ON THE DENSITY OF CERTAIN SEQUENCES OF INTEGERS

by

ALFRED RÉNYI (Budapest)

In this paper we will consider the number-theoretical function

(1)
$$\Delta(n) = V(n) - U(n) \quad (n = 1, 2,),$$

where U(n) is the number of different prime factors and V(n) is the number of all prime factors of n. In other words, if $n = p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2} \dots p_r^{\alpha_r}$, where p_1, p_2, \dots, p_r are different primes and $\alpha_i \ge 1$ $(i = 1, 2, \dots, r)$ we set

(2)
$$U(n) = r$$

$$V(n) = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \ldots + \alpha_r,$$

$$\Delta(n) = (\alpha_1 - 1) + (\alpha_2 - 1) + \ldots + (\alpha_r - 1).$$

We shall calculate the density of the sequence of those integers n, for which $\Delta(n) = k$, where k is an arbitrary fixed non-negative integer. The density of a sequence $n_1 < n_2 < ... < n_i < ...$ of positive integers is defined as follows:

If $N(x) = \sum_{n_i \le x} 1$ is the number of those elements of the sequence n_i which do not exceed x, further, if the limit $\lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{N(x)}{x} = d$, exists, we say that d is the density of the sequence n_i .

We will show that the sequence of those integers n for which $\Delta(n) = k$, has a density, which we will call d_k , and that the generating function of the sequence d_k is given by the following identity:

(3)
$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} d_k z^k = \prod_{p=0}^{\infty} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p}\right) \left(1 + \frac{1}{p-z}\right),$$

where, in the infinite product in the right hand member p runs over the sequence of all primes; (3) is valid for |z| < 2.

(3) can also be written in the following equivalent forms:

(3')
$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} d_k z^k = \frac{6}{\pi^2} \prod_{p=2}^{\infty} \left(1 + \frac{z}{(p+1)(p-z)} \right),$$

(3")
$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} d_k z^k = \prod_{p=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1 + \frac{1}{p-z}}{1 + \frac{1}{p-1}} \right),$$

or

(3'")
$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} d_k z^k = \frac{6}{\pi^2} \prod_{p=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1 - \frac{z}{p+1}}{1 - \frac{z}{p}} \right).$$

Substituting z = 0 into (3'), we obtain the special case

$$d_0 = \frac{6}{\pi^2}$$

which is well known, since d_0 is the density of square-free integers. Substituting z = 1 into (3") we obtain

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} d_k = 1$$

which shows that the numbers d_k can be considered as elements of a probability distribution.

The values of d_1, d_2, \ldots can be calculated from (3). For example, we have

(6)
$$d_{1} = \frac{6}{\pi^{2}} \sum_{p=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{p(p+1)},$$

where p again runs over all primes. For large values of k, the following asymptotic formula can be deduced from (3):

$$(7) d_k \sim \frac{\delta}{2^k},$$

where

(8)
$$\delta = \frac{1}{4} \prod_{p=3}^{\infty} \frac{(p-1)^2}{p(p-2)}.$$

(7) follows from the fact that the function $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} d_k z^k$ is regular in every point of the circle |z| = 2, except in z = 2, where it has a simple pole.

It should be mentioned that the existence of the densities d_k , follows from a general theorem on additive number-theoretical functions, stated by P. Erdös [1]. We shall give a straightforward elementary proof for the existence of the densities d_k , which gives at the same time, equation (3); the proof is essentially the same as the well known proof of (4) (see e. g. [2] p. 269.)

The idea of the proof is as follows: every positive integer n can be written in the form

$$(9) n = P \cdot Q,$$

where Q is square-free, and P is of the form $P = p_1^{\beta_1} p_2^{\beta_2} \dots p_s^{\beta_s}$, where p_1, p_2, \dots, p_s are different primes and $\beta_i \geqslant 2$, $(i = 1, 2, \dots, s)$ and furthermore, P and Q are relatively prime; the representation of n in the form (9) is unique. We shall call Q the square-free part of n and P the first power-free part of n. Next, we shall call the set $(\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_s)$, the signature of n. We shall show that the sequence of integers which have the same given first power-free part P, has a density for every such P, and that the sequence of integers with a prescribed signature $(\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_s) = \beta$ has a density; as the sequence of integers n for which $\Delta(n) = k$ is the union of those disjoint sequences which have such signatures $(\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_s)$ that

$$\beta_1 + \beta_2 + \ldots + \beta_s = s + k,$$

it follows that this sequence also has a density; the proof, incidentally, gives the equation (3'), i. e. (3).

We shall use the following notation:

Let N(x, P) be the number of integers $n \le x$ with the prescribed first power-free part P; $\mathcal{N}(x, \beta)$, the number of integers n = x with the prescribed signature β ; $\pi(x)$, the number of primes equal to, or greater than x; [y], the integral part of the positive number y, and s(n) the signature of the integer n; clearly, if $n = P \cdot Q$ is the representation of n as a product of a square-free and a first power-free number, we have s(n) = s(P).

Now, we prove the following relation:

(10)
$$\mathcal{N}(x,\beta) = \sum_{\substack{s \ (P) = \beta \\ P \le \sqrt{|g|x}}} N(x,P) + O\left(\frac{x}{(\lg x)^{1/2b}}\right),$$

where

$$\beta = (\beta_1, \beta_2, ..., \beta_s)$$
 and $b = \max_{1 \le k \le s} \beta_k$.

As a matter of fact, if $n \le x$, $s(n) = \beta$ and n = PQ, where

$$P = p_1^{\beta_1} p_2^{\beta_2} \dots p_s^{\beta_s} > \sqrt{\lg x},$$

then n is divisible by $(p_1 p_2 ... p_s)^2$ and $p_1 p_2 ... p_s \gg P^{1/b} > (\lg x)^{1/2b}$; thus, the number of such integers does not exceed

$$\sum_{m > (\lg x)^{1/2b}} \frac{x}{m^2} = O\left(\frac{x}{(\lg x)^{1/2b}}\right),\,$$

which proves (10).

Let us call $N^*(x, P)$, the number of those integers $n \le x$ which are of the form n = PQ where (P, Q) = 1 and Q is not divisible by the square of any prime which is less than $\lg x$. Clearly, then, we have

(11)
$$N(x,P) = N^*(x,P) + O\left(\frac{x}{\lg x}\right)$$

because the number of those integers $n \le x$ which are divisible by the square of a prime $> \lg x$ can not exceed

$$\sum_{p>\lg x}\frac{x}{p^2}=O\left(\frac{x}{\lg x}\right).$$

Now, $N^*(x, P)$ can be calculated by the well known sieving method

(12)
$$N^*(x,P) = \left[\frac{x}{P}\right] - \sum \left[\frac{x}{Ph_i}\right] + \sum_{i \neq j} \left[\frac{x}{Ph_i h_i}\right] - \dots$$

where h_i, h_j, \ldots run over the primes p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_s and over the numbers p^2 where p is a prime which is different from p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_s and $p < \lg x$.

If $P < \sqrt{\lg x}$, then $p_i < \sqrt{\lg x} < \lg x$, (i = 1, 2, ..., s) and thus the number of terms in the right hand member of (12) is $2^{\pi(\lg x)}$. Thus, if we

delete all brackets in the right hand member of (12), the error committed thereby will not exceed $2^{\pi (\lg x)}$. Thus, taking into account that

$$2^{\pi (\lg x)} = O\left(e^{\frac{c_1 \lg x}{\lg \lg x}}\right),\,$$

where c_1 is an absolute constant, it follows that

(13)
$$N^*(x, P) = \frac{x}{P} \prod_{i=1}^{S} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_i}\right) \prod_{\substack{p < \lg x \\ p \neq p; (i = 1, \dots, s)}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^2}\right) + O\left(e^{\frac{c_1 \lg x}{\lg \lg x}}\right),$$

where in the second product in the right hand member p runs over all primes $p < \lg x$ except p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_s . It follows from (11) and (13) that

(14)
$$\frac{N(x,P)}{x} = \frac{\prod_{p < \lg x} (1 - 1/p^2)}{(p_1 + 1) p_1^{\beta_1 - 1} \dots (p_s + 1) p_s^{\beta_s - 1}} + O\left(\frac{1}{\lg x}\right).$$

Thus we obtain the result, that the sequence of those integers which have the given first power-free part $P = p_1 p_2 \dots p_s$ has the density

(15)
$$d(P) = \frac{6}{\pi^2} \frac{1}{(p_1 + 1) p_1^{\beta_1 - 1} \dots (p_s + 1) p_s^{\beta_s - 1}}.$$

It also follows from (10) and (14) that

(16)
$$\frac{\mathcal{N}(x,\beta)}{x} = \prod_{p < \lg x} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^2} \right) \sum_{\substack{p \mid \beta 1 \dots p_s^{\beta_s} < \sqrt{\lg x}}} \left(\frac{1}{(p_1 + 1) p_1^{\beta_1 - 1} \dots (p_s + 1) p_s^{\beta_s - 1}} \right) + O(1/(\lg x)^{1/2b}).$$

Thus, we see that the sequence of integers which have the prescribed signature $\beta = (\beta_1, \beta_2, ..., \beta_s)$ has the density

(17)
$$\delta(\beta) = \frac{6}{\pi^2} \sum \frac{1}{(p_1 + 1) p_1^{\beta_1 - 1} \dots (p_s + 1) p_s^{\beta_s - 1}},$$

where the summation is to be extended over all s-tuples $(p_1, p_2, ..., p_s)$ of different primes.

If β is the empty set, the sum in the right hand member of (17) has to be replaced by 1.

As, clearly,

(18)
$$d_k = \sum_{\beta_1 + \beta_2 + \cdots + \beta_S - s = k} d(\beta)$$

we obtain

(19)
$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} d_k z^k = \frac{6}{\pi^2} \prod_{p=2}^{\infty} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p+1} \left(\frac{z}{p} + \frac{z^2}{p^2} + \cdots \right) \right)$$

and (19) is clearly equivalent to (3') or (3").

Equation (3) and (3") can be obtained from (3') by using the identity $\prod_{p=2}^{\infty} (1-1/p^2=6/\pi^2)$. It can be seen from (3") that $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} d_k z^k$ is a meromorphic function with simple poles at z=p, where p is a prime $\neq 3$, and simple zeros at z=p+1, where p is a prime $\neq 2$.

It should be added that the existence of d(P) follows from the mentioned theorem of Erdös, by applying it to the additive number-theoretical function $f(n) = \lg P$, where P is the first power-free part of n; on the other hand, the existence of $\delta(\beta)$ is not a consequence of the existence of d(P) for any P, because the sequence of integers with a prescribed signature β is the union of an infinite set of sequences, each of which consists of the integers which have a prescribed first power-free part P for which $s(P) = \beta$. It would be, however, possible to state a general theorem, from which the existence of $\delta(\beta)$ follows. We hope to do this in another paper.

(Received 21 december 1055)

REFERENCES

- [1] P. Erdös On the density of some sequences of numbers. I. and II. Journal of the London Math. Soc. 10 (1935), p. 120—125 and 12 (1937), p. 7—11.
- [2] G. H. Hardy and E. M. Wright An introduction to the theory of numbers. 3. edition, Oxford, 1954.