

SOME CONVOLUTION INEQUALITIES IN REALIZED HOMOGENEOUS BESOV AND TRIEBEL–LIZORKIN SPACES

Mohamed Benallia and Madani Moussai

ABSTRACT. Using the realizations, we study some convolution inequalities in the realized homogeneous Besov spaces $\dot{B}_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and the realized homogeneous Triebel–Lizorkin spaces $\dot{F}_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We also deduce for the homogeneous Sobolev spaces $\dot{W}_p^m(\mathbb{R}^n)$ in certain sense.

1. Introduction

We study some properties of the convolution in homogeneous Besov spaces $\dot{B}_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and homogeneous Triebel–Lizorkin spaces $\dot{F}_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$. This type of properties has been studied by Peetre [13, Chapter 8] considering $\dot{B}_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$, see also Bourdaud [3]. As these spaces are defined modulo polynomials, since $\|f\|_{\dot{B}_{p,q}^s} = \|f\|_{\dot{F}_{p,q}^s} = 0$ if and only if, f is a polynomial on \mathbb{R}^n , then in our investigation, we will consider *realized* homogeneous Besov spaces $\dot{B}_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and *realized* homogeneous Triebel–Lizorkin spaces $\dot{F}_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$, which are defined in the tempered distributions space $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We will employ the notation $\dot{A}_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for either $\dot{B}_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ or $\dot{F}_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$, the notation $\dot{\tilde{A}}_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for either $\dot{B}_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ or $\dot{F}_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and their initials B and F , respectively. Also, we will omit the symbol \mathbb{R}^n in notations since all function spaces which occur in this work are defined on \mathbb{R}^n . We will also use the following two notations:

- If $f \in \mathcal{S}'$, $[f]_{\mathcal{P}}$ denotes the equivalence class of f modulo all polynomials on \mathbb{R}^n .
- \mathcal{E}' is the set of distributions with compact support in \mathbb{R}^n .

So in the convolution, we essentially prove an estimate in $\dot{\tilde{A}}_{p,q}^s$ (see below, Theorem 2.2 and Remark 2.2 in which we explain why we work with the realized spaces) using the convergence in \mathcal{S}'_{ν} (the space of tempered distributions modulo polynomials \mathcal{P}_{ν}

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 46E35.

Key words and phrases: convolutions, homogeneous Besov spaces, homogeneous Triebel–Lizorkin spaces, realizations.

Communicated by Boško Jovanović.

of degree $< \nu$), where for any 4-tuples (n, s, p, q) and throughout this paper the number $\nu \in \mathbb{N}_0$ is defined by

$$\nu := ([s - n/p] + 1)_+ \text{ if } s - n/p \notin \mathbb{N}_0 \text{ or } q > 1 \text{ in } B\text{-case } (p > 1 \text{ in } F\text{-case})$$

$$\nu := s - n/p \text{ if } s - n/p \in \mathbb{N}_0 \text{ and } 0 < q \leq 1 \text{ in } B\text{-case } (0 < p \leq 1 \text{ in } F\text{-case}),$$

(see [4]), with $[t]$ denotes the greatest integer less than or equal to $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

Notation and plan of the paper. As usual, \mathbb{N} denotes the set of natural numbers, $\mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, \mathbb{Z} the integers and \mathbb{R} the real numbers. For $a \in \mathbb{R}$ we put $a_+ := \max(0, a)$. The symbol \hookrightarrow indicates a continuous embedding. For $0 < p \leq \infty$ we denote by $\|\cdot\|_p$ the quasi-norm of L_p . We will use the parameters s, p and q as $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $0 < p, q \leq \infty$ ($p < \infty$ in the F -case) along the paper unless otherwise stated. For a function θ defined on \mathbb{R}^n , we set $\theta_\lambda := \lambda^{-n} \theta(\lambda^{-1}(\cdot))$ for all $\lambda > 0$ and $\check{\theta}(x) := \theta(-x)$. The standard norms in the Schwartz space \mathcal{S} are defined by

$$\zeta_m(f) := \sup_{|\alpha| \leq m} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} (1 + |x|)^m |f^{(\alpha)}(x)|, \quad (m \in \mathbb{N}_0).$$

For $f \in L_1$,

$$\mathcal{F}f(x) = \hat{f}(\xi) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-ix \cdot \xi} f(x) dx$$

is the Fourier transform and $\mathcal{F}^{-1}f(x) := (2\pi)^{-n} \hat{f}(-x)$ is the inverse Fourier transform. The operators \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{F}^{-1} are extended to the whole \mathcal{S}' in the usual way.

For $k \in \mathbb{N}_0 \cup \{\infty\}$, \mathcal{P}_k denotes the set of all polynomials on \mathbb{R}^n of degree $< k$ (in particular $\mathcal{P}_0 = \{0\}$, $\mathcal{P}_1 = \{c\}$, \dots , \mathcal{P}_∞ the set of all polynomials). \mathcal{S}_k will be used for the set of all $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}$ such that $\langle u, \varphi \rangle = 0$ ($\forall u \in \mathcal{P}_k$), its topological dual is \mathcal{S}'_k . The mapping which takes any $[f]_{\mathcal{P}}$ to the restriction of f to \mathcal{S}_k is an isomorphism from $\mathcal{S}'/\mathcal{P}_k$ onto \mathcal{S}'_k .

The constants c, c_1, \dots are strictly positive, depend only on the fixed parameters n, s, p, q, \dots , their values may change from line to line.

This work is organized as follows. In Section 2 we state the main results. In Section 3 we collect some needed tools. Section 4 is devoted to the proofs. In the last section, we give applications and an extension to Sobolev homogeneous spaces.

2. Statement of the main results

The Littlewood–Paley decomposition plays a major role here, then once and for all, we fix two functions ρ and γ , where ρ is a positive C^∞ and radial such that $0 \leq \rho \leq 1$, $\rho(\xi) = 1$ for $|\xi| \leq 1$ and $\rho(\xi) = 0$ for $|\xi| \geq 3/2$, and $\gamma(\xi) := \rho(\xi) - \rho(2\xi)$ which is supported by $1/2 \leq |\xi| \leq 3/2$. Then we define the operators Q_j and S_j ($\forall j \in \mathbb{Z}$) by $\widehat{Q_j f} := \gamma(2^{-j}(\cdot))\hat{f}$ and $\widehat{S_j f} := \rho(2^{-j}(\cdot))\hat{f}$. We also fix a positive and radial function $\tilde{\gamma} \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$ such that $\tilde{\gamma}\gamma = \gamma$. We associate \tilde{Q}_j ($\forall j \in \mathbb{Z}$) defined by $\widehat{\tilde{Q}_j f} := \tilde{\gamma}(2^{-j}(\cdot))\hat{f}$. Now, for brevity we set $\omega := \omega(p, q)$ such that

$$(2.1) \quad 1/\omega = 1/p - 1/q \text{ if } p \leq \min(1, q), \quad \omega = q' \text{ if } p > 1 \text{ or } q \leq p \leq 1,$$

where, here and throughout the paper, $q' := q/(q - 1)$ if $q > 1$ and $q' := \infty$ if $0 < q \leq 1$. So we have our first result:

THEOREM 2.1. *Let ω be given as in (2.1). We put $r := \min(1, p)$ and $\mu := -s + (n/p - n)_+$. Let $f \in \dot{A}_{p,q}^s$ and $\theta \in \dot{A}_{r,\omega}^\mu$. Then $\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \tilde{Q}_j \theta * Q_j f$ converges in \mathcal{S}'_ν to an element denoted by $\theta \circledast f$, such that*

$$(2.2) \quad \|\theta \circledast f\|_p \leq c \|\theta\|_{\dot{A}_{r,\omega}^\mu} \|f\|_{\dot{A}_{p,q}^s}$$

holds, where the constant $c > 0$ is independent of f and θ (with $c = 1$ if $p \geq 1$).

REMARK 2.1. By taking θ_λ instead of θ in the above theorem (recall $\theta_\lambda := \lambda^{-n} \theta(\lambda^{-1}(\cdot))$), we obtain a generalization of [13, Theorem 1, p. 156] given in B -case for $p \geq 1$ and $q = \infty$, see Proposition 2.1 below. Note that owing to (2.1), $\omega \geq r$, then $\dot{B}_{r,r}^\mu \hookrightarrow \dot{A}_{r,\omega}^\mu$, in particular $\dot{B}_{1,1}^{-s} \hookrightarrow \dot{A}_{1,q}^{-s}$, for $p \geq 1$ which covers the result given in the previous reference.

Secondly and similarly to (2.2), we wish to give an inequality for the usual convolution. Since in (2.2) taking $\theta * f$ instead of $\theta \circledast f$ is not true in general (see Subsection 5.1 below), we then pass to $\dot{A}_{p,q}^s$, where the distributions vanishing at infinity play an important role.

DEFINITION 2.1. We say that a distribution $f \in \mathcal{S}'$ vanishes at infinity if $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} f(\lambda^{-1}(\cdot)) = 0$ in \mathcal{S}' . The set of all such distributions is denoted by \tilde{C}_0 .

Examples of such distributions are:

- (i) $f \in \tilde{C}_0$ if $f \in L_p$ ($1 \leq p < \infty$); (ii) $\partial_j f \in \tilde{C}_0$ if either $f \in L_\infty$ or $f \in \tilde{C}_0$.

Using the notion of the realization, see e.g., [2], we now recall the definition of $\dot{A}_{p,q}^s$ according to [4] or [11]:

The space $\dot{A}_{p,q}^s$ is the set of $f \in \mathcal{S}'$ such that $[f]_{\mathcal{P}} \in \dot{A}_{p,q}^s$ and $f^{(\alpha)} \in \tilde{C}_0$ ($\forall |\alpha| = \nu$), and one of the following three conditions:

- (1) There is no supplementary condition if either $s < n/p$, or $s = n/p$ and $0 < q \leq 1$ in B -case ($0 < p \leq 1$ in F -case); here $\nu = 0$.
- (2) f is of class $C^{\nu-1}$ and $f^{(\beta)}(0) = 0$ for $|\beta| \leq \nu - 1$, if either $s - n/p \in \mathbb{R}^+ \setminus \mathbb{N}_0$, or $s - n/p \in \mathbb{N}$ and $0 < q \leq 1$ in B -case ($0 < p \leq 1$ in F -case); here either $\nu = [s - n/p] + 1$ or $\nu = s - n/p$, respectively; here $\nu \geq 1$.
- (3) f is of class $C^{\nu-1}$ with $f^{(\beta)}(0) = \sum_{j>0} (Q_j f)^{(\beta)}(0)$, $|\beta| \leq \nu - 1$, if $s - n/p \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $q > 1$ in B -case ($p > 1$ in F -case); here $\nu = s - n/p + 1 \geq 1$.

$\dot{A}_{p,q}^s$ endowed with $\|f\|_{\dot{A}_{p,q}^s} := \|[f]_{\mathcal{P}}\|_{\dot{A}_{p,q}^s}$ is a quasi-Banach space. Then we have the following statement:

THEOREM 2.2. *Let r, μ and ω be real numbers given as in Theorem 2.1. Then there exists a constant $c > 0$ (with $c = 1$ if $p \geq 1$) such that*

$$(2.3) \quad \|\theta * f\|_p \leq c \|\theta\|_{\dot{A}_{r,\omega}^\mu} \|[f]_{\mathcal{P}}\|_{\dot{A}_{p,q}^s}$$

holds, for all $f \in \dot{A}_{p,q}^s$ and all θ satisfying $[\theta]_{\mathcal{P}} \in \dot{A}_{r,\omega}^\mu$ and either $\theta \in \mathcal{S}$ or $\theta \in \mathcal{E}'$.

REMARK 2.2. The condition on f guarantees a “good” representative. Indeed, if we replace the assumption $f \in \dot{A}_{p,q}^s$ by only $[f]_{\mathcal{P}} \in \dot{A}_{p,q}^s$, it is possible to fall on a wrong choice of representative which yields a contradiction. For instance,

assume that (2.3) is valid in that case. Let f be a nonzero polynomial on \mathbb{R}^n , then $\|[f]_{\mathcal{P}}\|_{\dot{A}_{p,q}^s} = 0$. We take $\theta := \delta$ (Dirac distribution at the origin), it is not difficult to get $[\delta]_{\mathcal{P}} \in \dot{B}_{p,\infty}^{n/p-n}$ ($0 < p \leq \infty$), see e.g., the beginning of Subsection 5.1, then

- if $0 < q \leq p \leq 1$, then $\|[\theta]_{\mathcal{P}}\|_{\dot{B}_{p,\infty}^{n/p-n}} \| [f]_{\mathcal{P}} \|_{\dot{B}_{p,q}^0} = 0$,
- if $1 < p \leq \infty$ and $0 < q \leq 1$, then $\|[\theta]_{\mathcal{P}}\|_{\dot{B}_{1,\infty}^0} \| [f]_{\mathcal{P}} \|_{\dot{B}_{p,q}^0} = 0$,

however $\theta * f = f$, thus it is impossible to satisfy (2.3) since its left-hand side is ∞ .

REMARK 2.3. If $\theta \in \mathcal{S}_{\infty}$, then Theorem 2.2 holds with only $[f]_{\mathcal{P}} \in \dot{A}_{p,q}^s$. Indeed, by Lemma 3.1 (see below) $\theta * f \in \mathcal{S}'$, and if $[f_1]_{\mathcal{P}} = [f_2]_{\mathcal{P}} = f$, then $f_1 - f_2 = \mathcal{P} \in \mathcal{P}_{\infty}$ and $\mathcal{P} * \theta = 0$. Recall that $\mathcal{F}(x^{\alpha} * \theta) = c\hat{\theta}\delta^{(\alpha)} = 0$, since $\hat{\theta}^{(\beta)}(0) = 0$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$.

In connection with the assertion in [13, pp. 156–159] given for the homogeneous Besov spaces, we have:

PROPOSITION 2.1. *Let r, μ and ω be real numbers given as in Theorem 2.1.*

(i) *There exists a constant $c > 0$ (with $c = 1$ if $p \geq 1$) such that*

$$(2.4) \quad \lambda^{-s} \left\| \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \tilde{Q}_j \theta_{\lambda} * Q_j f \right\|_p \leq c \|\theta\|_{\dot{A}_{r,\omega}^{\mu}} \|f\|_{\dot{A}_{p,q}^s}, \quad (\forall \lambda > 0)$$

holds, for all $f \in \dot{A}_{p,q}^s$ and all $\theta \in \dot{A}_{r,\omega}^{\mu}$

(ii) *There exists a constant $c > 0$ (with $c = 1$ if $p \geq 1$) such that*

$$(2.5) \quad \lambda^{-s} \|\theta_{\lambda} * f\|_p \leq c \|[\theta]_{\mathcal{P}}\|_{\dot{A}_{r,\omega}^{\mu}} \| [f]_{\mathcal{P}} \|_{\dot{A}_{p,q}^s}, \quad (\forall \lambda > 0)$$

holds, for all $f \in \dot{A}_{p,q}^s$ and all θ satisfying $[\theta]_{\mathcal{P}} \in \dot{A}_{r,\omega}^{\mu}$ and either $\theta \in \mathcal{S}$ or $\theta \in \mathcal{E}'$.

In (2.4)–(2.5), the particular case $\lambda := 2^{-k}$ ($k \in \mathbb{Z}$) yields an interesting situation when the $\ell_q(\mathbb{Z})$ quasi-norm is taken of these affirmations, according to [13, Remark 1, p. 159] at least for the B -case, see also [3] in case $p \geq 1$; ($\ell_q(\mathbb{Z})$ is the set of all sequences $(a_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \subset \mathbb{C}$ such that $\|(a_k)\|_{\ell_q} := (\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |a_k|^q)^{1/q} < \infty$). Namely we have the following two results, where we start with the B -spaces.

THEOREM 2.3. *Let $r := \min(1, p)$, $t := \min(1, p, q)$ and $\mu := -s + (n/p - n)_+$.*

(i) *Let $f \in \dot{B}_{p,q}^s$ and $\theta \in \dot{B}_{r,t}^{\mu}$. Then $\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \tilde{Q}_j \theta_{2^{-k}} * Q_j f$ converges in \mathcal{S}' to an element denoted by $\theta_{2^{-k}} \circledast f$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Moreover, there exists a constant $c > 0$ (with $c = 1$ if $p \geq 1$) such that*

$$\left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{ksq} \|\theta_{2^{-k}} \circledast f\|_p^q \right)^{1/q} \leq c \|\theta\|_{\dot{B}_{r,t}^{\mu}} \|f\|_{\dot{B}_{p,q}^s}$$

holds, for all such f and θ .

(ii) *Let $f \in \dot{B}_{p,q}^s$. Let θ be such that $[\theta]_{\mathcal{P}} \in \dot{B}_{r,t}^{\mu}$ and either $\theta \in \mathcal{S}$ or $\theta \in \mathcal{E}'$.*

Then

$$\left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{ksq} \|\theta_{2^{-k}} * f\|_p^q \right)^{1/q} \leq c \|[\theta]_{\mathcal{P}}\|_{\dot{B}_{r,t}^{\mu}} \| [f]_{\mathcal{P}} \|_{\dot{B}_{p,q}^s}$$

holds. The positive constant c does not depend on f and θ ; (if $p \geq 1$ then $c = 1$).

For the case of the F -spaces, we use poised homogeneous spaces of Besov $\dot{B}_{p,q}^{s,a}$, introduced in e.g., [12], see Section 3 below.

THEOREM 2.4. *Let $a > n/\min(p, q)$ and $t := \min(1, q)$.*

(i) *Let $f \in \dot{F}_{p,q}^s$ and $\theta \in \dot{B}_{1,t}^{-s,a}$. Then $\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \tilde{Q}_j \theta_{2^{-k}} * Q_j f$ converges in \mathcal{S}'_ν to an element denoted by $\theta_{2^{-k}} \circledast f$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Moreover, there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that*

$$\left\| \left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{ksq} |\theta_{2^{-k}} \circledast f|^q \right)^{1/q} \right\|_p \leq c \|\theta\|_{\dot{B}_{1,t}^{-s,a}} \|f\|_{\dot{F}_{p,q}^s}$$

holds, for all such f and θ .

(ii) *Let $f \in \dot{F}_{p,q}^s$. Let θ be such that $[\theta]_{\mathcal{P}} \in \dot{B}_{1,t}^{-s,a}$ and either $\theta \in \mathcal{S}$ or $\theta \in \mathcal{E}'$. Then*

$$\left\| \left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{ksq} |\theta_{2^{-k}} * f|^q \right)^{1/q} \right\|_p \leq c \|[\theta]_{\mathcal{P}}\|_{\dot{B}_{1,t}^{-s,a}} \|[f]_{\mathcal{P}}\|_{\dot{F}_{p,q}^s}$$

holds. The positive constant c does not depend on f and θ .

3. Preliminaries

This section contains preparations, definitions and a characterization for realized homogeneous spaces. We first recall that the operators Q_j and S_j take values in the space of analytical functions of exponential type, see Paley–Wiener theorem, e.g., [15, Theorem 29.2, p. 311] or [16, Remark 2.3.1/2, p. 45]. They are defined on \mathcal{S}'_∞ and \mathcal{S}' , respectively, since $Q_j f(x) = 0$ if and only if, $f \in \mathcal{P}_\infty$. For brevity, we make use of the following convention:

If $f \in \mathcal{S}'_\infty$ we define $Q_j f := Q_j f_1$ for all f_1 such that $[f_1]_{\mathcal{P}} = f$.

We will exploit the following assertions:

LEMMA 3.1. *Let $k \in \mathbb{N}_0 \cup \{\infty\}$. If $f \in \mathcal{S}'_k$ (\mathcal{S}_k , respectively) and $\theta \in \mathcal{S}_k$ (\mathcal{E}' , respectively), then $\theta * f \in \mathcal{S}'$ (\mathcal{S}_k , respectively).*

PROOF. Assume that $f \in \mathcal{S}'_k$ and $\theta \in \mathcal{S}_k$. For all $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}$ we obtain $\langle \theta * f, \varphi \rangle = \langle f, \tilde{\theta} * \varphi \rangle$. Clearly, $\tilde{\theta} * \varphi \in \mathcal{S}_k$ since $\mathcal{F}(\tilde{\theta} * \varphi) = (2\pi)^n (\mathcal{F}^{-1}\theta)\hat{\varphi}$ and $(\mathcal{F}^{-1}\theta)^{(\alpha)}(0) = 0$ for all $|\alpha| < k$. The assertion follows since \mathcal{D} is dense in \mathcal{S} .

Now suppose that $f \in \mathcal{S}_k$ and $\theta \in \mathcal{E}'$. It suffices to observe that $\mathcal{F}(\theta * f) = \hat{\theta} \hat{f}$ and both $\hat{f}^{(\alpha)}(0) = 0$ if $|\alpha| < k$ and $\hat{\theta}$ is a function of class C^∞ with $|\hat{\theta}^{(\beta)}(\xi)| \leq c_{\beta,m}(1+|\xi|)^m$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and all $\beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$, see e.g., [9, Theorem 1.7.5, p. 20]. \square

LEMMA 3.2. *Let $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$. There exist constants $c_1, c_2 > 0$ and a number $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$, such that for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$ and all $\psi \in \mathcal{D}$, (we put $\hat{\varphi}_j := \varphi(2^{-j}(\cdot))$ and $\hat{\psi}_j := \psi(2^{-j}(\cdot))$), it holds:*

- (i) $\|\varphi_j * f\|_p \leq c_1 2^{-jN} \zeta_m(f)$ for all $f \in \mathcal{S}$ and all $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$.
- (ii) $\|\varphi_j * f\|_p + \|\psi_j * f\|_p \leq c_2 2^{jN} \zeta_m(f)$ for all $f \in \mathcal{S}_N$ and all $j \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \mathbb{N}$.

PROOF. For (i), it suffices in $\varphi_j * f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x-y)\varphi_j(y)dy$ to apply the N -th degree Taylor formula with integral remainder of $y \rightarrow f(x-y)$ at x . However for (ii), we change the roles between f and φ_j as $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(y)\varphi_j(x-y)dy$, then again the N -th degree Taylor formula of $y \rightarrow \varphi_j(x-y)$ at x . Similarly for $\psi_j f$. See [11] for more details. \square

This lemma yields the convergence of the Littlewood–Paley decomposition in the following sense: we have $f = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} Q_j f$ in either \mathcal{S}_∞ or \mathcal{S}'_∞ , and $f = S_k f + \sum_{j > k} Q_j f$ in either \mathcal{S} or \mathcal{S}' . On the other hand, we will make use of the following classical inequalities (see e.g., [16, Remark 1, p. 18, Remark 2, p. 28]):

- PROPOSITION 3.1. (i) If $0 < p \leq q \leq \infty$ and $R > 0$, then it holds $\|f\|_q \leq cR^{n/p-n/q}\|f\|_p$ for all $f \in L_p$ satisfying $\text{supp } \hat{f} \subseteq \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n : |\xi| \leq R\}$.
(ii) If $0 < p \leq 1$ and $R > 0$, then $\|f * g\|_p \leq cR^{n/p-n}\|f\|_p\|g\|_p$ for all $f, g \in \mathcal{S}'$ satisfying that $\text{supp } \hat{f}$ and $\text{supp } \hat{g}$ are subsets of $\{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n : |\xi| \leq R\}$. If $p = 1$ then $c = 1$, that is Young's inequality.

For more details about $\dot{B}_{p,q}^s$ and $\dot{F}_{p,q}^s$, we refer to e.g., [6, 10, 13], however we recall definitions and some properties.

DEFINITION 3.1. The homogeneous Besov space $\dot{B}_{p,q}^s$ and Triebel–Lizorkin space $\dot{F}_{p,q}^s$ are the sets of $f \in \mathcal{S}'_\infty$ such that $\|f\|_{\dot{B}_{p,q}^s} := (\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{jsq} \|Q_j f\|_p^q)^{1/q} < \infty$ and $\|f\|_{\dot{F}_{p,q}^s} := (\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{jsq} \|Q_j f\|_q^q)^{1/q} < \infty$, respectively.

$\dot{A}_{p,q}^s$ are quasi-Banach spaces for the above quasi-seminorms, and do not depend on functions ρ and γ . We have in particular:

- $\mathcal{S}_\infty \hookrightarrow \dot{A}_{p,q}^s \hookrightarrow \mathcal{S}'_\infty$,
- the homogeneity property $\|f\|_{\dot{A}_{p,q}^s} \equiv \lambda^{n/p-s} \|f(\lambda(\cdot))\|_{\dot{A}_{p,q}^s}$ ($\forall \lambda > 0, \forall f \in \dot{A}_{p,q}^s$),
- an equivalent quasi-seminorm $\|f\|_{\dot{A}_{p,q}^s} \equiv \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \|f^{(\alpha)}\|_{\dot{A}_{p,q}^{s-m}}$ ($\forall m \in \mathbb{N}, \forall f \in \dot{A}_{p,q}^s$) (see [5, Proposition 5] and [6, Proposition 8]),
- and some embeddings $\dot{A}_{p,q_1}^s \hookrightarrow \dot{A}_{p,q_2}^s$ if $q_1 < q_2$, $\dot{B}_{p,\min(p,q)}^s \hookrightarrow \dot{F}_{p,q}^s \hookrightarrow \dot{B}_{p,\max(p,q)}^s$. If $s_1 > s_2$, $0 < p_1 < p_2 < \infty$, $0 < q, r \leq \infty$ and $s_1 - n/p_1 = s_2 - n/p_2$, then $\dot{B}_{p_1,q}^{s_1} \hookrightarrow \dot{B}_{p_2,q}^{s_2} \hookrightarrow \dot{B}_{\infty,q}^{s_2-n/p_2}$, $\dot{F}_{p_1,q}^{s_1} \hookrightarrow \dot{B}_{p_2,p_1}^{s_2}$ and $\dot{F}_{p_1,q}^{s_1} \hookrightarrow \dot{F}_{p_2,r}^{s_2}$, see [10, Theorem 2.1].

DEFINITION 3.2. Let $a \geq 0$. The poised homogeneous space of Besov $\dot{B}_{p,q}^{s,a}$ is the set of $f \in \mathcal{S}'_\infty$ such that $\|f\|_{\dot{B}_{p,q}^{s,a}} := (\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{jsq} \|(1+2^j \cdot)^a Q_j f\|_p^q)^{1/q} < \infty$.

The most properties of $\dot{B}_{p,q}^s$ are hold for the $\dot{B}_{p,q}^{s,a}$ -case, e.g., $\dot{B}_{p,q}^{s,0} = \dot{B}_{p,q}^s$, $\dot{B}_{p,q}^{s,a} \hookrightarrow \dot{B}_{p,q_1}^{s,a}$ if $q \leq q_1$, $\dot{B}_{p,q}^{s,a} \hookrightarrow \dot{B}_{p_1,q}^{s_1,a}$ if $s - n/p = s_1 - n/p_1$ and $p < p_1, \dots$

In connection with the number ν (see Section 1) we have the following characterization, see [4, Proposition 4.6]:

PROPOSITION 3.2. *For all $f \in \dot{A}_{p,q}^s$, the series $\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} Q_j f$ converges in \mathcal{S}'_ν to an element denoted by $\sigma_\nu(f)$ which satisfies $f = [\sigma_\nu(f)]_{\mathcal{P}}$ in \mathcal{S}'_∞ and $\partial^\alpha \sigma_\nu(f) \in \tilde{C}_0$ for all $|\alpha| = \nu$.*

By this proposition we have a continuous linear mapping $f \mapsto \sigma_\nu(f)$ from $\dot{A}_{p,q}^s$ to \mathcal{S}'_ν . In this context we recall the notion of realization.

DEFINITION 3.3. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}_0 \cup \{\infty\}$. Let E be a vector subspace of \mathcal{S}'_∞ endowed with a quasi-norm such that $E \hookrightarrow \mathcal{S}'_\infty$. A realization of E in \mathcal{S}'_k is a continuous linear mapping $\sigma : E \rightarrow \mathcal{S}'_k$ such that $[\sigma(f)]_{\mathcal{P}} = f$ ($\forall f \in E$). The image set $\sigma(E)$ is called the realized space of E .

4. Proofs

We begin by the following assertion, an estimate of Nikol'skij-type representation method.

PROPOSITION 4.1. *Let r, μ and ω be real numbers given as in Theorem 2.1. Let a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2 be positive numbers such that $0 < a_1 < b_1$ and $0 < a_2 < b_2$. Let $(u_j)_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$ and $(v_j)_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$ be sequences in \mathcal{S}' , such that*

- \widehat{u}_j and \widehat{v}_j have compact supports in the annulus $a_1 2^j \leq |\xi| \leq b_1 2^j$ and $a_2 2^j \leq |\xi| \leq b_2 2^j$, respectively,
- $A := (\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{jsq} \|u_j\|_p^q)^{1/q} < \infty$ and $B := (\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{j\mu\omega} \|v_j\|_r^\omega)^{1/\omega} < \infty$.

(i) *Then $\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} u_j * v_j$ converges in \mathcal{S}'_ν to an element denoted by $u \otimes v$, satisfying*

$$(4.1) \quad \|u \otimes v\|_p \leq cAB,$$

where the positive constant c depends only on the parameters $n, s, p, q, a_1, a_2, b_1$ and b_2 , with $c = 1$ if $p \geq 1$.

(ii) *If $0 < p < \infty$, then we can replace A and B by $A_1 := \|(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{jsq} |u_j|^q)^{1/q}\|_p$ and $B_1 := \|(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{j\mu\omega} |v_j|^\omega)^{1/\omega}\|_r$, with $A_1 < \infty$ and $B_1 < \infty$, respectively, in the preceding statement.*

PROOF. *Step 1: proof of (i). Substep 1.1: convergence in \mathcal{S}'_ν .* We first note that if $b_1 < a_2$ or $b_2 < a_1$ then $u_j * v_j = 0$, so these cases are excluded. We introduce a radial and positive function $\eta \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$ supported in $\min(a_1/2, a_2/2) \leq |\xi| \leq \max(2b_1, 2b_2)$, and $\eta(\xi) = 1$ for $\min(a_1, a_2) \leq |\xi| \leq \max(b_1, b_2)$. We define the operators $\eta_j := \eta(2^{-j}D)$ ($\forall j \in \mathbb{Z}$), i.e., $\widehat{\eta_j f} := \eta(2^{-j}(\cdot))\widehat{f}$, then we have $u_j * v_j = \eta_j(u_j * v_j)$. Taking η 's properties into account, we can write $\langle u_j * v_j, \varphi \rangle = \langle u_j * v_j, \eta_j \varphi \rangle$ for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}_\nu$, and prove

$$(4.2) \quad \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} |\langle u_j * v_j, \eta_j \varphi \rangle| < \infty.$$

The case $1 < p \leq \infty$: We begin by $|\langle u_j * v_j, \eta_j \varphi \rangle| \leq \|u_j * v_j\|_p \|\eta_j \varphi\|_{p'}$. By Lemma 3.2, $\|\eta_j \varphi\|_{p'} \leq c\zeta_m(\varphi) \min(2^{-jN}, 2^{j\nu})$. Then using Young's inequality, we get

$$(4.3) \quad \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} |\langle u_j * v_j, \eta_j \varphi \rangle| \leq c_1 \zeta_m(\varphi) \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \min(2^{-jN}, 2^{j\nu}) (2^{js} \|u_j\|_p) (2^{-sj} \|v_j\|_1) \\ \leq c_2 AB.$$

The case $0 < p \leq 1$: We estimate the first term in (4.2) as $|\langle u_j * v_j, \eta_j \varphi \rangle| \leq \|u_j * v_j\|_1 \|\eta_j \varphi\|_\infty$. Then, $\|\eta_j \varphi\|_\infty \leq c \zeta_m(\varphi) \min(2^{-jN}, 2^{j\nu})$ (see Lemma 3.2). Also, by definition of ν we have $\nu + n/p - n > 0$. Then choosing an integer $N > n/p - n$ and using both Bernstein and convolution inequalities, we obtain

$$(4.4) \quad \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} |\langle u_j * v_j, \eta_j \varphi \rangle| \leq c_1 \zeta_m(\varphi) \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{(n/p-n)j} \min(2^{-jN}, 2^{j\nu}) \|u_j * v_j\|_p \\ \leq c_2 \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{(n/p-n)j} \min(2^{-jN}, 2^{j\nu}) (2^{js} \|u_j\|_p) (2^{j(n/p-n-s)} \|v_j\|_p) \\ \leq c_3 AB \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{(n/p-n)j} \min(2^{-jN}, 2^{j\nu}) \leq c_4 AB.$$

Substep 1.2: proof of (4.1). First, assume that $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. By inequalities of Young and Hölder with exponents q and q' for $q > 1$, we have

$$(4.5) \quad \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \|u_j * v_j\|_p \leq \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} (2^{js} \|u_j\|_p) (2^{-js} \|v_j\|_1) \leq AB.$$

For $0 < q \leq 1$, we use the following elementary inequality

$$(4.6) \quad \left(\sum_j a_j \right)^d \leq \sum_j a_j^d, \quad \forall a_j \geq 0, \quad 0 < d \leq 1,$$

in the second term of (4.5) with $d := q$, then

$$(4.7) \quad \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} (2^{js} \|u_j\|_p) (2^{-js} \|v_j\|_1) \leq \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} (2^{js} \|u_j\|_p)^q \right)^{1/q} \sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} (2^{-ks} \|v_k\|_1) \leq AB.$$

Clearly that (4.5) and (4.7) describe the behaviour of constant c in the right-hand side of (4.1), that is $c = 1$.

Second, let $0 < p < 1$. By using (4.6) with $d := p$ and convolution inequality, we get

$$(4.8) \quad \|u \otimes v\|_p \leq \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \|u_j * v_j\|_p^p \right)^{1/p} \leq c \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} (2^{js} \|u_j\|_p)^p (2^{j(n/p-n-s)} \|v_j\|_p)^p \right)^{1/p}.$$

• If $p < q$, we apply Hölder inequality with exponents q/p and ω/p , the last term in (4.8) is bounded by cAB .

• If $q \leq p < 1$, we use (4.6) with $d := q/p$, and the last term in (4.8) is bounded by

$$c_1 \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} (2^{js} \|u_j\|_p)^q (2^{j(n/p-n-s)} \|v_j\|_p)^q \right)^{1/q} \leq c_2 AB.$$

Step 2: proof of (ii). Substep 2.1: convergence in \mathcal{S}'_ν . We use the notations of Substep 1.1. From (4.3), if $1 < p < \infty$, as $(2^{js} \|u_j\|_p) (2^{-sj} \|v_j\|_1) \leq A_1 B_1$

($\forall j \in \mathbb{Z}$), then (4.2) holds. Similar if $0 < p \leq 1$, i.e., as in (4.4) since it holds $(2^{js} \|u_j\|_p)(2^{j(n/p-n-s)} \|v_j\|_p) \leq A_1 B_1$ ($\forall j \in \mathbb{Z}$).

Substep 2.2: proof of (4.1) with A_1 and B_1 . Assume that $1 \leq p < \infty$. By Hölder inequality with exponents q and q' for $q > 1$, and by Minkowski inequality with respect to L_p , we have

$$(4.9) \quad \left\| \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} |u_j * v_j| \right\|_p \leq \left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} (2^{js} |u_j(\cdot - y)|) (2^{-js} |v_j(y)|) dy \right\|_p \\ \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left\| \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{jsq} |u_j(\cdot - y)|^q \right)^{1/q} \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-jsq'} |v_j(y)|^{q'} \right)^{1/q'} dy \right\|_p = A_1 B_1.$$

For $0 < q \leq 1$, we use (4.6) with $d := q$ in the first line of (4.9), then

$$(4.10) \quad \left\| \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} |u_j * v_j| \right\|_p \leq \left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{jsq} |u_j(\cdot - y)|^q \right)^{1/q} \sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-ks} |v_k(y)| dy \right\|_p \\ \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left\| \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{jsq} |u_j(\cdot - y)|^q \right)^{1/q} \sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-ks} |v_k(y)| dy \right\|_p = A_1 B_1.$$

As above in (4.5) and (4.7), inequalities (4.9)–(4.10) show that the constant c in the right-hand side of (4.1) is equal to 1.

Now, the case $0 < p < 1$. We first suppose $p < q$ (here $q \in]0, \infty[$). From (4.8) and by Hölder inequality with exponents q/p and ω/p , we get

$$(4.11) \quad \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \|u_j * v_j\|_p^p \right)^{1/p} \leq c \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} (2^{js} \|u_j\|_p)^q \right)^{1/q} \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} (2^{j(n/p-n-s)} \|v_j\|_p)^\omega \right)^{1/\omega}.$$

Since $p \leq \omega$, by Minkowski inequality, the right-hand side of (4.11) is bounded by

$$c_1 \left\| \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} (2^{js} |u_j|)^q \right)^{1/q} \right\|_p \left\| \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} (2^{j(n/p-n-s)} |v_j|)^\omega \right)^{1/\omega} \right\|_p \leq c_2 A_1 B_1.$$

Second, suppose that $q \leq p < 1$. Again, from (4.8) and since $\ell_q(\mathbb{Z}) \hookrightarrow \ell_p(\mathbb{Z})$, we have

$$\left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \|u_j * v_j\|_p^p \right)^{1/p} \leq c_1 \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} (2^{js} \|u_j\|_p)^p \right)^{1/p} \sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{k(n/p-n-s)} \|v_k\|_p \\ \leq c_1 B_1 \left\| \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} (2^{js} |u_j|)^p \right)^{1/p} \right\|_p \leq c_2 B_1 \left\| \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} (2^{js} |u_j|)^q \right)^{1/q} \right\|_p = c_2 A_1 B_1.$$

Hence the proof is complete. \square

PROOF OF THEOREM 2.1. It suffices to apply Proposition 4.1 with both $u_j := Q_j f$ and $v_j := \tilde{Q}_j \theta$. \square

PROOF OF THEOREM 2.2. Let $f \in \dot{A}_{p,q}^s$ and $\theta \in \mathcal{E}'(\mathcal{S}, \text{ respectively})$ be such that $[\theta]_{\mathcal{P}} \in \dot{A}_{r,\omega}^\mu$.

Step 1: preparation. We first note that $\theta \in \mathcal{E}'$ (\mathcal{S} , respectively) and $f \in \mathcal{S}'$ imply $\theta * f \in \mathcal{S}'$ (see [9, p. 21] and [15, Theorem 30.2, p. 317]). Also, for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}_\nu$, we have $\theta * \check{\varphi} \in \mathcal{S}_\nu$; indeed, in case $\theta \in \mathcal{E}'$ this follows by Lemma 3.1, in case $\theta \in \mathcal{S}$ it suffices to apply the Fourier's properties. Then, by Proposition 3.2, for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}_\nu$, it holds

$$(4.12) \quad \begin{aligned} \langle \theta * f, \varphi \rangle &= \langle f, \theta * \check{\varphi} \rangle = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \langle Q_j f, \theta * \check{\varphi} \rangle \\ &= \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \langle Q_j f, \tilde{Q}_j \theta * \check{\varphi} \rangle = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \langle Q_j f * \tilde{Q}_j \theta, \varphi \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\theta * f = \theta \otimes f$ in \mathcal{S}'_ν , and Proposition 4.1 with $u_j := Q_j f$ and $v_j := \tilde{Q}_j \theta$ gives

$$\|\theta \otimes f\|_p \leq c \|\theta\|_{\dot{A}_{r,\omega}^\mu} \|f\|_{\dot{A}_{p,q}^s}.$$

Step 2. We set $g_k := \theta * f - \theta * (S_{-k} f)$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Then the sequence $(g_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ has the following properties:

- Since $g_k = \sum_{j > -k} Q_j f * \tilde{Q}_j \theta$ in \mathcal{S}'_ν (the proof is easy as in (4.12)), by Step 1,

$$(4.13) \quad \|g_k\|_p \leq c \|\theta\|_{\dot{A}_{r,\omega}^\mu} \|f\|_{\dot{A}_{p,q}^s} \quad (\forall k \in \mathbb{N}_0).$$

- g_k tends to $\theta * f$ pointwise; indeed, assume that $p \geq 1$, then by Young and Bernstein inequalities, we have $\|Q_j f * \tilde{Q}_j \theta\|_\infty \leq \|Q_j f\|_p \|\tilde{Q}_j \theta\|_{p'} \leq c 2^{jn/p} \|Q_j f\|_p \|\tilde{Q}_j \theta\|_1$, then

$$(4.14) \quad \begin{aligned} |g_k(x) - \theta * f(x)| &\leq c_1 \sum_{j \leq -k} (2^{sj} \|Q_j f\|_p) (2^{-sj} \|\tilde{Q}_j \theta\|_1) 2^{jn/p} \\ &\leq c_2 2^{-kn/p} \|\theta\|_{\dot{B}_{1,\infty}^{-s}} \|f\|_{\dot{B}_{p,\infty}^s}, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \end{aligned}$$

then we use the embeddings $\dot{A}_{p,q}^s \hookrightarrow \dot{B}_{p,\infty}^s$ and $\dot{A}_{1,q'}^{-s} \hookrightarrow \dot{B}_{1,\infty}^{-s}$, on the one hand. On the other, suppose $0 < p < 1$, and again by Young and Bernstein inequalities, we have $\|Q_j f * \tilde{Q}_j \theta\|_\infty \leq \|Q_j f\|_\infty \|\tilde{Q}_j \theta\|_1 \leq c 2^{n(2/p-1)j} \|Q_j f\|_p \|\tilde{Q}_j \theta\|_p$, then

$$(4.15) \quad \begin{aligned} |g_k(x) - \theta * f(x)| &\leq c_1 \sum_{j \leq -k} (2^{sj} \|Q_j f\|_p) (2^{(n/p-n-s)j} \|\tilde{Q}_j \theta\|_p) 2^{jn/p} \\ &\leq c_2 2^{-kn/p} \|\theta\|_{\dot{B}_{p,\infty}^{n/p-n-s}} \|f\|_{\dot{B}_{p,\infty}^s}, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \end{aligned}$$

and use both $\dot{A}_{p,q}^s \hookrightarrow \dot{B}_{p,\infty}^s$ and $\dot{A}_{p,\omega}^{n/p-n-s} \hookrightarrow \dot{B}_{p,\infty}^{n/p-n-s}$. Now, it suffices to take $k \rightarrow +\infty$ in, both, (4.14) and (4.15), to obtain the desired result.

Finally, by writing (4.13) as $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |g_k(x)|^p dx \leq c \|\theta\|_{\dot{A}_{r,\omega}^\mu}^p \|f\|_{\dot{A}_{p,q}^s}^p$ if $p < \infty$, and applying Fatou's lemma to the sequence $(|g_k|^p)_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ (recall that $|g_k|^p$ tends to $|\theta * f|^p$ also pointwise), inequality (2.3) follows. However, if $p = \infty$, we take an arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$, then there exists a number $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that

$$|\theta * f(x)| \leq |g_k(x) - \theta * f(x)| + \|g_k\|_\infty \leq \varepsilon + \|g_k\|_\infty \quad (\forall k \geq k_0, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n);$$

but $\|g_k\|_\infty \leq c \|\theta\|_{\dot{B}_{1,q'}^{-s}} \|f\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty,q}^s}$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ (see again (4.13)). By arbitrariness of ε , we deduce estimate (2.3). The proof is complete. \square

PROOF OF PROPOSITION 2.1. It suffices to apply the homogeneity argument (see Section 3) and Theorems 2.1–2.2. \square

PROOF OF THEOREM 2.3. The convergence of $\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \tilde{Q}_j \theta_{2^{-k}} * Q_j f$ in \mathcal{S}'_ν can be done as in the proof of Proposition 4.1/Substep 1.1. The details will be omitted. As above, its limit will be denoted by $\theta_{2^{-k}} \circledast f$.

Step 1: proof of (i). Substep 1.1: the case $p \geq 1$. Applying Young's inequality, we obtain $\|\theta_{2^{-k}} \circledast f\|_p$ is bounded by $\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \|Q_j f\|_p \|\tilde{Q}_j \theta_{2^{-k}}\|_1$. By the identity

$$(4.16) \quad \tilde{Q}_j \theta_{2^{-k}} = 2^{kn} \tilde{Q}_{j-k} \theta(2^k(\cdot)),$$

we have $2^{ks} \|\theta_{2^{-k}} \circledast f\|_p$ is bounded by $\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{js} 2^{-(j-k)s} \|Q_j f\|_p \|\tilde{Q}_{j-k} \theta\|_1$; we set $l := j - k$, then

$$(4.17) \quad \left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{ksq} \|\theta_{2^{-k}} \circledast f\|_p^q \right)^{1/q} \leq \left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{(l+k)s} 2^{-ls} \|Q_{l+k} f\|_p \|\tilde{Q}_l \theta\|_1 \right)^q \right)^{1/q}.$$

– If $q > 1$, then by Minkowski inequality, we estimate (4.17) by

$$\sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{(l+k)sq} \|Q_{l+k} f\|_p^q \right)^{1/q} \|\tilde{Q}_l \theta\|_1 2^{-ls} \leq \|f\|_{\dot{B}_{p,q}^s} \|\theta\|_{\dot{B}_{1,1}^{-s}}.$$

– If $0 < q \leq 1$, then by using (4.6) we again estimate (4.17) by

$$\left(\sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-lsq} \|\tilde{Q}_l \theta\|_1^q \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{(l+k)sq} \|Q_{l+k} f\|_p^q \right)^{1/q} \leq \|f\|_{\dot{B}_{p,q}^s} \|\theta\|_{\dot{B}_{1,q}^{-s}}.$$

Observe that $c = 1$ in the right-hand side of above inequalities.

Substep 1.2: the case $0 < p < 1$. By using (4.6), the convolution in L_p and (4.16), we have $2^{ks} \|\theta_{2^{-k}} \circledast f\|_p \leq c (\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{jsp} 2^{(j-k)(n/p-n-s)p} \|Q_j f\|_p^p \|\tilde{Q}_{j-k} \theta\|_p^p)^{1/p}$ is bounded by

$$(4.18) \quad c \left(\sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{(l+k)sp} 2^{l(n/p-n-s)p} \|Q_{l+k} f\|_p^p \|\tilde{Q}_l \theta\|_p^p \right)^{1/p}.$$

Now, we estimate $(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{ksq} \|\theta_{2^{-k}} \circledast f\|_p^q)^{1/q}$ as the following (we separate the estimate with respect to q into two cases):

– If $p \leq q$ (here $q \in]0, \infty]$), by both (4.18) and Minkowski inequality, we have the bound

$$c_1 \left(\sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{l(n/p-n-s)p} \|\tilde{Q}_l \theta\|_p^p \left\{ \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{(l+k)sq} \|Q_{l+k} f\|_p^q \right\}^{p/q} \right)^{1/p} \leq c_2 \|f\|_{\dot{B}_{p,q}^s} \|\theta\|_{\dot{B}_{p,p}^{n/p-n-s}}.$$

– If $q < p$, by using again both (4.18) and (4.6) with $d := q/p$, we have the bound

$$c_1 \left(\sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{l(n/p-n-s)q} \|\tilde{Q}_l \theta\|_p^q \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{(l+k)sq} \|Q_{l+k} f\|_p^q \right)^{1/q} \leq c_2 \|f\|_{\dot{B}_{p,q}^s} \|\theta\|_{\dot{B}_{p,q}^{n/p-n-s}}.$$

Therefore, the desired estimates hold.

Step 2: proof of (ii). This can be done as in Step 2 of the proof of Theorem 2.2. We briefly outline it. We fix $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and introduce the sequence $(g_{l,k})_{l \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ defined by $g_{l,k} := \theta_{2^{-k}} * f - \theta_{2^{-k}} * (S_{-l}f)$ for $l \in \mathbb{N}_0$, which satisfies (as above) both

$$\left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{ksq} \|g_{l,k}\|_p^q \right)^{1/q} \leq c \|\theta\|_{\dot{B}_{r,t}^\mu} \|f\|_{\dot{B}_{p,q}^s} \quad (\forall l \in \mathbb{N}_0),$$

and $g_{l,k}$ tends to $\theta_{2^{-k}} * f$ pointwise as $l \rightarrow +\infty$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Hence it suffices to apply twice the Fatou lemma in the last inequality. \square

PROOF OF THEOREM 2.4. Using the Peetre-maximal function

$$Q_j^{*,a} f(x) := \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^n} (1 + |2^j y|)^{-a} |Q_j f(x - y)| \quad (x \in \mathbb{R}^n, j \in \mathbb{Z}, a > 0),$$

we have at our disposal the following characterization of F -spaces (see e.g., [8, p. 45]):

PROPOSITION 4.2. *Let $a > n/\min(p, q)$. Then the expression $\|f\|_{\dot{F}_{p,q}^s}^* := \|(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{jsq} |Q_j^{*,a} f|^q)^{1/q}\|_p$ is an equivalent quasi-seminorm in $\dot{F}_{p,q}^s$.*

For the convergence of $\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \tilde{Q}_j \theta_{2^{-k}} * Q_j f$ in \mathcal{S}'_ν to $\theta_{2^{-k}} \otimes f$, the same technique used in the proof of Proposition 4.1 will be applied here, but some changes are needed; so we use the same notations. For all $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}_\nu$, we have $|\langle \tilde{Q}_j \theta_{2^{-k}} * Q_j f, \eta_j \varphi \rangle|$ is bounded by $c \zeta_m(\varphi) \min(2^{-jN}, 2^{j\nu}) \|\tilde{Q}_j \theta_{2^{-k}} * Q_j f\|_p$ ($\forall j \in \mathbb{Z}$), where η_j is defined such that $\eta_j(\tilde{Q}_j \theta_{2^{-k}} * Q_j f) = \tilde{Q}_j \theta_{2^{-k}} * Q_j f$ ($\forall j \in \mathbb{Z}$). Now, by (4.16) and for a real $a > n/\min(p, q)$, it suffices to observe that $\|\tilde{Q}_j \theta_{2^{-k}} * Q_j f\|_p$ is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \tilde{Q}_{j-k} \theta(y) Q_j f(\cdot - 2^{-k} y) dy \right\|_p &\leq \|Q_j^{*,a} f\|_p \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\tilde{Q}_{j-k} \theta(y)| (1 + 2^{j-k} |y|)^a dy \\ &\leq c 2^{-ks} \|f\|_{\dot{F}_{p,\infty}^s} \|\theta\|_{\dot{B}_{1,\infty}^{-s,a}}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence the convergence of the series $\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} |\langle \tilde{Q}_j \theta_{2^{-k}} * Q_j f, \varphi \rangle|$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Step 1: proof of (i). We estimate $(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{ksq} |\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \tilde{Q}_j \theta_{2^{-k}} * Q_j f|^q)^{1/q}$ as the following: if $q > 1$, using the identity (4.16) and Minkowski inequality (twice), then it is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{ks} |Q_j f(\cdot - 2^{-k} y) \tilde{Q}_{j-k} \theta(y)| \right)^q \right)^{1/q} dy \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-ls} |\tilde{Q}_l \theta(y)| \left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{(k+l)sq} |Q_{k+l} f(\cdot - 2^{-k} y)|^q \right)^{1/q} dy \quad (m := k + l) \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{msq} |Q_m^{*,a} f|^q \right)^{1/q} \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-ls} \|(1 + 2^l |\cdot|)^a \tilde{Q}_l \theta\|_1; \end{aligned}$$

if $0 < q \leq 1$, by (4.16) and (4.6) with $d := q$, then as above the desired term is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} 2^{ks} |Q_j f(\cdot - 2^{-k}y)| \tilde{Q}_{j-k} \theta(y) |dy \right\}^q \right)^{1/q} \\ & \leq \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{jsq} |Q_j^{*,a} f|^q \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \{2^{-ls} \|(1 + 2^l|\cdot|)^a \tilde{Q}_l \theta\|_1\}^q \right)^{1/q}. \end{aligned}$$

Then we calculate the L_p quasi-norm of $(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{ksq} |\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \tilde{Q}_j \theta_{2^{-k}} * Q_j f|^q)^{1/q}$ and the desired estimate is obtained.

Step 2: proof of (ii). Similar to Step 2/proof of Theorem 2.3. \square

5. Concluding Remarks

5.1. Applications. 1. For any function f , we define the differences $\Delta_h^m f := \sum_{j=0}^m \binom{m}{j} (-1)^{m-j} f(\cdot + jh) = \sum_{j=0}^m \binom{m}{j} (-1)^{m-j} \delta_{-jh} * f$ (where δ_{-jh} is the Dirac distribution at the point $x := -jh$). As $Q_k \delta_{-jh} = 2^{kn} \mathcal{F}^{-1} \gamma(2^k(\cdot + jh))$, then $[\delta_{-jh}]_{\mathcal{P}} \in \dot{B}_{u,\infty}^{n/u-n}$ ($0 < u \leq \infty$). We now see when $[\delta_{-jh}]_{\mathcal{P}} \in \dot{B}_{r,\omega}^\mu$:

- For $p \geq 1$; here $r := 1$ and $\mu := -s$. We have $-s = n - n$, $\omega = \infty$ (i.e., $0 < q \leq 1$).
- For $0 < p < 1$; here $r := p$ and $\mu := -s - n + n/p = n/p - n$, $\omega = \infty$ (i.e., $q \leq p < 1$).

Consequently, $\forall q \in]0, 1]$, $\forall p \geq q$ and $\forall m \in \mathbb{N}$, by Theorem 2.2 it holds

$$\|\Delta_h^m f\|_p \leq c \| [f]_{\mathcal{P}} \|_{\dot{B}_{p,q}^0} \quad (\forall f \in \dot{B}_{p,q}^0, \forall h \in \mathbb{R}^n).$$

This estimate fails with only the assumption $[f]_{\mathcal{P}} \in \dot{B}_{p,q}^0$. Indeed, let $f(x) := x_1^m$, then $\| [f]_{\mathcal{P}} \|_{\dot{B}_{p,q}^0} = 0$, while $\Delta_h^m f(x) = m! h_1^m$ ($\forall x, h \in \mathbb{R}^n$), implies $\|\Delta_h^m f\|_p = \infty$.

2. Let ϱ be a C^∞ function on \mathbb{R} such that $\varrho(t) = 1$ for $t \leq e^{-3}$ and $\varrho(t) = 0$ for $t \geq e^{-2}$. For $\alpha > -n$ and $\beta \geq 0$, we set $\theta_{\alpha,\beta}(x) := |x|^\alpha (-\log|x|)^{-\beta} \varrho(|x|)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. This type of functions have been studied in e.g., [7, p. 82]. We have $\theta_{\alpha,\beta} \in \mathcal{E}'$; indeed, let $\varphi \in C^\infty$, then using polar coordinates and as $\sup_{|x| \leq e^{-2}} |\varphi(x)| \leq c < \infty$, we find

$$\int_{S^{n-1}} \int_0^{e^{-2}} r^{n+\alpha-1} (-\log r)^{-\beta} |\varrho(r)| |\varphi(ry)| dr dy \leq c 2^{-\beta} e^{-2(n+\alpha)} \|\varrho\|_\infty.$$

To continue, we need to introduce inhomogeneous Besov $B_{p,q}^s$ and Triebel–Lizorkin $F_{p,q}^s$ spaces ($p < \infty$ in $F_{p,q}^s$ -case). We denote by $A_{p,q}^s$ for either $B_{p,q}^s$ or $F_{p,q}^s$, and use the abbreviations B, F to indicate them.

DEFINITION 5.1. The spaces $B_{p,q}^s$ and $F_{p,q}^s$ are the sets of $f \in \mathcal{S}'$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{B_{p,q}^s} &:= \|S_0 f\|_p + \left(\sum_{j \geq 1} 2^{jsq} \|Q_j f\|_p^q \right)^{1/q} < \infty, \\ \|f\|_{F_{p,q}^s} &:= \|S_0 f\|_p + \left\| \left(\sum_{j \geq 1} 2^{jsq} |Q_j f|^q \right)^{1/q} \right\|_p < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

respectively.

PROPOSITION 5.1. (See e.g., [17, p. 98]) *Let s be such that $s > (n/p - n)_+$. Then $\|f\|_p + \|[f]_{\mathcal{P}}\|_{\dot{A}_{p,q}^s}$ is an equivalent quasi-norm in $A_{p,q}^s$.*

Assume that $\beta > 0$. By [14, Lemmas 1-2, pp. 44-47] we have, e.g., $\theta_{\alpha,\beta} \in A_{r,\omega}^{\alpha+n/r}$ for $\alpha \neq 0$, $\alpha + n/r > (n/r - n)_+$ and $\beta\omega > 1$ ($\beta r > 1$ in F -case); also for $\alpha = 0$ and $(\beta + 1)\omega > 1$ ($(\beta + 1)r > 1$ in F -case). But in that case, by Proposition 5.1, $A_{r,\omega}^{\alpha+n/r} \hookrightarrow \dot{A}_{r,\omega}^{\alpha+n/r}$; (at now $r \in]0, \infty]$, $r \neq \infty$ in F -case, and $\omega \in]0, \infty]$).

Now, for all $s < 0$, $\alpha := -s - n$, $r := \min(1, p)$ and ω as in (2.1), it holds $\|\theta_{-n-s,\beta} * f\|_p \leq c \|[f]_{\mathcal{P}}\|_{\dot{A}_{p,q}^s}$ ($\forall f \in \dot{A}_{p,q}^s$), where $\beta\omega > 1$ ($\beta r > 1$ in F -case) for $\alpha \neq 0$, and $(\beta + 1)\omega > 1$ ($(\beta + 1)r > 1$ in F -case) for $0 < p < \infty$ and $\alpha = 0$.

REMARK 5.1. We note that other cases on the parameters (e.g., the case $\theta_{\alpha,\beta} \in A_{r,\omega}^{\mu}$ with $\mu > \alpha + n/r$) can be obtained from the properties of $\theta_{\alpha,\beta}$, see [14], etc.

3. Let \mathcal{X} be the characteristic function of the unit cube $[-1, 1]^n$ in \mathbb{R}^n . Clearly that $\hat{\mathcal{X}}(\xi) = i^{-n} \prod_{j=1}^n (e^{i\xi_j} - e^{-i\xi_j})/\xi_j$. Using the development of $\prod_{j=1}^n (e^{i\xi_j} - e^{-i\xi_j})$ (see [1, I §8.1/(13), p. 98]), and we define a function $\psi \in \mathcal{S}_{\infty}$ by $\hat{\psi}(\xi) := \gamma(\xi)/(\xi_1 \dots \xi_n)$, we find

$$\begin{aligned} Q_k \mathcal{X}(x) &= 2^{-kn} (2i\pi)^{-n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{ix \cdot \xi} \left\{ e^{i(\xi_1 + \dots + \xi_n)} - \sum_{j=1}^n e^{i(\xi_1 + \dots - \xi_j + \dots + \xi_n)} \right. \\ &\quad + (-1)^2 \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \sum_{\substack{j_1=2 \\ j_1 > j}}^n e^{i(\xi_1 + \dots - \xi_j - \xi_{j_1} + \dots + \xi_n)} + (-1)^3 \sum_{j=1}^{n-2} \sum_{\substack{j_1=2 \\ j_1 > j}}^{n-1} \sum_{\substack{j_2=3 \\ j_2 > j_1}}^n \\ &\quad \left. e^{i(\xi_1 + \dots - \xi_j - \xi_{j_1} - \xi_{j_2} + \dots + \xi_n)} + \dots + (-1)^{-n} e^{-i(\xi_1 + \dots + \xi_n)} \right\} \hat{\psi}(2^{-k}\xi) d\xi, \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\begin{aligned} i^n Q_k \mathcal{X}(x) &= \psi(2^k(x_1 + 1, \dots, x_n + 1)) - \sum_{j=1}^n \psi(2^k(x_1 + 1, \dots, x_j - 1, \dots, x_n + 1)) \\ &\quad + (-1)^2 \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \sum_{\substack{j_1=2 \\ j_1 > j}}^n \psi(2^k(x_1 + 1, \dots, x_j - 1, x_{j_1} - 1, \dots, x_n + 1)) \\ &\quad + (-1)^3 \sum_{j=1}^{n-2} \sum_{\substack{j_1=2 \\ j_1 > j}}^{n-1} \sum_{\substack{j_2=3 \\ j_2 > j_1}}^n \psi(2^k(x_1 + 1, \dots, x_j - 1, x_{j_1} - 1, x_{j_2} - 1, \dots, x_n + 1)) \\ &\quad + \dots + (-1)^{-n} \psi(2^k(x_1 - 1, \dots, x_n - 1)) \quad (\text{there are } 2^n \text{ terms}). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, we have $\|Q_k \mathcal{X}\|_u \leq 2^{n/\alpha} 2^{-kn/u} \|\psi\|_u$ for all $u \in]0, \infty]$, $\alpha := \min(1, u)$, and all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. On the other hand, as $\mathcal{X} \in L_1 \cap L_u$, then $S_0 \mathcal{X} = \mathcal{X} - \sum_{k \geq 1} Q_k \mathcal{X}$ implies

$$\|S_0 \mathcal{X}\|_u = \|\mathcal{X}\|_u + \left(\sum_{k \geq 1} \|Q_k \mathcal{X}\|_u^\alpha \right)^{1/\alpha} \leq \|\mathcal{X}\|_u + c_1 \left(\sum_{k \geq 1} 2^{-kn\alpha/u} \right)^{1/\alpha} \leq c_2.$$

All these facts give $\mathcal{X} \in B_{u,v}^t$ with, either $t < n/u$, or $t = n/u$ and $v = \infty$.

We now turn to the application of the above results, looking for $[\mathcal{X}]_{\mathcal{P}} \in \dot{B}_{r,\omega}^{\mu}$:

- For $p > 1$; here $\mu := -s$ and $r := 1$. By Proposition 5.1, we have $[\mathcal{X}]_{\mathcal{P}}$ belongs to $\dot{B}_{1,q'}^{-s}$ for $-n < s < 0$ and $0 < q \leq \infty$, belongs to $\dot{B}_{1,\infty}^n$ with $0 < q \leq 1$.

- For $0 < p \leq 1$; here $\mu := -s - n + n/p$ and $r := p$. We have $[\mathcal{X}]_{\mathcal{P}}$ belongs to $\dot{B}_{p,\omega}^{-s-n+n/p}$ for $-n < s < 0$ and $0 < q \leq p$ or $p \leq \min(1, q)$, belongs to $\dot{B}_{p,\infty}^{n/p}$ with $0 < q \leq p \leq 1$.

We conclude that for, either $-n < s < 0$ and $0 < q \leq \infty$, or $s = -n$ and $0 < q \leq 1$, it holds $\|\mathcal{X} * f\|_p \leq c\| [f]_{\mathcal{P}} \|_{\dot{B}_{p,q}^s}$ ($\forall f \in \dot{B}_{p,q}^s$), where the constant c depends only on n, s, p, q .

5.2. An extension to homogeneous Sobolev spaces. The homogeneous Sobolev spaces \dot{W}_p^m ($1 \leq p \leq \infty$, $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$) is the set of distributions f such that $f^{(\alpha)} \in L_p$ for all $|\alpha| = m$ and endowed with the seminorm $\|f\|_{\dot{W}_p^m} := \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \|f^{(\alpha)}\|_p$. The quotient $\dot{W}_p^m/\mathcal{P}_m$ is a Banach space in \mathcal{S}'_m for this norm.

THEOREM 5.1. *Let $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$. There exists a constant $c > 0$ such that $\|\theta * f\|_{\dot{W}_p^m} \leq c\| [\theta]_{\mathcal{P}} \|_{\dot{B}_{1,1}^0} \|f\|_{\dot{W}_p^m}$ for all $f \in \dot{W}_p^m$ and all θ satisfying $[\theta]_{\mathcal{P}} \in \dot{B}_{1,1}^0$ and either $\theta \in \mathcal{S}$ or $\theta \in \mathcal{E}'$.*

PROOF. We note that $\theta * f$ is well defined, see Lemma 3.1 or [9, p. 21] or [15, p. 317].

First, we have $\dot{W}_p^m \hookrightarrow \dot{B}_{p,\infty}^m$. Indeed, since $\|Q_j g\|_p \leq c\|g\|_p$ ($\forall j \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\forall g \in L_p$), then $L_p \hookrightarrow \dot{B}_{p,\infty}^0$; now $\|f\|_{\dot{B}_{p,\infty}^m} = \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \|f^{(\alpha)}\|_{\dot{B}_{p,\infty}^0}$ yields the desired embedding. Let now $f \in \dot{W}_p^m$. We have $f^{(\alpha)} \in \check{C}_0$ ($\forall |\alpha| = m$), see example (i) just after Definition 2.1. Consequently $f^{(\alpha)} \in \dot{B}_{p,\infty}^0$ ($\forall |\alpha| = m$), and by Theorem 2.2 it holds $\|\theta * f^{(\alpha)}\|_p \leq c\| [\theta]_{\mathcal{P}} \|_{\dot{B}_{1,1}^0} \|f^{(\alpha)}\|_{\dot{B}_{p,\infty}^0}$. Since $\theta * f^{(\alpha)} = (\theta * f)^{(\alpha)}$, then the desired estimate follows. \square

REMARK 5.2. Similar to Theorem 5.1's proof, $\|\theta * f\|_{\dot{W}_{\infty}^{m+k}} \leq c\| [\theta]_{\mathcal{P}} \|_{\dot{B}_{1,1}^k} \|f\|_{\dot{W}_{\infty}^m}$ ($k = 1, 2, \dots$) for all $f \in \dot{W}_{\infty}^m$ and all θ satisfying $[\theta]_{\mathcal{P}} \in \dot{B}_{1,1}^k$ and either $\theta \in \mathcal{S}$ or $\theta \in \mathcal{E}'$. On the other hand, Subsection 5.1 can be adapted according to Theorems 2.3, 2.4 and 5.1.

Acknowledgements. The authors would like to thank the referee for his valuable comments and suggestions.

References

1. N. Bourbaki, *Elements of Mathematics Algebra I. Chapters 1–3*, Hermann, Paris, 1974.
2. G. Bourdaud, *Réalisations des espaces de Besov homogènes*, Ark. Mat. **26** (1988), 41–54.
3. ———, *Ce qu'il faut savoir sur les espaces de Besov*, Preprint, Paris, 2009.
4. ———, *Realizations of homogeneous Besov and Lizorkin-Triebel spaces*, Math. Nachr. **286** (2013), 476–491.
5. G. Bourdaud, M. Moussai, W. Sickel, *Composition operators in Lizorkin-Triebel spaces*, J. Funct. Anal. **259** (2010), 1098–1128.

6. ———, *Composition operators acting on Besov spaces on the real line*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (5) **193** (2014), 1519–1554.
7. D. E. Edmunds, H. Triebel, *Function Spaces, Entropy Numbers, Differential Operators*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1996.
8. M. Frazier, B. Jawerth, G. Weiss, *Littlewood-Paley Theory and the Study of Function Spaces*, CBMS-AMS Regional Conference Series **79**, 1991.
9. L. Hörmander, *Linear Partial Differential Operators*, Grundlehren Math. Wiss. **116**, Springer, Berlin, 1976.
10. B. Jawerth, *Some observations on Besov and Lizorkin-Triebel spaces*, Math. Scand. **40** (1977), 94–104.
11. M. Moussai, *Realizations of homogeneous Besov and Triebel-Lizorkin spaces and an application to pointwise multipliers*, Anal. Appl., Singap. **13** (2015), 149–183.
12. J. Peetre, *On spaces of Triebel-Lizorkin type*, Ark. Mat. **13** (1975), 123–130.
13. ———, *New Thoughts on Besov Spaces*, Duke Univ. Math. Series I, Durham, N.C., 1976.
14. T. Runst, W. Sickel, *Sobolev Spaces of Fractional Order, Nemytskij Operators, and Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations*, de Gruyter, Berlin, 1996.
15. F. Trèves, *Topological Vector Spaces, Distributions and Kernels*, Academic Press, 1967.
16. H. Triebel, *Theory of Function Spaces*, Modern Birkhäuser Classics **78**, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1983.
17. ———, *Theory of Function Spaces II*, Monographs in Mathematics **84**, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1992.

Laboratory of Functional Analysis and Geometry of Spaces
Mohamed Boudiaf University of M'Sila
M'Sila
Algeria
benalliam@yahoo.fr
mmoussai@yahoo.fr

(Received 27 01 2018)