

A NOTE ON ASCOLI'S THEOREM FOR SPACES OF
MULTIFUNCTIONS

M. M. Marjanović and M. M. Drešević

(Communicated February 18, 1972)

1. In [4], Y. F. Lin and D. A. Rose have proved an Ascoli's theorem for a family \mathcal{F} of continuous multifunctions. In the case the family \mathcal{F} consists entirely of single-valued functions this theorem reduces to the usual form of Ascoli's theorem for single-valued functions. The purpose of this note is to show how the single-valued version of Ascoli's theorem implies that for multifunctions ([4]).

Recall first some of the definitions. Let X and Y be two topological spaces. A multifunction $F : X \rightarrow Y$ is continuous if and only if for each open set V in Y , the set $F^{-1}(V)$ is open and the set $F^{-1}(Y \setminus V)$ is closed in X . Let the set of all multifunctions on X to Y be denoted by $M(X, Y)$ and let for any $A \subseteq X, B \subseteq Y$

$$(A, B) = \{F \in M(X, Y) : F(A) \subseteq B\}$$

$$)A, B(= \{F \in M(X, Y) : A \subseteq F^{-1}(B)\}.$$

The *compact-open* topology for $M(X, Y)$ is the topology defined by taking the totality of (K, U) and $)L, V($ as subbasic open sets, where K and L are any compact subsets of X and U and V are any open sets in Y . A family $\mathcal{F} \subseteq M(X, Y)$ is *evenly continuous* if and only if for each x in X , each y in Y , and each open neighborhood V of y there exists an open neighborhood U of x and an open neighborhood W of y such that:

(I) if $F \in \mathcal{F}$ and $F(x) \cap W \neq \emptyset$ then $U \subseteq F^{-1}(V)$, and

(II) if, in addition to (I), $F(x) \subseteq V$ then $F(U) \subseteq V$.

When $M(X, Y)$ is replaced by Y^X , two latter definitions coincide with their corresponding single-valued forms [2].

Now the Lin-Rose result reads:

Theorem. *Let X and Y be arbitrary topological spaces and let $M(X, Y; c)$ be the space of all multifunctions on X to Y with the compact-open topology. Then a closed set \mathcal{F} in $M(X, Y; c)$ is compact if, at each point x in X , $\mathcal{F}(x) = \cup\{F(x) : F \in \mathcal{F}\}$ has a compact closure in Y , and \mathcal{F} is evenly continuous.*

In 2. we just discuss the Ascoli's theorem in the case of compact-open topology. We include the proofs only to make the paper more self-contained and the formulations are given without the separation properties since we do not need them here.

2. For a topological space X ; \mathcal{F} stands for the family of all open and \mathcal{K} all compact subsets of X .

2.1. If (X, \mathcal{F}_0) and (X, \mathcal{F}_1) are two topological spaces, then $\mathcal{F}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{F}_1$ implies $\mathcal{K}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{K}_0$.

Proof. The identity mapping $i: (X, \mathcal{F}_1) \rightarrow (X, \mathcal{F}_0)$ is continuous and if $K \in \mathcal{K}_1$, then $i(K) = K \in \mathcal{K}_0$.

In general, the family \mathcal{K}_0 is not contained in \mathcal{K}_1 , since \mathcal{K}_0 may contain such members on which two relative topologies \mathcal{F}_0 and \mathcal{F}_1 do not coincide. Let us make it more clear.

Let \mathcal{F}_0 and \mathcal{F}_1 be two topologies on X . Call a subset A of X $(\mathcal{F}_0, \mathcal{F}_1)$ -even if these two topologies induce the same relative topology on A . For instance, if X is the set of reals, \mathcal{F}_0 the usual and \mathcal{F}_1 the halfopen interval topology $([., .))$ then set $A = \left\{ \frac{n}{n+1} : n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}$ is $(\mathcal{F}_0, \mathcal{F}_1)$ -even (and \mathcal{F}_1 -closed but not \mathcal{F}_0 -closed),

2.2. For any two topologies \mathcal{F}_0 and \mathcal{F}_1 on X , if A is $(\mathcal{F}_0, \mathcal{F}_1)$ -even and $A \in \mathcal{K}_i$ then $A \in \mathcal{K}_{1-i}$, $(i = 0, 1)$.

Proof. If A is $(\mathcal{F}_0, \mathcal{F}_1)$ -even, then for $U \in \mathcal{F}_i$, there exists $V \in \mathcal{F}_{1-i}$ such that $A \cap U = A \cap V$. Let $\{V_\zeta : \zeta \in Z\}$ be a covering of A by the sets from \mathcal{F}_{1-i} . Then, since A is $(\mathcal{F}_0, \mathcal{F}_1)$ -even for each V_ζ , there is a $U_\zeta \in \mathcal{F}_i$ such that $V_\zeta \cap A = U_\zeta \cap A$ and so $\{U_\zeta : \zeta \in Z\}$ covers A . Since A is \mathcal{F}_i -compact there is a finite subcovering $\{U_{\zeta_i} : i = 1, \dots, n\}$. Then $\{V_{\zeta_i} : i = 1, \dots, n\}$ also covers A and A is \mathcal{F}_{1-i} -compact.

Combining 2.1 and 2.2, we also have.

2.3. If \mathcal{F}_0 and \mathcal{F}_1 are two Hausdorff topologies such that $\mathcal{F}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{F}_1$, then $A \in \mathcal{K}_1 \Leftrightarrow A \in \mathcal{K}_0$ and A is $(\mathcal{F}_0, \mathcal{F}_1)$ -even.

Recall that a family $\mathcal{F} \subseteq Y^X$ is said to be evenly continuous if for each x in X each y in Y , and each (basic) open neighborhood V of y there exist an open neighborhood U of x and a (basic) open neighborhood W of y such that

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} f(x) \in W \\ f \in \mathcal{F} \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow f(U) \subseteq V.$$

This condition implies both that all the members of \mathcal{F} are continuous and that \mathcal{F} is even with respect to the point-open and compact-open topology, and the latter being defined by taking for a subbase the sets $\{f: f(K) \subseteq U\}$ where K is compact in X and U belongs to some open base of Y . In the case X is Hausdorff, this topology coincides with what is usually called the compact — open topology on the set Y^X of all continuous functions [1].

2.4. Let $\mathcal{F} \subseteq Y^X$ be an evenly continuous family, $\overline{\mathcal{F}}$ the point-open closure of \mathcal{F} and $f_0 \in \overline{\mathcal{F}}$. If K is compact in X , V open in Y and $f_0(K) \subseteq V$, then there exist x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n in K and the open neighborhoods $W_i \subseteq V$ of $f_0(x_i)$, $i = 1, \dots, n$ such that

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} f(x_i) \in W_i \\ f \in \mathcal{F} \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow f(K) \subseteq V, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Proof. \mathcal{F} being evenly continuous, for each $x \in K$ and $f_0(x)$ in Y and the open neighborhood V of $f_0(x)$, there exist U_x and W_x such that

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} f(x) \in W_x \\ f \in \mathcal{F} \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow f(U_x) \subseteq V.$$

Since K is compact there is a finite subcovering $U_{x_1}, U_{x_2}, \dots, U_{x_n}$ of $\{U_x : x \in K\}$. Put $W_i = W_{x_i} \cap V$. Evidently $f_0(x_i) \in W_i$, $W_i \subseteq V$ and

$$(1) \quad \left. \begin{array}{l} f(x_i) \in W_i \\ f \in \mathcal{F} \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow f(U_{x_i}) \subseteq V.$$

Since $f_0 \in \overline{\mathcal{F}}$, the set of $f \in Y^X$ satisfying (1) is not empty. Now, we have for such an f ,

$$f(K) \subseteq f(\cup \{U_{x_i} : i = 1, \dots, n\}) \subseteq V.$$

From 2.4. we conclude the following

2.5. If $\mathcal{F} \subseteq Y^X$ is evenly continuous, then \mathcal{F} is even with respect to the point open and compact-open topology on Y .

2.6. Let $\mathcal{F} \subseteq Y^X$ be evenly continuous. Then, \mathcal{F} is closed in the compact-open topology $\Leftrightarrow \mathcal{F}$ is closed in the point-open topology.

Proof. \Rightarrow : Let \mathcal{F} be closed in the compact-open topology and $f_0 \in \overline{\mathcal{F}}$ (the point-open closure). Suppose we have a neighborhood of f_0 :

$$\mathcal{O} = \{f : f(K_j) \subseteq V_j, \quad j = 1, \dots, m\}.$$

Then, according to 2.4, we have

$$\mathcal{U}_j = \{f : f(x_i^j) \in W_i^j, \quad i = 1, \dots, n(j)\}$$

contained in $\{f : f(K_j) \subseteq V_j\}$, and $\mathcal{U}_1 \cap \dots \cap \mathcal{U}_m \subseteq \mathcal{O}$, so that there exists an $f \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $f \in \mathcal{O}$.

2.7. (Ascoli's theorem). $\mathcal{F} \subseteq Y^X$ is compact in the compact-open topology if

- (I) \mathcal{F} is closed in compact-open topology
- (II) $\{f(x) : f \in \mathcal{F}\}$ has a compact closure in Y for each point x in X
- (III) \mathcal{F} is evenly continuous.

Proof. By 2.6, (I) and (III) imply \mathcal{F} is pointwise closed and in addition with (II), it follows that \mathcal{F} is compact in the point-open topology. By 2.5, \mathcal{F} is even, and by 2.2 \mathcal{F} is also compact in the compact-open topology.

3. If $F : X \rightarrow Y$ is a multivalued function then we can consider it as a single-valued function from X to 2^Y , where the partitive set of Y is taken with the Vietoris topology [5], and F is continuous (as it has been defined in 1.) if and only if $F : X \rightarrow 2^Y$ is continuous [3].

For a subset U of a set Y , let

$$\langle U \rangle = \{A : A \subseteq U\} \quad \text{and} \quad \rangle U \langle = \{A : A \cap U \neq \emptyset, A \subseteq Y\}.$$

Then,

- 3.1. $(K, U) = \{F \in M(X, Y) : F(K) \subseteq \langle U \rangle\}$,
- $\rangle K, U(= \{F \in M(X, Y) : F(K) \subseteq \rangle U \langle\}$.

Proof. The first relation is evident. For the second, we have

$$F \in K, U \Leftrightarrow K \subseteq F^{-1}(U) \Leftrightarrow F(x) \cap U \neq \emptyset, \\ \forall x \in K \Leftrightarrow F(x) \in \rangle U \langle, \quad \forall x \in K \Leftrightarrow F(K) \subseteq \rangle U \langle.$$

So the system of sets

$$\{F: F(K) \subseteq \langle U_0 \rangle \cap \rangle U_1 \langle \cap \dots \cap \rangle U_n \langle\}$$

will be another subbase for $M(X, Y; c)$. The sets $\langle U_0 \rangle \cap \rangle U_1 \langle \cap \dots \cap \rangle U_n \langle$ form the standard basic system for the Vietoris topology on 2^Y .

3.2. *The even continuity of a family $\mathcal{F} \subseteq M(X, Y)$ as defined in [4], implies the even continuity of the same family $\mathcal{F} \subseteq (2^Y)^X$.*

Proof. Take x in X , A in 2^Y and $\langle V_0 \rangle \cap \dots \cap \rangle V_i \langle \dots$ to be neighborhood of A . Let $a_i \in A \cap V_i$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Then, according to the condition (I) (in 1.), for the pair x, a_i , there exist an open neighborhood W_i of a_i and U_i of x such that

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} F(x) \in \rangle W_i \langle \\ F \in \mathcal{F} \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow F(U_i) \subseteq \rangle V_i \langle, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Consider $\langle V_0 \rangle \cap \dots \cap \rangle W_i \langle \dots$, and let $U = \cap \{U_i\}$. If $F \in \mathcal{F}$ and $F(x) \in \langle V_0 \rangle \cap \dots \cap \rangle W_i \langle \dots$, then according to the condition (II), $F(U) \subseteq \langle V_0 \rangle \cap \dots \cap \rangle V_i \langle \dots$.

So for $x \in X$ and $A \in 2^Y$ and any neighborhood $\langle V_0 \rangle \cap \dots \cap \rangle V_i \langle \dots$ of A , U is a neighborhood of x and $\langle V_0 \rangle \cap \dots \cap \rangle W_i \langle \dots$ of A such that

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} f(x) \in \langle V_0 \rangle \cap \dots \cap \rangle W_i \langle \dots \\ f \in \mathcal{F} \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow f(U) \subseteq \langle V_0 \rangle \cap \dots \cap \rangle V_i \langle \dots$$

This proves that $\mathcal{F} \subseteq (2^Y)^X$ is evenly continuous.

3.3. **Proof of the Theorem.** All three conditions in the Ascoli's theorem (2.7) are satisfied: (I) follows from 3.1, (II) follows from the fact that 2^B , ($B = \overline{\cup \{F(x): F \in \mathcal{F}\}}$) is compact (see [3] or [5], and the subsets need not be closed), (III) follows from 3.2. Hence, 2.7 implies the conclusion of the Theorem.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. R. Jackson, *Spaces of mappings on topological products with applications to homotopy theory*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 3, 1952, 327—333.
- [2] J. L. Kelley, *General Topology*, Van Nostrand, Princeton, N. J. 1955.
- [3] K. Kuratowski, *Topology*, I, II; 1966 and 1968, Warszawa.
- [4] Y. F. Lin and D. A. Rose, *Ascoli's theorem for spaces of multifunctions*, Pac. Jour. of Math. vol 34, No. 3, 1970, 741—747.
- [5] E. Michael, *Topologies on spaces of subsets*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 71 1951, 151—182.