

GENERALIZATION OF BONNET'S FORMULA FOR A SUBSPACE OF A GENERALIZED RIEMANN SPACE

D. K. Datta and M. K. Singal

(Presented January 20, 1964)

1. Introduction :

A space of coordinates y^α ($\alpha = 1, 2, \dots, m$) with which is associated a non-symmetric tensor $a_{\alpha\beta}$ is called a generalised Riemann space $X_m[1, 2]$. Using bar and hook to denote symmetric and skew-symmetric parts respectively of a quantity, we have

$$\underline{a}_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{2} (a_{\alpha\beta} + a_{\beta\alpha})$$

$$\underline{a}_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{2} (a_{\alpha\beta} - a_{\beta\alpha}).$$

By assuming $|a_{\alpha\beta}| \neq 0$, the quantities $\underline{a}^{\alpha\beta}$ are defined by

$$\underline{a}^{\alpha\beta} \underline{a}_{\alpha\gamma} = \delta_{\gamma}^{\beta}.$$

For such a space Eisenhart has obtained the affine connections $\Delta_{\alpha\beta}^{\gamma}$ defined by:

$$\Delta_{\alpha\beta}^{\gamma} = a^{\gamma\delta} \Delta_{\alpha\beta\delta}$$

$$\Delta_{\alpha\beta\delta} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial a_{\alpha\delta}}{\partial y^{\beta}} + \frac{\partial a_{\delta\beta}}{\partial y^{\alpha}} - \frac{\partial a_{\alpha\beta}}{\partial y^{\delta}} \right).$$

The object of this note is to define geodesic torsion of a curve in a subspace of a generalised Riemann space and to obtain an expression for the same.

2. Subspace of X_m :

Consider a generalised Riemann space X_n of coordinates x^i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$) imbedded in X_m as defined in § I. Let g_{ij}, Δ_{ij}^h be the non-symmetric tensor and the affine connection respectively in X_n .

Then [1, 6],

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \underline{g}_{ij} &= \underline{a}_{\alpha\beta} y_i^{\alpha} y_j^{\beta} \\ \underline{a}_{\alpha\beta}; k &= 0, \\ \underline{g}_{ij}; k &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

where comma followed by an index denotes covariant derivative with respect to the y 's (or x 's) with that index and the connection $\Delta_{\alpha\beta}^{\gamma}$ (or Δ_{ij}^h) and semi-colon followed by an index denotes the tensor derivative with respect to x with that index. Also,

$$(2.2) \quad y_{;ij}^{\alpha} = \sum_{\nu} \Omega_{\nu|ij} N_{\nu}^{\alpha}$$

N_{ν}^{α} being a set of $(m-n)$ mutually orthogonal unit vectors in X_m defined at points of X_n and normal to X_n and $\Omega_{\nu|ij}$ is a covariant tensor in X_n . The magnitude of a vector in X_n and the angle between two vectors are defined in the usual manner with respect to the tensor $a_{\alpha\beta}$.

The tensor derivative of N_{ν}^{α} is given by:

$$(2.3) \quad N_{\nu|;i}^{\alpha} = -g^{lj} \Omega_{\nu|li} y_{,j}^{\alpha} + \sum_{\mu} B_{\mu\nu|i} N_{\mu}^{\alpha},$$

where for each μ and ν the quantities $B_{\mu\nu|i}$ are the covariant components of a vector, subject to the conditions $B_{\mu\nu|i} + B_{\nu\mu|i} = 0$, $B_{\mu\mu|i} = 0$.

The Frenet's formulae for a curve C in X_n corresponding to an orthonormal basis λ^{α} chosen suitably from the tangent vector t^{α} of C are given by [5]:

$$(2.4) \quad \frac{D\lambda^{\alpha}}{D_s} = -\kappa_{\rho-1} \lambda^{\alpha}_{(\rho-1)} + \kappa_{\rho} \lambda^{\alpha}_{(\rho+1)},$$

where $\frac{D}{D_s}$ denotes intrinsic derivative in the direction of C and the κ 's and λ 's are given by:

$$(2.5) \quad \lambda^{\alpha}_{(1)} = \xi^{\alpha}_{(1)}, \quad \lambda^{\alpha}_{(1)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{D_{\rho\lambda} D_{\rho\dot{\lambda}}}} \begin{vmatrix} (1, 1) \cdots (1, \rho-1) \xi^{\alpha}_{(1)} \\ (2, 1) \cdots (2, \rho-1) \xi^{\alpha}_{(2)} \\ \vdots \\ (\rho, 1) \cdots (\rho, \rho-1) \xi^{\alpha}_{(\rho)} \end{vmatrix}$$

$$(2.6) \quad \xi^{\alpha}_{(1)} = t^{\alpha}_{(1)}, \quad \xi^{\alpha}_{(\rho)} = \frac{D\xi^{\alpha}_{(\rho-1)}}{D_s}$$

$$(2.7) \quad D_0 = 1, \quad D_{\rho} = \begin{vmatrix} (1, 1) \cdots (1, \rho) \\ (2, 1) \cdots (2, \rho) \\ \vdots \\ (\rho, 1) \cdots (\rho, \rho) \end{vmatrix}$$

$$(\rho, \sigma) = a_{\alpha\beta} \xi^{\alpha}_{(\rho)} \xi^{\beta}_{(\sigma)}$$

$$\kappa_{\rho} = \frac{\sqrt{D_{\rho-1} D_{\rho+1}}}{D_{\rho}} \quad \kappa_0 = 0 = \kappa_m$$

3. Geodesic Torsion of a curve in X_n :

Consider a curve $x^i = x^i(s)$ in X_n and let $t^i_{(1)}$ be the components of the unit tangent to C in the x 's. Then the components $t^{\alpha}_{(1)}$ in X_m are given by:

$$(3.1) \quad t^{\alpha}_{(1)} = y_{,i}^{\alpha} t^i_{(1)}$$

Taking the intrinsic derivatives of both sides along C , we get

$$(3.2) \quad \frac{Dt_{(1)}^\alpha}{Ds} = y_{;ij}^\alpha t_{(1)}^i t_{(1)}^j + y_{,i}^\alpha t_{(1);j}^i t_{(1)}^j = y_{,i}^\alpha t_{(1);j}^i t_{(1)}^j + \sum_{\nu} \Omega_{\nu|ij} N_{\nu|}^\alpha t_{(1)}^i t_{(1)}^j$$

which can be written as:

$$(3.2)' \quad t_{(2)}^\alpha = y_{,i}^\alpha t_{(2)}^i + \sum_{\nu} x_{\nu|} N_{\nu|}^\alpha$$

where we have used:

$$t_{(p)}^\alpha = \frac{Dt_{(p-1)}^\alpha}{Ds} \quad p \geq 2$$

$$(3.4) \quad t_{(p)}^\alpha = t_{(p-1);j}^\alpha t_{(p-1)}^j - \sum_{\nu} x_{\nu|} \Omega_{\nu|jk} g^{kt} t_{(p-2)}^j$$

$$(3.5) \quad x_{\nu|} = \frac{D x_{\nu|}}{Ds} + \sum_{\mu} x_{\mu|} B_{\mu\nu|j} t_{(p-1)}^j + \Omega_{\nu|ij} t_{(p)}^i t_{(p-1)}^j$$

$$x_{\nu|} = 0.$$

Taking the intrinsic derivative of (3.2)' along C we get

$$\frac{Dt_{(2)}^\alpha}{Ds} = y_{;ij}^\alpha t_{(2)}^i t_{(2)}^j + t_{(2);j}^\alpha y_{,i}^\alpha t_{(2)}^i + \sum_{\nu} \frac{D x_{\nu|}}{Ds} N_{\nu|}^\alpha + \sum_{\nu} x_{\nu|} N_{\nu|;j}^\alpha t_{(2)}^j$$

Therefore, from (2.2), (3.4) and (3.5) this can be written as

$$(3.6) \quad t_{(3)}^\alpha = y_{,i}^\alpha t_{(3)}^i + \sum_{\nu} x_{\nu|} N_{\nu|}^\alpha.$$

Proceeding in a similar manner we will get:

$$(3.7) \quad t_{(p)}^\alpha = y_{,i}^\alpha t_{(p)}^i + \sum_{\nu} x_{\nu|} N_{\nu|}^\alpha \quad \text{for } p = 1, 2, \dots, m.$$

The vectors $t_{(p)}^i$ are linearly independent and we may obtain through linear combinations of them, m mutually orthogonal unit vectors $\lambda_{(p)}^\alpha$ ($p = 1, 2, \dots, m$).

Let

$$(3.8) \quad a_{\alpha\beta} t_{(p)}^\alpha t_{(q)}^\beta = (p, q)$$

and D_p denote the determinant $| (r, q) |$ ($r, q = 1, 2, \dots, p$). Consider the vector with components $\lambda_{(p)}^\alpha$ which are given by:

$$(3.9) \quad \lambda_{(p)}^\alpha = \sqrt{\frac{D_p}{D_{p-1}}} t_{(p)}^\alpha B_p^r, \quad r = 1, 2, \dots, p$$

where B_p^r is the cofactor of (r, p) in D_p divided by $|D_p|$. The vectors $\lambda_{(p)}^\alpha$ as defined by (3.9) for ($p = 1, 2, \dots, m$) form an orthogonal ennuple of unit vectors [3]. Using (3.7) we get:

$$(3.10) \quad (p, q) = g_{ij} t_{(p)}^i t_{(q)}^j + \sum_{\nu} x_{\nu|} x_{\nu|} t_{(p-1)}^i t_{(q-1)}^i$$

and from (3.9) we get:

$$(3.11) \quad \lambda_{(p)}^\alpha = \sqrt{\frac{D_p}{D_{p-1}}} B_p^r (y_{,i}^\alpha t_{(r)}^i + \sum_{\nu} x_{\nu|} N_{\nu|}^\alpha) = E_{(p)}^i y_{,i}^\alpha + \sum_{\nu} N_{\nu|}^\alpha F_{(p)}^\nu$$

where

$$(3.12) \quad E_{(p)}^i = \sqrt{\frac{D_p}{D_{p-1}}} B_p^r t_{(r)}^i,$$

$$(3.13) \quad F_{(p)}^i = \frac{x_{\nu|}}{(p-1)} B_p^r \sqrt{\frac{D_p}{D_{p-1}}},$$

From (2.4) the Frenet's formulae for λ^α 's become

$$(3.14) \quad \frac{D\lambda_{(p)}^\alpha}{DS} = -x_{p-1} \lambda_{(p-1)}^\alpha + x_p \lambda_{(p+1)}^\alpha$$

Now let $\theta_{\nu|}$ be the angle between $\lambda_{(p)}$ and $N_{\nu|}$ so that

$$a_{\alpha\beta} N_{\nu|}^\alpha \lambda_{(p)}^\beta = \cos \theta_{\nu|}$$

Taking the intrinsic derivative of both sides along C

$$a_{\alpha\beta} N_{\nu|}^\alpha ; i t_{(1)}^i \lambda_{(p)}^\beta + a_{\alpha\beta} N_{\nu|}^\alpha \frac{D\lambda_{(p)}^\beta}{DS} = -\sin \theta_{\nu|} \frac{D\theta_{\nu|}}{DS}$$

using (3.14)

$$(3.15) \quad -x_{p-1} \cos \theta_{\nu|} + x_p \cos \theta_{\nu|} + \sin \theta_{\nu|} \frac{D\theta_{\nu|}}{DS} = H_{\nu|\beta} \lambda_{(p)}^\beta,$$

where

$$(3.16) \quad \begin{aligned} H_{\nu|\beta} &= a_{\alpha\beta} N_{\nu|}^\alpha ; i t_{(1)}^i \\ &= a_{\alpha\beta} \left[-\Omega_{\nu|ik} g^{kj} y_{,j}^\alpha t_{(1)}^i + \sum_{\mu} B_{\mu\nu|i} N_{\mu|}^\alpha t_{(1)}^i \right] \end{aligned}$$

From (3.11) it follows that

$$H_{\nu|\beta} \lambda_{(p)}^\beta = \left[-E_{(p)}^i \Omega_{\nu|il} + \sum_{\mu} F_{\mu|} B_{\mu\nu|l} \right] t_{(1)}^l$$

and (3.15) takes the form

$$(3.17) \quad \begin{aligned} -x_{p-1} \cos \theta_{\nu|} + x_p \cos \theta_{\nu|} + \sin \theta_{\nu|} \frac{D\theta_{\nu|}}{DS} \\ = \left[-E_{(p)}^i \Omega_{\nu|il} + \sum_{\mu} F_{\mu|} B_{\mu\nu|l} \right] t_{(1)}^l \end{aligned}$$

To express the formula (3.17) in a different form we solve the m equations:

$$(3.18) \quad \left. \begin{aligned} a_{\alpha\beta} \lambda_{(p)}^\alpha \lambda_{(q)}^\beta &= 0 \\ a_{\alpha\beta} \lambda_{(p)}^\alpha \lambda_{(p)}^\beta &= 1 \end{aligned} \right\} q = 1, 2, \dots, m \quad (p \neq q)$$

for the m quantities $\lambda_{(p)}^\alpha$. If $\Lambda_{(p)}^\beta$ denotes the cofactor of $\lambda_{(p)}^\beta$ in the determinant

$\left| \lambda_{(p)}^\beta \right|$ the solution of (3.18) are given by:

$$(3.19) \quad \lambda_{(p)}^\alpha = \frac{\Lambda_{(p)}^\alpha}{\left| \lambda_{(p)}^\alpha \right|} = \frac{\Lambda_{(p)}^\alpha}{\sqrt{|a_{\alpha\beta}|}} = \Lambda_{(p)}^\alpha \frac{|q_{\beta}^\alpha|}{\sqrt{|g_{ij}|}}$$

where $q_{\beta}^{\alpha} = y_{,i}^{\alpha}$ when $\beta = i, i = 1, \dots, n$
 $= N_{\nu}^{\alpha}$ when $\beta = \nu, \nu = (n+1), \dots, m$.

Hence the right hand side of (3.15) can be written as

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{|g_{ij}|}} H_{\nu|\beta} \Lambda_{(p)}^{\beta} |q_{\gamma}^{\alpha}|$$

i.e. $\frac{|q_{\gamma}^{\alpha}|}{\sqrt{|g_{ij}|}} (-1)^{p-1} \begin{vmatrix} H_{\nu|1} & \lambda_{(1)} & \dots & \lambda_{(m)} \\ H_{\nu|2} & \lambda_{(1)} & & \lambda_{(m)} \\ \vdots & & & \\ H_{\nu|m} & \lambda_{(1)} & & \lambda_{(m)} \end{vmatrix}$

i.e. $\frac{(-1)^{p-1}}{\sqrt{|g_{ij}|}} \begin{vmatrix} H_{\nu|\alpha} y_{,1}^{\alpha} & H_{\nu|\alpha} y_{,2}^{\alpha} & \dots & H_{\nu|\alpha} y_{,n}^{\alpha} & H_{\nu|\alpha} N_{n+1}^{\alpha} & \dots & H_{\nu|\alpha} N_m^{\alpha} \\ \lambda_{(1)} & y_{,1}^{\alpha} & \lambda_{(2)} & y_{,2}^{\alpha} & & & \lambda_{(1)} N_m^{\alpha} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & & \vdots \\ \lambda_{(m)} & y_{,1}^{\alpha} & \lambda_{(m)} & y_{,2}^{\alpha} & \lambda_{(m)} & y_{,n}^{\alpha} & \lambda_{(m)} N_{n+1}^{\alpha} \dots \lambda_{(m)} N_m^{\alpha} \end{vmatrix}$

Also we have,

$$H_{\nu|\alpha} y_{,i}^{\alpha} = -\Omega_{\nu|il} \frac{dx^l}{ds},$$

$$H_{\nu|\alpha} N_{\sigma}^{\alpha} = B_{\sigma\nu|i} \frac{dx^i}{ds},$$

$$\lambda_{(p)} y_{,i}^{\alpha} = E_i$$

$$\lambda_{(p)} N_{\nu}^{\alpha} = F_{\nu} = \cos \theta_{\nu|}.$$

Using these, we can write (3.17) in the form

$$(3.20) \quad -\kappa_{p-1} \cos \theta_{\nu|} + \kappa_p \cos \theta_{\nu|} + \sin \theta_{\nu|} \frac{D \theta_{\nu|}}{Ds}$$

$$= \frac{(-1)^p}{\sqrt{|g_{ij}|} (Ds)^2} \begin{vmatrix} \Omega_{\nu|1l} dx^l & \Omega_{\nu|2l} dx^l & \dots & \Omega_{\nu|nl} dx^l & B_{n+1|\nu} dx^l & \dots & B_{\mu\nu|\nu} dx^l \\ g_{1l} dx^l & g_{2l} dx^l & \dots & g_{nl} dx^l & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ E_1 & E_2 & \dots & E_n & \cos \theta_{n+1|} & \dots & \cos \theta_m \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ E_1 & E_2 & \dots & E_n & \cos \theta_{n+1|} & \dots & \cos \theta_m \end{vmatrix}$$

4. Particular cases:

I. If $m = n + 1$, $B_{\mu\nu} = 0$ we get

$$(4.1) \quad -\kappa_{p-1} \cos \theta_{v|} + \kappa_p \cos \theta_{v|} + \sin \theta_{v|} \frac{D \theta_{v|}}{Ds} \\ \frac{(-1)^p}{\sqrt{g_{ij}} (Ds)^2} \begin{vmatrix} \Omega_{1l} dx^l & \Omega_{2l} dx^l & \dots & \Omega_{nl} dx^l & \dots & 0 \\ g_{1l} dx^l & g_{2l} dx^l & \dots & g_{nl} dx^l & \dots & 0 \\ E_1 & E_2 & \dots & E_n & \dots & \cos \theta_2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ E_1 & E_2 & \dots & E_n & \dots & \cos \theta_{n+1} \\ (n+1) & (n+1) & & (n+1) & & \end{vmatrix}$$

which is the generalization of the known result of Kawaguchi and Hosokawa [4].

II. If $\underline{g}_{ij} = 0$,

we get the case for a Riemannian subspace in a Riemannian space [6].

III. When $\underline{g}_{ij} = 0$, for a surface in a Euclidean 3-space we get

$$\theta_1 = \frac{\pi}{2}, \quad \theta_2 = \omega, \quad \theta_3 = \frac{\pi}{2} - \omega.$$

so that for $p = 2$, (4.1) yields

$$(4.2) \quad \kappa_2 + \frac{D\omega}{Ds} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|g_{ij}|} (Ds)^2} \begin{vmatrix} \Omega_{1l} dx^l & \Omega_{2l} dx^l \\ g_{1l} dx^l & g_{2l} dx^l \end{vmatrix},$$

which is Bonnet's formula for the geodesic torsion of a curve. Equations (3.20) are therefore generalisation of the Bonnet's formula for a curve in a subspace of a generalised Riemannian space.

REFERENCES

1. Eisenhart, L. P. (1951) *Generalized Riemann Spaces I*. Proc. Nat. Acad. of Sci. U.S.A. Vol 37, 311—315.
2. Eisenhart, L. P. (1952) *Generalized Riemann Spaces II*. Proc. Nat. Acad. of Sci. U.S.A Vol 38, 505—508.
3. Eisenhart, L. P. (1949) *Riemannian Geometry*.
4. Kawaguchi & Hosokawa (1933) *Über die geodätische Torsion in der Riemanschen Mannigfaltigkeit*, Tohoku Math. Jour. Vol 37, 340—
5. Shamihoke, A. C. (1960) *On Frenet's formulae and curvature in a Generalized Riemann Space*. Revue de la Faculté des Sciences de l'Université d'Istanbul, A 25, 9—16.
6. Singal, M. K. (1958) *Differential Geometry of Subspaces*.