \leq c_7 n^{1/2}$$

and

$$(3.5) \quad \int_{-1}^1 (1-t^2)^{(p+\mu)/2} \left[ (1-t^2)^{-1/2} \left| \sum_{k=r}^n \hat{w}_k^{(r)}(x) \hat{w}_k(t) \right| \right] dt \leq c_8 n^{2r+(1/2)}.$$

Proof. Since for  $p + \mu \geq 0$

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{-1}^1 (1-t^2)^{(p+\mu)/2} \left[ (1-t^2)^{-1/2} \left| \sum_{k=0}^n \hat{w}_k(x) \hat{w}_k(t) \right| \right] dt \\ & \leq \int_{-1}^1 (1-t^2)^{-1/2} \left| \sum_{k=0}^n \hat{w}_k(x) \hat{w}_k(t) \right| dt \end{aligned}$$

and hence (3.1) yields the required result. By similar argument (3.2) yields (3.5).

Lemma 3 ([2]). Let  $f^{(q)}(x) \in \text{Lip } \alpha$ , ( $0 < \alpha < 1$ ) in  $[-1, 1]$  then there is a polynomial  $Q_n(x)$  of degree at most  $n$  possessing the following properties:

$$(3.6) \quad |f(x) - Q_n(x)| \leq \frac{c_9}{n^{q+\alpha}} \left[ (V(1-x^2))^{q+\alpha} + \frac{1}{n^{q+\alpha}} \right]$$

and

$$(3.7) \quad |f^{(r)}(x) - Q_n^{(r)}(x)| \leq \frac{c_{10}}{n^{q+\alpha-r}} \left[ (V(1-x^2))^{q+\alpha-r} + \frac{1}{n^{q+\alpha-r}} \right]$$

uniformly in  $[-1, 1]$  and  $r = 1, 2, \dots, q$ .

4. Proof of Theorem. We shall confine ourselves to proving (1.2). The proof of (1.3) can be given on the same lines.

We write for  $-1 \leq x \leq 1$ ,

$$(4.1) \quad |f(x) - S_n(x)| \leq |f(x) - \pi_n(x)| + |\pi_n(x) - S_n(x)| \leq |f(x) - \pi_n(x)| + \int_{-1}^1 (1-t^2)^{-1/2} |\pi_n(t) - f(t)| \left| \sum_{k=0}^n \hat{w}_k(t) \hat{w}_k(x) \right| dt = I_1 + I_2,$$

where  $\pi_n(x)$  is given by lemma 3.

Now from (3.6) it follows that for  $-1 \leq x \leq 1$ ,

$$(4.2) \quad I_1 = |f(x) - \pi_n(x)| \leq \frac{c_9}{n^{p+\mu}} \left[ (V(1-x^2))^{p+\mu} + \frac{1}{n^{p+\mu}} \right] \leq c_{11} n^{-(p+\mu)}.$$

Further using lemma 3 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.3) \quad I_2 &\leq \frac{c_9}{n^{p+\mu}} \int_{-1}^1 \left[ (1-t^2)^{(p+\mu)/2} + \frac{1}{n^{p+\mu}} \right] (1-t^2)^{-1/2} \left| \sum_{k=0}^n \hat{w}_k(t) \hat{w}_k(x) \right| dt \leq \\
 &\leq \frac{c_9}{n^{p+\mu}} \int_{-1}^1 (1-t^2)^{(p+\mu)/2} \left[ (1-t^2)^{-1/2} \left| \sum_{k=0}^n \hat{w}_k(t) \hat{w}_k(x) \right| \right] dt + \\
 &+ \frac{c_9}{n^{2(p+\mu)}} \int_{-1}^1 (1-t^2)^{-1/2} \left| \sum_{k=0}^n \hat{w}_k(t) \hat{w}_k(x) \right| dt = r_1^* + r_2^*, \text{ (say)}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Making use of lemma 3.2, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.4) \quad r_1^* &= \frac{c_9}{n^{p+\mu}} \int_{-1}^1 (1-t^2)^{(p+\mu)/2} \left[ (1-t^2)^{-1/2} \left| \sum_{k=0}^n \hat{w}_k(t) \hat{w}_k(x) \right| \right] dt \\
 &\leq c_{12} n^{-p-\mu+(1/2)}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Finally, with the help of lemma 3.1, we obtain

$$(4.5) \quad r_2^* = \frac{c_9}{n^{2(p+\mu)}} \int_{-1}^1 (1-t^2)^{-1/2} \left| \sum_{k=0}^n \hat{w}_k(t) \hat{w}_k(x) \right| dt \leq c_{13} n^{-2(p+\mu)+(1/2)}.$$

Consequently from (4.3), (4.4) and (4.5) we get

$$(4.6) \quad I_2 \leq c_{14} n^{-p-\mu+(1/2)}, \text{ for } p+\mu \geq 1/2.$$

Hence (4.1), (4.2) and (4.6) yield for  $-1 < x < 1$ ,

$$(4.7) \quad |f(x) - S_n(x)| \leq c_{15} n^{-p-\mu+(1/2)},$$

from which (1.2) follows.

**Remark.** If  $E_n(f)$  is the best approximation of  $f(x)$  by polynomials from  $H_n$ , where  $H_n$  is the class of all polynomials of degree  $\leq n$ , then one can very easily see from (4.7) that

$$E_n(f) \leq \frac{c_{15}}{n^{p+\mu-(1/2)}}, \text{ for } p+\mu \geq 1/2.$$

#### REFERENCES

- [1] I. P. Natanson, *Constructive Theory of Functions* (in Russian: G. I. T. T. L, Moscow, 1949; English Transl.: F. U. P. C. New York, 1964).
- [2] J. Prasad, *Remarks on a Theorem of P. K. Suetin*. Czechoslovak Math. Journal, 21 (3) (1971), 349-354.
- [3] G. Szegő, *Orthogonal Polynomials*, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Pub., 2nd ed. (1959).

\* Department of Mathematics, City University of New York, The City College, New York, N. Y. 10031, USA.