

MAPS PRESERVING n -TUPLE $A^*B - B^*A$ DERIVATIONS ON FACTOR VON NEUMANN ALGEBRAS

Mohammad Shavandi and Ali Taghavi

ABSTRACT. Let \mathcal{A} be a factor von Neumann algebra and Φ preserve n -tuple new product derivations on \mathcal{A} , that is, for every $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n \in \mathcal{A}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(A_1 \diamond A_2 \diamond \dots \diamond A_n) &= \Phi(A_1) \diamond A_2 \diamond \dots \diamond A_n + A_1 \diamond \Phi(A_2) \diamond \dots \diamond A_n \\ &\quad + \dots + A_1 \diamond A_2 \diamond \dots \diamond \Phi(A_n) \end{aligned}$$

where $A_i \diamond A_j = A_i^*A_j - A_j^*A_i$ for $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$, then Φ is additive $*$ -derivation, on the condition that $\Phi(\alpha \frac{I}{2})$ is self-adjoint operator for $\alpha \in \{1, i\}$.

1. Introduction

Let \mathcal{R} be a factor von Neumann algebras. For $A, B \in \mathcal{R}$, denoted by $A \bullet B = AB + BA^*$ and $[A, B]_* = AB - BA^*$, which are $*$ -Jordan product and $*$ -Lie product, respectively. These products are found playing a more and more important role in some research topics, and its study has recently attracted many author's attention (for example, see [5, 10, 11, 16]).

Recall that a map $\Phi: \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ is said to be an additive derivation if

$$\Phi(A + B) = \Phi(A) + \Phi(B) \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi(AB) = \Phi(A)B + A\Phi(B)$$

for all $A, B \in \mathcal{R}$. A map Φ is additive $*$ -derivation if it is an additive derivation and $\Phi(A^*) = \Phi(A)^*$. Derivations are very important maps both in theory and applications, in particular to study the topological and fundamental structures of von Neumann algebras [4]. This notion has been studied intensively [3, 12–14].

Let us define λ -Jordan $*$ -product by $A \bullet_\lambda B = AB + \lambda BA^*$. We say that the map Φ with the property of $\Phi(A \bullet_\lambda B) = \Phi(A) \bullet_\lambda B + A \bullet_\lambda \Phi(B)$ is a λ -Jordan $*$ -derivation map. It is clear that for $\lambda = -1$ and $\lambda = 1$, the λ -Jordan $*$ -derivation map is a $*$ -Lie derivation and $*$ -Jordan derivation, respectively [1].

A von Neumann algebra \mathcal{A} is a self-adjoint subalgebra of some $B(H)$, the algebra of bounded linear operators acting on a complex Hilbert space, which satisfies

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 46J10; 47B48; 46L10.

Key words and phrases: n -tuple derivation, factor Von Neumann algebra, additive $*$ -derivation.

Communicated by Stevan Pilipović.

the double commutant property: $\mathcal{A}'' = \mathcal{A}$ where $\mathcal{A}' = \{T \in B(H), TA = AT, \forall A \in \mathcal{A}\}$ and $\mathcal{A}'' = \{\mathcal{A}'\}'$. Denote by $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{A}' \cap \mathcal{A}$ the center of \mathcal{A} . A von Neumann algebra \mathcal{A} is called a factor if its center is trivial, that is, $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{A}) = \mathbb{C}I$ [7, 8].

Recently, Yu and Zhang in [17] proved that every non-linear $*$ -Lie derivation from a factor von Neumann algebra into itself is an additive $*$ -derivation. Also, Li, Lu and Fang in [9] have investigated a non-linear λ -Jordan $*$ -derivation. They showed that if $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is a von Neumann algebra without central abelian projections and λ is a non-zero scalar, then $\Phi: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is a non-linear λ -Jordan $*$ -derivation if and only if Φ is an additive $*$ -derivation.

Very recently the authors of [2] discussed some bijective maps preserving the new product $A^*B + B^*A$ between von Neumann algebras with no central abelian projections. In other words, Φ holds in the following condition

$$\Phi(A^*B + B^*A) = \Phi(A)^*\Phi(B) + \Phi(B)^*\Phi(A).$$

They showed that such a map is a sum of a linear $*$ -isomorphism and a conjugate linear $*$ -isomorphism. In [15], the authors have discussed such maps between unital prime $*$ -algebras which preserve the new triple product.

In [18] the authors considered nonlinear $*$ -Lie higher derivations on factor von Neumann algebras acting on a complex Hilbert space H with $\dim H \geq 2$.

Also, the authors of [6] obtained the following result: Let \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} be two factor von Neumann algebras with $I_{\mathcal{A}}$ and $I_{\mathcal{B}}$ the identities of them, respectively. Let $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n \in \mathcal{A}$ and $A_1 \bullet A_2 \bullet \dots \bullet A_n$ is the Jordan multiple $*$ -product in any fixed operation order. Then a not necessarily linear bijective mapping $\Phi: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ satisfies

$$\Phi(A_1 \bullet A_2 \bullet \dots \bullet A_n) = \Phi(A_1) \bullet \Phi(A_2) \bullet \dots \bullet \Phi(A_n)$$

if and only if Φ is a $*$ -ring isomorphism.

In this paper motivated by the above results, we consider a map (not necessarily linear) Φ on factor von Neumann algebras \mathcal{A} which meets the following conditions:

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(A_1 \diamond A_2 \diamond \dots \diamond A_n) &= \Phi(A_1) \diamond A_2 \diamond \dots \diamond A_n + A_1 \diamond \Phi(A_2) \diamond \dots \diamond A_n \\ &\quad + \dots + A_1 \diamond A_2 \diamond \dots \diamond \Phi(A_n) \end{aligned}$$

where $A_i \diamond A_j = A_i^*A_j - A_j^*A_i$ for $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$. We prove that Φ is additive $*$ -derivation. The results of this essay will be applied to study general structures of von Neumann algebras which are related to non-linear derivatives.

When we say that \mathcal{A} is prime, that is, for $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$ if $AAB = \{0\}$, then $A = 0$ or $B = 0$.

2. Main result

THEOREM 2.1. *Let \mathcal{A} be a factor von Neumann algebras. Let $\Phi: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ satisfies in*

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(A_1 \diamond A_2 \diamond \dots \diamond A_n) &= \Phi(A_1) \diamond A_2 \diamond \dots \diamond A_n + A_1 \diamond \Phi(A_2) \diamond \dots \diamond A_n \\ &\quad + \dots + A_1 \diamond A_2 \diamond \dots \diamond \Phi(A_n), \quad n \geq 2 \end{aligned}$$

for all $A_1, \dots, A_n \in \mathcal{A}$ where $A_i \diamond A_j = A_i^*A_j - A_j^*A_i$ for $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$. Then Φ is additive $*$ -derivation.

PROOF. Let P_1 be a nontrivial projection in \mathcal{A} and $P_2 = I_{\mathcal{A}} - P_1$. Denote $\mathcal{A}_{ij} = P_i \mathcal{A} P_j$, $i, j = 1, 2$, then $\mathcal{A} = \sum_{i,j=1}^2 \mathcal{A}_{ij}$. For every $A \in \mathcal{A}$ we can write $A = A_{11} + A_{12} + A_{21} + A_{22}$. In all that follow, when we write A_{ij} , it indicates that $A_{ij} \in \mathcal{A}_{ij}$. For showing additivity of Φ on \mathcal{A} , we use above partition of \mathcal{A} and give some claims that prove Φ is additive on each \mathcal{A}_{ij} , $i, j = 1, 2$.

We prove the above theorem by several claims.

CLAIM 2.1. We show that $\Phi(0) = 0$.

This claim is easy to prove.

CLAIM 2.2. We show that $\Phi(\frac{I}{2}) = 0$, $\Phi(-\frac{I}{2}) = 0$ and $\Phi(i\frac{I}{2}) = 0$.

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi\left(i\frac{I}{2} \diamond \frac{I}{2} \diamond \dots \diamond \frac{I}{2}\right) &= \Phi\left(i\frac{I}{2}\right) \diamond \frac{I}{2} \diamond \dots \diamond \frac{I}{2} + i\frac{I}{2} \diamond \Phi\left(\frac{I}{2}\right) \diamond \dots \diamond \frac{I}{2} \\ &\quad + \dots + i\frac{I}{2} \diamond i\frac{I}{2} \diamond \dots \diamond \Phi\left(\frac{I}{2}\right), \end{aligned}$$

an elementary computation shows that two cases appear depending on n :

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi\left(i\frac{I}{2}\right) &= \frac{1}{2}\left(\Phi\left(i\frac{I}{2}\right) - \Phi\left(i\frac{I}{2}\right)^*\right) + (n-1)\frac{i}{2}\left(\Phi\left(\frac{I}{2}\right) + \Phi\left(\frac{I}{2}\right)^*\right), \quad n \text{ odd,} \\ \Phi\left(-i\frac{I}{2}\right) &= -\frac{1}{2}\left(\Phi\left(i\frac{I}{2}\right) - \Phi\left(i\frac{I}{2}\right)^*\right) - (n-1)\frac{i}{2}\left(\Phi\left(\frac{I}{2}\right) + \Phi\left(\frac{I}{2}\right)^*\right), \quad n \text{ even} \end{aligned}$$

which imply that

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}\left(-\Phi\left(i\frac{I}{2}\right) - \Phi\left(i\frac{I}{2}\right)^*\right) + (n-1)\frac{i}{2}\left(\Phi\left(\frac{I}{2}\right) + \Phi\left(\frac{I}{2}\right)^*\right) &= 0, \quad n \text{ odd,} \\ \Phi\left(-i\frac{I}{2}\right) + \frac{1}{2}\left(\Phi\left(i\frac{I}{2}\right) - \Phi\left(i\frac{I}{2}\right)^*\right) + (n-1)\frac{i}{2}\left(\Phi\left(\frac{I}{2}\right) + \Phi\left(\frac{I}{2}\right)^*\right) &= 0. \quad n \text{ even} \end{aligned}$$

By taking adjoint from both side of (2.1), for odd numbers case, we obtain

$$(2.2) \quad \frac{1}{2}\left(-\Phi\left(i\frac{I}{2}\right)^* - \Phi\left(i\frac{I}{2}\right)\right) - (n-1)\frac{i}{2}\left(\Phi\left(\frac{I}{2}\right) + \Phi\left(\frac{I}{2}\right)^*\right) = 0$$

Hence (2.1) and (2.2), for odd case, give us $\Phi\left(i\frac{I}{2}\right) + \Phi\left(i\frac{I}{2}\right)^* = 0$. Since $\Phi\left(i\frac{I}{2}\right)$ is self-adjoint, $\Phi\left(i\frac{I}{2}\right) = 0$. By re-applying (2.2) it results that $\Phi\left(\frac{I}{2}\right) + \Phi\left(\frac{I}{2}\right)^* = 0$. So $\Phi\left(\frac{I}{2}\right) = 0$, because $\Phi\left(\frac{I}{2}\right)^*$ is self-adjoint. Similarly we can show that $\Phi\left(-i\frac{I}{2}\right) = 0$. Set zero valued in extension of $\Phi\left(i\frac{I}{2} \diamond \frac{I}{2} \diamond \dots \diamond \frac{I}{2}\right)$ for even case, we have

$$\Phi\left(-i\frac{I}{2}\right) = 0.$$

As well as, the self-adjoint assumption clarify that $\Phi\left(-i\frac{I}{2}\right)^* = 0$.

CLAIM 2.3. For each $A \in \mathcal{A}$, we have

$$(1) \quad \Phi(-iA) = -i\Phi(A). \quad (2) \quad \Phi(iA) = i\Phi(A).$$

It is straightforward to see that

$$\Phi\left(-iA \diamond \frac{I}{2} \diamond \frac{I}{2} \diamond \dots \diamond \frac{I}{2}\right) = \Phi\left(A \diamond i\frac{I}{2} \diamond \frac{I}{2} \diamond \dots \diamond \frac{I}{2}\right).$$

So,

$$\Phi(-iA) \diamond \frac{I}{2} \diamond \frac{I}{2} \diamond \dots \diamond \frac{I}{2} = \Phi(A) \diamond i \frac{I}{2} \diamond \frac{I}{2} \diamond \dots \diamond \frac{I}{2}.$$

It follows that

$$(2.3) \quad -\Phi(-iA)^* + \Phi(-iA) = -i\Phi(A)^* - i\Phi(A).$$

On the other hand, one can check that

$$\Phi\left(-iA \diamond i \frac{I}{2} \diamond \frac{I}{2} \diamond \dots \diamond \frac{I}{2}\right) = \Phi\left(A \diamond -\frac{I}{2} \diamond \frac{I}{2} \diamond \frac{I}{2} \diamond \dots \diamond \frac{I}{2}\right).$$

So,

$$\Phi(-iA) \diamond i \frac{I}{2} \diamond \frac{I}{2} \diamond \dots \diamond \frac{I}{2} = \Phi(A) \diamond -\frac{I}{2} \diamond \frac{I}{2} \diamond \frac{I}{2} \diamond \dots \diamond \frac{I}{2}.$$

It follows that $-i\Phi(-iA)^* - i\Phi(-iA) = \Phi(A)^* - \Phi(A)$.

Equivalently, we obtain

$$(2.4) \quad -\Phi(-iA)^* + \Phi(-iA) = i\Phi(A)^* - i\Phi(A).$$

By adding equations (2.3) and (2.4), we have $\Phi(-iA) = -i\Phi(A)$.

Similarly it can be shown $\Phi(iA) = i\Phi(A)$.

CLAIM 2.4. For each $A_{11} \in \mathcal{A}_{11}$, $A_{12} \in \mathcal{A}_{12}$, we have

$$\Phi(A_{11} + A_{12}) = \Phi(A_{11}) + \Phi(A_{12}).$$

Let $T = \Phi(A_{11} + A_{12}) - \Phi(A_{11}) - \Phi(A_{12})$. We need to show that $T = 0$.

$$\begin{aligned} & \Phi(A_{11} + A_{12}) \diamond C_{21} \diamond I \diamond \dots \diamond I + (A_{11} + A_{12}) \diamond \Phi(C_{21}) \diamond I \diamond \dots \diamond I \\ & + \dots + (A_{11} + A_{12}) \diamond C_{21} \diamond I \diamond \dots \diamond \Phi(I) = \Phi(A_{11} + A_{12}) \diamond C_{21} \diamond I \diamond \dots \diamond I \\ & = \Phi(A_{11}) \diamond C_{21} \diamond I \diamond \dots \diamond I + \Phi(A_{12}) \diamond C_{21} \diamond I \diamond \dots \diamond I \\ & = \Phi(A_{11}) \diamond C_{21} \diamond I \diamond \dots \diamond I + A_{11} \diamond \Phi(C_{21}) \diamond I \diamond \dots \diamond I \\ & + \dots + A_{11} \diamond C_{21} \diamond I \diamond \dots \diamond \Phi(I) + \Phi(A_{12}) \diamond C_{21} \diamond I \diamond \dots \diamond I \\ & + A_{12} \diamond \Phi(C_{21}) \diamond I \diamond \dots \diamond I + \dots + A_{12} \diamond C_{21} \diamond I \diamond \dots \diamond \Phi(I) \\ & = (\Phi(A_{11}) + \Phi(A_{12})) \diamond C_{21} \diamond I \diamond \dots \diamond I + (A_{11} + A_{12}) \diamond \Phi(C_{21}) \diamond I \diamond \dots \diamond I \\ & \quad + \dots + (A_{11} + A_{12}) \diamond C_{21} \diamond I \diamond \dots \diamond \Phi(I). \end{aligned}$$

Then $T \diamond C_{21} \diamond I \diamond I \diamond \dots \diamond I = 0$. According to initial discussion of proof, we know $T = T_{11} + T_{12} + T_{21} + T_{22}$. So $-T_{22}^* C_{21} - T_{21}^* C_{21} + C_{21}^* T_{22} + C_{21}^* T_{21} = 0$. Thus we have $T_{22} = T_{21} = 0$. In a similar way, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \Phi(A_{11} + A_{12}) \diamond C_{12} \diamond P_1 \diamond I \diamond \dots \diamond I + (A_{11} + A_{12}) \diamond \Phi(C_{12}) \diamond P_1 \diamond \dots \diamond I \\ & + \dots + (A_{11} + A_{12}) \diamond C_{12} \diamond P_1 \diamond \dots \diamond \Phi(I) = \Phi((A_{11} + A_{12}) \diamond C_{12} \diamond P_1 \diamond \dots \diamond I) \\ & = \Phi(A_{11}) \diamond C_{12} \diamond P_1 \diamond I \diamond \dots \diamond I + \Phi(A_{12}) \diamond C_{12} \diamond P_1 \diamond I \diamond \dots \diamond I \\ & = (\Phi(A_{11}) + \Phi(A_{12})) \diamond C_{12} \diamond P_1 \diamond I \diamond \dots \diamond I + (A_{11} + A_{12}) \diamond \Phi(C_{12}) \diamond P_1 \diamond I \diamond \dots \diamond I \\ & \quad + \dots + (A_{11} + A_{12}) \diamond C_{12} \diamond P_1 \diamond I \diamond \dots \diamond \Phi(I). \end{aligned}$$

So $T \diamond C_{12} \diamond P_1 \diamond I \diamond \dots \diamond I = 0$. An early application of the unique decomposition of $T = T_{11} + T_{12} + T_{21} + T_{22}$ shows that $-T_{11}^* C_{12} + C_{12}^* T_{11} = 0$. Now, multiplying the

above equality from right side by P_1 and left side by P_2 , and using the primitivity assumption of \mathcal{A} we show that $T_{11} = 0$. Similarly, one can show that $T_{12} = 0$ by applying C_{21} instead of C_{12} as above.

CLAIM 2.5. For each $A_{11} \in \mathcal{A}_{11}$, $A_{12} \in \mathcal{A}_{12}$, $A_{21} \in \mathcal{A}_{21}$ and $A_{22} \in \mathcal{A}_{22}$, we have

- (1) $\Phi(A_{11} + A_{12} + A_{21}) = \Phi(A_{11}) + \Phi(A_{12}) + \Phi(A_{21})$.
- (2) $\Phi(A_{12} + A_{21} + A_{22}) = \Phi(A_{12}) + \Phi(A_{21}) + \Phi(A_{22})$.

We show that $T = \Phi(A_{11} + A_{12} + A_{21}) - \Phi(A_{11}) - \Phi(A_{12}) - \Phi(A_{21}) = 0$. From Claim 2.4, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \Phi(A_{11} + A_{12} + A_{21}) \diamond C_{21} \diamond I \diamond I \diamond \dots \diamond I + (A_{11} + A_{12} + A_{21}) \diamond \Phi(C_{21}) \diamond I \diamond \dots \diamond I \\ & \quad + \dots + (A_{11} + A_{12} + A_{21}) \diamond C_{21} \diamond I \diamond \dots \diamond \Phi(I) \\ & = \Phi(A_{11} + A_{21} + A_{12} \diamond C_{21} \diamond I \diamond \dots \diamond I) = \Phi((A_{11} + A_{21}) \diamond C_{21} \diamond I \diamond \dots \diamond I) \\ & \quad + \Phi(A_{12} \diamond C_{21} \diamond I \diamond \dots \diamond I) = \Phi(A_{11} \diamond C_{21} \diamond I \diamond \dots \diamond I) \\ & \quad + \Phi(A_{21} \diamond C_{21} \diamond I \diamond \dots \diamond I) + \Phi(A_{12} \diamond C_{21} \diamond I \diamond \dots \diamond I) \\ & = (\Phi(A_{11}) + \Phi(A_{12}) + \Phi(A_{21})) \diamond C_{21} \diamond I \diamond \dots \diamond I + (A_{11} + A_{12} + A_{21}) \\ & \quad \diamond C_{21} \diamond I \diamond \dots \diamond I + \dots + (A_{11} + A_{12} + A_{21}) \diamond C_{21} \diamond I \diamond \dots \diamond \Phi(I). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $T \diamond C_{21} \diamond I \diamond \dots \diamond I = 0$. Since $T = T_{11} + T_{12} + T_{21} + T_{22}$ we have $-T_{22}^* C_{21} - T_{21}^* C_{21} + C_{21}^* T_{22} + C_{21}^* T_{21} = 0$. Therefore, $T_{22} = T_{21} = 0$.

Claim 2.4, by an immediately computation, shows

$$\begin{aligned} & \Phi(A_{11} + A_{12} + A_{21}) \diamond P_1 \diamond P_1 \diamond I \diamond \dots \diamond I + (A_{11} + A_{12} \\ & \quad + A_{21}) \diamond \Phi(P_1) \diamond P_1 \diamond I \diamond \dots \diamond I \\ & \quad + \dots + (A_{11} + A_{12} + A_{21}) \diamond P_1 \diamond P_1 \diamond I \diamond \dots \diamond \Phi(I) \\ & = \Phi((A_{11} + A_{12} + A_{21}) \diamond P_1 \diamond P_1 \diamond I \diamond \dots \diamond I) \\ & = \Phi((A_{11} + A_{12}) \diamond P_1 \diamond P_1 \diamond I \diamond \dots \diamond I) \\ & \quad + \Phi(A_{21} \diamond P_1 \diamond P_1 \diamond I \diamond \dots \diamond I) = \Phi(A_{11} \diamond P_1 \diamond P_1 \diamond I \dots \diamond I) \\ & \quad + \Phi(A_{12} \diamond P_1 \diamond P_1 \diamond I \dots \diamond I) + \Phi(A_{21} \diamond P_1 \diamond P_1 \diamond I \dots \diamond I) \\ & = (\Phi(A_{11}) + \Phi(A_{12}) + \Phi(A_{21})) \diamond P_1 \diamond P_1 \diamond I \dots \diamond I \\ & \quad + (A_{11} + A_{12} + A_{21}) \diamond \Phi(P_1) \diamond P_1 \diamond I \dots \diamond I \\ & \quad + \dots + (A_{11} + A_{12} + A_{21}) \diamond P_1 \diamond P_1 \diamond I \dots \diamond \Phi(I). \end{aligned}$$

Hereupon $T \diamond P_1 \diamond P_1 \diamond \dots \diamond I = 0$. Thus $2T_{11} - 2T_{11}^* + T_{12} - T_{12}^* = 0$ which implies

$$(2.5) \quad T_{12} = 0, \quad T_{11} - T_{11}^* = 0.$$

Also from Claims 2.3 and 2.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \Phi(A_{11} + A_{12} + A_{21}) \diamond iP_1 \diamond I \diamond \dots \diamond I + (A_{11} + A_{12} + A_{21}) \diamond \Phi(iP_1) \diamond I \diamond \dots \diamond I \\ & \quad + \dots + (A_{11} + A_{12} + A_{21}) \diamond iP_1 \diamond I \diamond \dots \diamond \Phi(I) \\ & = \Phi((A_{11} + A_{12} + A_{21}) \diamond iP_1 \diamond I \diamond \dots \diamond I) = \Phi((A_{11} + A_{12}) \diamond iP_1 \diamond I \diamond \dots \diamond I) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \Phi(A_{21} \diamond iP_1 \diamond I \diamond \dots \diamond I) = \Phi(A_{11} \diamond iP_1 \diamond I \diamond \dots \diamond I) \\
& + \Phi(A_{12} \diamond iP_1 \diamond I \diamond \dots \diamond I) + \Phi(A_{21} \diamond iP_1 \diamond I \diamond \dots \diamond I) \\
= & ((\Phi(A_{11} + \Phi(A_{12} + \Phi(A_{21}))) \diamond iP_1 \diamond I \diamond \dots \diamond I + (A_{11} + A_{12} + A_{21}) \diamond \Phi(iP_1) \diamond I \diamond \dots \diamond I \\
& + \dots + (A_{11} + A_{12} + A_{21}) \diamond iP_1 \diamond I \diamond \dots \diamond \Phi(I)
\end{aligned}$$

So $T \diamond iP_1 \diamond I \diamond \dots \diamond I = 0$ which implies

$$(2.6) \quad -T_{11} - T_{11}^* = 0.$$

From (2.5), (2.6), we have $T_{11} = 0$.

Similarly, we can show that $\Phi(A_{12} + A_{21} + A_{22}) = \Phi(A_{12}) + \Phi(A_{21}) + \Phi(A_{22})$.

CLAIM 2.6. For each $A_{11} \in \mathcal{A}_{11}$, $A_{12} \in \mathcal{A}_{12}$, $A_{21} \in \mathcal{A}_{21}$ and $A_{22} \in \mathcal{A}_{22}$, we have

$$\Phi(A_{11} + A_{12} + A_{21} + A_{22}) = \Phi(A_{11}) + \Phi(A_{12}) + \Phi(A_{21}) + \Phi(A_{22}).$$

In order to prove this claim, we have to show that

$$T = \Phi(A_{11} + A_{12} + A_{21} + A_{22}) - \Phi(A_{11}) - \Phi(A_{12}) - \Phi(A_{21}) - \Phi(A_{22}) = 0.$$

From claim (2.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \Phi(A_{11} + A_{12} + A_{21} + A_{22}) \diamond C_{12} \diamond I \diamond \dots \diamond I \\
& + (A_{11} + A_{12} + A_{21} + A_{22}) \diamond \Phi(C_{12}) \diamond I \diamond \dots \diamond I \\
& + \dots + (A_{11} + A_{12} + A_{21} + A_{22}) \diamond C_{12} \diamond I \diamond \dots \diamond \Phi(I) \\
= & \Phi((A_{11} + A_{12} + A_{21} + A_{22}) \diamond C_{12} \diamond I \diamond \dots \diamond I) \\
= & (\Phi(A_{11} + A_{12} + A_{21}) \diamond C_{12} \diamond I \diamond \dots \diamond I) + \Phi(A_{22} \diamond C_{12} \diamond I \diamond \dots \diamond I) \\
= & \Phi(A_{11} \diamond C_{12} \diamond I \diamond \dots \diamond I) + \Phi(A_{12} \diamond C_{12} \diamond I) + \Phi(A_{21} \diamond C_{12} \diamond I \diamond \dots \diamond I) \\
& + \Phi(A_{22} \diamond C_{12} \diamond I \diamond \dots \diamond I) = (\Phi(A_{11}) + \Phi(A_{12}) + \Phi(A_{21}) \\
& + \Phi(A_{22})) \diamond C_{12} \diamond I \diamond \dots \diamond I + (A_{11} + A_{12} + A_{21} + A_{22}) \diamond \Phi(C_{12}) \diamond I \diamond \dots \diamond I \\
& + \dots + (A_{11} + A_{12} + A_{21} + A_{22}) \diamond C_{12} \diamond I \diamond \dots \diamond \Phi(I).
\end{aligned}$$

So, $T \diamond C_{12} \diamond I = 0$. It follows that $C_{12}^* T_{11} + C_{12}^* T_{12} - T_{11}^* C_{12} - T_{12}^* C_{12} = 0$. Thus $T_{11} = T_{12} = 0$.

Similarly, by applying C_{21} instead of C_{12} in the above computation, we obtain $T_{21} = T_{22} = 0$.

CLAIM 2.7. For each $A_{ij}, B_{ij} \in \mathcal{A}_{ij}$ such that $i \neq j$, we have

$$\Phi(A_{ij} + B_{ij}) = \Phi(A_{ij}) + \Phi(B_{ij}).$$

It is easy to show that

$$(P_i + A_{ij}^*) \diamond (P_j + B_{ij}) \diamond \frac{I}{2} \diamond \dots \diamond \frac{I}{2} = A_{ij}^* + B_{ij}^* - A_{ij} - B_{ij}.$$

So, we can write

$$\Phi(-A_{ij} - B_{ij}) + \Phi(A_{ij}^* + B_{ij}^*) = \Phi\left(\left(P_i + A_{ij}^*\right) \diamond (P_j + B_{ij}) \diamond \frac{I}{2} \diamond \dots \diamond \frac{I}{2}\right)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \Phi(P_i + A_{ij}^*) \diamond (P_j + B_{ij}) \diamond \frac{I}{2} \diamond \dots \diamond \frac{I}{2} \\
 &\quad + (P_i + A_{ij}^*) \diamond \Phi(P_j + B_{ij}) \diamond \frac{I}{2} \diamond \dots \diamond \frac{I}{2} \\
 &\quad + (P_i + A_{ij}^*) \diamond (P_j + B_{ij}) \diamond \Phi\left(\frac{I}{2}\right) \diamond \dots \diamond \frac{I}{2} \\
 &\quad + \dots + (P_i + A_{ij}^*) \diamond (P_j + B_{ij}) \diamond \frac{I}{2} \diamond \dots \diamond \Phi\left(\frac{I}{2}\right) \\
 &= (\Phi(P_i) + \Phi(A_{ij}^*)) \diamond (P_j + B_{ij}) \diamond \frac{I}{2} \diamond \dots \diamond \frac{I}{2} \\
 &\quad + (P_i + A_{ij}^*) \diamond (\Phi(P_j) + \Phi(B_{ij})) \diamond \frac{I}{2} \diamond \dots \diamond \frac{I}{2} + \dots + (P_i + A_{ij}^*) \diamond (P_j + B_{ij}) \diamond \frac{I}{2} \diamond \dots \diamond \Phi\left(\frac{I}{2}\right) \\
 &= \Phi\left(P_i \diamond B_{ij} \diamond \frac{I}{2} \diamond \dots \diamond \frac{I}{2}\right) + \Phi\left(A_{ij}^* \diamond P_j \diamond \frac{I}{2} \diamond \dots \diamond \frac{I}{2}\right) \\
 &\quad = \Phi(-B_{ij}) + \Phi(B_{ij}^*) + \Phi(-A_{ij}) + \Phi(A_{ij}^*)
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we show that

$$(2.7) \quad \Phi(-A_{ij} - B_{ij}) + \Phi(A_{ij}^* + B_{ij}^*) = \Phi(-A_{ij}) + \Phi(-B_{ij}) + \Phi(A_{ij}^*) + \Phi(B_{ij}^*).$$

By an easy computation, we can write

$$(P_i + A_{ij}^*) \diamond (iP_j + iB_{ij}) \diamond \frac{I}{2} \diamond \frac{I}{2} \diamond \dots \diamond \frac{I}{2} = iA_{ij} + iB_{ij} + iA_{ij}^* + iB_{ij}^*.$$

Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Phi(iA_{ij} + iB_{ij}) + \Phi(iA_{ij}^* + iB_{ij}^*) &= \Phi\left((P_i + A_{ij}^*) \diamond (iP_j + iB_{ij}) \diamond \frac{I}{2} \diamond \dots \diamond \frac{I}{2}\right) \\
 &= \Phi(P_i + A_{ij}^*) \diamond (iP_j + iB_{ij}) \diamond \frac{I}{2} \diamond \frac{I}{2} \diamond \dots \diamond \frac{I}{2} \\
 &\quad + (P_i + A_{ij}^*) \diamond \Phi(iP_j + iB_{ij}) \diamond \frac{I}{2} \diamond \dots \diamond \frac{I}{2} \\
 &\quad + (P_i + A_{ij}^*) \diamond (iP_j + iB_{ij}) \diamond \Phi\left(\frac{I}{2}\right) \diamond \dots \diamond \frac{I}{2} \\
 &\quad + \dots + (P_i + A_{ij}^*) \diamond (iP_j + iB_{ij}) \diamond \frac{I}{2} \diamond \dots \diamond \Phi\left(\frac{I}{2}\right) \\
 &= (\Phi(P_i) + \Phi(A_{ij}^*)) \diamond (iP_j + iB_{ij}) \diamond \frac{I}{2} \diamond \dots \diamond \frac{I}{2} \\
 &\quad + (P_i + A_{ij}^*) \diamond (\Phi(iP_j) + \Phi(iB_{ij})) \diamond \frac{I}{2} \diamond \dots \diamond \frac{I}{2} + \dots + \\
 &\quad + (P_i + A_{ij}^*) \diamond (iP_j + iB_{ij}) \diamond \frac{I}{2} \diamond \dots \diamond \Phi\left(\frac{I}{2}\right) \\
 &= \Phi\left(P_i \diamond iB_{ij} \diamond \frac{I}{2} \diamond \dots \diamond \frac{I}{2}\right) + \Phi\left(A_{ij}^* \diamond iP_j \diamond \frac{I}{2} \diamond \dots \diamond \frac{I}{2}\right) \\
 &\quad = \Phi(iB_{ij}) + \Phi(iB_{ij}^*) + \Phi(iA_{ij}) + \Phi(iA_{ij}^*).
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus we showed that

$$\Phi(iA_{ij} + iB_{ij}) + \Phi(iA_{ij}^* + iB_{ij}^*) = \Phi(iA_{ij}) + \Phi(iB_{ij}) + \Phi(iA_{ij}^*) + \Phi(iB_{ij}^*).$$

From claim 2.3 and the above equation, we have

$$(2.8) \quad -\Phi(A_{ij} + B_{ij}) - \Phi(A_{ij}^* + B_{ij}^*) = -\Phi(B_{ij}) - \Phi(B_{ij}^*) - \Phi(A_{ij}) - \Phi(-A_{ij}^*).$$

By adding equations (2.7) and (2.8), we obtain $\Phi(A_{ij} + B_{ij}) = \Phi(A_{ij}) + \Phi(B_{ij})$.

CLAIM 2.8. For each $A_{ii}, B_{ii} \in \mathcal{A}_{ii}$ such that $1 \leq i \leq 2$, we have

$$\Phi(A_{ii} + B_{ii}) = \Phi(A_{ii}) + \Phi(B_{ii}).$$

We show that $T = \Phi(A_{ii} + B_{ii}) - \Phi(A_{ii}) - \Phi(B_{ii}) = 0$. We can write

$$\begin{aligned} & \Phi(A_{ii} + B_{ii}) \diamond P_j \diamond I \diamond I \diamond \dots \diamond I + (A_{ii} + B_{ii}) \diamond \Phi(P_j) \diamond I \diamond \dots \diamond I \\ & \quad + \dots + (A_{ii} + B_{ii}) \diamond P_j \diamond I \diamond \dots \diamond \Phi(I) \\ & = \Phi((A_{ii} + B_{ii}) \diamond P_j \diamond I \diamond \dots \diamond I) = \Phi(A_{ii} \diamond P_j \diamond I \diamond \dots \diamond I) \\ & + \Phi(B_{ii} \diamond P_j \diamond I \diamond \dots \diamond I) = \Phi(A_{ii}) \diamond P_j \diamond I \diamond \dots \diamond I + A_{ii} \diamond \Phi(P_j) \diamond I \diamond \dots \diamond I \\ & \quad + \dots + A_{ii} \diamond P_j \diamond I \diamond \dots \diamond \Phi(I) + \Phi(B_{ii}) \diamond P_j \diamond I \diamond \dots \diamond I \\ & \quad + B_{ii} \diamond \Phi(P_j) \diamond I \diamond \dots \diamond I + \dots + B_{ii} \diamond P_j \diamond I \diamond \dots \diamond \Phi(I) \\ & = (\Phi(A_{ii}) + \Phi(B_{ii})) \diamond P_j \diamond I \diamond I \diamond \dots \diamond I + (A_{ii} + B_{ii}) \diamond \Phi(P_j) \diamond I \diamond \dots \diamond I \\ & \quad + \dots + (A_{ii} + B_{ii}) \diamond P_j \diamond I \diamond \dots \diamond \Phi(I) \end{aligned}$$

So, we have $T \diamond P_j \diamond I \diamond \dots \diamond I = 0$. Therefore, we obtain $T_{ij} = T_{ji} = T_{jj} = 0$.

On the other hand, for every $C_{ij} \in \mathcal{A}_{ij}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \Phi(A_{ii} + B_{ii}) \diamond C_{ij} \diamond I \diamond \dots \diamond I + (A_{ii} + B_{ii}) \diamond \Phi(C_{ij}) \diamond I \diamond \dots \diamond I \\ & \quad + \dots + (A_{ii} + B_{ii}) \diamond C_{ij} \diamond I \diamond \dots \diamond \Phi(I) \\ & = \Phi((A_{ii} + B_{ii}) \diamond C_{ij} \diamond I \diamond \dots \diamond I) = \Phi(A_{ii} \diamond C_{ij} \diamond I \diamond \dots \diamond I) \\ & \quad + \Phi(B_{ii} \diamond C_{ij} \diamond I \diamond \dots \diamond I) = (\Phi(A_{ii}) + \Phi(B_{ii})) \diamond C_{ij} \diamond I \diamond \dots \diamond I \\ & \quad + (A_{ii} + B_{ii}) \diamond \Phi(C_{ij}) \diamond I \diamond \dots \diamond I + (A_{ii} + B_{ii}) \diamond C_{ij} \diamond \Phi(I) \diamond \dots \diamond I \\ & \quad + \dots + (A_{ii} + B_{ii}) \diamond C_{ij} \diamond I \diamond \dots \diamond \Phi(I) \end{aligned}$$

So $T \diamond C_{ij} \diamond I \diamond \dots \diamond I = 0$ which shows that $T_{ii} \diamond C_{ij} \diamond I \diamond \dots \diamond I = 0$. Thus we see that $-T_{ii}^* C_{ij} + C_{ij}^* T_{ii} = 0$. Again multiplying the above equality from right by P_i and from left by P_j and using the well-known fact that \mathcal{A} is prime, we have $T_{ii} = 0$.

Hence, the additivity of Φ comes from the above claims.

In the rest of this paper, we show that Φ is *-derivation.

CLAIM 2.9. Φ preserves star.

Since $\Phi(\frac{I}{2}) = 0$ then we can write $\Phi(A \diamond \frac{I}{2} \diamond \frac{I}{2} \diamond \dots \diamond \frac{I}{2}) = \Phi(A) \diamond \frac{I}{2} \diamond \frac{I}{2} \diamond \dots \diamond \frac{I}{2}$. Then $\Phi(A - A^*) = \Phi(A) - \Phi(A)^*$. So, we showed that Φ preserves star.

CLAIM 2.10. We prove that Φ is derivation.

For every $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$, a simple calculation shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(-2AB + 2B^*A^*) & = \Phi\left(A^* \diamond B \diamond \frac{I}{2} \diamond \dots \diamond \frac{I}{2} \diamond I\right) \\ & = \Phi(A^*) \diamond B \diamond \frac{I}{2} \diamond \dots \diamond \frac{I}{2} \diamond I \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & + \cdots + A^* \diamond B \diamond \frac{I}{2} \diamond \cdots \diamond \frac{I}{2} \diamond \Phi(I) \\ & = 2B^* \Phi(A)^* - 2\Phi(A)B - 2A\Phi(B) + \Phi(B)^* A^*. \end{aligned}$$

So we have

$$(2.9) \quad \Phi(B^*A^* - AB) = \Phi(B)^*A^* + B^*\Phi(A)^* - \Phi(A)B - A\Phi(B).$$

From Claims 2.3 and 2.9, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(-2B^*A^* - 2AB) &= \Phi\left(iA^* \diamond B \diamond \frac{I}{2} \diamond \cdots \diamond \frac{I}{2} \diamond I\right) \\ &= \Phi(iA^*) \diamond B \diamond \frac{I}{2} \diamond \cdots \diamond \frac{I}{2} \diamond I \\ &\quad + \cdots + (iA^*) \diamond B \diamond \frac{I}{2} \diamond \cdots \diamond \frac{I}{2} \diamond \Phi(I). \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$i\Phi(-B^*A^* - AB) = i(-\Phi(B)^*A^* - B^*\Phi(A)^* - \Phi(A)B - A\Phi(B)).$$

So we can show that

$$(2.10) \quad \Phi(-B^*A^* - AB) = -\Phi(B)^*A^* - B^*\Phi(A)^* - \Phi(A)B - A\Phi(B).$$

Eventually, equations (2.9) and (2.10) shows that $\Phi(AB) = \Phi(A)B + A\Phi(B)$. \square

Acknowledgement. I am grateful to the referee for pointing out a deficiency in an early draft of this Note.

References

1. Z. Bai, S. Du, *The structure of non-linear Lie derivations on factor von Neumann algebras*, Linear Algebra Appl. **436** (2012), 2701–2708.
2. C. Li, F. Zhao, Q. Chen, *Nonlinear maps preserving product $X^*Y + Y^*X$ on von Neumann algebras*, Bull. Iran. Math. Soc. **44** (2018), 729–738.
3. E. Christensen, *Derivations of nest algebras*, Ann. Math. **229** (1977), 155–161.
4. A. Connes, *Classification of injective factors*, Ann. Math. **104** (1976), 73–115.
5. J. Cui, C.K. Li, *Maps preserving product $XY - YX^*$ on factor von Neumann algebras*, Linear Algebra Appl. **431** (2009), 833–842.
6. D. Huo, B. Zheng, J. Xu, H. Liu, *Nonlinear mappings preserving Jordan multiple $*$ -product on factor von Neumann algebras*, Linear Multilinear Algebra **63**(5) (2015), 1026–1036.
7. R. V. Kadison, J. R. Ringrose, *Fundamentals of the Theory of Operator Algebras I*, New-York: Academic Press, 1983.
8. ———, *Fundamentals of the Theory of Operator Algebras II*, New-York: Academic Press, 1986.
9. C. Li, F. Lu, X. Fang, *Nonlinear ξ -Jordan $*$ -derivations on von Neumann algebras*, Linear Multilinear Algebra **62** (2014), 466–473.
10. ———, *Nonlinear mappings preserving product $XY + YX^*$ on factor von Neumann algebras*, Linear Algebra Appl. **438** (2013), 2339–2345.
11. L. Molnár, *A condition for a subspace of $B(H)$ to be an ideal*, Linear Algebra Appl. **235** (1996), 229–234.
12. S. Sakai, *Derivations of W^* -algebras*, Ann. Math. **83** (1966), 273–279.
13. P. Šemrl, *Additive derivations of some operator algebras*, Ill. J. Math. **35** (1991), 234–240.
14. ———, *Ring derivations on standard operator algebras*, J. Funct. Anal. **112** (1993), 318–324.

15. M. Shavandi, A. Taghavi, *Non-linear triple product $A^*B - BA^*$ derivations on $*$ -algebras*, Surv. Math. Appl., In press.
16. A. Taghavi, V. Darvish, H. Rohi, *Additivity of maps preserving products $AP \pm PA^*$ on C^* -algebras*, Math. Slovaca **67** (2017), 213–220.
17. W. Yu, J. Zhang, *Nonlinear $*$ -Lie derivations on factor von Neumann algebras*, Linear Algebra Appl. **437** (2012), 1979–1991.
18. F. Zhang, X. Qi, J. Zhang, *Nonlinear $*$ -Lie higher derivations on factor von Neumann algebras*, Bull. Iran. Math. Soc. **42** (2016), 659–678.

Department of Mathematics
Faculty of Mathematical Sciences
University of Mazandaran
Babolsar
Iran
mo.shavandi@gmail.com
taghavi@umz.ac.ir

(Received 12 10 2020)
(Revised 03 04 2022)