

ON THE QUADRATIC FUNCTIONAL

SVETOZAR KUREPA (Zagreb)

A real-valued function $L(x)$ which is defined on a real Banach space R we call a quadratic functional if

$$L(x+y) + L(x-y) = 2L(x) + 2L(y) \quad (1)$$

holds true for every pair $x, y \in R$.

For the quadratic functional $L(x)$ we say that it is continuous in the point x_0 if $L(x_n)$ tends to $L(x_0)$ whenever x_n tends to x_0 .

We say that the quadratic functional $L(x)$ is bounded on the sphere of radius r around x_0 if

$$\sup_{\|x\| \leq r} |L(x+x_0)| < +\infty.$$

The main result of this paper is that the continuity of a quadratic functional in one point or boundedness on one sphere implies the continuity everywhere and that a continuous quadratic functional $L(x)$ on a real Hilbert space has the form $L(x) = (Ax, x)$ where A is a symmetric and bounded transformation.

THEOREM 1. *Let $f(x)$ be a real-valued function which is defined on the set of real numbers and let*

$$f(x+y) + f(x-y) = 2f(x) + 2f(y) \quad (2)$$

hold true for all real numbers x and y .

If the function $f(x)$ is bounded on the set of positive Lebesgue's measure, then

$$f(x) = x^2 f(1)$$

for every real number x .

The proof of this theorem is based on the following lemma.

LEMMA 1. *Let P be a perfect set of positive numbers and let the Lebesgue's measure of P be positive and finite.*

There exists a number $\varepsilon > 0$ with the property that for every $x \in [0, \varepsilon]$ a number y can be found such that

$$y, y+x/2, y+x \in P \quad ([2], \text{lemma 1}).$$

Proof of theorem 1.

I. *For every real number x and for every rational number r we have:*

$$f(rx) = r^2 f(x). \quad (3)$$

Indeed, for $x=y=0$ (2) gives $f(0)=0$. For $x=0$ we get $f(-y)=f(y)$, i. e. $f(x)$ is an even function. Setting $x=y$ in (2) we find $f(2x)=2^2 f(x)$ and by the induction

$$f(nx) = n^2 f(x) \quad (4)$$

for every natural number n . Replacing x by x/n in (4) we find

$$f(x) = n^2 f(x/n).$$

Thus:

$$f\left(\frac{n}{m}x\right) = n^2 f\left(\frac{x}{m}\right) = \left(\frac{n}{m}\right)^2 f(x).$$

Since f is an even function (3) follows.

II. *The function $f(x)$ is bounded on one interval of the type $[0, a]$ ($a > 0$).*

Since $f(x)$ is an even function which is bounded on the set of positive measure we can assume (without loss of generality) that $f(x)$ is bounded on the perfect set $P \subseteq [0, \infty)$ of positive and finite measure. Let

$$|f(x)| \leq A < +\infty$$

for every $x \in P$. Replacing x by $x+y$ in (2) we get:

$$\frac{1}{2}|f(2y)| = 2|f(y)| \leq 2|f(x+y)| + |f(x+2y)| + |f(x)|. \quad (5)$$

According to lemma 1 there exists a number $\varepsilon > 0$ such that for every $2y \in [0, \varepsilon]$ there exists the corresponding number x with the property that $x, x+2y/2, x+2y \in P$. If for the number $2y \in [0, \varepsilon]$ we take the corresponding x then (5) implies:

$$\frac{1}{2}|f(2y)| \leq 4A \quad \text{or} \quad |f(y)| \leq 2A,$$

i. e. $f(x)$ is bounded on the interval of the type $[0, a]$ ($a > 0$).

III. Now we introduce the function (6)

$$h(x) = f(x) - x^2 f(1)$$

which is bounded on the interval $[0, r]$ (r is rational number and $0 < r \leq a$) and which satisfies the functional equation (2).

Let

$$B = \sup_{x \in [0, r]} |h(x)|.$$

If $B > 0$ then a number $x_0 \in [0, r]$ exists such that

$$|h(x_0)| > \frac{4}{5} B.$$

For this x_0 we have:

$$|h(2x_0)| = 4|h(x_0)| > 16B/5.$$

On the other hand the number $2x_0$ can be written in the form $2x_0 = r + y$ where y and $r - y$ are from the interval $[0, r]$. Using the functional equation (2) we have:

$$h(2x_0) = h(r + y) = 2h(y) + 2h(r) - h(r - y).$$

Since $h(r) = 0$ we find:

$$|h(2x_0)| \leq 2|h(y)| + |h(r - y)| \leq 3B.$$

Thus:

$$3B \geq |h(2x_0)| > 16B/5$$

which contradicts $B > 0$.

Thus $B = 0$ and $h(x) = 0$ on the interval $[0, r]$. For every real number y a rational number r' exists such that $r'y \in [0, r]$. We have: $r'^2 h(y) = h(r'y) = 0$ or $h(y) = 0$ for every real number y . This and (6) imply the assertion of theorem 1.

COROLLARY 1. *A measurable real-valued function of a real variable which satisfies (2) is continuous.*

Indeed, according to the well known Luzin's theorem ([4]), every measurable function is continuous on a perfect set of positive Lebesgue's measure. On the other hand a function which is continuous on a perfect and bounded set is bounded itself. This implies that a measurable function which satisfies (2) is bounded on a perfect and bounded set of positive measure. Theorem 1 implies the continuity of this function.

COROLLARY 2. *A real-valued function $g(x)$ of a real variable x which satisfies Cauchy's functional equation*

$$g(x + y) = g(x) + g(y)$$

and which is bounded on a set of positive Lebesgue's measure has the form

$$g(x) = x g(1), \quad ([6], [1]).$$

This follows from the theorem 1 and the fact that $g^2(x)$ satisfies the functional equation (2).

If $H = \{H_\alpha\}$ is a Hamel's base of real numbers then every real number x can be written in the form

$$x = \sum_{\alpha} r_{\alpha} H_{\alpha}$$

where r_{α} are rational numbers and only a finite number of r_{α} 's are different from zero. If we put:

$$f(x) = \sum r_{\alpha}^2 f(H_{\alpha})$$

then f satisfies (2). From this follows the existence of a function $f(x)$ which satisfies (2) and which does not keep the sign on the set of real numbers. This function is not, therefore, the square of a solution of Cauchy's functional equation.

THEOREM 2. *Let $L(x)$ be a quadratic functional which is defined on a real Banach space R .*

If the quadratic functional $L(x)$ is continuous in one point $x_0 \in R$ then it is continuous everywhere and it is bounded on every finite sphere.

Conversely, if the quadratic functional $L(x)$ is bounded on one finite sphere then it is continuous.

For the proof of this theorem we need two lemmas.

LEMMA 2. *If the quadratic functional $L(x)$ is bounded on one finite sphere then it is bounded on every finite sphere.*

Proof: Suppose that:

$$\sup_{\|x\| \leq \varepsilon} |L(x + x_0)| < +\infty \quad (7)$$

where x_0 is an element around which $L(x)$ is bounded and $\varepsilon > 0$. Functional equation (1) gives

$$2L(x) = L(x + x_0) + L(x - x_0) - 2L(x_0)$$

which together with (7) implies:

$$\sup_{\|x\| \leq \varepsilon} |L(x)| < +\infty. \quad (8)$$

From (8) we conclude that:

$$\sup_{|t| \leq 1} |L(tx)| < +\infty \quad (9)$$

for every real number $t \in (-1, 1)$ and for every $x \in R$ the norm of which is less or equal to ε . On the other hand, for a given x , the function $L(tx)$ as a function of the real variable t satisfies the functional equation (2). Since it is bounded on one interval it is continuous (theorem 1) and

$$L(tx) = t^2 L(x) \tag{10}$$

for every real number t .

For an arbitrarily taken positive number A we have

$$\sup_{\|x\| \leq A} |L(x)| = \sup_{\|y\| \leq \varepsilon} \left| L\left(\frac{A}{\varepsilon} y\right) \right| = \left(\frac{A}{\varepsilon}\right)^2 \sup_{\|y\| \leq \varepsilon} |L(y)| < +\infty,$$

i. e. the functional $L(x)$ is bounded on every finite sphere.

LEMMA 3. *If the quadratic functional $L(x)$ is bounded on a finite sphere then it is continuous in zero and conversely.*

Proof. According to lemma 2 we have

$$\sup_{\|x\| \leq 1} |L(x)| < +\infty. \tag{11}$$

We assert that $x_n \rightarrow 0$ implies $L(x_n) \rightarrow L(0) = 0$. Otherwise we could find a number $a > 0$ and a sequence $x_n \rightarrow 0$ ($x_n \neq 0$) such that

$$|L(x_n)| \geq a > 0 \tag{12}$$

for all n . As we have seen in the proof of lemma 2, (11) implies (10) for every real number t and for every $x \in R$. Thus:

$$\left| L\left(\frac{x_n}{\|x_n\|}\right) \right| = \frac{1}{\|x_n\|^2} |L(x_n)| \geq \frac{a}{\|x_n\|^2} > 0. \tag{13}$$

Since $x_n \rightarrow 0$ we have that $\|x_n\| \rightarrow 0$. This, (13) and the assumption $a > 0$ leads to the conclusion that the functional $L(x)$ is not bounded on the unit sphere, which contradicts (11). Therefore (11) implies the continuity of $L(x)$ in the origin. Thus the first part of lemma 3 is proved.

Now we assert that the continuity of $L(x)$ in zero implies its boundedness on the unit sphere. Otherwise we could find a sequence $x_n \in R$ such that:

$$x_n \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{and} \quad |L(x_n)| \geq n^2$$

for all natural numbers n . This gives:

$$|L(x_n/n)| \geq 1$$

which is impossible because x_n/n tends to zero and $L(x)$ is continuous in zero. In such a way lemma 3 is proved.

Proof of theorem 2. According to the assumption of theorem 2 the functional $L(x)$ is continuous in x_0 , i. e.

$$L(x_0 + x_n) \rightarrow L(x_0)$$

whenever $x_n \rightarrow 0$. Functional equation (1) gives:

$$2L(x_n) = L(x_0 + x_n) + L(x_0 - x_n) - 2L(x_0) \rightarrow 0,$$

i. e. $x_n \rightarrow 0$ implies $L(x_n) \rightarrow 0$. Thus the functional $L(x)$ is also continuous in zero. We want to prove that $L(x)$ is continuous in every point. Suppose that this is not so. There is, therefore, a real number $a > 0$, an element $z \in R$ and a sequence $x_n \in R$ such that:

$$|L(z + x_n) - L(z)| \geq a > 0 \quad (14)$$

and $x_n \rightarrow 0$. Since the functional $L(x)$ is continuous in zero it is bounded on every finite sphere (lemma 3). This implies:

$$|L(z + x)| \leq L < +\infty \quad (15)$$

for all $\|x\| \leq 1$. From (15) and (14) we infer that the sequence of real numbers $L(z + x_n) - L(z)$ is bounded. Hence there is a subsequence x_{n_p} of the sequence x_n and a real number b such that:

$$\lim_{n_p \rightarrow \infty} [L(z + x_{n_p}) - L(z)] = b. \quad (16)$$

From (16) and (14) we conclude that $b \neq 0$. Since b is not zero there is a natural number k such that:

$$|b| > \frac{2L + 1}{2^k}. \quad (17)$$

As x_{n_p} tends to zero there is only a finite number of x_{n_p} 's for which the relation

$$\|x_{n_p}\| \leq 1/2^k \quad (18)$$

does not hold. We take into account only those x_{n_p} for which (18) is valid and the so obtained sequence we again denote by x_n . For the sequence x_n (14) holds and

$$\|x_n\| \leq 1/2^k, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} L(z + x_n) = b + L(z). \quad (19)$$

Setting $x+y$ instead of x in (1) we get:

$$L(x+2y) = 2L(x+y) + 2L(y) - L(x). \quad (20)$$

If in (20), we put $x=z$ and $y=x_n$ then we get:

$$L(z+2x_n) = 2L(z+x_n) + 2L(x_n) - L(z).$$

From here and (19) we find:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} L(z+2x_n) = 2b + L(z). \quad (21)$$

If we put $x=z$, $y=2x_n$ in (20) we find:

$$L(z+4x_n) = 2L(z+2x_n) + 2L(2x_n) - L(z)$$

which together with (21) leads to:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} L(z+2^2x_n) = 2^2b + L(z)$$

and by induction:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} L(z+2^kx_n) = 2^kb + L(z). \quad (22)$$

From (22) we deduce the existence of a natural number n_0 such that:

$$|L(z+2^kx_n) - L(z)| > 2^k|b| - 1 \quad (23)$$

for all $n \geq n_0$. On the other hand (19) implies $\|2^2x_n\| \leq 1$. This together with (15) and (23) implies:

$$2L > 2^k|b| - 1$$

which contradicts (17). Therefore the assumption that $L(x)$ is not continuous in one point leads to the contradiction. Thus the functional $L(x)$ is continuous everywhere.

The second part of theorem 2 follows from lemma 3 and the first part of theorem 2. In such a way theorem 2 is completely proved.

THEOREM 3. *Let $L(x)$ be a quadratic functional which is defined on a real Hilbert space R .*

If the quadratic functional $L(x)$ is continuous then there exists one and only one symmetric and bounded linear transformation A such that:

$$L(x) = (Ax, x) \quad (24)$$

for all $x \in R$.

The proof of this theorem is carried on in several steps.

I. *Uniqueness.* If A and B are symmetric transformations and if

$$(Ax, x) = (Bx, x) \quad (25)$$

for all $x \in R$ then $A = B$. Indeed (25) implies:

$$(A(x+y), x+y) - (Ax, x) - (Ay, y) = (B(x+y), x+y) - (Bx, x) - (By, y)$$

from which one obtains:

$$(Ax, y) = (Bx, y)$$

for all $x, y \in R$. Thus $A = B$.

II. *The space R is n -dimensional.* If we take an orthonormal basic set e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n in R then we have:

$$L(x) = L\left(\sum_{k=1}^n x_k e_k\right) = F(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \quad (26)$$

and the function F satisfies the following functional equation:

$$\begin{aligned} F(x_1 + y_1, x_2 + y_2, \dots, x_n + y_n) + F(x_1 - y_1, x_2 - y_2, \dots, x_n - y_n) = \\ = 2F(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) + 2F(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n). \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

According to the theorem 2 the function F is a continuous function. If we integrate (27) from a to b with respect to x_1 then we get:

$$\begin{aligned} 2(b-a)F(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n) + 2 \int_a^b F(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) dx_1 = \\ = \int_{a+y_1}^{b+y_1} F(u, x_2 + y_2, \dots, x_n + y_n) du + \int_{a-y_1}^{b-y_1} F(u, x_2 - y_2, \dots, x_n - y_n) du. \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

From (28) we conclude that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial y_1} F(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n)$$

exists and that this derivative is expressed as a linear combination of functions:

$$F(b \pm y_1, x_2 + y_2, \dots, x_n + y_n), \quad F(a \pm y_1, x_2 + y_2, \dots, x_n + y_n). \quad (29)$$

We have just proved that every function in (29) has the partial derivative with respect to the first variable. This proves that

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial y_1^2} F(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n)$$

exists and that it is a continuous function. In the similar way we see that

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_k} F(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \quad (k=1, 2, \dots, n) \quad (30)$$

exists and that it is a continuous function.

If we take the second partial derivative of (27) with respect to x_1 we find:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y_1^2} F(x_1+y_1, x_2+y_2, \dots, x_n+y_n) + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y_1^2} F(y_1-x_1, y_2-x_2, \dots, y_n-x_n) = \\ = 2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_1^2} F(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n). \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

Setting $x_1 = x_2 = \dots = x_n = 0$ in (31) we get:

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial y_1^2} F(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n) = \left[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_1^2} F(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \right]_{x=0} = 2 a_{11} \quad (32).$$

where $2a_{11}$ designates a number.

Integrating (32) we obtain:

$$F(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = a_{11} x_1^2 + x_1 G(x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n) - F_1(x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n) \quad (33).$$

If we put $x_1 = 0$ then we find that F_1 is a continuous function of $n-1$ variables which satisfies the functional equation (27). Since $a_{11} x_1^2$ satisfies (27) we conclude that the function

$$x_1 G(x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n) \quad (34)$$

satisfies the functional equation (27). Setting (34) in (27) and taking $x_1 = y_1 \neq 0$ we obtain:

$$G(x_2+y_2, x_3+y_3, \dots, x_n+y_n) = G(x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n) + G(y_2, y_3, \dots, y_n). \quad (35)$$

Since the function G is continuous we get from (35)

$$G(x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n) = 2 a_{12} x_2 + 2 a_{13} x_3 + \dots + 2 a_{1n} x_n. \quad (36)$$

Now (36) and (33) lead to:

$$F(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = a_{11} x_1^2 + 2 \sum_{k=2}^n a_{1k} x_1 x_k + F_1(x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n). \quad (37)$$

Clearly the same method implies:

$$F(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} x_i x_j, \quad a_{ij} = a_{ji}. \quad (38)$$

In such a way in the basic set e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n we have:

$$L\left(\sum_{k=1}^n x_k e_k\right) = \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} x_i x_j. \quad (39)$$

Let A be the linear transformation in R which has in the basic set e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n the matrix (a_{ij}) . Obviously A is a symmetric transformation. If we take another orthonormal basic set e'_1, e'_2, \dots, e'_n then an orthogonal transformation O exists such that $e'_k = Oe_k$. We have:

$$L(x) = L\left(\sum_{i=1}^n x'_i e'_i\right) = \sum_{i,j=1}^n a'_{ij} x'_i x'_j.$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} L(x) &= L\left(\sum_{i=1}^n x'_i Oe_i\right) = L\left[\sum_{j=1}^n \left(\sum_{i=1}^n O_{ji} x'_i\right) e_j\right] = \\ &= \sum_{p,q=1}^n a_{pq} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n O_{pi} x'_i\right) \left(\sum_{j=1}^n O_{qj} x'_j\right). \end{aligned}$$

Thus:

$$a'_{ij} = \sum_{p,q=1}^n O'_{ip} a_{pq} O_{qj}$$

which proves that the matrix (a'_{ij}) represents in the basic set e'_1, e'_2, \dots, e'_n the same linear transformation which the matrix (a_{ij}) represents in the basic set e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n , i. e. (a'_{ij}) is a matrix of A in the basic set e'_1, e'_2, \dots, e'_n . This conclusion and (39) imply

$$L(x) = (Ax, x) \quad (40)$$

for every $x \in R$. This ends the proof of theorem 3 in the case of a finite dimensional space.

III. *The space R is a Hilbert space (separable or not).* We define the functional $M(x, y)$ by the relation:

$$M(x, y) = \frac{1}{2} [L(x+y) - L(x) - L(y)]. \quad (41)$$

As we see the functional $M(x, y)$ is symmetric and since $L(x)$ is bounded we find:

$$\sup_{\|x\| \leq 1, \|y\| \leq 1} |M(x, y)| < +\infty, \quad (42)$$

i.e. $M(x, y)$ is a bounded symmetrical functional. We want to prove that $M(x, y)$ is a bilinear functional. For this it is sufficient to prove that:

$$M(ax' + by', z') = a M(x', z') + b M(y', z') \quad (43)$$

for every pair of real numbers a, b and for any elements $x', y', z' \in R$. In order to prove (43) for given (but arbitrary) x', y' and z' we consider the three dimensional subspace R_3 of R which contains the vectors x', y' and z' . Let e_1, e_2, e_3 be an orthonormal basic set in R_3 . Then any vector $x \in R_3$ has the form

$$x = x_1 e_1 + x_2 e_2 + x_3 e_3.$$

If we consider the functional (1) only for $x, y \in R_3$, then we conclude that $L(x)$ is a quadratic functional on R_3 ($x \in R_3$). This and II imply

$$L(x) = L\left(\sum_{k=1}^3 x_k e_k\right) = \sum_{i,j=1}^3 a_{ij} x_i x_j \quad (44)$$

for every $x \in R_3$. Thus:

$$M(x, y) = \sum_{i,j=1}^3 a_{ij} x_i y_j \quad (45)$$

for any $x, y \in R_3$. Since x', y' and z' are elements of R_3 , (45) leads to:

$$\begin{aligned} M(ax' + by', z') &= \sum_{i,j=1}^3 a_{ij} (ax' + by')_i z'_j = \\ &= a \sum_{i,j=1}^3 a_{ij} x'_i z'_j + b \sum_{i,j=1}^3 a_{ij} y'_i z'_j = \\ &= a M(x', z') + b M(y', z'), \end{aligned}$$

i. e. (43) holds.

Since $M(x, y)$ is a bounded bilinear and symmetrical functional we have ([5] pp. 86—87)

$$M(x, y) = (Ax, y) \quad (46)$$

for any couple $x, y \in R$ where A is a bounded and symmetrical transformation. Now (46) and (41) imply:

$$L(x) = M(x, x) = (Ax, x)$$

for every $x \in R$.

Q. E. D.

COROLLARY 3. Let the quadratic functional $L(x)$ satisfy all conditions of theorem 3.

If

$$L(Ox) = L(x) \quad (47)$$

for every $x \in R$ and for every orthogonal transformation O , then

$$L(x) = a \|x\|^2 \quad (48)$$

for every $x \in R$ where a is a real number.

Proof. According to theorem 3 we have $L(x) = (Ax, x)$ for every $x \in R$. This and (47) imply:

$$(Ax, x) = (OAx, Ox) = (O'AOx, x)$$

or by the fact that $O'AO$ is a symmetric transformation we get:

$$O'AO = A$$

for every orthogonal transformation O , which is possible only if A is a multiple of the identity transformation.

If $L(e) = 1$ for some vector $e \in R$, $\|e\| = 1$, then

$$L(x) = \|x\|^2$$

for any $x \in R$.

THEOREM 4. Let to every real square matrix x of order n a real number $L(x)$ be attached in such a way that:

$$L(x+y) + L(x-y) = 2L(x) + 2L(y)$$

hold for all such matrices x, y and let:

$$L(s^{-1}xs) = L(x)$$

for every matrix x and for every non singular real matrix s .

If $L(x)$ is a continuous functional then:

$$L(x) = a \left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_{ii} \right)^2 + b \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \begin{vmatrix} x_{ii} & x_{ij} \\ x_{ji} & x_{jj} \end{vmatrix} \quad (49)$$

for all x , where a and b are real numbers which do not depend on x .

The proof of this theorem is based on the theorem 3 and it is given in ([3], theorem 9).

THEOREM 5. *Let a and b be real numbers; let $L(x)$, $F(x)$ and $G(x)$ be real-valued functionals which are defined on a real Hilbert space R and let*

$$L(x+y) + aL(x-y) = 2F(x) + 2G(y) + 2b \quad (50)$$

hold for any couple $x, y \in R$.

If for some $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\sup_{\|x\| \leq \varepsilon} |L(x)| < +\infty \quad (51)$$

then

$$L(x) = \frac{2}{1+a} (Ax, x) + (x, x_0) + L(0),$$

$$G(x) = (Ax, x) + \frac{1-a}{2} (x, x_0) + G(0)$$

and

$$F(x) = (Ax, x) + \frac{1+a}{2} (x, x_0) + \frac{1+a}{2} L(0) - G(0) - b,$$

for all $x \in R$, where A is a bounded symmetric transformation in R and x_0 is a vector of R . The vector x_0 and the symmetric transformation A are uniquely determined by the functional $L(x)$.

In the case $a \neq 1$ in all formulae we have to put $A = A/1+a = 0$.

Proof. For $y=0$ (50) gives:

$$F(x) = \frac{1+a}{2} L(x) - G(0) - b. \quad (52)$$

Setting (52) in (50) we get:

$$L(x+y) + aL(x-y) = (1+a)L(x) + 2g(y) \quad (53)$$

where

$$g(y) = G(y) - G(0). \quad (54)$$

Denote:

$$L(x) + L(-x) = 2K(x), \quad g(x) + g(-x) = 2N(x), \quad (55)$$

$$L(x) - L(-x) = 2I(x), \quad g(x) - g(-x) = 2M(x).$$

If we replace x, y in (53) by $-x$ and $-y$ then, using (55) and (53) we get:

$$K(x+y) + aK(x-y) = (1+a)K(x) + 2N(y), \quad (56)$$

$$I(x+y) + aI(x-y) = (1+a)I(x) + 2M(y). \quad (57)$$

If we interchange x and y in (57) and if we add this result to (57) we find:

$$l(x+y) = \frac{1+a}{2} [l(x)+l(y)] + M(x) + M(y). \quad (58)$$

For $y=0$ (58) leads to:

$$(1-a)l(x) = 2M(x). \quad (59)$$

By use of (59), (58) reads:

$$l(x+y) = l(x) + l(y). \quad (60)$$

Now (60) and (51) imply that $l(x)$ is a bounded and additive functional. There exists, therefore, a unique vector $x_0 \in R$ such that:

$$l(x) = (x, x_0) \quad (61)$$

for all $x \in R$ ([5], p. 86). This gives:

$$M(x) = (1-a) (x, x_0)/2. \quad (62)$$

Replacing y by $-y$ in (56) we get:

$$K(x-y) + aK(y+x) = (1+a)K(y) + 2N(x). \quad (63)$$

If we add (63) and (56) we obtain:

$$(1+a)[K(x+y) + K(x-y)] = (1+a)[K(x) + K(y)] + 2N(x) + 2N(y). \quad (64)$$

For $x=0$ (64) gives:

$$(1+a)K(y) = (1+a)K(0) + 2N(y). \quad (65)$$

Now (65) and (64) lead to:

$$N(x+y) + N(x-y) = 2N(x) + 2N(y). \quad (66)$$

According to (55) and (51) the functional $K(x)$ is bounded. This and (65) imply that the functional $N(x)$ is bounded too. Theorem 3 implies the

existence of a symmetric transformation A such that:

$$N(x) = (Ax, x) \quad (67)$$

for every $x \in R$.

We have two cases to discuss.

I. $1+a \neq 0$ and II. $1+a=0$.

In the case $1+a \neq 0$, (65) and (67) give:

$$K(x) = \frac{2}{1+a} \cdot (Ax, x) + L(0), \quad (68)$$

because $L(0) = K(0)$. Now (68), (62), (61), (54), (52) and (55) lead to the expressions for $L(x)$, $F(x)$ and $G(x)$ which we gave in theorem 5. Replacing these expressions in (50) we find $A=0$ for $a \neq 1$.

In the case $a = -1$, (65) leads to $N(x) = 0$ for every $x \in R$. Thus $A = 0$. Since $N(x) = 0$ we have from (55) that $g(x)$ is an odd function. This and (62) give:

$$g(x) = M(x) = \frac{1-a}{2}(x, x_0) = (x, x_0). \quad (69)$$

If we put (69) in (53) we get:

$$L(x+y) - L(x-y) = 2(y, x_0)$$

which implies:

$$L(2x) = 2(x, x_0) + L(0) = (2x, x_0) + L(0)$$

or

$$L(x) = (x, x_0) + L(0) \quad (70)$$

for every $x \in R$. Now (69), (54) and (52) imply:

$$G(x) = G(0) + (x, x_0) \quad \text{and} \quad F(x) = -G(0) - b.$$

Q. E. D.

(Received 5.VI.59)

REFERENCES:

- [1] Kurepa, S. — Convex functions, *Glasnik mat. fiz. i astr.* **11** (1956), 89—94.
- [2] ————— A cosine functional equation in Hilbert space, *Can. J. of Math* (in the press).
- [3] ————— Functional equations for invariants of a matrix, *Glasnik mat. fiz. i astr.* **14** (1959), 97—113.
- [4] Luzin, N. N. — Sur les propriétés des fonctions mesurables, *C. R.* 1912.
- [5] Najmark M. A. — Normirovanije koljca, Moskva 1956.
- [6] Ostrowski A. — Über die Funktioneleichungen der Exponentialfunktion und verwandte Funktioneleichungen, *Math. Misz. XIV Jahresber. Deutch. Math. Ver.* **38** (1929), 54—62.