

## UNIQUELY EXCHANGE RINGS

Fatemeh Rashedi

**ABSTRACT.** An associative ring with unity is called exchange if every element is exchange, i.e., there exists an idempotent  $e \in aR$  such that  $1 - e \in (1 - a)R$ ; if this representation is unique for every element, we call the ring uniquely exchange. We give a complete description of uniquely exchange rings.

### 1. Introduction

Let  $R$  be an associative ring with identity. An element  $a \in R$  is said to be exchange if there exists an idempotent  $e \in aR$  such that  $1 - e \in (1 - a)R$ . The ring  $R$  is said to be exchange if all of its elements are exchange [3–5, 9–11]. We say that, an element  $a$  in a ring  $R$  is said to be uniquely exchange if there exists a unique idempotent  $e \in aR$  such that  $1 - e \in (1 - a)R$ . A ring  $R$  is said to be a uniquely exchange if every element is uniquely exchange. An element  $a \in R$  is said to be clean if  $x = e + u$  for some idempotent  $e$  and unit  $u$  in  $R$ . The ring  $R$  is said to be clean if all of its elements are clean. Clean rings were first introduced in a paper by Nicholson [9] as a class of exchange rings. It was shown by Nicholson [9, Proposition 1.8(1)] that if  $a$  is clean in the ring  $R$ , then there exists  $e^2 = e \in aR$  such that  $1 - e \in (1 - a)R$ .  $R$  is said to be suitable if for each  $a \in R$ , there exists an idempotent  $e \in aR$  such that  $1 - e \in (1 - a)R$ . This condition is left-right symmetric as shown in [9]. In the same paper, Nicholson [9] also showed that  $R$  is an exchange ring if and only if idempotents can be lifted modulo every left (right) ideal of  $R$  if and only if  $R$  is suitable. Hence, every clean ring is an exchange ring. The converse is known to be true in abelian rings (see [9, Proposition 1.8(2)]). An element  $a \in R$  is uniquely clean provided that there exists a unique idempotent  $e \in R$  such that  $a - e \in R$  is invertible. A ring  $R$  is uniquely clean in case every element in  $R$  is uniquely clean. Many authors have studied such rings, see [1, 2, 6–8]. In this paper we investigate the uniquely exchange rings, and we show that every image of a uniquely exchange ring is again uniquely exchange and every Boolean ring is uniquely exchange. Finally, we prove that a local ring  $R$  is uniquely exchange if and only if  $R/J(R) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$ .

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 16E50; Secondary 16U99; 16S70.

*Key words and phrases*: exchange ring, uniquely exchange ring.

Communicated by Zoran Petrovic.

## 2. Main results

DEFINITION 2.1. An element  $a$  in a ring  $R$  is called uniquely exchange if there exists a unique idempotent  $e \in aR$  such that  $1 - e \in (1 - a)R$ . A ring  $R$  is called a uniquely exchange if every element is uniquely exchange.

PROPOSITION 2.1. *Central idempotents and central nilpotents are uniquely exchange in any ring  $R$ .*

PROOF. By [8, Example 1], central idempotents and central nilpotents are uniquely clean. Hence there exists a unique idempotent  $e \in R$  such that  $e - x \in (x - x^2)R$ , by [9, Proposition 1.8]. Therefore there exists a unique idempotent  $e \in aR$  such that  $1 - e \in (1 - a)R$ , by [9, Proposition 1.1], as required.  $\square$

COROLLARY 2.1. *Every Boolean ring is uniquely exchange.*

A routine elementary argument establishes the following results.

PROPOSITION 2.2. *Every homomorphic image of a uniquely exchange ring is uniquely exchange.*

PROPOSITION 2.3. *A direct product  $\prod_{i \in I} R_i$  of rings is uniquely exchange if and only if each  $R_i$  is uniquely exchange.*

PROPOSITION 2.4. *A ring  $R$  is a uniquely exchange ring if and only if  $R/J(R)$  is a uniquely exchange ring and idempotents left modulo  $J(R)$ .*

PROOF. Follows from Proposition 2.2 and [9, Corollary 1.3].  $\square$

PROPOSITION 2.5. *Let  $R$  be a uniquely exchange ring, i.e.; for every  $a \in R$  there exists a unique idempotent  $e \in aR$  such that  $1 - e \in (1 - a)R$ . Then  $ea = ae$ .*

PROOF. Let  $a \in R$ . Then, if there exists a unique idempotent  $e \in aR$  such that  $1 - e \in (1 - a)R$ , then  $e + (ea - eae)$  is an idempotent. Hence

$$e + (ea - eae) \in aR, \quad (1 - (e + (ea - eae))) \in (1 - a)R.$$

Since  $R$  is uniquely exchange,  $e = e + (ea - eae)$ . It follows that  $ea = eae$ , and similarly  $ae = eae$ .  $\square$

PROPOSITION 2.6. *Let  $R$  be a uniquely exchange ring and  $e^2 = e \in R$ . Then  $eRe$  is uniquely exchange.*

PROOF. If  $a \in eRe$  choose  $f^2 = f \in aR$  such that  $1 - f \in (1 - a)R$ . Since  $a \in eRe$  and  $f \in aR$ , we see that  $a = exe$  for some  $x \in R$  and  $f = ay$  for some  $y \in R$ , so  $f = exey$ . Therefore  $ef = f$ , and  $fe$  is an idempotent. Hence  $e - fe = e(1 - f)e \in (e - a)eRe$ . Therefore  $eRe$  is exchange. To check uniqueness, let  $a \in eRe$  and there exist two idempotents  $f, f' \in aR$  such that

$$e - fe \in (e - a)eRe, \quad e - f'e \in (e - a)eRe.$$

Hence  $e(1 - f)e \in e(1 - a)Re$  and  $e(1 - f')e \in e(1 - a)Re$ , and so  $1 - f \in (1 - a)R$  and  $1 - f' \in (1 - a)R$ , a contradiction.  $\square$

Let  $R$  be a ring and let  ${}_R M_R$  be an  $R$ - $R$ -bimodule which is a general ring (possibly with no unity) in which  $(mn)a = m(na) = m(an)$  and  $(am)n = a(mn)$  hold for all  $m, n \in M$  and  $a \in R$ . Then the ideal-extension  $I(R; M)$  of  $R$  by  $M$  is defined to be the additive abelian group  $I(R; M) = R \oplus M$  with multiplication  $(a, m)(b, n) = (ab, an + mb + mn)$ . Note that if  $S$  is a ring and  $S = R \oplus A$ , where  $R$  is a subring and  $A \triangleleft S$ , then  $S \cong I(R; A)$ .

PROPOSITION 2.7. *An ideal-extension  $S = I(R; M)$  is uniquely exchange if the following conditions are satisfied:*

- (1)  $R$  is uniquely exchange.
- (2) If  $e \in \text{Id}(R)$ , then  $em = me$  for all  $m \in M$ .
- (3) If  $m \in M$ , then  $m + n + mn = 0$  for some  $n \in M$ .

PROOF. Let  $s = (a, m) \in S$  and by (1) there exists a unique idempotent  $e \in aR$  such that  $1 - e \in (1 - a)R$ . Since  $S$  is a clean, by [8, Proposition 7], and so  $S$  is a exchange ring. Now suppose that there exist idempotents  $(e, x), (e', x') \in sS$  such that

$$1_S - (e, x) \in (1_S - s)S, \quad 1_S - (e', x') \in (1_S - s)S.$$

Hence  $(e, x) = (e', x')$  by the following result.

We show that, if  $(e, x)^2 = (e, x)$ , then  $e^2 = e$  and  $x = 0$ .  $(e, x)^2 = (e, x)$  gives  $e^2 = e$  and  $x = 2ex + x^2$  using (2). Then multiplying by  $e$  gives  $ex + ex^2 = 0$ , and multiplying by  $x$  gives  $x^2 = 2ex^2 + x^3$ . Hence adding this latter equation to  $x = 2ex + x^2$  yields  $x = x^3$ , and so  $x^2$  is an idempotent in  $M$ . By (3),  $-x^2 + y + (-x^2)y = 0$ , for some  $y \in M$ , so that  $x^2 + n = x^2n$  where  $n = -y$ . Multiplying by  $x^2$  yields  $x^2 = 0$ , whence  $x = x^3 = 0$ , as required.  $\square$

PROPOSITION 2.8. *Suppose that the ideal-extension  $S = I(R; M)$  is uniquely exchange. Then the following statements hold:*

- (1)  $R$  is uniquely exchange.
- (2) If  $e \in \text{Id}(R)$  and  $(e, 0) \in (a, m)S$  such that  $1_S - (e, 0) \in (1_S - (a, m))S$ , then  $em = me$ .

PROOF. Suppose that  $S$  is uniquely exchange. It is routine to see that (1) holds. If  $e \in \text{Id}(R)$ , then  $(e, 0)$  is an idempotent in  $S$  and  $(e, 0) \in (e, m)S$  such that  $1_S - (e, 0) \in (1_S - (e, m))S$ . There  $(e, 0)$  commutes with  $(e, m)$  for every  $m \in M$ , by Proposition 2.5, and (2) follows.  $\square$

In the following, we characterize the local uniquely exchange rings.

LEMMA 2.1. *Let  $R \neq 0$  be a ring. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1)  $R$  is local.
- (2)  $R$  is clean and 0 and 1 are the only idempotents in  $R$ .
- (3)  $R$  is exchange and 0 and 1 are the only idempotents in  $R$ .

PROOF. (1) $\iff$ (2) follows from [8, Lemma 14].

(2) $\implies$ (3) follows from [9, Proposition 1.8].

(3) $\implies$ (1) Suppose that  $a \notin J(R)$ . So,  $1 - ar$  is not invertible for some  $r \in R$ . Since the ring  $R$  is exchange, the element  $1 - ar$  is exchange, so there exists an

idempotent  $e \in (1 - ar)R$  such that  $1 - e \in (1 - (1 - ar))R = arR$ . Since the only idempotents in  $R$  are 0 and 1, and  $1 - ar$  is not invertible, it follows that  $e = 0$ , so  $1 = 1 - 0 \in arR$  and it follows that there exists  $s \in R$  such that  $ars = 1$ . Analogously, there exists  $r_1, t \in R$  such that  $tr_1a = 1$ , so  $a$  is invertible. This proves that  $R$  is local.  $\square$

**THEOREM 2.1.** *Let  $R \neq 0$  be a ring. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1)  $R$  is local and uniquely clean.
- (2)  $R$  is uniquely clean and 0 and 1 are the only idempotents in  $R$ .
- (3)  $R$  is uniquely exchange and 0 and 1 are the only idempotents in  $R$ .
- (4)  $R/J(R) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$ .

**PROOF.** (1)  $\iff$  (2)  $\iff$  (4) follows from [8, Theorem 15].

(2)  $\implies$  (3) follows from [9, Proposition 1.8].

(3)  $\implies$  (4) If  $\bar{a} \neq \bar{0}$  in  $\bar{R} = R/J(R)$ , we show that  $\bar{a} = \bar{1}$ . If not then both  $a$  and  $1 - a$  are units because  $R$  is local by Lemma 2.1. Hence  $aR = (1 - a)R$ . Therefore  $-a = 1 - a$ , which implies that  $0 = 1$ , a contradiction.  $\square$

**LEMMA 2.2.** *Let  $R$  be a exchange ring and  $I \not\subseteq J(R)$  is a right (or left) ideal of  $R$ . Then there exists  $0 \neq e^2 = e \in I$ .*

**PROOF.** Suppose that  $I \not\subseteq J(R)$  is a right ideal containing no nonzero idempotent. If  $a \in I$ , then there exists  $e^2 = e \in aR$  such that  $1 - e \in (1 - a)R$ . Hence  $e = 0$ , and so  $1 \in (1 - a)R$ . Therefore  $1 - a$  is a unit. Thus  $I \subseteq J(R)$ , a contradiction. A similar argument works if  $I$  is a left ideal.  $\square$

**COROLLARY 2.2.** *Let  $R$  be a uniquely exchange ring. Then  $R/J(R)$  has characteristic 2.*

**PROOF.** We must show that  $2 = 1 + 1 \in J(R)$ . If  $2 \notin J(R)$ , then there exists  $0 \neq e^2 = e \in 2R$  by Lemma 2.2. Hence  $e = 2b$ , where  $b \in R$ . We may assume that  $eb = b = be$ . Then  $u = (1 - e) - 2e$  is a unit with inverse  $(1 - e) - b$ . Hence  $1, 1 - e \in uR$  and  $0, e \in (1 - u)R$ . Since  $R$  is uniquely exchange,  $1 = 1 - e$ , and so  $e = 0$ , a contradiction.  $\square$

Suppose  $RG$  is now the group ring of  $G$  over  $R$  defined as usual.

**PROPOSITION 2.9.** *Let  $R$  be a commutative uniquely exchange ring. Then  $R((C_2)^n)$  is uniquely exchange for all  $n \geq 0$ .*

**PROOF.** It is easy to see that  $R((C_2)^n) \cong (R(C_2)^{n-1})C_2$ , so it suffices to show that if  $RC_2$  is uniquely exchange. Since  $R$  is commutative uniquely exchange,  $R$  is a clean ring, by [9], and so  $RC_2$  is clean, by [8, Proposition 24]. Hence  $RC_2$  is exchange. To check uniqueness, let  $a \in RC_2$ . Then there exists an idempotent  $e \in aRC_2$  such that  $1 - e \in (1 - a)RC_2$ . If  $e = r + sg$ , then  $r^2 + s^2 = r$  and  $2rs = s$ , so  $s = 0$  (as  $2 \in J(R)$ ). Hence  $e^2 = e = r \in R$ . Since  $R$  is uniquely exchange, this shows that  $e$  is uniquely determined by  $a$ .  $\square$

**Acknowledgement.** The author would like to thank the referees for their very useful comments to improve the article.

### References

1. M. Y. Ahn, *Weakly Clean Rings and Almost Clean Rings*, Ph.D. Thesis, University of Iowa, 2003.
2. D. D. Anderson, V. P. Camillo, *Commutative rings whose elements are a sum of unit and idempotent*, *Commun. Algebra* **30** (2002), 3327–3336.
3. V. P. Camillo, H. P. Yu, *Exchange rings, units and idempotents*, *Commun. Algebra* **22** (1994), 4737–4749.
4. P. V. Danchev, *Weakly clean and weakly exchange rings have the strong property*, *Publ. Inst. Math., Nouv. Sér.* **101**(115) (2017), 135–142.
5. C. Y. Honga, N. K. Kimb, Y. Leec, *Exchange rings and their extensions*, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **179** (2003), 117–126.
6. J. Che, W. K. Nicholson, Y. Zhou, *Group rings in which every element is uniquely the sum of a unit and idempotent*, *J. Algebra* **306** (2006), 453–460.
7. A. J. Diesl, *Classes of Strongly Clean Rings*, Ph.D. Thesis, University of California, Berkeley, 2006.
8. W. K. Nicholson, Y. Zhou, *Rings in which elements are uniquely the some of an idempotent and unit*, *Glasg. Math. J.* **46**(2) (2004), 227–236.
9. W. K. Nicholson, *Lifting idempotents and exchange rings*, *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* **229** (1977), 269–278.
10. R. B. Warfield, *Exchange rings and decompositions of modules*, *Math. Ann.* **199** (1972), 31–36.
11. H. P. Yu, *On the structure of exchange rings*, *Commun. Algebra* **25** (1997), 661–670.

Department of Mathematics  
Technical and Vocational university (TVU)  
Tehran  
Iran  
f-rashedi@tvu.ac.ir

(Received 15 03 2020)  
(Revised 05 05 2022)