DOI: https://doi.org/10.2298/PIM2226111A

AN INTEGRAL FUNCTIONAL EQUATION ON ABELIAN SEMIGROUPS

Ahmed Akkaoui and Mohamed El Fatini

 $\mbox{Abstract.}$ We investigate a generalization of many functional equations. Namely, we consider the following functional equation

$$\int_{S} f(x+y+t) d\mu(t) + \int_{S} f(x+\varphi(y)+t) d\nu(t) = f(x) + h(y), \quad x, y \in S,$$

where (S, +) is an abelian semigroup, φ is a surjective endomorphism of S, E is a linear space over the field $\mathbb{K} \in \{\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}\}$ and μ, ν are linear combinations of Dirac measures. Under appropriate conditions on μ and ν and based on Stetkær's result [9], we find and characterize solutions of the previous functional equation.

1. Notations and preliminary results

In this work, we consider (S, +) an abelian semigroup, i.e., a nonempty set equipped with an associative operation, φ an endomorphism of S, E a linear space over the field $\mathbb{K} \in \{\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}\}$ and μ, ν linear combinations of Dirac measures. We say that the semigroup S is a topological semigroup, if S is equipped with a topology such that the product map $(x, y) \mapsto x + y$ from $S \times S$ to S is continuous, when $S \times S$ is given the topological product.

A function $A: S \to E$ is said to be additive if A(x + y) = A(x) + A(y) for all $x, y \in S$. A map $Q(\cdot, \cdot): S \times S \to E$ is said to be bi-additive if $Q(\cdot, x): S \to E$ and $Q(x, \cdot): S \to E$ are additive for each fixed $x \in S$, and is said to be symmetric if Q(x, y) = Q(y, x) for all $x, y \in S$. The endomorphism σ of S is said to be involutive if $\sigma^2 = id$. We denote by δ_z the Dirac measure concentrated at z. The number $\nu(S)$ and the measure ν^- (when S is a group) are respectively defined by

$$\nu(S) := \int_S d\nu(t) \quad \text{and} \quad \int_S g(t) \, d\nu^-(t) := \int_S g(-t) \, d\nu(t)$$

for all function $g: S \to E$.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 39B32; 39B52.

 $Key\ words\ and\ phrases:$ quadratic functional equation, additive map, endomorphism, measure, semigroup.

Communicated by Stevan Pilipović.

We recall that the second difference $C^2f\colon S\times S\times S\to E$ of a function $f\colon S\to E$ is defined by

 $C^2f(x,y,z):=f(x+y+z)-f(x+y)-f(y+z)-f(x+z)+f(x)+f(y)+f(z).$ The functional equation $C^2f(x,y,z)=0$ is called Whitehead's functional equation. It is equivalent to

$$(1.1) \ f(x+y+z) + f(x) + f(y) + f(z) = f(x+y) + f(y+z) + f(x+z), \quad x, y, z \in S.$$

Our main result is based on following Stetkær's result which can be derived in [9, Theorems 6 and 7] as follows:

THEOREM 1.1. Let $f: S \to E$ be a function.

(1) If f is a solution of Whitehead's functional equation (1.1), then there exists an additive map $A: S \to E$ and a symmetric, bi-additive map $Q: S \times S \to E$ such that

(1.2)
$$f(x) = Q(x, x) + A(x), \quad for \ all \quad x \in S.$$

(2) Assume that S is a topological semigroup and E is a topological vector space over \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C} . If $f: S \to E$ is a continuous solution of Whitehead's functional equation (1.1), then the components A and Q in decomposition (1.2) are continuous.

2. Introduction

The following functional equation

(2.1)
$$\int_{S} f(x+y+t) \, d\mu(t) + \int_{S} f(x+\sigma(y)+t) \, d\nu(t) = f(x) + f(y), \quad x, y \in S,$$

was solved by Akkaoui et al. in [1], where $f: S \to E$ is the unknown function and σ is an involution of S.

Our aim is to generalize (2.1), by solving the following functional equation

(2.2)
$$\int_{S} f(x+y+t) \, d\mu(t) + \int_{S} f(x+\varphi(y)+t) \, d\nu(t) = f(x) + h(y), \quad x, y \in S,$$

where φ is a surjective endomorphism of S. Equation (2.2) generalizes several equations which are studied in the literature such as Jensen's, Drygas' or the quadratic equations on abelian monoids. In [4], with $\varphi = -$ id, the functional equation (2.2) was studied in the case where $\mu = \nu^-$ and ν is a regular compactly supported the complex-valued Borel measure on a locally compact abelian Hausdorff group G such that $\nu(G) = \frac{1}{2}$ and $f: G \to \mathbb{C}$ is continuous. If (S, +) is a monoid with a neutral element 0 and $\mu = \nu = \frac{1}{2}\delta_0$, equation (2.2) becomes respectively in the cases where h = f and h = 0 the following generalized Jensen and quadratic functional equations:

$$\begin{aligned} f(x+y)+f(x+\varphi(y))&=2f(x),\quad x,y\in S,\\ f(x+y)+f(x+\varphi(y))&=2f(x)+2f(y),\quad x,y\in S, \end{aligned}$$

which are solved on an abelian semigroup (see [7]) in the case where φ is involutive and in the case where φ is an arbitrary endomorphism of S (see [6]).

Using our main result Theorem 3.1, we solve in the last section the following special cases of functional equation (2.2):

$$\begin{aligned} &(2.3) \\ &\int_{S} f(x+\sigma(y)+t) \, d\mu(t) + \int_{S} f(x+\tau(y)+t) \, d\nu(t) = f(x) + h(y), \quad x,y \in S, \\ &(2.4) \quad \alpha f(x+y+a) + \beta f(x+\varphi(y)+b) = f(x) + h(y), \quad x,y \in S, \\ &(2.5) \quad \alpha f(x+\sigma(y)+a) + \beta f(x+\tau(y)+b) = f(x) + h(y), \quad x,y \in S, \end{aligned}$$

where σ, τ are two involutions of S, a, b are two arbitrary elements of S, and α, β are two arbitrary elements of \mathbb{K} . Equation (2.3) is an important generalization of (2.1) because we use here two involutions instead of one. If (S, +) is a monoid with a neutral element 0, a = b = 0, $\alpha = \beta = \frac{1}{2}$ and h = f, then equation (2.5) becomes

$$f(x + \sigma(y)) + f(x + \tau(y)) = 2f(x) + 2f(y), \quad x, y \in S,$$

which is solved on abelian semigroup in [5]. In the case where φ is involutive and $\alpha = \beta = \frac{1}{2}$, equation (2.4) is solved on monoid (see [2]) and on a locally compact abelian Hausdorff group [3] (only the complex-valued continuous solutions).

Our main contribution is to consider φ to be surjective in (2.2) and (2.4) (not necessarily involutive). Also in equations (2.3) and (2.5) σ and τ are two involutions, and also equations (2.4) and (2.5) are studied with respect to an abelian semigroup (are not necessarily on a group or a monoid).

3. Main results

To establish our Theorem 3.1, we present these two important lemmas.

LEMMA 3.1. Let $f, F: S \to E$ be two functions such that for all $x, y, z \in S$

$$(3.1) F(x+y+z) = f(x+y) + f(x+z) + f(y+z) - f(x) - f(y) - f(z)$$

then there exists an element $c \in E$ such that the function f - c satisfies Whitehead's functional equation (1.1), i.e., $C^2(f - c) = 0$.

PROOF. Let $f, F: S \to E$ be two functions satisfying (3.1) and let $x, y, z, r \in S$. Making the substitutions (x + y, r, z) and (x + r, y, z) in (3.1), we obtain

(3.2)
$$F(x+y+r+z) = f(x+y+r) + f(x+y+z) + f(r+z) - f(x+y) - f(r) - f(z),$$

(3.3)
$$F(x+r+y+z) = f(x+r+y) + f(x+r+z) + f(y+z) - f(x+r) - f(y) - f(z).$$

Subtracting (3.3) from (3.2), we get

$$f(x+y+z)+f(r+z)-f(x+y)-f(r)=f(x+z+r)+f(y+z)-f(x+r)-f(y).$$
 Then for all $x,y,z,r\in S,$ we have

$$f(x+y+z) - f(x+y) - f(y+z) + f(y) = f(x+r+z) - f(x+r) - f(r+z) + f(r).$$

We can deduce that the expression f(x+y+z) - f(x+y) - f(y+z) + f(y) depends only on x and z. Let

(3.4)
$$g(x,z) := f(x+y+z) - f(x+y) - f(y+z) + f(y), \quad x,z \in S,$$

for $y \in S$.

Interchanging y and z in (3.4) and subtracting the new equation from (3.4), we get for all $x,y,z\in S$

$$g(x,z) - f(x+z) + f(z) = g(x,y) - f(x+y) + f(y).$$

Again the expression g(x, y) - f(x + y) + f(y) depends only on x. Let

(3.5)
$$k(x) := g(x, y) - f(x + y) + f(y), \quad x \in S,$$

for $y \in S$. Changing the role of x and y in (3.5) and subtracting the new equation from (3.5), we get for all $x, y \in S$

$$k(x) + f(x) = k(y) + f(y),$$

because g is symmetric.

Then the function k+f is a constant. Let $c \in E$ such that k+f = c. According to (3.5), we have for all $x, y \in S$

$$g(x, y) = f(x + y) - f(x) - f(y) + c.$$

Then (3.4) gives

$$f(x+y+z) - c = f(x+y) + f(x+z) + f(y+z) - f(x) - f(y) - f(z),$$

for all $x, y, z \in S$. So the function V := f - c satisfies Whitehead's functional equation (1.1), i.e., $C^2(f - c) = 0$.

Remark 3.1. In Lemma 3.1, we can replace the space ${\cal E}$ by an arbitrary abelian group.

LEMMA 3.2. Let $f, h: S \to E$ be a solution of (2.2). Then there exists an element $c' \in E$ such that for all $x, y, z \in S$ we have

$$\int_{S} f(\varphi(x+y+z)+t) d(\mu+\nu)(t) = f \circ \varphi(x+y) + f \circ \varphi(x+z) + f \circ \varphi(y+z) - f \circ \varphi(x) - f \circ \varphi(y) - f \circ \varphi(z) + c'.$$

PROOF. Let $f, h: S \to E$ be a solution of (2.2) and let $x, y, z \in S$. Making the substitutions (x + y, z), (x, y + z) and $(x + \varphi(z), y)$ in (2.2), we get respectively

$$\begin{split} &\int_{S} f(x+y+z+t) \, d\mu(t) + \int_{S} f(x+y+\varphi(z)+t) \, d\nu(t) = f(x+y) + h(z), \\ &\int_{S} f(x+y+z+t) \, d\mu(t) + \int_{S} f(x+\varphi(y+z)+t) \, d\nu(t) = f(x) + h(y+z), \\ &\int_{S} f(x+y+\varphi(z)+t) \, d\mu(t) + \int_{S} f(x+\varphi(y+z)+t) \, d\nu(t) = f(x+\varphi(z)) + h(y). \end{split}$$

Subtracting the middle identity from the sum of the other two we obtain

$$\int_{S} f(x+y+\varphi(z)+t) \, d(\mu+\nu)(t) = f(x+y) + f(x+\varphi(z)) - f(x) + h(y) + h(z) - h(y+z).$$

Replacing x and y by $\varphi(x)$ and $\varphi(y)$ respectively in the last equation, we get

(3.6)
$$\int_{S} f(\varphi(x+y+z)+t) d(\mu+\nu)(t) = f \circ \varphi(x+y) + f \circ \varphi(x+z) - f \circ \varphi(x) + h \circ \varphi(y) + h(z) - h(\varphi(y)+z).$$

Now, changing the role of x and z in the last equation and subtracting the new one from it, we get for all $x,y,z\in S$

$$\begin{split} f\circ\varphi(x+y)-f\circ\varphi(x)+h(\varphi(y)+x)-h(x)&=f\circ\varphi(z+y)-f\circ\varphi(z)+h(\varphi(y)+z)-h(z).\\ \text{Hence we can deduce that the expression} \end{split}$$

$$f \circ \varphi(z+y) - f \circ \varphi(z) + h(\varphi(y)+z) - h(z)$$

depends only on y. Let

$$H(y) := f \circ \varphi(z+y) - f \circ \varphi(z) + h(\varphi(y)+z) - h(z) \quad y \in S,$$

for $z \in S$. Then for all $y, z \in S$ we have

$$h(z) - h(\varphi(y) + z) = f \circ \varphi(z + y) - f \circ \varphi(z) - H(y).$$

Identity (3.6) becomes

(3.7)
$$\int_{S} f(\varphi(x+y+z)+t) d(\mu+\nu)(t) = f \circ \varphi(x+y) + f \circ \varphi(x+z) + f \circ \varphi(y+z) - f \circ \varphi(x) - f \circ \varphi(z) + h \circ \varphi(y) - H(y).$$

Interchanging the role of y and z in (3.7) and subtracting the new equation from it, we get for all $x, y \in S$

$$f \circ \varphi(z) + h \circ \varphi(z) - H(z) = f \circ \varphi(y) + h \circ \varphi(y) - H(y).$$

Then the function $f \circ \varphi + h \circ \varphi - H$ is constant, say c'. Hence identity (3.7) becomes

$$\int_{S} f(\varphi(x+y+z)+t) d(\mu+\nu)(t) = f \circ \varphi(x+y) + f \circ \varphi(x+z) + f \circ \varphi(y+z) - f \circ \varphi(x) - f \circ \varphi(y) - f \circ \varphi(z) + c',$$

which yields the result of Lemma 3.2.

PROPOSITION 3.1. If $f, h: S \to E$ is a solution of (2.2), then there exists an element $c \in E$ such that $f \circ \varphi - c$ satisfies Whitehead's functional equation (1.1), i.e., $C^2(f \circ \varphi - c) = 0$.

PROOF. Let $f, h: S \to E$ be a solution of (2.2). According to Lemma 3.2, there exists an element $c' \in E$ such that

$$\int_{S} f(\varphi(x+y+z)+t) d(\mu+\nu)(t) = f \circ \varphi(x+y) + f \circ \varphi(x+z) + f \circ \varphi(y+z) - f \circ \varphi(x) - f \circ \varphi(y) - f \circ \varphi(z) + c'.$$

Putting $F(x) := \int_{S} f(\varphi(x) + t) d(\mu + \nu)(t) - c'$, then

$$(3.8) \ F(x+y+z) = f \circ \varphi(x+y) + f \circ \varphi(x+z) + f \circ \varphi(y+z) - f \circ \varphi(x) - f \circ \varphi(y) - f \circ \varphi(z).$$

Applying Lemma 3.1 to identity (3.8), then there exists an element $c \in E$ such that $C^2(f \circ \varphi - c) = 0.$

The following main theorem solves functional equation (2.2) on an arbitrary abelian semigroup.

THEOREM 3.1. Suppose that φ is surjective and let $(\alpha, \beta) := (\mu(S), \nu(S)) \in \mathbb{K}^2$. Then the pair $f, h: S \to E$ is a solution of (2.2) if and only if we have the following possibilities:

- (1) If $\alpha + \beta \neq 1$, then f = c, $h = (\alpha + \beta 1)c$, where c is a constant belonging to E.
- (2) If $\alpha + \beta = 1$, then
 - (a) If $\alpha = 1$ and $\beta = 0$, then f = A + c, $h = A + \int_S A(t) d(\mu + \nu)(t)$, where $A: S \to X$ is an additive map and c is a constant belonging to E.
 - (b) If $\alpha = 0$ and $\beta = 1$, then f = A + c, $h = A \circ \varphi + \int_S A(t) d(\mu + \nu)(t)$, where $A: S \to E$ is an additive map and c is a constant belonging to E.
 - (c) If $\alpha \neq 0$ and $\beta \neq 0$, then

(3.9)
$$f(x) = Q(x, x) + A(x) + c,$$

$$h(x) = \frac{\alpha}{\beta}Q(x,x) + \alpha A(x) + \beta A(\varphi(x)) + 2\int_{S}Q(x,t) d\left(\mu - \frac{\alpha}{\beta}\nu\right)(t) + \int_{S}\{Q(t,t) + A(t)\}d(\mu + \nu)(t),$$

where $A: S \to E$ is an additive map, $Q: S \times S \to E$ is a symmetric, bi-additive map such that for all $x, y \in S$

(i) $Q(x,\varphi(y)) = -\frac{\alpha}{\beta}Q(x,y)$, (ii) $\int_S Q(x,t) d(\mu+\nu)(t) = 0$, and c is a constant belonging to E.

Moreover, if S is a topological semigroup, E is a topological vector space over \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C} , and $f: S \to E$ is continuous, then the components A and Q in decomposition (3.9) are continuous and if φ is continuous, then h is continuous.

PROOF. It is easy to check that the functions $f, h: S \to E$ presented in cases (1) and (2) are solutions of (2.2). Conversely, let $f, h: S \to E$ be a solution of (2.2). According to Proposition 3.1, there exists an element $c \in E$ such that $f \circ \varphi - c$ satisfies Whitehead's functional equation (1.1), i.e., $C^2(f \circ \varphi - c) = 0$. Since φ is surjective, we get $C^2(f - c) = 0$.

According to Theorem 1.1, there exists an additive map $A: S \to E$ and a symmetric, bi-additive map $Q: S \times S \to E$ such that f(x) = Q(x, x) + A(x) + c. Substituting f in (2.2) and using the fact that $\mu(S) = \alpha$ and $\nu(S) = \beta$, we get after

calculation

$$(3.10) h(y) = (\alpha + \beta - 1)[Q(x, x) + A(x) + c] + \alpha Q(y, y) + \beta Q(\varphi(y), \varphi(y)) + \alpha A(y) + \beta A \circ \varphi(y) + 2\alpha Q(x, y) + 2\beta Q(x, \varphi(y)) + 2 \int_{S} Q(x, t) d(\mu + \nu)(t) + 2 \int_{S} Q(y, t) d\mu(t) + 2 \int_{S} Q(\varphi(y), t) d\nu(t) + \theta,$$

where $\theta := \int_{S} \{Q(t,t) + A(t)\} d(\mu + \nu)(t).$

For a fixed $y \in S$, the right-hand side of (3.10) is a function of $x \in S$. Then using the observation that was used in the proof of [1, Proposition 3.10], we see that

(3.11)
$$0 = (\alpha + \beta - 1)Q(x, x),$$

$$(3.12) \ 0 = (\alpha + \beta - 1)A(x) + 2\alpha Q(x, y) + 2\beta Q(x, \varphi(y)) + 2\int_{S} Q(x, t) d(\mu + \nu)(t) d(\mu + \mu)(t) d$$

(3.13)
$$h(y) = (\alpha + \beta - 1)c + \theta + \alpha Q(y, y) + \beta Q(\varphi(y), \varphi(y))$$

$$+ \alpha A(y) + \beta A(\varphi(y)) + 2 \int_{S} Q(y,t) \, d\mu(t) + 2 \int_{S} Q(\varphi(y),t) \, d\nu(t).$$

By applying the same observation to (3.12) for the variable y by fixing x, we get

(3.14)
$$(\alpha + \beta - 1)A(x) + 2\int_{S} Q(x,t) d(\mu + \nu)(t) = 0,$$

(3.15)
$$\alpha Q(x,y) + \beta Q(x,\varphi(y)) = 0.$$

- (1) If $\alpha + \beta 1 \neq 0$, then we derive from (3.11), that Q(x, x) = 0, which implies Q = 0 (because 2Q(x, y) = Q(x+y, x+y) Q(x, x) Q(y, y)) and from (3.14), we have A = 0. Then f = c and from (3.13), $h = (\alpha + \beta 1)c$. So we deduce the result (1) of Theorem.
- (2) If $\alpha + \beta 1 = 0$, then
 - (a) If $\alpha = 1$ and $\beta = 0$, then equality (3.15) implies that Q = 0, hence f = A + c and from (3.13), we get $h = A + \int_S A(t) d(\mu + \nu)(t)$. So we deduce (2)(a).
 - (b) If $\alpha = 0$ and $\beta = 1$, from equality (3.15) we have $Q(x, \varphi(y)) = 0$ for all $x, y \in S$, which implies that Q = 0, because φ is surjective. Then f = A + c and $h = A \circ \varphi + \int_S A(t) d(\mu + \nu)(t)$. So we conclude (2)(b).
 - (c) If $\alpha \neq 0$ and $\beta \neq 0$, equality (3.15) gives $Q(x, \varphi(y)) = -\frac{\alpha}{\beta}Q(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in S$ and we get from (3.13)

$$\begin{split} h(x) &= \frac{\alpha}{\beta} Q(x,x) + \alpha A(x) + \beta A(\varphi(x)) + 2 \int_{S} Q(x,t) \, d\Big(\mu - \frac{\alpha}{\beta}\nu\Big)(t) \\ &+ \int_{S} \{Q(t,t) + A(t)\} d(\mu + \nu)(t). \end{split}$$

From (3.14), we have $\int_S Q(x,t) d(\mu+\nu)(t) = 0$. So we obtain (c)(ii). The continuity statements follow from Theorem 1.1 (ii).

REMARK 3.2. In Theorem 3.1, we have considered linear combinations of Dirac measures because, quite simply, the unknowns are defined on a semigroup. This result remains valid provided that the integral that defines equation (2.2) exists.

As an application of Theorem 3.1, we present the following example.

EXAMPLE 3.1. Let $S := (\mathbb{R}^2, +)$, $E := (\mathbb{C}, +)$, a = (1, 1), b = (2, 2), $\mu = \frac{4}{3}\delta_a$, $\nu = -\frac{1}{3}\delta_b$, and let φ be the endomorphism of S defined by $\varphi(x) = (4x_1, 4x_2)$ for all $x := (x_1, x_2) \in S$. Note that φ is not involutive but it is surjective.

Functional equation (2.2) becomes

 $4f(x_1 + y_1 + 1, x_2 + y_2 + 1) - f(x_1 + 4y_1 + 2, x_2 + 4y_2 + 2) = 3f(x_1, x_2) + 3h(y_1, y_2),$ for all $(x_1, x_2), (y_1, y_2) \in S.$

The continuous symmetric and bi-additive map $Q: S \times S \to \mathbb{C}$, as known in the literature (e.g., [8, Lemma 2.14]), takes the following form

$$Q(x,y) := px_1y_1 + qx_2y_2 + s(x_1y_2 + x_2y_1)$$

for all $x := (x_1, x_2), y := (y_1, y_2) \in S$, where $p, q, s \in \mathbb{C}$. The function Q verifies the condition $Q(x, \varphi(y)) = -\frac{\alpha}{\beta}Q(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in S$ ($\alpha = \frac{4}{3}, \beta = -\frac{1}{3}$) and also verifies the condition $\int_S Q(x, t) d(\mu + \nu)(t) = 0$ for all $x \in S$ if and only if p = q = -s.

The continuous additive map $A: S \to \mathbb{C}$, as known in the literature (e.g., [8, Corollary 2.4]), takes the following form $A(x) := \omega x_1 + \eta x_2$, for all $x := (x_1, x_2) \in S$, where $\omega, \eta \in \mathbb{C}$.

According to Theorem 3.1, the continuous solutions $f,h\colon\mathbb{R}^2\to\mathbb{C}$ of the above functional equation are

$$f(x_1, x_2) = p(x_1 - x_2)^2 + \omega x_1 + \eta x_2 + c_1$$

$$h(x_1, x_2) = -4p(x_1 - x_2)^2 + \frac{2}{3}(\omega + \eta),$$

where $p, \omega, \eta, c \in \mathbb{C}$.

4. Applications

As immediate consequences of Theorem 3.1, we have the following corollaries. The first one solves equation (2.3).

COROLLARY 4.1. Let $(\alpha, \beta) := (\mu(S), \nu(S)) \in \mathbb{K}^2$. The pair $f, h: S \to E$ is a solution of (2.3) if and only if we have the following possibilities:

- (1) If $\alpha + \beta \neq 1$, then f = c, $h = (\alpha + \beta 1)c$, where c is a constant belonging to E.
- (2) If $\alpha + \beta = 1$, then
 - (a) If $\alpha = 1$ and $\beta = 0$, then f = A + c, $h = A \circ \sigma + \int_S A(t) d(\mu + \nu)(t)$, where $A: S \to E$ is an additive map and c is a constant belonging to E.
 - (b) If $\alpha = 0$ and $\beta = 1$, then f = A + c, $h = A \circ \tau + \int_S A(t) d(\mu + \nu)(t)$, where $A: S \to E$ is an additive map and c is a constant belonging to E.

(c) If
$$\alpha \neq 0$$
 and $\beta \neq 0$, then

(4.1)
$$f(x) = Q(x, x) + A(x) + c,$$

$$\begin{split} h(x) &= \frac{\alpha}{\beta} Q(\sigma(x), \sigma(x)) + \alpha A(\sigma(x)) + \beta A(\tau(x)) \\ &+ 2 \int_{S} Q(\sigma(x), t) \, d\Big(\mu - \frac{\alpha}{\beta}\nu\Big)(t) + \int_{S} \{Q(t, t) + A(t)\} d(\mu + \nu)(t), \end{split}$$

where $A: S \to E$ is an additive map, $Q: S \times S \to E$ is a symmetric, bi-additive map such that for all $x, y \in S$ we have

(i)
$$Q(x,\tau(y)) = -\frac{\alpha}{\beta}Q(x,\sigma(y)),$$
 (ii) $\int_{S}Q(x,t)\,d(\mu+\nu)(t) = 0,$

and c is a constant belonging to E.

Moreover, if S is a topological semigroup, E is a topological vector space over \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C} , and $f: S \to E$ is continuous, then the components A and Q in decomposition (4.1) are continuous and if σ and τ are continuous, then h is continuous.

PROOF. By putting $\varphi = \tau \circ \sigma$ and replacing h by $h \circ \sigma$ in Theorem 3.1, we get the desired result.

Let α, β be two elements of \mathbb{K} , and let a, b be two arbitrary fixed elements of the semigroup S. We have the following corollaries.

COROLLARY 4.2. Suppose that φ is surjective. The pair $f, h : S \to E$ is a solution of (2.4) if and only if we have the following possibilities:

- (1) If $\alpha + \beta \neq 1$, then f = c, $h = (\alpha + \beta 1)c$, where c is a constant belonging to E.
- (2) If $\alpha + \beta = 1$, then
 - (a) If $\alpha = 1$ and $\beta = 0$, then f = A + c, h = A + A(a), where $A: S \to X$ is an additive map and c is a constant belonging to E.
 - (b) If $\alpha = 0$ and $\beta = 1$, then f = A + c, $h = A \circ \varphi + A(b)$, where $A: S \to E$ is an additive map and c is a constant belonging to E.
 - (c) If $\alpha \neq 0$ and $\beta \neq 0$, then

$$f(x) = Q(x, x) + A(x) + c,$$

$$h(x) = \frac{\alpha}{\beta}Q(x + a, x + a) + \alpha A(x + a) + \beta A(\varphi(x) + b),$$

where $A: S \to E$ is an additive map, $Q: S \times S \to E$ is a symmetric, bi-additive map such that for all $x, y \in S$

(i) $Q(x,\varphi(y)) = -\frac{\alpha}{\beta}Q(x,y)$, (ii) $Q(x,b) = -\frac{\alpha}{\beta}Q(x,a)$, and c is a constant belonging to E.

Moreover, if S is a topological semigroup, E is a topological vector space over \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C} , and $f: S \to E$ is continuous, then the components A and Q in decomposition (4.2) are continuous and if φ is continuous, then h is continuous.

PROOF. By putting $\mu = \alpha \delta_a$, $\nu = \beta \delta_b$ in Theorem 3.1, we get the desired result.

COROLLARY 4.3. The pair $f, h: S \to E$ is a solution of (2.5) if and only if we have the following possibilities:

- (1) If $\alpha + \beta \neq 1$, then f = c, $h = (\alpha + \beta 1)c$, where c is a constant belonging to E.
- (2) If α + β = 1, then
 (a) If α = 1 and β = 0, then f = A + c, h = A ∘ σ + A(a), where A: S → E is an additive map and c is a constant belonging to E.
 - (b) If $\alpha = 0$ and $\beta = 1$, then f = A + c, $h = A \circ \tau + A(b)$, where $A: S \to E$ is an additive map and c is a constant belonging to E.
 - (c) If $\alpha \neq 0$ and $\beta \neq 0$, then

$$f(x) = Q(x, x) + A(x) + c,$$

$$h(x) = \frac{\alpha}{\beta}Q(\sigma(x) + a, \sigma(x) + a) + \alpha A(\sigma(x) + a) + \beta A(\tau(x) + b),$$

where $A: S \to E$ is an additive map, $Q: S \times S \to E$ is a symmetric, bi-additive map such that for all $x, y \in S$

(i) $Q(x,\tau(y)) = -\frac{\alpha}{\beta}Q(x,\sigma(y))$, (ii) $Q(x,b) = -\frac{\alpha}{\beta}Q(x,a)$, and c is a constant belonging to E.

Moreover, if S is a topological semigroup, E is a topological vector space over \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C} , and $f: S \to E$ is continuous, then the components A and Q in decomposition (4.3) are continuous and if σ and τ are continuous, then h is continuous.

PROOF. By putting $\mu = \alpha \delta_a$, $\nu = \beta \delta_b$ in Corollary 4.1 we get the desired result.

In the following example, we consider a surjective endomorphism which is not bijective.

EXAMPLE 4.1. Let E be the vector space, over \mathbb{R} , of sequences of real numbers. Let φ be the endomorphism of the group (E, +) defined by $\varphi((u_n)_n) = (v_n)_n$ such that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $v_n = u_{n+1} - u_n$.

Note that φ is surjective but not bijective: for $(v_n)_n \in E$, the sequence $(u_n)_n$ defined by $u_n = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} v_i$ for all $n \ge 1$ and u_0 is an arbitrary element of \mathbb{R} satisfies $\varphi((u_n)_n) = (v_n)_n$.

We want to determine the solutions $f, h: E \to E$ of the functional equation (4.4)

 $f((u_n)_n + (v_n)_n + (a_n)_n) + f((u_n)_n + \varphi((v_n)_n) + (b_n)_n) = 2f((u_n)_n) + 2h((v_n)_n),$ for all $(u_n)_n, (v_n)_n \in E$, where $(a_n)_n, (b_n)_n \in E$ are two arbitrary constants. The only symmetric, bi-additive map $Q \colon E \times E \to E$ satisfying $Q((u_n)_n, \varphi((v_n)_n)) =$ $-Q((u_n)_n, (v_n)_n)$ for all $(u_n)_n, (v_n)_n \in E$ is the null map. Indeed, let $(u_n)_n, (v_n)_n \in$ E. We have

$$Q((u_n)_n, \varphi((v_n)_n)) = -Q((u_n)_n, (v_n)_n) \Leftrightarrow Q((u_n)_n, (v_{n+1})_n) = 0.$$

(4.3)

Then Q = 0, because the map $(v_n)_n \to (v_{n+1})_n$ is surjective.

According to Corollary 4.2, the solutions
$$f, h: E \to E$$
 of (4.4) are

$$f((u_n)_n) = A((u_n)_n) + (c_n)_n, \quad h((u_n)_n) = \frac{1}{2}A((u_{n+1})_n + (a_n)_n + (b_n)_n),$$

where $A: E \to E$ is an additive map and $(c_n)_n$ is a constant belonging to E.

Acknowledgement. We wish to express our thanks to the referees for useful comments.

References

- A. Akkaoui, M. El Fatini, B. Fadli, D. Zeglami, Nice results about quadratic type functional equations on semigroups, Aequationes Math. 94(1) (2020), 83–96.
- A. Charifi, R. Łukasik, D. Zeglami, A special class of functional equations, Math. Slovaca 68(2) (2018), 397–404.
- H. Dimou, Y. Aribou, A. Chahbi, S. Kabbaj, On a quadratic type functional equation on locally compact abelian groups, Acta Univ. Sapientiae, Math. 10(1) (2018), 46–55.
- B. Fadli, D. Zeglami, S. Kabbaj, On a Gajda's type quadratic equation on a locally compact abelian group, Indag. Math., New Ser. 26(4) (2015), 660–668.
- B. Fadli, A. Chahbi, Iz. EL-Fassi, S. Kabbaj, On Jensen's and the quadratic functional equations with involutions, Proyectiones 35(2) (2016), 213–223.
- Kh. Sabour, S. Kabbaj, Jensen's and the quadratic functional equations with an endomorphism, Proyecciones 36(1) (2017), 187–196.
- 7. P. Sinopoulos, Functional equations on semigroups, Aequationes Math. 59(3) (2000), 255-261.
- 8. H. Stetkær, Functional Equations on Groups, World Scientific Publishing Co, Singapore, 2013.
- 9. ____, The kernel of the second order Cauchy difference on semigroups, Aequationes Math. 91(2) (2017), 279–288.

Department of Mathematics Faculty of Sciences Ibn Tofail University Kenitra Morocco ahmed.maths780gmail.com melfatini0gmail.com (Received 08 01 2021) (Revised 07 06 2021)