ON APPROXIMATION OF CONTINUOUS AND DIFFERENTIABLE FUNCTIONS BY FOURIER—JACOBI SERIES.

J. Prasad and N. Jhunjhunwala

(Received February 2, 1971)

Abstract

The question of representation of a continuous and differentiable function belonging to a certain class is examined and estimates are obtained for establishing the convergence of partial sums.

1. Representation of a function, belonging to a given class, in terms of a Fourier series of polynomials is clearly a problem of cardinal significance in analysis. Suetin [4] was able to obtain an important result in this direction by using Timan's theorem [7]. This was further generalized by Prasad [2,3] and some others. In the present investigation we carry this generalization still further and consider a function having ρ continuous derivatives on [-1, 1] such that $f^{(\rho)}(x) \in \text{Lip } \mu$, $(0 < \mu < 1)$ and present a few auxiliary results which, in a straightforward way, yield estimates on various differences which are of principal importance in resolving questions of convergence. In particular, we shall restrict ourselves to representing functions in terms of Fourier series of ultraspherical polynomials.

2. Let

(2.1)
$$S_{n,\alpha}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} C_k \overline{P}_k^{(\alpha)}(x), \qquad \alpha > -1$$

be the n^{th} partial of the Fourier—Jacobi Series of a function f(x) where

(2.2)
$$\overline{P}_{k}^{(\alpha)}(x) = \frac{\left[\left(2k+2\alpha+1\right)\Gamma(k+1)\Gamma(k+2\alpha+1)\right]^{1/2}}{2^{\alpha+\frac{1}{2}}\Gamma(k+\alpha+1)} P_{k}^{(\alpha)}(x),$$

 $P_k^{(\alpha)}(x) \equiv P_k^{(\alpha,\alpha)}(x)$ being the k^{th} degree Jacobi polynomial with $\beta = \alpha$ In [2] the first author has established the following:

Theorem 2.1. If f(x) has ρ continuous derivatives on [-1, 1], $f^{(\rho)}(x) \in \text{Lip } \mu$, $(0 < \mu < 1)$ and $0 < \alpha < 1/2$, then, for $\rho + \mu \ge 2\alpha$,

(2.3)
$$|f(x) - S_{n,\alpha}(x)| \le \frac{C_1 \ln n}{n^{p+\mu-2\alpha}}, \ x \in [-1,1]$$

and

$$(1-x^2)^{1/2} |f(x)-S_{n,\alpha}(x)| \le \frac{C_2 \ln n}{n^{\rho+\mu-2\alpha+1}}, \ x \in [-1,1].$$

In the present note we generalize Theorem 2.1 to the following:

Theorem 2.2. If f(x) has ρ continuous derivatives on [-1,1] and $f^{(0)}(x) \in$ Lip μ , $(0 < \mu < 1)$ then

$$(2.5) |f^{(r)}(x) - S_{n,\alpha}^{(r)}(x)| \le \frac{C_1^* \ln n}{n^{\rho + \mu - 2r - 2\alpha}}, \ \rho \ge 2r, \ \mu > 2\alpha (0 < \alpha < 1/2),$$

$$(2.6) (1-x^2)^{1/2} |f^{(r)}(x) - S_{n,\alpha}^{(r)}(x)| \le \frac{C_2^* \ln n}{n^{\rho+\mu-2r-2\alpha+1}}, \ \rho \ge 2r, \ \mu \ge 2\alpha \qquad (0 < \alpha < 1/2)$$
and

(2.7)
$$(1-x^2)^{\alpha/2}|f(x)-S_{n,\alpha}(x)| \leq \frac{C_3^* \ln n}{n^{\rho+\mu-2\alpha+1}}, \ \rho \geq 0, \ \mu \geq 2\alpha$$
 (0<\alpha<1/2) uniformly in [-1,1].

For the sake of convenience we introduce the following notation:

$$P_n^{(\alpha)}(x) \equiv \omega_{n,\alpha}(x)$$

3. We recall the following well-known results which will be of frequent use. From [1] pp 324, we have for $\gamma > -1$ and $\beta > -1$,

(3.1)
$$\frac{\Gamma(n+\gamma+\beta+1)}{\Gamma(n+\gamma+1)} < dn^{\beta}, \ d=a \text{ positive constant.}$$

From [5] we have for $-1 \le x \le 1$ and $0 < \alpha < 1$,

(3.2)
$$(1-x^2)^{\alpha/2} |\omega_{n,\alpha}(x)| < \frac{2^{1-\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha) n^{1-\alpha}},$$

(3.3)
$$(1-x^2)^{1/2} \left| \omega_{n,\alpha}(x) \right| < \frac{2^{1-\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha) n^{1-\alpha}}$$

and

$$|\omega_{n,\alpha}(x)| \leq C_3 n^{\alpha}.$$

Using (2.2) and (3.1) — (3.4) we obtain for $-1 \le x \le 1$ and $0 < \alpha < 1$,

(3.5)
$$(1-x^2)^{\alpha/2} | \stackrel{-}{\omega}_{n,\alpha}(x) | \leq C_4 n^{-\frac{1}{2}+\alpha},$$

(3.6)
$$(1-x^2)^{1/2} \left| \stackrel{-}{\omega}_{n,\alpha}(x) \right| \leq C_5 n^{-\frac{1}{2}+\alpha},$$

and

$$|\overline{\omega}_{n,\alpha}(x)| \leq C_6 n^{\frac{1}{2} + \alpha}.$$

Derivatives of $\omega_{n,\alpha}(x)$ satisfy the inequality

(3.8)
$$|\overline{\omega}_{n,\alpha}^{(r)}(x)| \le C_7 n^{2r+\alpha+\frac{1}{2}}, -1 \le x \le 1$$

which is readily derived by using (3.7) and Markov's inequality [1]. Furthermore, making use of (3.8) and result (4.8) of [8], pp 38, we have for $-1 \le x \le 1$,

(3.9)
$$(1-x^2)^{1/2} \left| \overline{\omega}_{n,\alpha}^{(r)}(x) \right| \leq C_8 n^{2r+\alpha-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

4. Some lemmas.

Lemma 4.1. For $-1 \le x \le 1$ and $0 < \alpha < 1$,

(4.1)
$$\int_{1}^{1} (1-t^2)^{\alpha} \left| \sum_{k=r}^{n} \overline{\omega}_{k,\alpha}(t) \overline{\omega}_{k,\alpha}^{(r)}(x) \right| dt \leq C_4^* n^{2r+2\alpha} \ln n,$$

$$(4.2) (1-x^2)^{1/2} \int_{-1}^{1} (1-t^2)^{\alpha} \left| \sum_{k=r}^{n} \overline{\omega}_{k,\alpha}(t) \overline{\omega}_{k,\alpha}^{(r)}(x) \right| dt \le C_5^* n^{2r+2\alpha-1} \ln n$$

and

(4.3)
$$(1-x^2)^{\alpha/2} \int_{-1}^{1} (1-t^2)^{\alpha} \left| \sum_{k=0}^{n} \overline{\omega}_{k,\alpha}(t) \overline{\omega}_{k,\alpha}(x) \right| dt \leq C_9 n^{2\alpha-1} \ln n.$$

Proof. We present the proof of (4.1) and remark that (4.2) and (4.3) can be proved along the same lines. By Δ_n (x) we designate the part of [-1,1] on which $|x-t| \leq \frac{1}{n}$ and by $\delta_n(x)$ the remainder of the interval. We proceed to compute now

(4.4)
$$\int_{-1}^{1} (1-t^2)^{\alpha} \left| \sum_{k=r}^{n} \overline{\omega}_{k,\alpha}(t) \, \overline{\omega}_{k,\alpha}^{(r)}(x) \right| dt = \int_{\Delta_{n}(x)} + \int_{\delta_{n}(x)} = I_1 + I_2.$$
Clearly
$$I_1 = \int_{\Delta_{n}(x)} (1-t^2)^{\alpha} \left| \sum_{k=r}^{n} \overline{\omega}_{k,\alpha}(t) \, \overline{\omega}_{k,\alpha}^{(r)}(x) \right| dt$$

$$\leq \int_{\Delta_{n}(x)} \sum_{k=r}^{n} (1-t^2)^{\alpha/2} \left| \overline{\omega}_{k,\alpha}(t) \right| \left| \overline{\omega}_{k,\alpha}^{(r)}(x) \right| dt$$

and hence using (3.5) and (3.8) we have

(4.5)
$$I_{1} \leq C_{10} \int_{\Delta_{n}(x)} \sum_{k=0}^{n} k^{(2r+2\alpha)} dt$$
$$\leq C_{11} n^{(2r+2\alpha+1)} \int_{\Delta_{n}(x)} dt \leq C_{12} n^{2(r+\alpha)}$$

In order to estimate the integral I_2 over $\delta_n(x)$ we recall the Christoffel formula [6].

$$(4.6) \qquad \sum_{k=0}^{n} \overline{\omega}_{k,\alpha}(t) \overline{\omega}_{k,\alpha}(x) = \Theta_{n} \overline{\overline{\omega}_{n+1,\alpha}(x) \overline{\omega}_{n,\alpha}(t) - \overline{\omega}_{n,\alpha}(x) \overline{\omega}_{n+1,\alpha}(t)} \qquad (0 < \Theta_{n} \le 1).$$

On differentiating r times both the sides of (4.6)

$$(4.7) \qquad \sum_{k=r}^{n} \overline{\omega}_{k,\alpha}(t) \overline{\omega}_{k,\alpha}^{(r)}(x) = \Theta_{n} \frac{\left\{ \omega_{n+1,\alpha}^{(r)}(x) \overline{\omega}_{n,\alpha}(t) - \overline{\omega}_{n,\alpha}^{(r)}(x) \overline{\omega}_{n+1,\alpha}(t) \right\}}{x-t}$$

$$+ \Theta_{n} \sum_{\nu=0}^{r-1} \frac{(-1)^{r-\nu} r! \left\{ \overline{\omega}_{n+1,\alpha}^{(\nu)}(x) \overline{\omega}_{n,\alpha}(t) - \overline{\omega}_{n,\alpha}^{(\nu)}(x) \overline{\omega}_{n+1,\alpha}(t) \right\}}{\nu! (x-t)^{r-\nu+1}} \cdot$$

Hence we have

$$(4.8) I_{2} = \int_{\delta_{n}(x)} (1-t^{2})^{\alpha} \left| \sum_{k=r}^{n} \overline{\omega}_{k,\alpha}(t) \overline{\omega}_{k,\alpha}^{(r)}(x) \right| dt$$

$$\leq \int_{\delta_{n}(x)} (1-t^{2})^{\alpha} \left| \frac{\overline{\omega}_{n+1}^{(r)}(x) \overline{\omega}_{n,\alpha}(t) - \overline{\omega}_{n,\alpha}^{(r)}(x) \overline{\omega}_{n+1,\alpha}(t)}{x-t} \right| dt$$

$$+ \int_{\delta_{n}(x)} (1-t^{2})^{\alpha} \left| \sum_{\nu=0}^{r-1} \frac{(-1)^{r-\nu} r! \{\overline{\omega}_{n+1,\alpha}^{(\nu)}(x) \overline{\omega}_{n,\alpha}(t) - \overline{\omega}_{n,\alpha}^{(\nu)}(x) \overline{\omega}_{n+1,\alpha}(t)}{\nu! (x-t)^{r-\nu+1}} \right| dt = u_{1} + u_{2}.$$

Making use of (3.6) and (3.8) and bearing in mind that for $t \in \delta_n(x)$, $|x-t| > \frac{1}{n}$ we get

$$(4.9) u_{1} = \int_{\delta_{n}(x)} (1 - t^{2})^{\alpha} \left| \frac{\overline{\omega}_{n+1,\alpha}^{(r)}(x) \overline{\omega}_{n,\alpha}(t) - \overline{\omega}_{n,\alpha}^{(r)}(x) \overline{\omega}_{n+1,\alpha}(t)}{x - t} \right|$$

$$\leq C_{13} n \int_{\delta_{n}(x)} (1 - t^{2})^{\alpha/2} \left[\left| \overline{\omega}_{n,\alpha}(t) \right| + \left| \overline{\omega}_{n+1,\alpha}(t) \right| \right] \frac{dt}{|x - t|}$$

$$\leq C_{14} n^{2r+2\alpha} \int_{\delta_{n}(x)} \frac{dt}{|x - t|} \leq C_{15} n^{2r+2\alpha} \ln n, \quad x \in [-1,1].$$

Next, using (3.6) and (3.8) we have for u_2

$$(4.10) u_{2} = \int_{\delta_{n}(x)} (1-t^{2})^{\alpha} \left| \sum_{\nu=0}^{r-1} \frac{(-1)^{r-\nu} r! \{\overline{\omega}_{n+1,\alpha}^{(\nu)}(x) \overline{\omega}_{n,\alpha}(t) - \overline{\omega}_{n,\alpha}(x) \overline{\omega}_{n+1,\alpha}(t) }{\nu! (x-t)^{r-\nu+1}} \right| dt$$

$$\leq \int_{\delta_{n}(x)} (1-t^{2})^{\alpha/2} \sum_{\nu=0}^{r-1} \frac{r!}{\nu!} \frac{\{|\overline{\omega}_{n+1,\alpha}^{(\nu)}(x)||\overline{\omega}_{n,\alpha}(t)| + |\overline{\omega}_{n,\alpha}^{(\nu)}(x)||\overline{\omega}_{n+1,\alpha}(t)|\}}{|x-t|^{r-\nu+1}} dt$$

$$\leq C_{16} \sum_{\nu=0}^{r-1} \frac{n^{2\nu+2\alpha}}{\nu!} \int_{\delta_{n}(n)} \frac{dt}{|x-t|^{r-\nu+1}}$$

$$\leq C_{17} n^{2r+2\alpha-1}, \ x \in [-1,1].$$

Thus, from (4.4), (4.5) (4.8), (4.9) and (4.10) the lemma is established.

Lemma 4.2. For $-1 \le x \le 1$ and $0 < \alpha < 1$, we have

(4.11)
$$\int_{-1}^{1} (1-t^2)^{\frac{\rho+\mu}{2}+\alpha} \left| \sum_{k=r}^{n} \overline{\omega}_{k,\alpha}(t) \overline{\omega}_{k,\alpha}^{(r)}(x) \right| dt \leq C_{18} n^{2r+2\alpha} \ln n,$$

$$(4.12) (1-x^2)^{1/2} \int_{-1}^{1} (1-t^2)^{\frac{\rho+\mu}{2}+\alpha} \left| \sum_{k=r}^{n} \overline{\omega}_{k,\alpha}(t) \overline{\omega}_{k,\alpha}^{(r)}(x) \right| dt \leq C_{19} n^{2r+2\alpha-1} \ln n,$$

and

$$(4.13) (1-x^2)^{\alpha/2} \int_{1}^{1} (1-t^2)^{\frac{\rho+\mu}{2}+\alpha} \left| \sum_{k=0}^{n} \overline{\omega}_{k,\alpha}(t) \overline{\omega}_{k,\alpha}(x) \right| dt \leq C_{20} n^{2\alpha-1} \ln n.$$

Proof: This follows immediately from lemma 4.1.

Lemma 4.3. Let $f^{(q)}(x) \in \text{Lip } \mu$, $(0 < \mu < 1)$. Then there exists a polynomial $Q_n(x)$ of degree at most n such that

$$|f(x)-Q_n(x)| \le \frac{C_{21}}{n^{q+\mu}} \left((1-x^2)^{\frac{q+\mu}{2}} + \frac{1}{n^{q+\mu}} \right),$$

and

$$|f^{(r)}(x) - Q_n^{(r)}(x)| \le \frac{C_{22}}{n^{q+\mu-r}} \left((1-x^2)^{\frac{q+\mu-r}{2}} + \frac{1}{n^{q+\mu-r}} \right)$$

uniformly in [-1,1] and r = 1, 2, ..., q.

This was already demonstrated in [3].

5. Proof of Theorem 2.2. It suffices to prove (2.5) only for the proof of (2.6) follows similar reasoning while that of (2.7) is only a minor variant of the one already contained in [2]. Obviously then,

$$|f^{(r)}(x) - S_{n,\alpha}^{(r)}(x)| = |f^{(r)}(x) - Q_n^{(r)}(x) + Q_n^{(r)}(x) - S_{n,\alpha}^{(r)}(x)|$$

$$\leq |f^{(r)}(x) - Q_n^{(r)}(x)| + \int_{-1}^{1} (1 - t^2)^{\alpha} |Q_n(t) - f(t)| \left| \sum_{k=r}^{n} \overline{\omega}_{k,\alpha}(t) \overline{\omega}_{k,\alpha}^{(r)}(x) \right| dt.$$

Using lemma 4.3, we have

$$|f^{(r)}(x) - S_{n,\alpha}^{(r)}(x)| \le \frac{C_{22}}{n^{\rho+\mu-r}} \left[(1 - x^2)^{\frac{\rho+\mu-r}{2}} + \frac{1}{n^{\rho+\mu-r}} \right]$$

$$+ \frac{C_{21}}{n^{\rho+\mu}} \int_{-1}^{1} \left\{ (1 - t^2)^{\frac{\rho+\mu}{2} + \alpha} + \frac{(1 - t^2)^{\alpha}}{n^{\rho+\mu}} \right\} \left| \sum_{k=r}^{n} \overline{\omega}_{k,\alpha}(t) \overline{\omega}_{k,\alpha}^{(r)}(x) \right| dt$$

$$\le \frac{C_{23}}{n^{\rho+\mu-r}} + \frac{C_{21}}{n^{\rho+\mu}} \int_{-1}^{1} (1 - t^2)^{\frac{\rho+\mu}{2} + \alpha} \left| \sum_{k=r}^{n} \overline{\omega}_{k,\alpha}(t) \overline{\omega}_{k,\alpha}^{(r)}(x) \right| dt$$

$$+ \frac{C_{21}}{n^{2(\rho+\mu)}} \int_{-1}^{1} (1 - t^2)^{\alpha} \left| \sum_{k=r}^{n} \overline{\omega}_{k,\alpha}(t) \overline{\omega}_{k,\alpha}^{(r)}(x) \right| dt.$$

Hence, using estimates (4.1) and (4.11) we finally obtain

$$|f^{(r)}(x) - S_{n,\alpha}^{(r)}(x)| \le \frac{C_{23}}{n^{\rho + \mu - r}} + \frac{C_{24} n^{2r + 2\alpha} \ln n}{n^{\rho + \mu}} + \frac{C_{25} n^{2r + 2\alpha} \ln n}{n^{2\rho + 2\mu}}$$

$$\le C_{26} \frac{\ln n}{n^{\rho + \mu - 2r - 2\alpha}}; \ \rho \ge 2r, \ \mu > 2\alpha.$$

This completes the proof of (2.5) whence the basic theorem of this investigation is established.

REFERENCES

- [1] I. P. Natanson, Constructive function theory, English translation, United States Atomic Energy Commission (1962).
- [2] J. Prasad, On the uniform approximation of continuous and differentiable functions, submitted for publication to Bulletin de La Société Mathématique de Belgique (1970).
- [3] J. Prasad, Remarks on a theorem of P. K. Suetin, Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, 21 (3) (1971), 349-354.
- [4] P. K. Suetin, Representation of continuous and differentiable function by Fourier series of Legendre polynomials, Soviet Math. Dokl., 5 (1964), 1408—1410.
- [5] P. Szász, On a sum concerning the zeros of the Jacobi polynomials with application to the theory of generalized Quasi-step Parabolas, Monat. für Math. 68 (2), (1964), pp. 167—174.
 - [6] Szegö, Orthogonal Polynomials, Amer. Math. Soc. Collo. Publ. 23 (1959).
- [7] A. F. Timan, Theory of approximation of functions of a real variable (English transl: Fizmatgiz., Moscow, 1960).
- [8]. J. Todd, Introduction to the constructive theory of functions. Academic Press Inc., New York, (1963).

Department of Mathematics
California State College
Los Angeles, U. S. A.
and
Department of Mathematics
City University of New York
The City College, New York, N.Y. 10031