

SOME PERMANENTAL INEQUALITIES

D. Ž. Đoković

(Communicated December 9, 1966)

Notations and preliminaries

S_n is the set of all permutations of $1, \dots, n$.

$Q_{r, n}$ is the set of all strictly increasing sequences of r integers chosen from $1, \dots, n$.

$G_{r, n}$ is the set of all nondecreasing sequences of r integers chosen from $1, \dots, n$. If $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r) \in G_{r, n}$ and if the distinct indices in $\alpha_1 < \dots < \alpha_r$ are $\beta_1 < \dots < \beta_k$ ($k \leq r$) then we shall denote by m_i ($i = 1, \dots, k$) the multiplicity of β_i in the sequence $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r$. We define $\mu(\alpha) = m_1! \dots m_k!$.

$M_{m, n}$ is the set of all m by n complex matrices and $M_n, n = M_n$.

If $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r) \in G_{r, m}$, $\beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_k) \in G_{k, n}$ and $A = (a_{ij}) \in M_{m, n}$ then $A[\alpha | \beta]$ is r by k matrix whose (s, t) entry is a_{α_s, β_t} ($s = 1, \dots, r, t = 1, \dots, k$).

If $A \in M_{m, n}$ then the r^{th} induced matrix $P_r(A)$ of A is $\binom{m+r-1}{r}$ by $\binom{n+r-1}{r}$ matrix whose (α, β) entry ($\alpha \in G_{r, m}, \beta \in G_{r, n}$) in the doubly lexicographic ordering is

$$(P_r(A))_{\alpha, \beta} = \text{per}(A[\alpha | \beta]) / \sqrt{\mu(\alpha) \mu(\beta)}.$$

We shall use the following properties of the induced matrix:

- (a) $A \in M_{m, n}$ & $B \in M_{n, k} \Rightarrow P_r(AB) = P_r(A)P_r(B)$;
- (b) $P_r(A)^* = P_r(A^*)$.

C^n is the unitary space of column n -tuples of complex numbers with respect to the usual inner product.

Let $x_i \in C^n$ ($i = 1, \dots, k$) and $X = (x_1, \dots, x_k) \in M_{n, k}$. We define the dot product

$$x_1 \cdot \dots \cdot x_k \in C^{\binom{n+k-1}{k}}$$

as the $\binom{n+k-1}{k}$ — tuple whose α^{th} entry ($\alpha \in G_{k, n}$) in the lexicographic ordering is

$$\text{per}(X[\alpha | 1, \dots, k]) / \sqrt{\mu(\alpha)}.$$

We have the following property ([2], p. 21):

- (c) If $x_i \in C^n$ ($i = 1, \dots, k$) and $A \in M_{m, n}$ then

$$P_r(A) x_1 \cdot \dots \cdot x_k = (Ax_1) \cdot \dots \cdot (Ax_k).$$

$e_k^{(n)} \in C^n$ n -tuple with 1 in position k , zero elsewhere. If $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r) \in G_{r, n}$ we designate

$$e_\alpha^{(n)} = e_{\alpha_1}^{(n)} \cdot \dots \cdot e_{\alpha_r}^{(n)}$$

$e_\alpha^{(n)}$ has 1 in position α , zero elsewhere. Hence, the vectors $e_\alpha^{(n)}$ ($\alpha \in G_{r, n}$) form an orthonormal basis of $C^{\binom{n+r-1}{r}}$.

We shall need the following results of Marcus and Newman [1]:

(d) If $x_i \in C^n$ ($i=1, \dots, k$) then

$$x_1 \cdot \dots \cdot x_k = 0 \Rightarrow x_i = 0 \text{ for some } i=1, \dots, k;$$

(e) If x_i, y_i ($i=1, \dots, k$) are non-zero vectors in C^n then

$$x_1 \cdot \dots \cdot x_k = y_1 \cdot \dots \cdot y_k$$

if and only if there exists a set of non-zero scalars d_1, \dots, d_k and a permutation $\sigma \in S_k$ such that $d_1 \dots d_k = 1$ and

$$y_i = d_i x_{\sigma(i)} \quad i=1, \dots, k.$$

$J \in M_n$ is the matrix all of whose entries are 1.

Ω_n is the set of all doubly stochastic n by n matrices.

We shall need the following result ([2], p. 132):

(f) If $A \in \Omega_n$ is symmetric positive semidefinite then there exists a real symmetric positive semidefinite matrix B such that $B^2 = A$ and $BJ = JB = J$.

Statement of the results

It was proved by Marcus and Newman [1] that

$$(1) \quad |\text{per}(AB)|^2 \leq \text{per}(AA^*) \cdot \text{per}(B^*B) \quad \text{for } A, B \in M_n.$$

Our first result is:

Theorem 1. *If $A \in M_{m, n}$, $B \in M_{n, k}$ and $\alpha \in G_{r, m}$, $\beta \in G_{r, k}$ then*

$$(2) \quad |\text{per}((AB)[\alpha|\beta])|^2 \leq \text{per}((AA^*)[\alpha|\alpha]) \cdot \text{per}((B^*B)[\beta|\beta]).$$

The equality holds in (2) if and only if one of the following eventualities occurs:

(i) some of the rows $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r$ of A or some of the columns β_1, \dots, β_r of B are zero;

(ii) no row of A and no column of B mentioned in (i) is zero, and there exists a diagonal matrix D and a permutation matrix P , both in M_r , such that

$$A^*[1, \dots, n|\alpha] = (B[1, \dots, n|\beta])DP.$$

In [1] it is also proved that

$$(3) \quad \text{per } A \geq n!/n^n$$

if $A \in \Omega_n$ is symmetric positive semidefinite.

Our second result is:

Theorem 2. *If $A = (a_{ij}) \in \Omega_n$ is symmetric positive semidefinite and $\alpha \in G_{r, n}$ then*

$$(4) \quad \text{per}(A[\alpha|\alpha]) \geq r!/n^r \quad r=1, \dots, n.$$

The equality holds in (4) if and only if $A[\alpha | \alpha]$ has all entries $1/n$.

Proof of the results

Proof of Theorem 1. On the basis of (a), (b) and Schwarz inequality we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 (5) \quad \frac{|\text{per}((AB)[\alpha | \beta])|^2}{\mu(\alpha)\mu(\beta)} &= |(P_r(AB))_{\alpha, \beta}|^2 \\
 &= |(P_r(AB)e_{\beta}^{(k)}, e_{\alpha}^{(m)})|^2 \\
 &= |(P_r(A)P_r(B)e_{\beta}^{(k)}, e_{\alpha}^{(m)})|^2 \\
 &= |(P_r(B)e_{\beta}^{(k)}, P_r(A^*)e_{\alpha}^{(m)})|^2 \\
 &\leq (P_r(B)e_{\beta}^{(k)}, P_r(B)e_{\beta}^{(k)}) (P_r(A^*)e_{\alpha}^{(m)}, P_r(A^*)e_{\alpha}^{(m)}) \\
 &= (P_r(B^*B)e_{\beta}^{(k)}, e_{\beta}^{(k)}) (P_r(AA^*)e_{\alpha}^{(m)}, e_{\alpha}^{(m)}) \\
 &= (P_r(B^*B))_{\beta, \beta} \cdot (P_r(AA^*))_{\alpha, \alpha} \\
 &= \frac{\text{per}((B^*B)[\beta | \beta])}{\mu(\beta)} \cdot \frac{\text{per}((AA^*)[\alpha | \alpha])}{\mu(\alpha)}.
 \end{aligned}$$

It follows that (2) is true.

The equality holds in (5) if and only if $P_r(B)e_{\beta}^{(k)}$ and $P_r(A^*)e_{\alpha}^{(m)}$ are linearly dependent. Using (c) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 P_r(B)e_{\beta}^{(k)} &= (Be_{\beta_1}^{(k)}) \cdot \dots \cdot (Be_{\beta_r}^{(k)}), \\
 P_r(A^*)e_{\alpha}^{(m)} &= (A^*e_{\alpha_1}^{(m)}) \cdot \dots \cdot (A^*e_{\alpha_r}^{(m)}).
 \end{aligned}$$

Putting

$$(6) \quad x_i = Be_{\beta_i}^{(k)}, \quad y_i = A^*e_{\alpha_i}^{(m)} \quad i = 1, \dots, r$$

we obtain

$$P_r(B)e_{\beta}^{(k)} = x_1 \cdot \dots \cdot x_r, \quad P_r(A^*)e_{\alpha}^{(m)} = y_1 \cdot \dots \cdot y_r.$$

These vectors are linearly dependent if and only if

$$(7) \quad x_1 \cdot \dots \cdot x_r = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad y_1 \cdot \dots \cdot y_r = 0$$

or

$$(8) \quad x_1 \cdot \dots \cdot x_r \neq 0, \quad y_1 \cdot \dots \cdot y_r \neq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad x_1 \cdot \dots \cdot x_r = dy_1 \cdot \dots \cdot y_r$$

where d is a non-zero scalar. If (7) is true then (d) can be applied and (i) follows from (6). If (8) is true then (e) can be applied so that

$$y_i = d_i x_{\sigma(i)} \quad i = 1, \dots, r$$

for appropriate non-zero scalars $d_i (i = 1, \dots, r)$ and a permutation $\sigma \in S_r$. Hence, in this case

$$\begin{aligned}
 A^*[1, \dots, n | \alpha] &= (y_1, \dots, y_r) \\
 &= (d_1 x_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, d_r x_{\sigma(r)}) \\
 &= (d_{\tau(1)} x_1, \dots, d_{\tau(r)} x_r) P
 \end{aligned}$$

where $P = (p_{rj}) \in M_r$ is permutation matrix such that $p_{\sigma(i),i} = 1$ ($i = 1, \dots, r$), $p_{ij} = 0$ otherwise, and $\tau = \sigma^{-1}$. With $D = \text{diag} (d_{\tau(1)}, \dots, d_{\tau(r)}) \in M_r$ we get

$$A^* [1, \dots, n | \alpha] = (x_1, \dots, x_r) DP = (B[1, \dots, n | \beta]) DP.$$

The proof is complete.

An example. Taking

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & \cdots & a_n \\ b_1 & \cdots & b_n \end{pmatrix}, \quad B^T = \begin{pmatrix} c_1 & \cdots & c_n \\ d_1 & \cdots & d_n \end{pmatrix}, \quad \alpha = \beta = (1, 2),$$

we obtain the following inequality

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i c_i \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^n b_i d_i \right) + \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i d_i \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^n b_i c_i \right) \right|^2 \\ & \leq \left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^n |a_i|^2 \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |b_i|^2 \right) + \left| \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \bar{b}_i \right|^2 \right] \\ & \quad \times \left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^n |c_i|^2 \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |d_i|^2 \right) + \left| \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \bar{d}_i \right|^2 \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Proof of Theorem 2. Let B be a matrix as in (f). Making use of Theorem 1 we find that

$$\begin{aligned} (9) \quad (r!)^2 &= (\text{per} (J[x | \alpha]))^2 \\ &= (\text{per} (BJ) [\alpha | \alpha])^2 \\ &\leq \text{per} ((BB^*) [\alpha | \alpha]) \cdot \text{per} (J^*J) [\alpha | \alpha] \\ &= \text{per} (A [\alpha | \alpha]) \cdot \text{per} ((nJ) [\alpha | \alpha]) \\ &= r! n' \text{per} (A [\alpha | \alpha]) \end{aligned}$$

which proves (4).

If equality holds in (9) then we must have the case (ii) of Theorem 1 for no row of B and no column of J is zero. Therefore we must have

$$\begin{aligned} B[1, \dots, n | \alpha] &= B^* [1, \dots, n | \alpha] \\ &= J[1, \dots, n | \alpha] DP \\ &= J[1, \dots, n | \alpha] P (P^{-1} DP) \\ &= J[1, \dots, n | \alpha] P^{-1} DP \\ &= J[1, \dots, n | \alpha] D_1 \end{aligned}$$

where P is a permutation matrix and D and D_1 nonsingular diagonal matrices. We must have $D_1 = I/n$ for all column sums of B are 1. Hence

$$B[1, \dots, n | \alpha] = \frac{1}{n} J[1, \dots, n | \alpha].$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
 A[\alpha | \alpha] &= B[\alpha | 1, \dots, n] B[1, \dots, n | \alpha] \\
 &= B^T[\alpha | 1, \dots, n] B[1, \dots, n | \alpha] \\
 &= (B[1, \dots, n | \alpha])^T B[1, \dots, n | \alpha] \\
 &= \frac{1}{n^2} (J[1, \dots, n | \alpha])^T J[1, \dots, n | \alpha] \\
 &= \frac{1}{n^2} J[\alpha | 1, \dots, n] J[1, \dots, n | \alpha] \\
 &= \frac{1}{n} J[\alpha | \alpha]
 \end{aligned}$$

which proves the theorem.

Remark. Using the common exterior product and compound matrices we can prove a theorem on determinants analogous to Theorem 1:

Theorem 3. *If $A \in M_{m, n}$, $B \in M_{n, k}$ and $\alpha \in Q_{r, m}$, $\beta \in Q_{r, k}$ then*

$$(10) \quad |\det((AB)[\alpha | \beta])|^2 \leq \det((AA^*)[\alpha | \alpha]) \cdot \det((B^*B)[\beta | \beta]).$$

The equality holds in (10) if and only if one of the following eventualities occurs:

- (i) *rank $(A[\alpha | 1, \dots, n]) < r$ or rank $(B[1, \dots, n | \beta]) < r$;*
- (ii) *rank $(A[\alpha | 1, \dots, n]) = \text{rank}(B[1, \dots, n | \beta]) = r$ and there exists a nonsingular $C \in M_r$ such that*

$$A^*[1, \dots, n | \alpha] = (B[1, \dots, n | \beta]) C.$$

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Marcus and M. Newman, *Inequalities for the permanent function* Annals of Mathematics 75 (1962), 47-62.
- [2] M. Marcus and H. Minc, *A survey of matrix theory and matrix inequalities*, Boston 1964.