

## ON A-TREES

Đuro Kurepa (Beograd)

(Communicated June 2, 1967)

### 1. Introduction.

1.1. At several opportunities (cf. the bibliography at the end of the article; in particular see my memory [8] written in 1937) one was lead to consider trees  $A=A_\nu$  having the following properties:

1. The height  $\gamma A$  of  $A$  is an initial ordinal number  $\omega_\nu$ ;
2. Every row  $R_\xi A := \{x; x \in A, \text{ type of } A(\cdot, x) = \xi\}$  is of a cardinality  $< k \omega_\nu$  and  $\sup_\xi k R_\xi A = k \omega_{\nu^-}$  (for an ordinal  $\nu$  we define  $\nu^-$  to be  $\nu-1$  or  $\nu$ , according as  $\nu-1$  exists or does not exist; in particular  $0^- = 0$ ).
3. The cardinality of every chain in  $A$  is  $< kA$ .
4. For every  $x \in A$  the height  $\gamma[a]$  of all the points of  $A$  each of which is comparable to  $a$  equals  $\gamma A$ .
5. For every  $x \in A$  the node  $|x|_A := \{x; x \in A, A(\cdot, x) = A(\cdot, a)\}$  has 1 or  $k \gamma x$  points, according as  $(\gamma x)^- = \gamma x$  or  $(\gamma x)^- < \gamma x$ ; here  $\gamma x$  is the ordinal satisfying  $x \in R_{\gamma x} A$ .

1.2. If  $\omega_\nu$  is cofinal to  $\omega_0$ ,  $A_\nu$  does not exist;  $A_1$  does exist (N. Aronszajn, v. [5], p. 96) as well as  $A_{\alpha+1}$  for every ordinal  $\alpha$ ; in this paper we shall prove it without continuum hypothesis; the proof is analogous as it was done for the case  $\alpha=0$  in Đ. Kurepa [8] and is based purely on order considerations (cf. also [1], [15]).

The problem of the existence of  $A_\nu$  for inaccessible  $\nu > \omega_0$  remains open.

1.3. In the section 2 we define an ordered set  $H=R^\nu$ ; the properties of  $R^\nu$  and of  $\sigma R^\nu$  shall yield in § 3 a requested set  $A_{\nu+1}$ . In § 5 we shall prove that every  $A_{\nu+1}$  obtained in § 4 contains an antichain of cardinality  $kA_{\nu+1}$  — a property closely connected with the author's ramification hypothesis (cf. [6], [12]).

**1. The set  $D_\nu$ .** Let  $\omega_\nu$  be any initial ordinal number; we denote by  $D_\nu$  the ordered set

$$\{\dots, -\alpha, \dots, -2, -1, 0, 1, 2, \dots, \alpha, \dots\} \quad (\alpha < \omega_\nu).$$

The order type of  $D_\nu$  equals  $\omega_\nu^* + \omega_\nu$ ; i. e.  $(D_\nu, \leq)$  is coinital with  $\omega_\nu^*$  and cofinal with  $\omega_\nu$ . The members of  $D_\nu$  might be called  $\omega_\nu$ -integers.

## 2. The sets $R^\nu$ , $R^\nu(\leq_n)$ .

2.1. Let  $R^\nu$  be the set of all finite sequences of members of  $D_\nu$ .

2.2. Set  $H = (R^\nu, \leq_n)$ . We order  $R^\nu$  by putting for members  $a = (a_0, a_1, \dots, a_\alpha)$ ,  $b = (b_0, b_1, \dots, b_\beta)$  of  $R^\nu$  that  $a \leq_n b$  means either that  $a$  is an initial portion of  $b$  (i. e.  $\alpha \leq \beta$  and  $a_i = b_i$  for  $i \leq \alpha$ ) or, that  $a_i = b_i$  ( $i < \varphi$ ),  $a_\varphi < b_\varphi$ , where  $\varphi = \varphi(a, b)$  is the first index at which the sequences  $a, b$  differ (natural ordering of complexes). One sees that

$$(2.1.) \quad H = (R^\nu, \leq_n)$$

is a totally ordered set.

2.3. The cardinality of  $H$  equals  $k\omega_\nu$ , i. e.  $kR^\nu = \aleph_\nu$ .

As a matter of fact,  $kR^\nu = \aleph_\nu + \aleph_\nu^2 + \aleph_\nu^3 + \dots = \aleph_\nu + \aleph_\nu + \dots = \aleph_\nu$ .

2.4. Theorem (i). The set  $H = (R^\nu, \leq_n)$  is totally ordered and dense.

(ii) The ordered set  $H$  is order-embeddable into every of its intervals  $I$ , even so that  $I$  contains a subinterval similar with  $H$  (quasihomogeneity of  $R^\nu$ ).

(iii) Every gap  $X|Y$  of  $(R^\nu, \leq_n)$  is of the type  $(\omega_0, \omega_0^*)$  i. e.  $X$  is cofinal to  $\omega_0$  and  $Y = R^\nu \setminus X$  is coinitial to  $\omega_0^*$ . Every interval of  $H$  contains gaps, i. e. the gaps of  $H$  are everywhere dense in (2.1).

(iv) Every ordinal number  $\alpha$ ,  $\alpha < \omega_{\nu+1}$  is imbeddable into  $H$ ;  $\omega_{\nu+1}$  is the first ordinal which is not imbeddable into  $H$ .

**Proof.** (1) For  $a = (a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n) \in (2.1)$ , the set  $S = R_\nu(\cdot, a)$  of all predecessors of  $a$  has no terminating member; in fact, let us consider  $a_n \in D_\nu$ ; if  $a_n$  has in  $D_\nu$  its immediate predecessor  $a_n^-$ , i. e. if  $a_n$  is isolated ordinal, then the set  $S$  is cofinal with the  $\omega_\nu$ -sequence of all sequences of the form  $(a_0 a_1 \dots a_{n-1} a_n^- \omega_\nu')$ ,  $\omega_\nu'$  running through  $D_\nu$ ; if  $a_n^- = a_n$  (i. e. if  $a_n$  is an ordinal of the second kind) and equaling  $\xi\omega$ , then  $S$  is cofinal with the  $a_n$ -sequence of members  $(a_0 a_1 \dots a_{n-1} \beta)_{\beta < a_n}$ . By dual considerations one proves that  $a$  has no immediate follower.

Ad (ii). Let  $a = (a_0 a_1 \dots a_m)$ ,  $b = (b_0, b_1, \dots, b_n) \in R^\nu$  and  $a <_n b$ . Then either  $a$  is an initial section of  $b$  or there is the first index  $\varphi < \omega$  such that  $a_\varphi < b_\varphi$  (thus  $a_\varphi \neq b_\varphi$ ) and  $a_i = b_i$  for every  $i < \varphi$ . In the first case,  $m < n$ ; let  $c, d$  be two members of  $D_\nu$  such that  $c < d < b_{m+1}$ ; then the mapping  $x \in R^\nu \rightarrow x' = acx$  is a requested order imbedding of (2.1) into  $(R^\nu, \leq_n)(a, b)$ , because  $a <_n x' <_n b$ .

In the second case, the mapping  $x \in R^\nu \rightarrow ax$  furnishes such an imbedding. In both cases, the previous isomorphism carries  $R^\nu$  onto some subinterval of  $R^\nu(a, b)$ .

Ad (iii). Let  $X|Y$  be a section of (2.1), i. e.  $X$  is a non void initial portion of (2.1) and  $Y$  is the remainder  $(2.1) \setminus X$ ; suppose  $X|Y$  be a gap. Let  $b_0 = \sup \xi$  where  $(\xi) \in X$ ; then  $b_0 < \omega_\nu$  because the set of all the  $(\xi)$  ( $\xi < \omega_\nu$ ) is cofinal with (2.1); let  $b_1 = \sup \xi$  with  $(a_0 \xi) \in X$ ; if  $b_1 = \omega_\nu$ , then  $(a_0 + 1)$  is the first member of  $Y$ .

If  $b_1 < \omega_\nu$  we consider  $b_2 = \sup \xi$  with  $(b_0, b_1, \xi) \in X$  etc. If for some  $n < \omega_0$  we have  $b_n = \omega_\nu$ , then  $(b_0, b_1, \dots, b_{n-1} + 1)$  is the first member of  $Y$ ; if  $a_n < \omega_\nu$  for every  $n < \omega_0$ , then  $X$  is cofinal with the strictly increasing  $\omega_0$ -sequence

$$(b_0), (b_0 b_1), (b_0 b_1 b_2), \dots$$

and consequently has the type of  $\omega_0$ .

Dual considerations show that  $Y$  is coinital with  $\omega_0^*$ . As a matter of fact, let  $c_0 = \inf \xi$ , where  $(\xi) \in Y$ . Then  $-\omega_\nu < c_0$  because the set (2.1) is coinital with the set of all sequences  $(\xi) (\xi > -\omega_\nu)$ . Let  $c_1 = \inf \xi$  where  $(c_0 \xi) \in Y$ ; if  $c_1 = -\omega_\nu$ , then  $(-1 + c_0)$  is the last member of  $X$ . If  $c_1 > -\omega_\nu$ , we consider  $c_2 = \inf \xi$ , with  $(c_0 c_1 \xi) \in Y$ , etc.

Since  $H$  has gaps, every interval of  $H$  has gaps too — consequence of the statement (ii).

*Ad (iV).* Every ordinal number  $\alpha$ ,  $\alpha < \omega_{\nu+1}$  is imbeddable into (2.1). We prove it by induction argument. For  $\alpha \leq \omega_\nu$ , the fact is obvious: the mapping  $\alpha \in I \omega_\nu \rightarrow (\alpha) \in R^\nu$  is such an isomorphism. Let the statement hold for any  $\alpha$ ,  $\alpha < \beta$ , where  $\beta < \omega_{\nu+1}$ ; let us prove it also for  $\alpha = \beta$ ; this being obvious for  $\beta = \beta^- + 1$ , let us consider the case  $\beta^- = \beta$  ( $\beta$  is of second art). Then, there exists a regular number  $\omega_\gamma$  such that for some  $\omega_\gamma$ -sequence  $\beta_\xi$  of ordinals we have

$$\beta = \sum_\xi \beta_\xi \quad (\xi < \omega_\gamma).$$

Now, let  $b_\xi (\xi < \omega_\gamma)$  be any strictly increasing sequence of points of  $R^\nu$ ; we imbed every ordinal  $\beta_\xi$  into the open interval  $R^\nu(b_\xi, b_{\xi+1})$  as some well-ordered subset  $B_\xi$ ; then the union  $\cup_\xi B_\xi (\xi < \omega_\gamma)$  is a well-ordered subset of (2.1) and of type  $\beta$ .

**3. The set  $\sigma_\nu$ .** Let  $\sigma_\nu$  or  $\sigma$  be the system of all well-ordered non void subsets  $\subseteq$  (2.1), each of which is bounded; consequently, if  $a \in \sigma_\nu$ , the number  $\gamma a$  — the ordinal type of  $a$  — is determined as well as the insreasing points

$$a_\xi \quad (\xi < \gamma a)$$

of the set  $a$ . The system  $\sigma_\nu$  will be ordered by the relation  $=|$  meaning „to be an initial segment of“.

Of course, the set  $(\sigma_\nu, =|)$  is (partially) ordered; moreover, it is ramified and even  $\sigma_\nu$  is a tree.

**3.1. Theorem.** *The ordered set  $(\sigma_\nu, =|)$  is such that each of its chains is a well-ordered set, the cardinal of which is  $\leq \aleph_\nu$ ; on the other hand*

$$(3.1.1) \quad \gamma(\sigma_\nu, =|) = \omega_{\nu+1}.$$

Namely, if  $C$  is any chain  $\subseteq (\sigma_\nu, =|)$ , then  $\cup C$  is a well-ordered subset of (2.1) and vice versa:  $W$  being any well-ordered bounded subset of (2.1), the system of non void initial intervals of  $W$  yields a chain  $\subseteq (\sigma_\nu, =|)$ . Finally, the relation (3.1.1) is an another expression for the statement 2.4. IV

**3.2. The set  $(R^\nu, \leq_n)$ .** Let

$$(3.2.1) \quad \bar{R}^\nu \quad \text{or} \quad \bar{H}$$

denote the totally ordered set obtained from (2.1) by putting a single element in every gap of (2.1). Of course, the set (3.2.1) is continuous in the sense of Dedekind: every section of (3.2.1) is given by a single element of (3.2.1). Consequently, for every bounded chain  $C \subseteq$  (3.2.1) the infimum and the supremum of  $C$  relatively to (3.2.1) are well determined points of (3.2.1).

**3.3. Function  $f$ .**

In particular, let

$$(3.3.1) \quad f(a) = \sup_{x \in a} x \quad (a \in \sigma_\nu);$$

3.3.1. Lemma. *The mapping (3.3.1) is an increasing mapping of  $\sigma$ , into (3.2.1); every 3 points chain of  $(\sigma, =|)$  is mapped onto at least 2 points of (3.2.1). The system  $a=|a', f(a)=f(a')$  is equivalent with the statement that  $\sup a \in (3.2.1)$  and  $a' \setminus a = \{\sup a\}$ .*

3.3.2. Lemma. *Let  $e \in \sigma$ ,  $\beta < \omega_{\nu+1}$ ; then the set  $f(R_\beta(e, \cdot)_\sigma)$  is everywhere dense in the right interval  $(f(e), \cdot)_H$ .*

At first, let  $\beta = 0$ ; then  $R_0(e, \cdot)$  is built up of the sets

$$e \cup \{x\},$$

$x$  running over the set of all the points of  $H$ , each of which is  $>f(e)$  or  $\geq f(e)$ , according as  $\gamma e$  is limit or isolated ordinal; since then

$$f(e) = \sup (e \cup \{x\}) = x,$$

the statement is obvious. Let us suppose now that  $0 < \beta < \omega_{\nu+1}$  and that the statement holds true for each  $\xi < \beta$ ; to prove it for  $\xi = \beta$ . If  $\beta - 1$  exists, the set  $fR_{\beta-1}(e, \cdot)_\sigma$  is dense on  $(f(e), \cdot)_H$ ; again, if  $b$  is an immediate successor of  $e$  in  $\sigma$ , then  $f(R_0(e, \cdot)_\sigma)$  is dense on  $(f(e), \cdot)_H$ ; consequently, the join

$$\cup_b f(R_0(b, \cdot)_\sigma) = f(\cup_b R_0(b, \cdot)_\sigma) = f(R_\beta(e; \cdot)_\sigma) \quad (b \in R_{\beta-1}(e; \cdot)_\sigma)$$

is dense on  $f(e)_H$ .

If  $\beta$  is a limit number, let  $\beta_\xi (\xi < cf \beta = \tau)$  be an increasing sequence of ordinals  $\rightarrow \beta$ . Let  $x$  be any point of  $(f(e), \cdot)_H$  of character  $c_\tau$  and  $x_\eta (\eta < cf \beta)$  any increasing  $\tau$ -sequence of points of  $H$  so that  $f(e) < e$ ,  $\sup_{\eta < \tau} x_\eta = x$  and  $\sup_{\mu < \eta} x_\mu < x_\eta (\eta < \tau)$ . The existence of such a chain  $x_\eta$  is obvious. Let  $e^\circ \in R_{\beta_0}(e; \cdot)_\sigma$  so that  $f(e) < f(e^\circ) < x_0$ ; inductively, for each  $0 < \delta < \tau$  let us suppose defined the  $\delta$ -chain

$$e^\mu (\mu < \delta)$$

so that  $e^\mu \in R_{\beta_\mu}(e, \cdot)$ ,  $x_\mu < f(e^\mu) < x^{\mu+1} (\mu < \delta)$ . Let then  $e^\delta$  be an element of  $R_{\beta_\delta}(e, \cdot)_\sigma$  so that  $e^\mu = |e^\delta, (\mu < \delta)$ ,  $x_\delta < f(e^\delta) < x_{\delta+1}$ ; such one  $x_\delta$  exists, since  $x' = \cup_{\mu < \delta} e^\mu$  is a point of  $\sigma$ , inasmuch it is well-ordered and bounded (it is located left to  $x_\delta$ ). Q. E. D.

From the last proof we deduce the following.

3.3.3. Lemma. *If  $\alpha < \omega_{\nu+1}$ , the set  $fR_\alpha \sigma_\nu$  is everywhere dense on  $\overline{H}$ ; if  $\alpha$  is a limit number, then  $fR_\alpha \sigma_\nu$  is equal to the set of all the points of  $\overline{H}$  each of which is of character  $C_{\alpha'}$ ,  $\alpha' = cf \alpha$ .*

#### 4. Construction of the requested sets $A_{\nu+1}$ .

We shall construct a requested tree  $A = A_{\nu+1}$  as a union of some  $k \omega_{\nu+1}$  sets  $D_\xi (\xi < \omega_{\nu+1})$ .

4.1. To start, let  $G_0$  be any subset of cardinality  $\aleph_\nu$  of  $\overline{H} \setminus H$  so that  $G_0$  be everywhere dense in  $H$ ; consequently, every member of  $G_0$  is of a countable character (cf. 2.4. (iii)). To every  $x \in G_0$  we associate an element  $\psi(x) \in R_\omega \sigma_\nu$  such that  $f\psi(x) = x$  and that for  $x, x' \in G_0$ ,  $x \neq x'$  one has  $\psi x \neq \psi x'$ . In this way we get the set

$$D_0 := \psi G_0 \subseteq R_\omega \sigma_\nu,$$

4.2. Let suppose that  $0 < \beta < \omega_{\nu+1}$  and that the sets

$$D_0, D_1, \dots, D_\xi, \dots, (\xi < \beta)$$

be constructed so that putting

$$s_\beta = \bigcup_\xi D_\xi \quad (\xi < \beta)$$

the following conditions  $1_\beta - 7_\beta$  hold:

$$1_\beta \quad R_\xi s_\beta = D_\xi \quad (\xi < \beta)$$

$$2_\beta \quad D_\xi \subseteq R_{\omega(1+\xi)} \sigma_\nu \quad (\xi < \beta)$$

$$3_\beta \quad \gamma s_\beta = \beta$$

$$4_\beta \quad kD_\xi = \aleph_\nu \quad (\xi < \beta)$$

$5_\beta$  If  $\xi < \beta$ ,  $e \in D_\xi$  and  $\xi < \zeta < \beta$ , then  $fR_\xi[e]_{s_\beta}$  is an everywhere dense set on  $\overline{H}(f(e), \cdot)$ ,

$6_\beta$  If  $\xi < \beta$ , the set  $fR_\xi s_\beta$  is everywhere dense on  $\overline{H}$ ,

$7_\beta$  For each  $\xi < \beta$  and  $x \in D$ ,  $fx$  is a  $\omega(1+\xi)$  — point of  $\overline{H}$ ; if  $e, e' \in D_\xi$  and  $e \neq e'$ , then  $fe \neq fe'$ .

4.3. Let us define  $D_\beta$  and  $s_{\beta+1}$ .

4.3.1. If  $\beta^- < \beta$ , let us consider  $D_{\beta-1}$ ; let  $l_\beta$  be a set-mapping of  $D_{\beta-1}$  into  $\overline{H}$  so that for each  $e \in D_{\beta-1}$  the set  $l_\beta e$  be a subset of cardinality  $\aleph_\nu$  of  $\omega_0$ -points of  $fR_{\omega(1+\xi)}(e, \cdot)$  everywhere dense on it, and that if  $e \neq e'$ , then the sets  $l_\beta e, l_\beta e'$  are disjoint. For each  $x \in l_\beta e$  let  $\varphi(e, x)$  be an element of  $R_{\omega\beta}[e]_{\sigma_\nu}$  such that  $f\varphi(e, x) = x$  (the existence of such one  $\psi x$  is obvious). Then we define

$$(4.3.1.1.) \quad D_\beta := \bigcup_e l_e \quad (e \in D_{\beta-1}).$$

Consequently,  $fD_\beta = f \bigcup_e l_\beta(e) =$  everywhere dense subset of  $\overline{H}(f(e), \cdot)$ .

4.3.2. Case:  $\beta$  is a limit ordinal. 4.3.2.1. Let  $\beta_\zeta (\zeta < \tau, \tau = cf \beta)$  be any increasing regular sequence of ordinals  $\rightarrow \beta$ ; let  $l_\beta e$  for

$$(4.3.2.1) \quad e \in \bigcup_{\zeta < cf \beta} R_{\omega(1+\zeta)} s_\beta$$

be a disjointed system of sets, so that  $l_e$  be a subset of cardinality  $\aleph_\nu$  of  $\omega_\tau$ -points of  $fR_{\omega(1+\beta)}[e]_{\sigma_\nu}$  everywhere dense on that set; in particular

$$(4.3.2.2) \quad kle = \aleph_\nu.$$

To each ordered pair  $(e, x)$  with  $x \in l_\beta(e)$  let  $\psi(e, x)$  be an element of  $R_{\beta(1+\zeta)}[e]_{\sigma_\nu}$  so that  $f\psi(e, x) = x$ . The existence of  $\psi(e, x)$  is clear. As a matter of fact,  $x$  being a member in  $\overline{H}$ , let  $x_\zeta (\zeta < \tau)$  be a strictly increasing sequence of points of  $(f(e), \cdot)_{\overline{H}}$  tending to  $x$ . Let  $e^\circ$  be a point of  $D_{\beta_0}$  satisfying  $e = |e^\circ, fe < fe^\circ < x_0$ . Inductively, for each  $0 < \zeta < \tau$  and  $0 < \xi < \zeta$ , let  $e^\xi$  be a certain point of  $D_{\beta_\xi}$  succeeding to every  $e^\mu (\mu < \xi)$  and satisfying  $x_\xi < fe^\xi < x_{\xi+1}$ ; in virtue of conditions  $4_\beta, 5_\beta$  the existence of  $e^\xi$  is assured. We define,  $e^\zeta = \sup_{\xi < \zeta} e^\xi$  and  $\psi(e, x) = \sup_{\zeta < \tau} e^\zeta$ . Thence

$$f\psi(e, x) = x.$$

4.3.2.2. The set  $D_\beta$  is defined as consisting of points  $\psi(e, x)$ ,  $x, e$  running respectively over  $\bigcup_e l(e)$  and (4.3.2.1).

In any case, the set  $D_\beta$  is defined.

4.4. Putting

$$(4.4.1) \quad s_{\beta+1} = s_{\beta} \cup D_{\beta}$$

one proves that the conditions  $1_{\beta+1} - 7_{\beta+1}$  are satisfied. The condition  $1_{\beta+1}$  is satisfied since  $R_{\xi} s_{\beta} = R_{\xi} s_{\beta+1}$  ( $\xi < \beta$ ) and since each  $e \in D_{\beta}$  is preceded by a single element in every  $D_{\xi}$  ( $\xi < \beta$ ). As to  $2_{\beta+1}$ , its verification is immediate. As to  $3_{\beta+1}$  i. e. that  $kD_{\beta} = \aleph_{\nu}$ , it is a consequence of the formula for  $D_{\xi}$  ( $\xi < \beta$ ), that means that  $ks_{\beta} = \aleph_{\beta}$ . Now, there is a one-to- $\aleph_0$ -mapping of a subset<sup>1)</sup> of  $s_{\beta}$  onto  $D_{\beta}$ , thus  $kD_{\beta} \leq ks_{\beta}$ .  $\aleph_{\nu} = \aleph_{\nu} \cdot \aleph_{\nu} = \aleph_{\nu}$ ; since for any  $\xi < \beta$  each  $e \in D_{\xi}$  is succeeded by  $\aleph_{\nu}$  distinct elements of  $D_{\beta}$ , the condition  $3_{\beta+1}$  is fulfilled.

$4_{\beta+1}$ . The case of an isolated  $\beta$  being resolved by (4.4.1), (4.3.1.1), let  $\beta$  be limit number;  $x$  running over  $l_{\beta}(e)$ ; the condition  $4_{\beta+1}$  is an immediate cosequence of (4.4.1), (4.3.1.1) and of the assumed density of  $l_{\beta}(e)$ . The condition  $5_{\beta+1}$  is a consequence of  $4_{\beta+1}$  and of the conditions  $5_{\xi}$  ( $\xi < \beta$ ). Finally, the condition  $7_{\beta+1}$  holds true, first, because the points of  $D_{\beta}$  are constructed as some  $\omega(1 + \beta)$  — points of  $\overline{H}$  and, secondly, because of the disjointedness of the above sets  $l_{\beta}(e)$  ( $e$  variable). Thus the existence of  $D_{\beta}$  and  $s_{\beta+1}$  is proved for every  $\beta$ ,  $\beta < \omega_{\nu+1}$  and the conditions  $1_{\beta} - 7_{\beta}$  hold true for every  $\beta < \omega_{\nu+1}$ .

4.5. Putting

$$A_{\nu+1} = \bigcup_{\beta} D_{\beta} \quad (\beta < \omega_{\nu+1})$$

one sees that conditions  $1_{\omega_{\nu+1}} - 6_{\omega_{\nu+1}}$  hold true for writing  $A_{\nu+1}$  instead of  $s_{\omega_{\nu+1}}$ . In particular  $A_{\nu+1}$  is a tree so that

$$\gamma A_{\nu+1} = \omega_{\nu+1}$$

$$kR_{\beta} A_{\nu+1} = \aleph_{\nu} \quad (\beta < \omega_{\nu+1})$$

$$k_c A_{\nu+1} = \aleph_{\nu};$$

moreover, for any  $e \in A_{\nu+1}$  the set  $(e, \cdot)_A$  satisfies the same last three conditions.

4.6. Total order-extension of  $A_{\nu+1}$  to become  $I \omega_{\nu+1}$  or the partial order destroying in  $I \omega_{\nu+1}$  to become  $A_{\nu+1}$ .

4.6.1. The mapping  $f(e) (e \in A)$  is a strongly increasing mapping of  $A$  into  $\overline{H}$ . Consequently,  $f$  is biunique in every chain of  $A$ . According to the previous construction,  $f$  is biunique in every set  $R_{\beta} A (\beta < \omega_{\nu+1})$ . Thus, if the sets  $fR_{\beta} A (\beta < \omega_{\nu+1})$  are pairwise disjoint,  $f$  is a biunique correspondence between  $A$  and the subset  $fA$  of  $\overline{H}$ . In such a case, using the mapping  $f$ , we can proceed either to destroy partially the order in the chain  $fA$  and get an ordered set similar to  $A$ , or to transfer the total order of  $fA$  onto the set  $A$  enlarging so the given partial order of  $A$ . Namely, if  $e, e'$  are any two incomparable points of  $A$ , we can declare incomparable also the corresponding points  $fe, fe'$  in  $fA$ ;  $fA$  becomes partially ordered and similar to  $A$ . And vice versa, if  $x, x'$  are any two points of  $fA$ , we can introduce an order  $<'$  into  $A$  by the procedure that  $x < x'$  in  $fA$  be equivalent to  $f^{-1}x <' f^{-1}x'$  in  $A$ .

<sup>1)</sup> Viz. of  $D_{\beta-1}$  and  $\bigcup_{\zeta < cf \beta} D_{\zeta}$  respectively, according as  $\beta$  is isolated or limit number.

4.6.2. *Partial desordoming of  $I(\omega_{\nu+1})$  to get a set  $A_{\nu+1}$ .* Any set  $A_{\nu+1}$  has  $\aleph_{\nu+1}$  as its cardinality. We can do an extension of order of  $A$  to yield a total order of type  $\omega_{\nu+1}$  of  $A_{\nu+1}$ . Namely, as  $kR_{\beta}A = \aleph_{\nu}$ , let

$$a_{\xi}^{\beta} (\xi < \omega_{\nu})$$

be an  $\omega_{\nu}$ -enumeration and at the same time an ordering of the set  $R_{\beta}A$ , so that in the new ordering  $a_{\xi}^{\beta}$  precedes  $a_{\xi'}^{\beta}$ , if and only if  $\xi < \xi' < \omega_{\nu}$ . Defining  $a_{\xi}^{\beta} \leq a_{\xi'}^{\beta'}$  if and only if either  $\beta \leq \beta'$  or  $\beta = \beta'$ ,  $\xi \leq \xi'$  one gets the required total extension of  $(A, \leq)$ .

Putting

$$g(a_{\xi}^{\beta}) = \omega_{\nu}\beta + \xi (\beta < \omega_{\nu+1}, \xi < \omega_{\nu})$$

$g$  is a biunique isomorphic mapping of  $A_{\nu+1}$  onto  $I(\omega_{\nu+1})$ . This isomorphism enables us to destroy partially the total order in  $I(\omega_{\nu+1})$  to get in it, as a step of previous ordination of  $I$  the partial order of  $A$ .<sup>1)</sup>

4.6.3. It is not easy to have a simple picture how such an desordoming of  $I(\omega_{\nu+1})$  takes place. However, we can realize it in the following manner: let  $h(\beta) (\beta < \omega_{\nu+1})$  be any uniform mapping of  $I(\omega_{\nu+1})$  into  $\bar{H}$  so that for each  $\beta$  the mapping  $h$  be biunique in  $[\omega_{\nu}\beta, \omega_{\nu}(\beta+1))$  and that the corresponding set

$$(4.6.3.1) \quad h[\omega_{\nu}\beta, \omega_{\nu}(\beta+1))$$

be everywhere dense in  $\bar{H}$  and be composed of very  $\omega(1+\beta)$  — points of  $\bar{H}$ ; then the set (4.6.3.1) can be chosen to serve as the set  $fD_{\beta}$  in the construction in § 4.3: it is sufficient to consider any partition  $P_{\beta}$  of (4.6.3.1) into  $\aleph_{\nu}$  pairwise disjoint sets, each of which is everywhere dense, establish a biunique correspondence  $t_{\beta}$  between  $E_{\beta}$  and  $P_{\beta}$  and for any  $e \in E_{\beta}$  put  $l(e) = t_{\beta}(e)$ . According as  $\beta$  is isolated or limit number, the set  $E_{\beta}$  means  $D_{\beta-1}$  or  $\bigcup_{\zeta < \tau} D_{\beta_{\zeta}}$  in previous notations. On the other hand, the existence of such mappings  $h$  is easy to establish. Namely, let us consider a bounded  $\omega_{\nu}$ -sequence  $a$  in  $H$ ; then for each  $\zeta \leq \nu$  the element  $\sup_{\xi < \omega_{\zeta}} a_{\xi}$  is a well-determined  $\omega_{\zeta}$ -point of  $\bar{H}$ ; thus, there are  $\omega_{\zeta}$ -points in  $\bar{H}$  for each  $\zeta \leq \nu$ . In virtue of the quasihomogeneity of  $H$  that means that in each interval of  $\bar{H}$  there are  $\omega_{\zeta}$ -points too; thus, for each  $\beta < \omega_{\nu+1}$  the  $\omega(1+\beta)$  — points are everywhere dense. It is then sufficient to consider a set  $S_{\beta}$  of power  $\aleph_{\nu}$  of  $\omega_{\zeta}(1+\beta)$  — points everywhere dense, decompose it into a  $\omega_{\nu}$ -system of disjoint sets  $S_{\zeta}^{\beta'} (\beta' < \omega_{\nu})$ , each of which is everywhere dense and to consider the sets

$$S_{\beta'}^{\beta'} (\beta' < \omega_{\nu}, \beta' < \omega_{\nu}).$$

They are to be used as sets  $fD_{\xi}$  in §§ 4.1.—4.3.

<sup>1)</sup> The precise definition of that idea is the following one [7]: let  $(E_1, \leq_1)(E_2, \leq_2)$  be two ordered sets (in general, they are only partially ordered); of course, one can have  $E_1 = E_2$ ; we say that the order of  $(E_1; \leq_1)$  is at least equal to the order  $(E_2; \leq_2)$ , symbolically  $t(E_1; \leq_1) \leq t(E_2, \leq_2)$  if there is a one-to one mapping  $f$  of  $E_1$  into  $E_2$  so that every chain  $C$  of  $(E_1; \leq_1)$  is mapped onto a similar chain of  $(E_2; \leq_2)$ , no matter what happens with subsets of  $E_1$  that are no chains. We say that the ramification (or disorder) in  $(E_1, \leq_1)$  is at least equal to the ramification (or disorder) of  $(E_2; \leq_2)$ , symbolically  $r(E_1, \leq_1) \leq r(E_2, \leq_2)$  if there is a one-to-one mapping of  $E_1$  into  $E_2$  so that if  $x, y \in E_1$  and  $x \leq_1 y, x >_1 y, x \parallel_{\leq_1} y$  then in  $(E_2; \leq_2)$  respectively  $f(x) \leq_2 f(y), f(x) >_2 f(y), f(x) \parallel_{\leq_2} f(y)$  i. e. if  $(E_1; \leq_1)$  is similar with a subset of  $(E_2; \leq_2)$ .

### 5. Normality of the preceding set $A_{\nu+1}$ .

**Theorem.** *The set  $A_{\nu+1}$  of the foregoing construction contains a set of  $kA_{\nu+1} = \aleph_{\nu+1}$  pairwise incomparable points.*

To see it (cf. Kurepa [10]) let  $r \in H$  and  $a \in A$ ; let us define  $\psi(r, a)$  so that:

$$(5.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \psi(r, a) &= -1 && \text{if } a \text{ non } \in a \\ \psi(r, a) &= \beta && \text{if } r \in a \text{ and} \end{aligned}$$

just  $a_\beta = r$  (let us remind that  $a$  is a well-ordered set  $\subseteq H$ ). For any  $T \subseteq \sigma H$  let

$$(5.2) \quad \psi(r, T) = \sup_{a \in T} \psi(r, a).$$

There is a point  $r_0 \in H$  so that

$$(5.3) \quad \psi(r_0, A) = \omega_{\nu+1}.$$

In opposite case, one should have  $\psi(r; A) < \omega_{\nu+1}$  ( $r \in H$ ), thus  $\delta < \omega_{\nu+1}$ , with  $\delta = \sup_{r \in H} \psi(r; A)$ , because  $kH = \aleph_\nu$ . Now, since  $\gamma A = \omega_{\nu+1}$  and  $\delta < \omega_{\nu+1}$ , there is an element  $a \in R_{\delta+1} A$ ; the point  $a_{\delta+2}$  of  $H$  should satisfy  $\psi(a_{\delta+2}, a) > \delta + 2 > \delta$ , what is a nonsense. Thus the existence of an  $r_0$  satisfying (5.3) is assured.

Now, let us construct a  $\omega_{\nu+1}$ -sequence

$$(5.4) \quad a^0, a', \dots, a^\xi, \dots, \quad (\xi < \omega_{\nu+1})$$

of points of  $A$ , so that  $r_0 \in a^\xi$  ( $\xi < \omega_{\nu+1}$ ) and that for each  $\xi$  one has

$$(5.5) \quad \psi(r_0, a^\xi) > \sup_{\zeta < \xi} \psi(r_0, a^\zeta).$$

Because of (5.3) the existence of (5.4) is inductively provable. Since, each  $a^\xi$  is a well-ordered subset of  $H$  containing  $r_0$  and since (5.5) holds, the elements (5.4) are pairwise incomparable: no one is an initial interval of another one Q. E. D.

### B I B L I O G R A P H Y

- [1] Gaifman, H., Specker, E. P., *Isomorphism of types of trees*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 15 (1964), 1—7.
- [2] Helson, H., *On a problem of Sikorski*, Colloquium Math., Wrocław, 2 (1951), 7—8.
- [3] Inagaki, T., *Le problème de Souslin et les espaces abstraits*, J. Fac. Sci., Hokkaido Univ., Ser. I (1939), 191—201.
- [4] Inagaki, T., *Les espaces abstraits et les ensembles ordonnés*, Ibidem 8 (1940) 146—162.
- [5] Kurepa, Đ., *Ensembles ordonnés et ramifiés*, Thèse, Paris, 1935, Publ. math. Univ. Belgrade, 4 (1935) 1—138.
- [6] Kurepa, Đ., *L'hypothèse de ramification*, Comptes rendus, Paris, 202 (1936) 185—187.
- [7] Kurepa, Đ., *O poredbenim relacijama*, Rad, Zagreb, 1938, knj. 201 (81), 187—219. *Sur les relations d'ordre*, Bull. inter. Ac., Zagreb, 32 (1939) 66—76.
- [8] Kurepa, Đ., *Ensembles linéaires et une classe de tableaux ramifiés. Tableaux ramifiés de M. Aronszajn*, Publ. math. Univ. Belgrade, 6 (1937), 129—160.
- [9] Kurepa, Đ., *A propos d'une généralisation de la notion d'ensembles bien ordonnés*, Acta Mathematica, 75 (1942), 139—150.
- [10] Kurepa, Đ., *Transformations monotones des ensembles partiellement ordonnés*, Revista de Ciencias, №434, 42 (1940), 827—846, № 437, 43 (1941), 483—500.



- [11] Kurepa, Đ., *Ensembles de suites dénombrables d'entiers, Contribution au problème de Suslin*, Bull. Ac. Sci, URSS, 11 (1947), 59—74.
- [12] Kurepa, Đ., *Sur une proposition de la théorie des ensembles*, C. r., Ac. Sci., Paris, 259 (1959) 2698—99.
- [13] Ricabarra, R. A. *Conjuntos ordenados y ramificados (Contribucion al estudio del problema de Suslin)*, Bahia Blanca, 1958, p. 358.
- [14] Sikorski, R., *On an ordered algebraic field*, Comptes Rendus Soc. Sci. Lettres, Warszawa, Cl. III, 41 (1948), 69—96.
- [15] Specker, E., *Sur un problème de Sikorski*, Colloquium Math., Wroclaw, 2 (1951) 9—12.

Matematički institut,  
Beograd, Yugoslavia.