

ON THE CONVERGENCE OF CERTAIN SEQUENCES

Jovan D. Kečkić

(Communicated March 29, 1968)

In [1] and [2] some theorems on the convergence of certain sequences in a complete metric space were proved. In this paper we prove a somewhat more general theorem on the convergence of sequences and we give a number of examples and corollaries.

Let E be a complete metric space and let $f_n: E^k \rightarrow E$ be a sequence of functions such that

$$(1) \quad d(f_n(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_k), f_{n-1}(u_2, u_3, \dots, u_{k+1})) \leq q_1 d(u_1, u_2) + q_2 d(u_2, u_3) + \dots + q_k d(u_k, u_{k+1}) + a_{n-1}$$

for every $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{k+1} \in E$, where q_1, q_2, \dots, q_k are fixed nonnegative numbers such that $q_1 + q_2 + \dots + q_k \leq q < 1$, whereas the series $\sum_{v=0}^{\infty} a_v$ converges ($a_v \geq 0$).

Theorem. *Let*

$$x_{n+k} = f_n(x_n, x_{n+1}, \dots, x_{n+k-1}), \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots)$$

where the elements x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k are arbitrarily chosen. If the condition (1) is satisfied, then:

(i) the sequence of functions $f_n(u, u, \dots, u)$ converges uniformly to a function $f(u, u, \dots, u)$;

(ii) the sequence (x_n) converges in E ;

(iii) the equation

$$x = f(x, x, \dots, x)$$

has a unique solution $x = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n$.

Proof.

(i) Putting $u_1 = u_2 = \dots = u_{k+1} = u$ in (1) we get

$$d(f_n(u, u, \dots, u), f_{n-1}(u, u, \dots, u)) \leq a_{n-1}$$

from which it can easily be seen that the sequence of functions $f_n(u, u, \dots, u)$ converges uniformly to a function $f(u, u, \dots, u)$.

(ii) Denote $d(x_n, x_{n+1})$ by Δ_n . We then obtain the following system of inequalities

$$\Delta_{n+k+i} \leq a_{n+i} + q_1 \Delta_{n+i} + q_2 \Delta_{n+1+i} + \dots + q_k \Delta_{n+k-1+i} \quad (i = 0, 1, \dots, s).$$

Adding together the above inequalities we get

$$\sum_{v=0}^s \Delta_{n+k+v} \leq \sum_{v=n}^{n+s} a_v + q \sum_{v=0}^s \Delta_{n+k+v} + q (\Delta_n + \Delta_{n+1} + \dots + \Delta_{n+k-1})$$

i.e.

$$(2) \quad \sum_{v=0}^s \Delta_{n+k+v} \leq \frac{q}{1-q} \sum_{v=n}^{n+s} a_v + \frac{q}{1-q} (\Delta_n + \Delta_{n+1} + \dots + \Delta_{n+k-1})$$

Since

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Delta_{n+k} \leq q_1 \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Delta_n + \dots + q_k \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Delta_{n+k-1}$$

i.e.

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Delta_n \leq q \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Delta_n$$

we conclude that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Delta_n = 0$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (2) we obtain:

$$d(x_{n+k}, x_{n+k+s}) \leq \sum_{v=0}^s \Delta_{n+k+v} \rightarrow 0$$

which means that (x_n) is a *Cauchy's* sequence. Since E is complete, (x_n) converges, i.e. $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$.

(iii)

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_{n+k}, f(x, x, \dots, x)) &= d(f_n(x_n, x_{n+1}, \dots, x_{n+k-1}), f(x, x, \dots, x)) \\ &\leq d(f_n(x_n, x_{n+1}, \dots, x_{n+k-1}), f_{n+1}(x, x_n, \dots, x_{n+k-2})) \\ &\quad + d(f_{n+1}(x, x_n, \dots, x_{n+k-2}), f_{n+2}(x, x, \dots, x_{n+k-3})) \\ &\quad + \dots \\ &\quad + d(f_{n+k-1}(x, x, \dots, x_n), f_{n+k}(x, x, \dots, x)) \\ &\quad + d(f_{n+k}(x, x, \dots, x), f(x, x, \dots, x)) \\ &\leq \sum_{v=n}^{n+k-1} a_v + q(d(x, x_n) + d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + \dots + d(x_{n+k-1}, x_{n+k-2})) \\ &\quad + d(f_{n+k}(x, x, \dots, x), f(x, x, \dots, x)) \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

when $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Therefore,

$$x = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = f(x, x, \dots, x).$$

Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} &d(f(u, u, \dots, u), f(v, v, \dots, v)) \\ &\leq d(f(u, u, \dots, u), f_n(u, u, \dots, u)) \\ &\quad + d(f_n(u, u, \dots, u), f_{n+1}(v, u, \dots, u)) \\ &\quad + d(f_{n+1}(v, u, \dots, u), f_{n+2}(v, v, \dots, u)) \\ &\quad + \dots \\ &\quad + d(f_{n+k}(v, v, \dots, v), f(v, v, \dots, v)) \\ &\leq (q_1 + q_2 + \dots + q_k) d(u, v) + d(f(u, u, \dots, u), f_n(u, u, \dots, u)) \\ &\quad + d(f_{n+k}(v, v, \dots, v), f(v, v, \dots, v)) + \sum_{v=n}^{n+k-1} a_v \end{aligned}$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$$d(f(u, u, \dots, u), f(v, v, \dots, v)) \leq (q_1 + q_2 + \dots + q_k) d(u, v).$$

The uniqueness of the solution follows then from the *Banach fixed-point theorem* applied to $F(u) = f(u, u, \dots, u)$.

Examples and corollaries.

Example 1.

Let the sequence (x_n) be defined by the equality

$$x_{n+2} + ax_{n+1} + bx_n = \varphi(n) \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots)$$

where x_1, x_2 are arbitrarily chosen, and let

$$(a) \quad |a| + |b| \leq q < 1$$

$$(b) \quad \text{the series } \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\varphi(n) - \varphi(n-1)| \text{ be convergent.}$$

It can easily be seen that the sequence of functions $f_n(x, y) = -ax - by + \varphi(n)$ satisfies the condition of the theorem, and therefore the sequence (x_n) converges.

Proposition 1. (*d'Alembert*)

A sufficient condition for the convergence of the series

$$\sum_{v=0}^{\infty} b_v \quad (b_v > 0) \quad \text{is} \quad \frac{b_n}{b_{n-1}} \leq q < 1.$$

Let

$$s_n = \sum_{v=0}^{n-1} b_v.$$

Then

$$s_{n+1} = s_n + b_n,$$

i.e.

$$s_{n+1} = f_n(s_n), \text{ where } f_n(u) = u + b_n.$$

The sequence (s_n) will converge if the functions $f_n(u)$ satisfy (1). We choose $a_n = 0$.

(1) then becomes:

$$|s_n + b_n - s_{n-1} - b_{n-1}| \leq q |s_n - s_{n-1}|, \quad 0 < q < 1$$

i.e.

$$\frac{b_n}{b_{n-1}} \leq q < 1.$$

Proposition 2.

Let E be the set of real numbers and let $h(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{k+1})$ be a function whose partial derivatives exist and satisfy the following conditions:

$$\sum_{i=1}^k \left| \frac{\partial h}{\partial v_i} \right| \leq q < 1$$

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \left| \frac{\partial h}{\partial v_{k+1}} \right|_{v_{k+1}=i} \text{ converges.}$$

Put $f_n(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_k) = h(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_k, n)$

Then according to the mean value theorem

$$\begin{aligned} & |f_n(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_k) - f_{n-1}(u_2, u_3, \dots, u_{k+1})| \\ &= |h(u_1, \dots, u_k, n) - h(u_2, \dots, u_{k+1}, n-1)| \\ &\leq \left| \frac{\partial h}{\partial v_1} \right| |u_1 - u_2| + \dots + \left| \frac{\partial h}{\partial v_k} \right| |u_k - u_{k+1}| + \left| \frac{\partial h}{\partial v_{k+1}} \right| \end{aligned}$$

and therefore the sequence of functions $f_n(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_k)$ satisfies the condition (1) which means that $f_n(u, u, \dots, u)$ converges uniformly to $f(u, u, \dots, u)$ and that every sequence (x_n) defined by

$$x_{n+k} = f_n(x_n, x_{n+1}, \dots, x_{n+k-1}) = h(x_n, x_{n+1}, \dots, x_{n+k-1}, n) \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots)$$

is convergent and its limit is the unique solution of the equation

$$x = f(x, x, \dots, x).$$

Proposition 3. (*Marjanović-Prešić*) [2].

Let E be a complete metric space and let $f_n: E^k \rightarrow E$ be a sequence of functions such that:

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} d(f_n(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_k), f_n(u_2, u_3, \dots, u_{k+1})) &\leq q_1 d(u_1, u_2) \\ &+ q_2 d(u_2, u_3) + \dots + q_k d(u_k, u_{k+1}) \end{aligned}$$

$$(4) \quad d(f_{n+1}(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_k), f_n(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_k)) \leq a_n \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots)$$

where q_1, q_2, \dots, q_k are fixed non-negative numbers such that $q_1 + q_2 + \dots + q_k \leq q < 1$ and the series $\sum_{v=0}^{\infty} a_v$ ($a_v > 0$) is convergent.

Clearly, conditions (3), (4) imply the condition (1) and therefore every sequence defined by

$$x_{n+k} = f_n(x_n, x_{n+1}, \dots, x_{n+k-1}) \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots)$$

where the functions f_n satisfy (3) and (4) is convergent and $\lim x_n$ is the unique solution of the equation $x = f(x, x, \dots, x)$ where $f(x, x, \dots, x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x, x, \dots, x)$ is the function to which the sequence of functions $f_n(x, x, \dots, x)$ uniformly converges.

Proposition 4.

Let R be the set of real numbers and let $f: R^{k+1} \rightarrow R$ be a function such that

$$(5) \quad \begin{aligned} & |f(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_k, x) - f(u_2, u_3, \dots, u_{k+1}, y)| \\ &\leq q_1 |u_1 - u_2| + \dots + q_k |u_k - u_{k+1}| + |x - y| \end{aligned}$$

where q_1, q_2, \dots, q_k are non-negative fixed numbers such that $q_1 + q_2 + \dots + q_k \leq q < 1$.

Let the sequence (x_n) satisfy the condition

$$x_{n+k} = f(x_n, x_{n+1}, \dots, x_{n+k-1}, b_n) \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots)$$

(elements x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k are arbitrarily chosen), where

(a) f satisfies (5)

(b) the sequence (b_n) is monotonic and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n = A$ (A is a finite number).

Then: (i) the sequence (x_n) converges in R

(ii) the equation $x = f(x, x, \dots, x, A)$ has the unique solution $x = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n$.

Putting $g_n(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_k) = f(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_k, b_n)$ it can easily be seen that the sequence of functions g_n satisfies the condition (1) and that, therefore, $g_n(u, u, \dots, u)$ converges uniformly to $g(u, u, \dots, u) = f(u, u, \dots, u, A)$, which means that the sequence (x_n) has properties (i) and (ii).

Example 2.

The sequence (x_n) given by

$$x_{n+2} = \frac{1}{3} x_n + \frac{1}{2} x_{n+1} + 1 + \frac{1}{n} \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots)$$

converges to 6.

Clearly, the function $f(x, y, z) = \frac{1}{3}x + \frac{1}{2}y + z$ satisfies the condition (5)

whereas the sequence $b_n = 1 + \frac{1}{n}$ is monotonic and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n = 1$.

Proposition 5.

Let E be a complete metric space and let $g_n: E^{k+1} \rightarrow E$ be a sequence of functions such that

$$(6) \quad \begin{aligned} & d(g_n(u_1, u_1, u_2, \dots, u_k), g_{n-1}(u_2, u_2, u_3, \dots, u_{k+1})) \\ & \leq q_1 d(u_1, u_2) + q_2 d(u_2, u_3) + \dots + q_k d(u_k, u_{k+1}) + a_{n-1} \end{aligned}$$

where q_1, q_2, \dots, q_k are fixed non-negative numbers such that $q_1 + q_2 + \dots + q_k \leq q < 1$ and the series $\sum_{v=0}^{\infty} a_v$ ($a_v \geq 0$) is convergent.

Furthermore, let $f_n: E^n \rightarrow E$ be a sequence of functions defined by:

$$(7) \quad \begin{aligned} & f_{n+k}(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n, \dots, u_{n+k}) \\ & = g_n(u_{n+k}, f_{n+k-1}(u_1, \dots, u_{n+k-1}), \dots, f_n(u_1, \dots, u_n)) \end{aligned}$$

($n = 1, 2, \dots$) where the functions $f_1(u_1)$, $f_2(u_1, u_2)$, \dots , $f_k(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_k)$ are arbitrarily chosen.

Let the sequence (x_n) be defined by the following equality:

$$x_{n+k} = f_{n+k-1}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n+k-1}) \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots)$$

(the element x_1 is arbitrarily chosen).

If the conditions (6) and (7) hold, then:

(i) the sequence of functions $g_n(u, u, \dots, u)$ converges uniformly to a function $g(u, u, \dots, u)$

(ii) the sequence (x_n) converges in E

(iii) the equation $x = g(x, x, \dots, x)$ has the unique solution $x = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n$.

Denote $g_n(u_1, u_1, u_2, \dots, u_k)$ by $G_n(u_1, u_2, u_k)$. Then the functions G_n satisfy (1) and the sequence (x_n) is defined by the relation:

$$x_{n+k} = G_n(x_{n+k-1}, x_{n+k-2}, \dots, x_n),$$

and, therefore, according to the Theorem, the above proposition is true.

Example 3.

Let

$$x_{n+1} = x_1 - \frac{1}{2}(x_2 + x_3 + \dots + x_n) + \frac{x_2}{2!} + \frac{x_3}{3!} + \dots + \frac{x_n}{n!}$$

Then:

$$f_1(x_1) = x_1$$

$$f_{n+1}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n+1}) = g_{n+1}(x_{n+1}, f_n(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n))$$

and

$$x_{n+1} = f_n(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$$

where

$$g_n(x, y) = y - \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{n!} \right) x$$

The sequence (x_n) converges to 0, since

$$|g_n(x, x) - g_{n-1}(y, y)| \leq \frac{1}{2} |x - y| + \left| \frac{1}{n!} x - \frac{1}{(n-1)!} y \right|$$

and 0 is the only solution of the equation $x = \frac{1}{2} x$.

I wish to thank S. B. Prešić, who guided me during the preparation of this article — first by drawing my attention to the problem in question, and then by reading the article in manuscript and giving me a number of valuable suggestions.

REFERENCES

- [1] S. B. Prešić: *Sur une classe d'inéquations aux différences finies et sur la convergence de certaines suites*, Publ. Inst. Math. 5 (19), (1965), 75—78.
- [2] M. Marjanović and S. B. Prešić: *Remark on the Convergence of a Sequence*, Univ. Beograd. Publ. Elektroteh. fak. Ser. Mat. Fiz. № 143—155 (1965), 63—64.