

REMARKS ON THE CAUCHY FUNCTIONAL EQUATION

Svetozar Kurepa

(Communicated May 22, 1964)

In this Note $R = \{x, y, \dots\}$ denotes the set of all real numbers and $R_0 = \{x \mid x \in R, x \neq 0\}$. A function $f : R \rightarrow R$ is said to satisfy the Cauchy functional equation if

$$(1) \quad f(x + y) = f(x) + f(y)$$

holds for all $x, y \in R$. A function $f : R \rightarrow R$ is termed a derivative on R if f satisfies the functional equation (1) and

$$(2) \quad f(xy) = xf(y) + yf(x)$$

holds for all $x, y \in R$.¹

In [1] we have proved the following theorem:

Theorem I. Suppose that f and $g \neq 0$ are two solutions of (1) and that

$$(3) \quad g(x) = P(x) f(1/x)$$

holds for all $x \in R_0$ where P is a continuous function on R subject to the unessential condition $P(1) = 1$.

Then

$$(4) \quad f(x) + g(x) = 2f(1) x \quad (x \in R)$$

and the function

$$F(x) = f(x) - xf(1)$$

is a derivative on R .

This theorem in the case $f = g$ implies that a function f which satisfies (1) and $f(x) = x^2 f(1/x)$ for $x \in R_0$ is a continuous function. This solves the problem № 638 in The New Scottish book given by Prof. Israel Halperin in 1963. (See. Coll. Math. XI₁ (1963) p. 140), by which this work was also motivated. It is the object of this Note to generalize Theorem I. We get also another proof for this Theorem. We have

¹ For the existence of a nontrivial derivatives on R see [2] pp. 120–131.

Theorem 1. *Suppose that f and $g \neq 0$ are two solutions of the Cauchy functional equation (1) and that there is an integer $n \neq 0, -1$ and a continuous function $P : R_0 \rightarrow R$, subject to an unessential condition $P(1) = 1$, such that*

$$(5) \quad g(x) = P(x) f(1/x^n)$$

holds for all $x \in R_0$.

Then

$$(6) \quad P(x) = x^{n+1}, \quad n f(x) + g(x) = (n+1) f(1) x$$

holds for all $x \in R$ and the function

$$F(x) = f(x) - x f(1)$$

is a derivative on R .

Conversely: If F is a derivative on R , $f(x) = ax + F(x)$ with a constant $a \in R$, $g(x) = a(n+1)x - n f(x)$ and $P(x) = x^{n+1}$, then f and g are solutions of the Cauchy functional equation (1) and (5) holds for every $x \in R_0$.

Corollary 1. *Suppose that a function $f : R \rightarrow R$ satisfies the Cauchy functional equation (1) and that*

$$a f(x) = x^{n+1} f(1/x^n)$$

holds for all $x \in R_0$, where $n \neq 0, -1$ is an integer and $a \neq n$ a constant. Then $f(x) = x f(1)$ holds for all $x \in R$.

Proof of Theorem 1. We divide the proof in several steps.

I. The function P is of the form: $P(x) = x^{n+1}$ ($x \in R_0$). In order to prove this we replace x by rx ($r \neq 0$) in (5) where from now an r denotes a rational number. We get

$$r g(x) = P(rx) \frac{1}{r^n} f\left(\frac{1}{x^n}\right)$$

which together with (5) leads to:

$$(7) \quad \left[\frac{P(rx)}{r^{n+1}} - P(x) \right] f\left(\frac{1}{x^n}\right) = 0.$$

If we multiply (7) with $P(x)$ and use (5) we get

$$(8) \quad \left[\frac{P(rx)}{r^{n+1}} - P(x) \right] g(x) = 0.$$

Now $g \neq 0$ implies the existence of $x_0 \neq 0$ such that $g(x_0) \neq 0$. But then (8) implies:

$$P(rx_0) = r^{n+1} P(x_0),$$

for all rational numbers $r \neq 0$. Using the continuity of P we find

$$(9) \quad P(x x_0) = x^{n+1} P(x_0)$$

for any $x \in R_0$. Replacing x with x/x_0 in (9) we get

$$P(x) = x^{n+1} \frac{P(x_0)}{x_0^{n+1}}$$

which because of $P(1) = 1$ implies:

$$(10) \quad P(x) = x^{n+1}$$

for any $x \in R_0$. Hence (10) and (5) imply:

$$(11) \quad g(x) = x^{n+1} f(1/x^n) \quad (x \in R_0).$$

If we set

$$(12) \quad G(x) = g(x) - x g(1) \quad \text{and} \quad F(x) = f(x) - x f(1)$$

then (11) implies $f(1) = g(1)$ so that (12) and (11) lead to

$$(13) \quad G(x) = x^{n+1} F(1/x^n) \quad (x \in R_0).$$

The functions F and G are solutions of the Cauchy functional equation (1), they satisfy (13) and $F(r) = G(r) = 0$ for every rational number r .

II In order to prove Theorem 1 it is sufficient to prove that F is a derivative. Indeed if F is a derivative then $F(x) = -x^2 F(1/x)$ and $F(y^k) = k y^{k-1} F(y)$ for any natural number k together with (13) leads to

$$(14) \quad G(x) = -n F(x).$$

This is obvious if $n < 0$. In the case $m = -n < 1$ from (13) we get $x^{m-1} G(x) = F(x^m) = m x^{m-1} F(x)$, i.e. $G(x) = m F(x)$ which implies (14).

From (14) Theorem 1 immediately follows. Now to prove that F is a derivative it is sufficient to prove that

$$(15) \quad F(x^2) = 2x F(x)$$

holds on R . Indeed if in (15) we replace x by $x + y$ we get:

$$F(x^2 + 2xy + y^2) = 2(x+y) F(x+y)$$

which because of $F(x^2) = 2x F(x)$ and $F(y^2) = 2y F(y)$ implies $F(xy) = x F(y) + y F(x)$. Thus we have to prove the relation (15) only. In order to do this the case of positive n and of negative n are to be distinguished.

III Suppose that $m = -n \geq 2$ is a natural number.

Then (13) becomes:

$$F(x^m) = x^{m-1} G(x).$$

Replacing x with $x+r$ in this relation, using the fact that $F(r^m) = 0$ and $m \geq 2$ we get

$$(16) \quad m r^{m-1} F(x) + \frac{m(m-1)}{2} r^{m-2} F(x^2) + \dots = [r^{m-1} + (m-1) r^{m-2} x + \dots] G(x),$$

The equation (16) is an equation between two polynomials of order $m-1$ in r . From here by comparing coefficients of r^{m-1} and r^{m-2} we get:

$$m F(x) = G(x) \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{m(m-1)}{2} F(x^2) = (m-1) x G(x)$$

which implies $F(x^2) = 2x F(x)$. Thus in the case of $n \in \{-2, -3, \dots, 4\}$ (15) is proved.

IV Suppose that n is a natural number. For an irrational number x we apply the function G on the identity:

$$(17) \quad \frac{1}{x-r} - \frac{1}{x+r} = 2r \frac{1}{x^2 - r^2}$$

We get:

$$G\left(\frac{1}{x-r}\right) - G\left(\frac{1}{x+r}\right) = 2r G\left(\frac{1}{x^2 - r^2}\right)$$

which because of (13) becomes:

$$\frac{1}{(x-r)^{n+1}} F[(x-r)^n] - \frac{1}{(x+r)^{n+1}} F[(x+r)^n] = 2r \frac{1}{(x^2 - r^2)^{n+1}} F[(x^2 - r^2)^n].$$

We have therefore:

$$(18) \quad (x+r)^{n+1} F[(x-r)^n] - (x-r)^{n+1} F[(x+r)^n] = 2r F[(x^2 - r^2)^n],$$

i. e.

$$\begin{aligned} & [r^{n+1} + (n+1)r^n x + \dots] F \left[n(-r)^{n-1} x + \frac{n(n-1)}{2} (-r)^{n-2} x^2 + \dots \right] \\ & - [(-r)^{n+1} + (n+1)(-r)^n x + \dots] F \left[n r^{n-1} x + \frac{n(n-1)}{2} r^{n-2} x^2 + \dots \right] \\ & = 2r F [n(-r^2)^{n-1} x^2 + \dots]. \end{aligned}$$

Since this equation is an equation of two polynomials in r , we may compare the corresponding coefficients. Comparing coefficients of r^{2n-1} we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[(-1)^{n-2} \frac{n(n-1)}{2} F(x^2) + (-1)^{n-1} (n+1) n x F(x) \right] - \\ & - \left[(-1)^{n+1} \frac{n(n-1)}{2} F(x^2) + (-1)^n (n+1)n x F(x) \right] = 2(-1)^{n-1} n F(x^2) \end{aligned}$$

from which follows $F(x^2) = 2x F(x)$.

Q.E.D.

REFERENCES:

- [1] S. Kurepa, *The Cauchy functional equation and scalar product in vector spaces* Glasnik mat. fiz. astr. **19** (1964), 23-36.
 [2] O. Zariski and P. Samuel, *Commutative algebra*. D. von Nostrand Company Inc. 1958.