

ON FIXED POINTS

*S. P. Singh**

(Received July 9, 1969)

Let (X, d) be a metric space. A mapping $T: X \rightarrow X$ is called a contraction mapping if there is a real number k , $0 < k < 1$, such that

$$(A) \quad d(Tx, Ty) \leq kd(x, y)$$

for x, y in X .

The well-known Banach Contraction Principle states that a contraction mapping of a complete metric space X into itself has a unique fixed point. This theorem has been extensively used in the existence theorems of differential and integral equations. Recently Kannan [2] proved the following result.

Theorem: If T is a mapping of a complete metric space X into itself such that

$$(B) \quad d(Tx, Ty) \leq \alpha \{d(x, Tx) + d(y, Ty)\}$$

for x, y in X and $0 < \alpha < \frac{1}{2}$, then T has a unique fixed point in X .

The aim of this paper is to prove some related theorems on fixed points.

Kannan [2] has shown that none of conditions (A) and (B) imply the other.

We prove the following proposition:

Suppose $k < \frac{1}{3}$. Then (A) implies (B).

Proof: $d(Tx, Ty) \leq kd(Tx, y)$

$$\leq k[d(x, Tx) + d(Tx, Ty) + d(Ty, y)].$$

This implies that

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq \frac{k}{1-k} [d(x, Tx) + d(y, Ty)].$$

Now, $k < \frac{1}{3}$ implies $\alpha = \frac{k}{1-k} < \frac{1}{2}$, so that (B) is satisfied.

* This work was partially supported by NRC — grant number A—3097.

Theorem 1: Let X be a metric space with metrics d and δ such that $d(x, y) \leq \delta(x, y)$ for each pair x, y in X . Let X be complete with respect to d and let $T: X \rightarrow X$ be function in (X, d) and

$$\delta(Tx, Ty) \leq \alpha \{\delta(x, Tx) + \delta(y, Ty)\}$$

for x, y in X and $0 < \alpha < \frac{1}{2}$. Then there exists a unique fixed point of T in X .

Proof: Let $x_0 \in X$ be an arbitrary point and consider the sequence of successive approximations

$$x_n = Tx_{n-1}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

Then $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence with respect to δ . In fact,

$$\delta(T^n x, T^{n+1} x) \leq \left(\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}\right)^n \delta(x_1, x_0)$$

since $\delta(Tx, Ty) \leq \alpha \{\delta(x, Tx) + \delta(y, Ty)\}$.

Thus for $0 < \alpha < \frac{1}{2}$, $\delta(T^n x, T^{n+1} x) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence with respect to δ implies it is a Cauchy sequence with respect to d for

$$d(x, y) \leq \delta(x, y) \text{ for } x, y \text{ in } X.$$

Since X is complete with respect to d , therefore, $\{x_n\}$ converges to x in X .

Since all iterates converge to the same point, as can be seen in the following way - If $T^n x_0' \rightarrow x_1$, $T^n x_0'' \rightarrow x_2$, then

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_1, x_2) &\leq d(x_1, T^n x_0') + d(T^n x_0', T^n x_0'') + d(T^n x_0'', x_2) \\ &\leq d(x_1, T^n x_0') + \alpha \{d(T^{n-1} x_0', T^n x_0') + d(T^{n-1} x_0'', T^n x_0'')\} + d(T^n x_0'', x_2) \\ &\rightarrow 0, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

So, let x be such a unique point. Then

$$d(Tx, T^{n+1} x) \leq \alpha \{d(x, T^n x) + d(T^n x, T^{n+1} x)\} \rightarrow 0.$$

This implies that $T^{n+1} x \rightarrow Tx$ and so $Tx = x$.

A similar theorem for contraction mapping in a metric space has been given by Maia [3].

Remark: In case T does not satisfy conditions of Theorem 1, but T^p (p is a positive integer) does satisfy, then T has a unique fixed point.

Proof: By Theorem 1, T^p has a unique fixed point say x_0 . Then

$$T^p x_0 = x_0.$$

Now, $Tx_0 = Tx_0^{p+1} = T^p(Tx_0)$.

Thus Tx_0 is a fixed point of T^p . But T^p has a unique fixed point x_0 therefore,

$$Tx_0 = x_0.$$

Hence T has a unique fixed point.

Theorem 2: *If (1) $\{T_i\}$ is a sequence of mapping of X into itself with*

$$d(T_i x, T_i y) \leq \alpha \{d(x, T_i x) + d(y, T_i y)\}, \quad (x, y \text{ in } X)$$

for each $i=1, 2, \dots$ and each T_i has a fixed point a_i ; and (2) $\{T_i\}$ converges pointwise to a mapping $T: X \rightarrow X$ with a fixed point a ; then the sequence $\{a_n\}$ of fixed points converges to a where a is a fixed point of T . Also T has only one fixed point.

Proof: Since $\{T_i\}$ converges pointwise to T , given $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a positive integer N such that $n \geq N$ implies

$$d(Ta, T_n a) < \frac{\varepsilon}{1 + \alpha}.$$

Thus for $n \geq N$,

$$\begin{aligned} d(a, a_n) &= d(Ta, T_n a_n) \\ &\leq d(Ta, T_n a) + d(T_n a, T_n a_n) \\ &< \frac{\varepsilon}{1 + \alpha} + \alpha [d(a, T_n a) + d(a_n, T_n a_n)]. \end{aligned}$$

Since a_n is a fixed point of T_n , we get

$$\begin{aligned} d(a, a_n) &< \frac{\varepsilon}{1 + \alpha} + \alpha d(a, T_n a) \\ &\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{1 + \alpha} + \alpha [d(a, Ta) + d(Ta, T_n a)]. \end{aligned}$$

Again, since a is a fixed point of T , we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(a, a_n) &\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{1 + \alpha} + \alpha d(Ta, T_n a) \\ &< \frac{\varepsilon}{1 + \alpha} + \frac{\alpha \varepsilon}{1 + \alpha} \\ &= \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

So that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = a$.

Suppose b is another fixed point of T . Then by the above argument $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = b$. Hence, T has a unique fixed point.

Definition: A mapping $T: X \rightarrow X$ is called a non-expansive mapping if $d(Tx, Ty) \leq d(x, y)$ for all x, y in X . In particular, every contractive mapping $T: X \rightarrow X$ is non-expansive.

Cheney and Goldstein [1] proved the following theorem:

Let T be a map of a metric space X into itself such that

- (1) $d(Tx, Ty) \leq d(x, y)$,
- (2) if $x \neq Tx$, then $d(Tx, T^2 x) < d(x, Tx)$,
- (3) for some $x_0 \in X$, the sequence $\{T^n x_0\}$ has a subsequence $\{T^{n_i} x_0\}$ converging to x . Then the sequence $T^n x_0$ converges to x and x is a fixed point.

We prove the following result.

Theorem 3: *Let X be a metric space and T be a continuous function of X into itself. Suppose*

- (1) $d(Tx, Ty) \leq d(x, y)$, x and y belonging to an everywhere dense subset M of X ,
- (2) if $x \neq Tx$, then $d(Tx, T^2x) < d(x, Tx)$.
- (3) for some $x_0 \in X$, the sequence $\{T^n x_0\}$ has a convergent sequence $\{T^{n_i} x_0\}$ converging to x , then the sequence $\{T^n x_0\}$ converges to x and x is a fixed point.

Proof: The proof will follow from the above Theorem if we could show that (1) holds for all x, y in X .

Let $x, y \in X$, if $x \in M$ and $y \in X - M$, let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in M such that $x_n \rightarrow y$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} d(Tx, Ty) &\leq d(Tx, Tx_n) + d(Tx_n, Ty) \\ &\leq d(x, x_n) + d(Tx_n, Ty). \end{aligned}$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq d(x, y).$$

Now, consider the case when $x \in X - M$ and $y \in X - M$. Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in M such that $x_n \rightarrow y$.

Then

$$\begin{aligned} d(Tx, Ty) &\leq d(Tx, Tx_n) + d(Tx_n, Ty) \\ &\leq d(x, x_n) + d(Tx_n, Ty) \end{aligned}$$

from the preceding case.

Taking limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq d(x, y).$$

Thus the theorem follows.

Remark: The referee suggested a more general result than the Theorem 2. in the following way:

Consider the mapping $T: X \rightarrow X$ such that

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq pd(x, Tx) + qd(y, Ty), \quad 0 \leq p + q < 1.$$

The author wishes to express his sincere thanks to the referee for his suggestions regarding the improvement of the paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] Cheney E. W. and Goldstein, A. A., *Proximity maps for convex sets* Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 6 Vol. 10 (1959) 448-450.
- [2] Kannan, R. *Some results on fixed points II*, Amer. Math. Monthly 76 (1969) 405-408.
- [3] Maia M. G., *Un'osservazione sulle contrazioni metriche* Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova, Vol. XL, (1968) 139-143.

Memorial University
St. John's, Newfoundland