

THE NON LINEAR CAUCHY PROBLEM OF OPERATOR DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

Marija Skendžić

(Communicated December 26, 1969)

We consider the non linear Cauchy problem

$$X'(\lambda) = -s a(\lambda) X^{n+1}(\lambda), \quad X(0) = I$$

over the field of Mikusiński operators; here $a(\lambda)$ is continuous numerical function and s is the operator of differentiation.

We construct a solution $X(\lambda)$ to the above Cauchy problem. This solution is continuous, possesses the continuous derivative for $\lambda \in [0, \Lambda]$, and belongs to the class $\mathcal{A}(f)$ of operator functions defined below (Definition 1).

Further we give several properties of the class $\mathcal{A}(f)$ which is of interest in its own right. Namely a class very similar to the $\mathcal{A}(f)$ occurred earlier in connection with certain linear Cauchy problem of this kind [2].

Remark: Definitions and notations concerning Mikusiński operators can be found in [1]. Further, C is the set of all complex function of a real variable t , which are continuous for $t \geq 0$.

Definition 1. $\mathcal{A}(f)$ is a subset of Mikusiński operational function of the form

$$X(\lambda) = \begin{cases} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_k I^{k+\gamma}}{f^{k+\alpha}(\lambda)} & 0 < \lambda < \Lambda \\ p & \lambda = 0, \end{cases}$$

here $\gamma \geq 0$ and $\alpha \geq 0$; I is the operator of integration, p is an operator, $f(\lambda)$ is a numerical function defined on $(0, \Lambda]$ and $f(\lambda) \neq 0$ for any $\lambda \in (0, \Lambda]$. a_k are complex numbers and the series $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k$ converges absolutely.

Lemma 1. The operational series $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_k I^{k+\gamma}}{f^{k+\alpha}(\lambda_0)}$ is convergent in the operational sense for any $\lambda_0 \in (0, \Lambda]$.

Proof. First notice that

$$I \frac{a_k I^{k+\gamma}}{f^{k+\alpha}(\lambda_0)} = \left\{ \frac{a_k t^{k+\gamma}}{\Gamma(k+\gamma+1) f^{k+\alpha}(\lambda_0)} \right\} \in C.$$

For each $k=1, 2, 3, \dots$ and $T>0$, one has $\Gamma(k+\gamma+1) > \left(\frac{2T}{m}\right)^{k+\gamma} e^{-(1+\frac{2T}{m})}$ where $m=|f(\lambda_0)|$. Therefore, for $0 \leq t \leq T$

$$\left| \frac{a_k t^{k+\gamma}}{\Gamma(k+\gamma+1) f^{k+\alpha}(\lambda_0)} \right| \leq \frac{|a_k| e^{1+\frac{2T}{m}} m^{\gamma-\alpha}}{2^{k+\gamma}}.$$

From the above inequality follows that the series $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_k t^{k+\gamma}}{\Gamma(k+\gamma+1) f^{k+\alpha}(\lambda_0)}$ converges absolutely and uniformly in every finite interval $0 \leq t \leq T$, which proves lemma 1.

Definition 2. Let $X(\lambda)$ and $Y(\lambda)$ be two elements of $\mathcal{A}(f)$:

$$X(\lambda) = \begin{cases} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_k l^{k+\gamma}}{f^{k+\alpha}(\lambda)} & 0 < \lambda \leq \Lambda \\ p & \lambda = 0 \end{cases}$$

$$Y(\lambda) = \begin{cases} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{b_k l^{k+\delta}}{f^{k+\beta}(\lambda)} & 0 < \lambda \leq \Lambda \\ r & \lambda = 0 \end{cases}$$

The operational function $Z(\lambda)$

$$Z(\lambda) = \begin{cases} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{c_k l^{k+\gamma+\delta}}{f^{k+\alpha+\beta}(\lambda)} & 0 < \lambda \leq \Lambda \\ pr & \lambda = 0, \end{cases}$$

where $c_k = \sum_{i=0}^k a_i b_{k-i}$, is called the product (\circ) of $X(\lambda)$ and $Y(\lambda)$, $Z(\lambda) = X(\lambda) \circ Y(\lambda)$.

Lemma 2. For any $\lambda_0 \in [0, \Lambda]$ holds:

- 1° $Z(\lambda_0) = X(\lambda_0) Y(\lambda_0)$
- 2° $Z(\lambda) \in \mathcal{A}(f)$
- 3° $X(\lambda) \circ Y(\lambda) = Y(\lambda) \circ X(\lambda)$
- 4° $X(\lambda) \circ [Y(\lambda) \circ T(\lambda)] = [X(\lambda) \circ Y(\lambda)] \circ T(\lambda)$.

Proof. 1° For $\lambda_0 \neq 0$ we put

$$X(\lambda_0) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \omega_k; \quad X_n(\lambda_0) = \sum_{k=0}^n \omega_k; \quad \omega_k = \frac{a_k l^{k+\gamma}}{f^{k+\alpha}(\lambda_0)}$$

$$Y(\lambda_0) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} w_k; \quad Y_n(\lambda_0) = \sum_{k=0}^n w_k; \quad w_k = \frac{b_k l^{k+\delta}}{f^{k+\beta}(\lambda_0)}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 F &= IX(\lambda_0) = \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \psi_k(t) \right\}, & \{F_n(t)\} &= \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^n \psi_k(t) \right\} \\
 G &= IY(\lambda_0) = \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \varphi_k(t) \right\}, & \{G_n(t)\} &= \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^n \varphi_k(t) \right\} \\
 U(t) &= \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |\psi_k(t)| \right\} & V(t) &= \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |\varphi_k(t)| \right\} \\
 M &= \max_{0 \leq t \leq T} U(t) & L &= \max_{0 \leq t \leq T} V(t)
 \end{aligned}$$

(all series are convergent by Lemma 1).

Now we have

$$Z(\lambda_0) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{c_k l^{k+\gamma+\delta}}{f^{k+\alpha+\beta}(\lambda_0)} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i=0}^k \omega_i w_{k-i}$$

and

$$Z_n(\lambda_0) = \sum_{k=0}^n \sum_{i=0}^k \omega_i w_{k-i} = X_n(\lambda_0) Y(\lambda_0) + \sum_{k=0}^n \omega_k [y_{n-k}(\lambda_0) - y(\lambda_0)].$$

To complete the proof we have to show that for $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$l^2 \sum_{k=0}^n \omega_k [Y_{n-k}(\lambda_0) - Y(\lambda_0)] = \sum_{k=0}^n \{\psi_k(t)\} \{G_{n-k}(t) - G(t)\} \rightarrow 0$$

uniformly in every finite interval $0 < t < T$.

From Lemma 1. there follows that for any $\varepsilon > 0$, $0 < t \leq T$, $p = 1, 2, \dots$ there exist N_1 and N_2 such that

$$|G_n(t) - G(t)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2MT} \quad \text{for } n > N_1$$

and

$$\sum_{i=n+1}^{n+p} |\psi_i(t)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{4KT} \quad \text{for } n > N_2.$$

Let $N = \max(N_1, N_2)$; now for $n > 2N$ and $0 < t \leq T$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left| \sum_{k=0}^n \int_0^t \psi_k(t-\tau) [G_{n-k}(\tau) - G(\tau)] d\tau \right| < \\
 & \sum_{k=0}^{n-N-1} \int_0^t |\psi_k(t-\tau)| |G_{n-k}(\tau) - G(\tau)| d\tau + \\
 & \sum_{k=n-N}^n \int_0^t |\psi_k(t-\tau)| |G_{n-k}(\tau) - G(\tau)| d\tau < \varepsilon
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $Z(\lambda_0) = X(\lambda_0) Y(\lambda_0)$ for $\lambda_0 \in [0, \Lambda]$.

Properties 2°, 3°, 4° are obvious.

Lemma 3. Suppose that $f(\lambda)$ is a numerical function defined on $(0, \Lambda]$, and $f(\lambda) \neq 0$. Further, put for $0 < \gamma < 1$

$$X(\lambda) = \begin{cases} \gamma^\gamma \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{-\gamma}{k} \frac{\lambda^{k+\gamma} \gamma^k}{f^{k+\gamma}(\lambda)} & 0 < \lambda \leq \Lambda \\ I & \lambda = 0 \end{cases}$$

then $X(\lambda) \in \mathcal{A}(f)$ and for each $n \in N$ holds

$$X^n(\lambda) = \begin{cases} \gamma^{n\gamma} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{-n\gamma}{k} \frac{\lambda^{k+n\gamma} \gamma^n}{f^{k+n\gamma}(\lambda)} & 0 < \lambda \leq \Lambda \\ I & \lambda = 0. \end{cases}$$

Proof. The series $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \gamma^k \frac{(-1)^k \Gamma(\gamma+k)}{\Gamma(\gamma) k!}$ is absolutely convergent and so $X(\lambda) \in \mathcal{A}(f)$. The identity follows from definition 2. and from

$$\binom{\alpha+\beta}{k} = \sum_{i=0}^k \binom{\alpha}{i} \binom{\beta}{k-i}.$$

Lemma 4. Let $f(\lambda)$ be a continuous numerical function in $[0, \Lambda]$, $f(\lambda) \neq 0$ for $\lambda \in (0, \Lambda]$, $f(0) = 0$. If there exists certain interval $(0, \eta]$, $\eta \leq \Lambda$ in which $f(\lambda) > 0$, then the operational function

$$U(\lambda) = \begin{cases} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\binom{-\gamma}{k} \gamma^k \lambda^{k+\gamma}}{f^{k+\gamma}(\lambda)} & 0 < \lambda \leq \Lambda, \quad 0 < \gamma < 1, \\ I & \lambda = 0 \end{cases}$$

is continuous in the closed interval $[0, \Lambda]$ and $U(\lambda) \in \mathcal{A}(f)$.

Proof. For $0 < \gamma < 1$ the series $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{-\gamma}{k} \gamma^k$ is absolutely convergent so that $U(\lambda) \in \mathcal{A}(f)$. The continuity of $U(\lambda)$ in $[0, \Lambda]$ follows from the continuity of the numerical function $F(\lambda, t)$ of two variables λ, t such that $0 < \lambda \leq \Lambda$, $t \geq 0$, where $F(\lambda, t)$ is defined by the parametric function

$$\{F(\lambda, t)\} = I^{1+\varepsilon} U(\lambda), \quad \varepsilon > 0$$

$$F(\lambda, t) = \begin{cases} \frac{\gamma^\gamma}{\Gamma(1+\varepsilon) f^\gamma(\lambda) \Gamma(\gamma)} \int_0^t (t-\tau)^\varepsilon \tau^{\gamma-1} e^{-\frac{\gamma\tau}{f(\lambda)}} d\tau & 0 < \lambda \leq \Lambda \\ & t \geq 0 \\ \frac{t^\varepsilon}{\Gamma(1+\varepsilon)} & \lambda = 0 \\ & t \geq 0 \end{cases}$$

$$F(\lambda, t) = \begin{cases} \frac{\gamma^\gamma t^{\varepsilon+\gamma}}{\Gamma(1+\varepsilon) f^\gamma(\lambda) \Gamma(\gamma)} \int_0^1 (1-\tau)^\varepsilon \tau^{\gamma-1} e^{-\frac{\gamma t \tau}{f(\lambda)}} d\tau & 0 < \lambda \leq \Lambda \\ & t \geq 0 \\ \frac{t^\varepsilon}{\Gamma(1+\varepsilon)} & \lambda = 0 \\ & t \geq 0 \end{cases}$$

The function of the real variables $(1-\tau)^\varepsilon \tau^{\gamma-1} e^{-\frac{\gamma t \tau}{f(\lambda)}}$ is continuous for $0 < \tau \leq 1$, $t \geq 0$, $0 < \lambda \leq \Lambda$.

Further integral $\int_0^1 (1-\tau)^\varepsilon \tau^{\gamma-1} e^{-\frac{\gamma t \tau}{f(\lambda)}} d\tau$ is uniformly convergent in every closed domain $0 \leq t \leq T$, $0 < \delta < \lambda \leq \Lambda$. Therefore $F(\lambda, t)$ is continuous in the domain $t \geq 0$, $0 < \lambda \leq \Lambda$.

When $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ and $t \rightarrow t_0 \neq 0$ $\int_0^1 (1-\tau)^\varepsilon \tau^{\gamma-1} e^{-\frac{\gamma t \tau}{f(\lambda)}} d\tau \sim \Gamma(\gamma) \left(\frac{f(\lambda)}{\gamma t}\right)^\gamma$ thus we have

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} F(\lambda, t) = \frac{t^\varepsilon}{\Gamma(1+\varepsilon)}$$

$$t \rightarrow t_0 \neq 0$$

To complete the proof we have to see that $\lim_{\substack{\lambda \rightarrow 0 \\ t \rightarrow 0}} F(\lambda, t) = 0$ in the domain $0 < \lambda \leq \Lambda$, $t \geq 0$. For each $\xi > 0$ there exists a domain

$$0 \leq t < \min\left(1, \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\xi \Gamma(1+\varepsilon)}\right), 0 < \lambda \leq \lambda_1 < \eta \text{ in which}$$

$$|F(\lambda, t)| < \gamma^\gamma \frac{1}{\Gamma(1+\varepsilon) \Gamma(\gamma)} 2^\varepsilon t^\varepsilon \frac{1}{f^\gamma(\lambda)} \int_0^1 \tau^{\gamma-1} e^{-\frac{\gamma \tau}{f(\lambda)}} d\tau \leq \xi,$$

which proves the Lemma 4.

Lemma 5. Let $f(\lambda)$ be a continuous numerical function in $[0, \Lambda]$, $f(\lambda) \neq 0$ for $\lambda \in (0, \Lambda]$, $f(0) = 0$. If there exists certain interval $(0, \eta]$, $\eta < \Lambda$, in which $f(\lambda) \neq 0$, then the operational function

$$Y(\lambda) = \begin{cases} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{-\gamma}{k} \frac{\gamma^k f^{k+\gamma}(k+\gamma)}{f^{k+\gamma+1}(\lambda)} & 0 < \lambda \leq \Lambda \\ s & \lambda = 0 \end{cases}$$

$0 < \gamma < 1$, is continuous in the closed interval $[0, \Lambda]$ and $Y(\lambda) \in \mathcal{A}_t(f)$.

Proof. For $0 < \gamma < 1$ the series $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{-\gamma}{k} \gamma^k (k+\gamma)$ is absolutely convergent and so $Y(\lambda) \in \mathcal{A}_t(f)$.

The continuity of $Y(\lambda)$ in $[0, \Lambda]$ follows from the continuity of the numerical function $G(\lambda, t)$ of two variables in the domain $0 \leq \lambda \leq \Lambda$, $t \geq 0$; where $G(\lambda, t)$ is defined by the parametric function $\{G(\lambda, t)\} = I^3 Y(\lambda)$

$$G(\lambda, t) = \begin{cases} \gamma^\gamma \frac{1}{\Gamma(3)\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_0^t (t-\tau)^2 \tau^{\gamma-1} e^{-\frac{\gamma\tau}{f(\lambda)}} \left[\frac{\gamma}{f^{\gamma+1}(\lambda)} - \frac{\gamma\tau}{f^{2+\gamma}(\lambda)} \right] d\tau & 0 < \lambda \leq \Lambda, \quad t \geq 0 \\ \frac{t}{\Gamma(2)} & \lambda = 0, \quad t \geq 0 \end{cases}$$

$$G(\lambda, t) = \begin{cases} \frac{\gamma^\gamma t^{2+\gamma}}{\Gamma(3)\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_0^1 (1-\tau)^2 \tau^{\gamma-1} e^{-\frac{\gamma t \tau}{f(\lambda)}} \left[\frac{\gamma}{f^{\gamma+1}(\lambda)} - \frac{\gamma t \tau}{f^{2+\gamma}(\lambda)} \right] d\tau, & 0 < \lambda \leq \Lambda, \quad t \geq 0 \\ \frac{t}{\Gamma(2)} & \lambda = 0, \quad t \geq 0. \end{cases}$$

For $0 < \tau \leq 1$, $0 < \lambda \leq \Lambda$, $t \geq 0$ the function $(1-\tau)^2 \tau^{\gamma-1} e^{-\frac{\gamma t \tau}{f(\lambda)}} \left[\frac{\gamma}{f^{\gamma+1}(\lambda)} - \frac{\gamma t \tau}{f^{2+\gamma}(\lambda)} \right]$ of the real variables is continuous.

Further integral $\int_0^1 (1-\tau)^2 \tau^{\gamma-1} e^{-\frac{\gamma t \tau}{f(\lambda)}} \left[\frac{\gamma}{f^{\gamma+1}(\lambda)} - \frac{\gamma t \tau}{f^{2+\gamma}(\lambda)} \right] d\tau$ is uniformly convergent in every closed domain $0 \leq t \leq T$, $0 < \delta \leq \lambda \leq \Lambda$. Therefore $G(\lambda, t)$ is continuous in the domain $0 < \lambda \leq \Lambda$, $t \geq 0$.

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\substack{\lambda \rightarrow 0 \\ t \rightarrow t_0 \neq 0}} G(\lambda, t) &= \lim_{\substack{\lambda \rightarrow 0 \\ t \rightarrow t_0 \neq 0}} \gamma^\gamma \frac{t^{2+\gamma}}{\Gamma(3)\Gamma(\gamma)} \left[\frac{\gamma}{f^{\gamma+1}(\lambda)} \Gamma(\gamma) \left(\frac{f(\lambda)}{\gamma t} \right)^\gamma - \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{2\gamma}{f^{\gamma+1}(\lambda)} \Gamma(1+\gamma) \left(\frac{f(\lambda)}{\gamma t} \right)^{1+\gamma} - \frac{\gamma t}{f^{2+\gamma}(\lambda)} \Gamma(1+\gamma) \left(\frac{f(\lambda)}{\gamma t} \right)^{1+\gamma} + \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{2\gamma t}{f^{2+\gamma}(\lambda)} \Gamma(2+\gamma) \left(\frac{f(\lambda)}{\gamma t} \right)^{2+\gamma} \right] = \frac{t_0}{\Gamma(2)}. \end{aligned}$$

To complete the proof we have to see that $\lim_{\substack{\lambda \rightarrow 0 \\ t \rightarrow 0}} G(\lambda, t) = 0$ in the domain $0 < \lambda \leq \Lambda$, $t \geq 0$. For each $\xi > 0$ there exists a domain $0 < \lambda \leq \lambda_0 < \eta$, $0 \leq t < \min\left(1, \frac{\xi}{2}\right)$ such that $f(\lambda) < \frac{\xi}{2}$, in which

$$\begin{aligned} |G(\lambda, t)| &\leq \frac{\gamma^{\gamma+1}}{f^{2+\gamma}(\lambda)\Gamma(3)\Gamma(\gamma)} \left(\frac{2tf(\lambda)}{\gamma} \int_0^1 \tau^\gamma e^{-\frac{\gamma\tau}{f(\lambda)}} d\tau + \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{2f(\lambda)}{\gamma} \int_0^1 \tau^{1+\gamma} e^{-\frac{\gamma\tau}{f(\lambda)}} d\tau \right) \leq \xi, \end{aligned}$$

which proves the Lemma 5.

Lemma 6. *If $f(\lambda)$ is differentiable in $(0, \Lambda]$, the operational functions of the class $\mathcal{A}_t(f)$ are also differentiable in $(0, \Lambda]$ and one can obtain its derivative by differentiating the defining operational series term by term.*

Proof. Let $\omega(\lambda) \in \mathcal{A}_t(f)$. For $0 < \lambda \leq \Lambda$, $\omega(\lambda) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_k t^{k+\gamma}}{f^{k+\alpha}(\lambda)}$. Then the numerical function $0(\lambda, t)$ defined by the parametrical function $\{0(\lambda, t)\} = l\omega(\lambda)$ is of the form $0(\lambda, t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_k t^{k+\gamma}}{\Gamma(k+\gamma+1)f^{k+\alpha}(\lambda)}$ $0 < \lambda \leq \Lambda$.

The series $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_k t^{k+\gamma}(k+\alpha)}{\Gamma(k+\gamma+1)f^{k+\alpha}(\lambda)}$ is uniformly convergent in each closed domain $0 < \lambda_1 \leq \lambda \leq \Lambda$, $0 \leq t \leq T$. Hence, for $0 < \lambda \leq \Lambda$

$$\frac{\partial 0(\lambda, t)}{\partial \lambda} = - \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_k t^{k+\gamma}(k+\alpha)}{\Gamma(k+\gamma+1)f^{k+\alpha+1}(\lambda)} f'(\lambda).$$

Therefore for $0 < \lambda \leq \Lambda$

$$\omega'(\lambda) = - \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_k(k+\alpha)t^{k+\gamma}}{f^{k+\alpha+1}(\lambda)} f'(\lambda).$$

Lemma 7. *Let $f(\lambda)$ be a continuous numerical function in $[0, \Lambda]$ $f(\lambda) \neq 0$ for $\lambda \in (0, \Lambda]$, $f(0) = 0$. Further, let $f(\lambda)$ possess a bounded derivation $f'(\lambda)$ in $[0, \Lambda]$. If there exists certain interval $(0, \eta]$, $\eta \leq \Lambda$ in which $f(\lambda) > 0$, then the operational function*

$$U(\lambda) = \begin{cases} \gamma^\gamma \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\binom{-\gamma}{k} \gamma^k l^{k+\gamma}}{f^{k+\gamma}(\lambda)} & 0 < \lambda \leq \Lambda \\ I & \lambda = 0 \end{cases}$$

$0 < \gamma < 1$; is differentiable in $[0, \Lambda]$, $U(\lambda) \in \mathcal{A}_t(f)$ and

$$U'(\lambda) = \begin{cases} -\gamma^\gamma \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\binom{-\gamma}{k} \gamma^k l^{k+\gamma}(k+\gamma)f'(\lambda)}{f^{k+\gamma+1}(\lambda)} & 0 < \lambda \leq \Lambda \\ -sf'(0) & \lambda = 0 \end{cases}$$

Proof. The relation $U(\lambda) \in \mathcal{A}_t(f)$ is proved in Lemma 4, and from Lemma 6 follows

$$U'(\lambda) = -\gamma^\gamma \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\binom{-\gamma}{k} \gamma^k l^{k+\gamma}(k+\gamma)f'(\lambda)}{f^{k+\gamma+1}(\lambda)} \quad 0 < \lambda \leq \Lambda.$$

We have therefore only to show that $U'(0) = -sf'(0)$. Consider the numerical function $H(\lambda, t)$ of two variables λ, t , $0 < \lambda \leq \Lambda$, $t \geq 0$ defined by the parametrical function $\{H(\lambda, t)\} = l^{1+\varepsilon} U(\lambda)$, $\varepsilon > 0$, i.e.

$$H(\lambda, t) = \begin{cases} \int_0^t \frac{(t-\tau)^\varepsilon}{\Gamma(1+\varepsilon)} \frac{\gamma^\gamma \tau^{\gamma-1} e^{-\frac{\tau\gamma}{f(\lambda)}}}{f^\gamma(\lambda) \Gamma(\gamma)} d\tau & 0 < \lambda \leq \Lambda \\ & t \geq 0 \\ \frac{t^\varepsilon}{\Gamma(1+\varepsilon)} & \lambda = 0 \\ & t \geq 0 \end{cases}$$

For $\left. \frac{\partial H(\lambda, t)}{\partial \lambda} \right|_{\lambda=0, t \neq 0}$ one obtains by definition that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial H(\lambda, t)}{\partial \lambda} &= \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\lambda} \left(\int_0^t \frac{(t-\tau)^\varepsilon}{\Gamma(1+\varepsilon)} \gamma^\gamma \tau^{\gamma-1} \frac{e^{-\frac{\tau\gamma}{f(\lambda)}}}{f^\gamma(\lambda) \Gamma(\gamma)} d\tau - \frac{t^\varepsilon}{\Gamma(1+\varepsilon)} \right) = \\ &= \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\lambda} \left[\gamma^\gamma \frac{t^{\varepsilon+\gamma}}{\Gamma(1+\varepsilon) f^\gamma(\lambda) \Gamma(\gamma)} \left(\Gamma(\gamma) \frac{f^\gamma(\lambda)}{\gamma^\gamma t^\gamma} - \Gamma(1+\gamma) \varepsilon \frac{f^{\gamma+1}(\lambda)}{\gamma^{\gamma+1} t^{\gamma+1}} \right) - \frac{t^\varepsilon}{\Gamma(1+\varepsilon)} \right] = \\ &= -\frac{t^{\varepsilon-1}}{\Gamma(\varepsilon)} f'(0), \text{ and for } \left. \frac{\partial H(\lambda, t)}{\partial \lambda} \right|_{\lambda=0, t=0} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Then $U'(0) = sf'(0)$.

Theorem 1. Let $a(\lambda)$ be a continuous numerical function in $[0, \Lambda]$ with the properties

$$1) \int_0^\lambda a(t) dt \neq 0 \text{ for } \lambda \neq 0$$

2) There exists an $\eta \in (0, \Lambda]$ such that $\int_0^\lambda a(t) dt > 0$ for $\lambda < \eta$, then the initial value problem

$$(*) \quad \begin{aligned} X'(\lambda) &= -sa(\lambda) X^{n+1}(\lambda) \quad (n=2, 3, 4, \dots) \\ X(0) &= I \end{aligned}$$

has in $[0, \Lambda]$ the solution of the form:

$$X(\lambda) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\sqrt[n]{n}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left(-\frac{1}{n} \right)^{k+1/n}}{n^k \left[\int_0^\lambda a(t) dt \right]^{k+1/n}} & 0 < \lambda < \Lambda \\ I & \lambda = 0 \end{cases}$$

$X(\lambda)$ and $X'(\lambda)$ are continuous in $[0, \Lambda]$ and $X(\lambda) \in \mathcal{A} \left(\int_0^\lambda a(t) dt \right)$.

Proof. If we choose in the lemmas $\gamma = \frac{1}{n}$ and $f(\lambda) = \int_0^\lambda a(t) dt$ then the continuity of $X(\lambda)$ and $X(\lambda) \in \mathcal{A} \left(\int_0^\lambda a(t) dt \right)$ follow from Lemma 4. The form of

$$X'(\lambda) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\sqrt[n]{n}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left(-\frac{1}{n} \right)^{k+1/n} (k+1/n) a(\lambda)}{n^k \left[\int_0^\lambda a(t) dt \right]^{k+1+1/n}} & 0 < \lambda < \Lambda \\ -sa(0) & \lambda = 0 \end{cases}$$

and its continuity follows from Lemmas 5, 6, 7. Using Lemma 3 and the above expression for $X'(\lambda)$, the fact that $X(\lambda)$ is a solution of (*) follows by inspection, and $X(0) = I$ is obvious.

Remark: For $n=1$ the theorem is valid too, but the solution is of the form

$$(\lambda) X = \begin{cases} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k I^{k+1}}{\left[\int_0^{\lambda} a(t) dt \right]^{k+1}} & 0 < \lambda < \Lambda \\ I & \lambda = 0 \end{cases}$$

and so $X(\lambda) \in \mathcal{A} \left(\int_0^{\lambda} a(t) dt \right)$.

R E F E R E N C E S

- [1] Mikusiński, J., *Operational calculus*, Pergamon Press, (1957).
 [2] Skendžić, M., *The analytical solutions of Mikusiński operational differential equations*, Publ. Inst. Math. 6, 17—21, (1966).