

A PROOF OF A CONJECTURE BY KARAMATA

Charles H. Heiberg

(Received October 27, 1971)

A formulation of a conjecture by Karamata [1, p. 58] is that for any real-valued continuous function f the limit

$$(1) \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} (f(x+t) - f(x))$$

exists for every t real if

(2) the limit in (1) exists for two positive reals with irrational quotient

and if there exists a function w defined on $(0, \infty)$ such that

$$(3) \quad w(t) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } t \rightarrow 0 \text{ and}$$

$$\liminf (f(x+t) - f(x)) \geq w(t) \text{ for all } t \text{ in } (0, \infty).$$

In this paper we prove the strengthened version of Karamata's conjecture obtained by assuming f to be measurable rather than continuous and by replacing (2) with

(2') the limit in (1) exists for all t in a dense subset D of the reals.

Condition (2') is weaker than (2) since the set of t for which the limit in (1) exists is an additive subgroup of the reals.

For any real-valued function f defined on the reals and reals x and t define

$$\Delta_t f(x) = f(x+t) - f(x).$$

If t is such that the limit in (1) exists, then let $g_f(t)$ denote this limit. For any measurable subset A of the reals let $t-A$ be the set $\{t-a : a \in A\}$ and m_A the Lebesgue measure of A .

The strengthened version of Karamata's conjecture follows immediately from the following theorem.

Theorem. *Let f be a real-valued, measurable function defined on the reals such that $g_f(t)$ is defined for every t in a dense subset D of the reals. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (i) $g_f(t)$ is defined for every t real,
- (ii) given ε positive, there exist X and a positive δ so that t in $(0, \delta)$ and $x > X$ imply $|\Delta_t f(x)| < \varepsilon$,
- (iii) there exists a function w defined on $(0, \infty)$ satisfying (3),

(iv) there exists a real-valued function u defined on $(0, \infty)$ such that $u(t) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow 0$, and

$$\limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} \Delta_t f(x) \leq u(t) \text{ for all } t \text{ in } (0, \infty).$$

Proof. The implication (i) \Rightarrow (iv) is an immediate consequence of a result due to Karamata [1, p. 56] which after an easy extension from continuous to measurable functions can be formulated as follows: if f is a real-valued measurable function on the reals and $g_f(t)$ is defined for all real t , then $g_f(t) = At$ for some real constant A . Letting $u(t) = At$ we obtain (iv), and in a similar manner obtain (i) \Rightarrow (iii). Thus, to complete the proof of the theorem it suffices to prove that (iii) \Rightarrow (ii) \Rightarrow (i) since (iv) implies that (iii) is valid with f replaced by $-f$ and since $g_{-f}(t)$ being defined for all real t implies (i).

To prove the implication (ii) \Rightarrow (i) let t_* and ε be given positive numbers. By (ii) there exist X and a positive a so that

$$|\Delta_t f(x)| < \varepsilon \text{ for all } t \text{ in } (0, a) \text{ and } x > X$$

and by hypothesis there exist t_0 and Y positive so that

$$0 < t_* - t_0 < a,$$

and

$$|\Delta_{t_0} f(x) - \Delta_{t_0} f(y)| < \varepsilon \text{ for all } x, y > Y.$$

Thus, for $x, y > \max(X, Y)$

$$|\Delta_{t_*} f(x) - \Delta_{t_*} f(y)| \leq 3\varepsilon$$

since by the triangle inequality the left hand side of this last inequality is less than or equal to

$$|\Delta_{t_* - t_0} f(x + t_0)| + |\Delta_{t_0} f(x) - \Delta_{t_0} f(y)| + |\Delta_{t_* - t_0} f(y + t_0)|.$$

This proves that $g_f(t_*)$ is defined, hence the implication (ii) \Rightarrow (i).

To complete the proof of the theorem we shall use that (iii) implies the following:

$$(4) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{given } \varepsilon \text{ positive, there exists a positive constant } a \text{ such that} \\ \text{for all } d \text{ in } (0, a) \cap D, \quad g_f(d) < \varepsilon \end{array} \right.$$

To prove (4), use (iii) and that D is dense to choose a positive element d_0 of D such that

$$|w(x)| < \varepsilon/3 \text{ for all } x \text{ in } (0, d_0).$$

Let K be an arbitrary positive integer and d be in $D \cap (0, d_0/k)$. The last inequality and (iii) imply that there exists a positive constant X such that

$$\Delta_{d_0 - kd} f(x + kd) \geq -\frac{2\varepsilon}{3} \text{ for all } x > X.$$

Using the identity

$$\Delta_{d_0 - kd} f(x + kd) = \Delta_{d_0} f(x) - \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \Delta_d f(x + jd)$$

and letting x tend to infinity we obtain from the last inequality that

$$g_f(d) \leq \frac{g_f(d_0)}{k} + \frac{2\varepsilon}{3},$$

which for k sufficiently large proves (4).

Assume that (iii) and the negation of (ii) hold. Then there exist sequences $\{y_i\}, \{t_i\}$ of positive reals and a positive constant ε such that $y_i \rightarrow \infty, t_i \rightarrow 0$ and either

$$(5) \quad \Delta_{t_i} f(y_i) \geq \varepsilon, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots$$

or

$$(6) \quad \Delta_{t_i} f(y_i) \leq -\varepsilon, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots$$

Use (iii) and that D is dense to choose d_0 , a positive element of D , such that

$$(7) \quad w(x) > -\varepsilon/4 \text{ for all } x \text{ in } (0, d_0),$$

where by (4) we can assume that

$$\Delta_{d_0} f(x) < \varepsilon/2$$

for all x greater than some positive constant X .

If (5) holds, then let

$$x_i = y_i + t_i, \quad r_i = d_0 - t_i,$$

otherwise let

$$x_i = y_i - d_0, \quad r_i = d_0 + t_i.$$

The last inequality, (5) and (6) imply that for all i sufficiently large

$$(8) \quad \Delta_{r_i} f(x_i) \leq -\varepsilon/2$$

where $r_i \rightarrow d_0$ and $x_i \rightarrow \infty$.

Define

$$S_i = \left\{ t \in \left[\frac{d_0}{3}, \frac{2d_0}{3} \right] : \Delta_t f(x_i) \leq -\varepsilon/4 \right\},$$

$$T_i = \left\{ t \in \left[\frac{d_0}{3}, \frac{2d_0}{3} \right] : \Delta_{r_i-t} f(x_i + t) \leq -\varepsilon/4 \right\}$$

and obtain by (8) that for all i sufficiently large

$$[d_0/3, 2d_0/3] = S_i \cup T_i$$

so that either

$$(9) \quad mS_i \geq \frac{d_0}{6} \text{ for infinitely many } i,$$

or

$$mT_i \geq \frac{d_0}{6} \text{ for infinitely many } i.$$

In the latter case note that there exists a point r_0 which is in $r_i - T_i$ for infinitely many i since $m(r_i - T_i) = mT_i$ and since $\bigcup_i (r_i - T_i)$ is contained in a set of finite measure. Thus, by the definition of the T_i 's

$$\Delta_{r_0} f(x_i + r_i - r_0) \leq -\varepsilon/4$$

for infinitely many i , where $x_i + r_i - r_0 \rightarrow \infty$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$ and where r_0 is in $\left[\frac{d_0}{3}, \frac{2d_0}{3}\right]$. This contradicts (7). By replacing $r_i - T_i$ with S_i in the above argument we also obtain a contradiction if (9) holds.

REFERENCES

[1] J. Karamata, *Sur un mode de croissance régulière. Théorèmes fondamentaux*, Bull. de la Soc. Math. de France 61, (1933), 55—62.

Department of Mathematics
The Ohio State University
Columbus, Ohio 43210
U.S.A.