PUBLICATIONS DE L'INSTITUT MATHÉMATIQUE Nouvelle série, tome 104(118) (2018), 107–113

DOI: https://doi.org/10.2298/PIM1818107B

# THE MODULE MULTIPLIER EMBEDDING PROBLEM

### Ana Lucía Barrenechea and Carlos César Peña

ABSTRACT. We precise properties, embedding and isomorphism theorems of Banach module multipliers between a Banach algebra and modules on it. This task for Banach dual valued multipliers is a problem of interest because of its connection with the theory of induced Banach representations of Banach algebras and Frobenius reciprocity theorems.

### 1. Introduction

The concept of multipliers appeared in harmonic analysis in connection with the theory of Fourier series [6]. Since their formal introduction in 1956, operators of Banach algebras which commute with left or right multiplications are a matter of huge research [7]. Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an abelian semisimple Banach algebra, considered as an algebra of continuous functions over its regular maximal ideal space  $X_{\mathcal{A}}$ . By a multiplier of  $\mathcal{A}$  is meant a function f on  $X_{\mathcal{A}}$  such that  $fG(\mathcal{A}) \subset G(\mathcal{A})$ , where G denotes the Gélfand transform of  $\mathcal{A}$ . In this context it is readily seen that fdetermines a unique function m of  $\mathcal{A}$  into  $\mathcal{A}$  so that fG(a) = G(m(a)) if  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ . Moreover, m becomes a bounded linear operator on  $\mathcal{A}$  and

(1.1) 
$$m(a)b = am(b) \quad \text{if } a, b \in \mathcal{A}$$

This motivates to say that a linear operator of any algebra that satisfies the equation (1.1) is a *multiplier*. For a first systematic study of multipliers on some commutative Banach algebras the reader can see [19].

Let  $_{\mathcal{A}}H(\mathcal{A}, M)$  be the Banach space, endowed with the uniform norm, of left Mvalued module multipliers on a Banach algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ , or else bounded linear operators T between  $\mathcal{A}$  and a left Banach  $\mathcal{A}$ -module M so that the identity T(am) = aT(m)holds if  $a \in \mathcal{A}, m \in M$ . The structure of  $_{\mathcal{A}}H(\mathcal{A}, M)$  reveals relevant information concerning  $\mathcal{A}, M$  and their conjugate spaces. Perhaps, on a nondiscrete locally compact group G, Wendel's isomorphism theorem  $_{L^1(G)}H(L^1(G), L^1(G)) \approx M(G)$ 

107

<sup>2010</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 46H25; Secondary 46H15.

Key words and phrases: module multipliers, tensor products of Banach modules over a Banach algebra, restricted and induced representations.

Communicated by Stevan Pilipović.

is one of the major insights in this area [21]. This theorem was restated in a more general setting succeeding a characterization of  $_{L^1(G)}H(L^1(G), M)$  for Mwithin a wide class of Banach  $L^1(G)$ -modules [8,9,11]. For consideration of more general bounded  $\mathcal{A}$ -module multipliers between Banach  $\mathcal{A}$ -modules and the important notion of  $\mathcal{A}$ -tensor products of Banach  $\mathcal{A}$ -modules the reader can see [14]. Given a left Banach  $\mathcal{A}$ -module M and a right Banach  $\mathcal{A}$ -module N the formula  $(M \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} N)^* \approx_{\mathcal{A}} H(M, N^*)$  assimilates both constructions (cf. see [14, Corollary 2.13] or [15, (1.1)]).

Let G be a topological group and let  $\pi$  and  $\varrho$  be representations of G on Banach spaces  $X_{\pi}$  and  $X_{\varrho}$  respectively. Let  $\mathcal{B}_G(\pi, \varrho)$  be the space of bounded operators  $A \in \mathcal{B}(X_{\pi}, X_{\varrho})$  so that  $A\pi(g) = \varrho(g)A$  if  $g \in G$ . Let G be a locally compact separable group and let K be a closed subgroup of G. Let us assume that G/K (right cosets) has a measure invariant under the operation of G by right translations on G/K. Let  $\pi$  and  $\varrho$  be representations of K and G respectively. In these conditions Moore proved the existence of an isometric isomorphism of  $\mathcal{B}_K(\pi, \varrho|_K)$  onto  $\mathcal{B}_G(\pi^{\text{ind}}, \varrho)$ , where  $\varrho|_K$  and  $\pi^{\text{ind}}$  denote the restriction of the representation  $\varrho$  to K and the induced representation by  $\pi$  of G [12,13]. In particular, Moore remarked that his development works if  $X_{\varrho}$  is reflexive or, more generally, a dual Banach space.

Throughout this article let M be a left Banach  $\mathcal{A}$ -module. As usualy M is said to be an *essential*  $\mathcal{A}$ -module if  $\mathcal{A} \cdot M$ , the linear manifold spanned by elements of the form am with  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $m \in M$ , is dense in M. We shall write  $M_e = (\mathcal{A} \cdot M)^$ to the *essential submodule* of M. Further, the set  $\{m \in M : \mathcal{A}m = \{0_M\}\}$  is a submodule of M, which we call the *order submodule*  $M_0$  of M. We say that M is *order-free* if  $M_0 = \{0_M\}$ .

If  $\mathcal{A}$  is an approximately bounded Banach algebra and M is a left  $\mathcal{A}$ -module, then there is an isometric module isomorphism  $[_{\mathcal{A}}H(\mathcal{A}, M)]_e \approx M_e$  (see [14, Theorem 4.5]). Moreover, if M is an essential left  $\mathcal{A}$ -module, then there is an isometric right  $\mathcal{A}$ -module isomorphism  $_{\mathcal{A}}H(\mathcal{A}, (M^*)_e) \approx M^*$ , while if besides M is reflexive, then  $_{\mathcal{A}}H(\mathcal{A}, M) \approx M$  (Ibid., Theorem 8.9 and Corollary 8.10 respectively). The question (cf. [14, 8.17]) concerning whether this last isomorphism even holds if M is an essential dual Banach space was raised in connection with Moore's work. The following two conditions, each sufficient for an affirmative answer, are known: Let  $\chi_M$  be the natural immersion of M into its second dual space  $M^{**}$ . If  $\mathcal{A}\chi_M(M) \subseteq \chi_M(M)$  or the left  $\mathcal{A}$ -module  $M^{***}$  is essential, then  $H_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A}, M^*) \approx M^*$  [3].

In our main result (Theorem 2.1), we describe several properties of  $_{\mathcal{A}}H(\mathcal{A}, M)$ . The connection with the above problem will be established in Theorem 2.2. Then we will determine  $_{\mathcal{A}}H(\mathcal{A}, M)$  if M is a dual Banach space, under suitable conditions on the underlying algebra, depending on whether M is essential or not. We shall analyze various related examples in the context of group and measure algebras,  $W^*$ algebras, operator algebras, reflexive spaces, etc..

# **2.** On the class $_{\mathcal{A}}H(\mathcal{A},M)$

THEOREM 2.1. The following assertions hold: (1)  $_{\mathcal{A}}H(\mathcal{A}, M)$  has a left Banach  $\mathcal{A}$ -module structure.

- (2) Let  $\theta: M \to_{\mathcal{A}} H(\mathcal{A}, M)$  be given as  $\theta(m)(a) = am$  if  $a \in \mathcal{A}, m \in M$ . Then  $\theta \in {}_{\mathcal{A}}H(M, {}_{\mathcal{A}}H(\mathcal{A}, M)).$
- (3) M is order-free if and only if θ is injective. Further, let us assume that A has a right bounded approximate identity. Then:
- (4) If  $T \in {}_{\mathcal{A}}H(\mathcal{A}, M)$  there is  $\bar{m}^{**} \in M^{**}$  so that  $\chi_M(T(a)) = a\bar{m}^{**}$  if  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ .
- (5) The set  $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{A}}(M) = \{m^{**} \in M^{**} : \mathcal{A}m^{**} \subseteq \chi_M(M)\}$  is a Banach subspace of  $M^{**}$  and there is an isomorphism of Banach left  $\mathcal{A}$ -modules

$$_{\mathcal{A}}H(\mathcal{A},M) \approx \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{A}}(M)/(M^{**})_0.$$

(6) If

(2.1) 
$$\mathcal{A} \cdot M^{**} \subseteq \chi_M(M)$$

then  $_{\mathcal{A}}H(\mathcal{A},M) \approx (M^* \cdot \mathcal{A})^*$ .

- (7) If  $M^*$  is an essential right  $\mathcal{A}$ -Banach module then  $_{\mathcal{A}}H(\mathcal{A},M) \approx \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{A}}(M)$ .
- (8) Let  $F: {}_{\mathcal{A}}H(\mathcal{A}, M) \to (M^* \cdot \mathcal{A})^*$ ,  $F(T) = (i_{M^* \cdot \mathcal{A}})^*(\bar{m}^{**})$ , where the element  $\bar{m}^{**} \in M^{**}$  is given according to 2.1(4) and  $i_{M^* \cdot \mathcal{A}}$  denotes the inclusion map  $M^* \cdot \mathcal{A} \hookrightarrow M^*$ . Then  $F \in {}_{\mathcal{A}}H[{}_{\mathcal{A}}H(\mathcal{A}, M), (M^* \cdot \mathcal{A})^*]$  is a monomorphism. Moreover, it is an isomorphism of Banach spaces if and only if  $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{A}}(M) = M^{**}$ .

PROOF. (1) Given  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $T \in {}_{\mathcal{A}}H(\mathcal{A}, M)$  let (a \* T)(b) = bT(a) if  $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ . It is easy to see that a \* T is  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear bounded operator and that

(2.2) 
$$||a * T|| \leq ||T(a)|| \leq ||T|| ||a||$$

Given  $b, c \in \mathcal{A}$  we get (a \* T)(bc) = (bc)T(a) = b(cT(a)) = b(a \* T)(c), i.e.,  $a * T \in {}_{\mathcal{A}}H(\mathcal{A}, M)$ . Further,

$$(a * (b * T))(c) = c(b * T)(a) = c(aT(b)) = cT(ab) = ((ab) * T)(c)$$

and we obtain that (ab) \* T = a(b \* T). By (2.2)  $_{\mathcal{A}}H(\mathcal{A}, M)$  becomes a left Banach  $\mathcal{A}$ - module.

(2) Clearly  $\theta(m)$  is a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear operator if  $m \in M$  and  $\|\theta(m)\| \leq \|m\|$ . Besides, given  $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$  it is seen that  $\theta(m)(ab) = (ab)m = a(bm) = a\theta(m)(b)$ . Consequently,  $\theta(m) \in {}_{\mathcal{A}}H(\mathcal{A}, M)$  and  $\theta \in B(M, {}_{\mathcal{A}}H(\mathcal{A}, M))$ . Moreover, as

$$(a*\theta(m))(b) = b\theta(m)(a) = b(am) = \theta(am)(b)$$

our claim holds.

(3) It is obvious.

(4) Let  $\{e_i\}_{i\in I}$  be a right bounded approximate identity of  $\mathcal{A}$ . As it is bounded by Alaoglu's theorem there is a subnet  $\{e_{i_j}\}_{j\in J}$  and an element  $\bar{m}^{**} \in \mathcal{A}^{**}$  so that  $\bar{m}^{**} = w^* - \lim_{j\in J} \chi_M\{T(e_{i_j})\}$ . Given  $T \in {}_{\mathcal{A}}H(\mathcal{A}, M)$ ,  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $m^* \in M^*$  we now obtain

$$\langle m^*, \chi_M(T(a)) \rangle = \langle T(a), m^* \rangle = \lim_{j \in J} \langle T(ae_{i_j}), m^* \rangle = \lim_{j \in J} \langle aT(e_{i_j}), m^* \rangle$$
$$= \lim_{j \in J} \langle (Te_{i_j}), m^*a \rangle = \langle m^*a, \bar{m}^{**} \rangle = \langle m^*, a\bar{m}^{**} \rangle$$

and the fourth assertion follows.

(5) Since  $\chi_M$  is isometric and

$$\langle m^*, \bar{m}^{**} \rangle | = \lim_{j \in J} |\langle T(e_{i_j}), m^* \rangle| \le ||m^*|| \lim_{j \in J} ||T(e_{i_j})||$$

we see that

(2.3) 
$$||T|| \leq ||\bar{m}^{**}|| \leq ||T|| \sup_{i \in I} ||e_i||.$$

The set  $\mathcal{I}(M)$  is clearly a subspace of  $M^{**}$ , and it becomes closed because  $\chi_M$  is isometric and M is complete. Let

$$\kappa \colon {}_{\mathcal{A}}H(\mathcal{A}, M) \to \mathcal{I}(M)/(M^{**})_0,$$
  

$$\kappa(T) = m^{**} + (M^{**})_0 \quad \text{if} \quad \chi_M(T(a)) = am^{**} \quad \text{for} \quad a \in \mathcal{A}.$$

Clearly  $\kappa$  is a well defined complex linear functional. So, using (2.3), the former notation and the definition of the quotient norm, we get

$$||T|| \leqslant ||\kappa(T)|| \leqslant ||T|| \sup_{i \in I} ||e_i||$$

and so  $\kappa$  becomes a bounded monomorphism. The relation

(2.4) 
$$a(m^{**} + (M^{**})_0) = am^{**} + (M^{**})_0 \text{ if } a \in \mathcal{A}, \ m^{**} \in M^{**},$$

provides to  $\mathcal{I}(M)/(M^{**})_0$  of a Banach left  $\mathcal{A}$ -module structure. By considering  $T \in {}_{\mathcal{A}}H(\mathcal{A}, M), a, b \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $m^{**} \in \kappa(T)$ , it follows that

$$\chi_M((a * T)(b)) = \chi_M(bT(a)) = \chi_M(T(ba)) = (ba)m^{**} = b(am^{**}).$$

Hence  $\kappa(a * T) = am^{**} + (M^{**})_0$  because b is arbitrary and  $\kappa$  turns into a left homomorphism by (2.4). Now, if  $m^{**} \in \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{A}}(M)$  and  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  there is a unique  $m_a \in \mathcal{M}$  so that  $am^{**} = \chi_M(m_a)$ . If  $T_{m^{**}}(a) = m_a$  we get a function  $T_{m^{**}}: \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{M}$  that is  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear. For, if  $z \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$  we have

$$\chi_M(T_{m^{**}}(za+b)) = (za+b)m^{**} = z(am^{**}) + bm^{**}$$
$$= z\chi_M(T_{m^{**}}(a)) + \chi_M(T_{m^{**}}(b))$$
$$= \chi_M(zT_{m^{**}}(a) + T_{m^{**}}(b))$$

and the claim holds because  $\chi$  is injective. Indeed, as

$$||T_{m^{**}}(a)|| = ||am^{**}|| \leq ||a|| ||m^{**}|| \quad \text{if } a \in \mathcal{A},$$

then  $T_{m^{**}}$  is bounded. Moreover, as  $\chi_M \in {}_{\mathcal{A}}H(M, M^{**})$  given  $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$  we can write

$$\chi_M(T_{m^{**}}(ab)) = (ab)m^{**} = a(bm^{**}) = a\chi_M(T_{m^{**}}(b)) = \chi_M(aT_{m^{**}}(b)),$$

i.e.,  $T_{m^{**}} \in {}_{\mathcal{A}}H(\mathcal{A}, M)$ . It is now plain that  $\kappa(T_{m^{**}}) = m^{**} + (M^{**})_0$ .

(6) It is easily seen that

(2.5) 
$$(M^{**})_0 = (M^* \cdot \mathcal{A})^o$$

and the hypothesis is equivalent to say that  $M^{**} = \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{A}}(M)$ . It is straightforward to see that the well known isometric isomorphism  $M^{**}/(M^* \cdot \mathcal{A})^o \approx (M^* \cdot \mathcal{A})^*$ becomes an isomorphism of Banach left  $\mathcal{A}$ -modules (cf. [16, §4.8, p. 96]).

110

(7) Since  $M^*$  is essential by (2.5)  $M^{**}$  becomes an order-free left Banach  $\mathcal{A}$ -module and our claim follows by 2.1(5).

(8) By construction it is easily seen that F is a well defined left bounded multiplier between Banach modules. It is easy to see that F is injective. Given  $m^{**} \in M^{**}$ , if F is surjective let us choose  $m^{**} \in {}_{\mathcal{A}}H(\mathcal{A}, M)$  so that  $F(T) = m^{**}$ . Given  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $m^* \in M^*$  we have

$$\langle m^*, am^{**} \rangle = \langle m^*a, m^{**} \rangle = \langle m^*a, F(T) \rangle \\ = \langle m^*a, \bar{m}^{**} \rangle = \langle m^*, \chi_M(T(a)) \rangle$$

i.e.,  $m^{**} \in \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{A}}(M)$ . On the other hand, given  $\lambda \in (M^* \cdot \mathcal{A})^*$  by the Hahn–Banach theorem there is an extension  $\Lambda \in M^{**}$ . Thus  $\Lambda \in \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{A}}(M)$  and as in 2.1(5) we can choose  $L \in {}_{\mathcal{A}}H(\mathcal{A}, M)$  so that  $\kappa(L) = \Lambda + (M^{**})_0$ . Consequently  $\chi_M(L(a)) = a\Lambda$ if  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  and so  $\lambda = (\imath_{M^* \cdot \mathcal{A}})^*(\Lambda) = F(L)$ .

EXAMPLE 2.1. Let G be a locally compact group. The Banach convolution algebra M(G) of complex regular Borel measures on G is unital while the group algebra  $L^1(G)$  is approximately unital, being unital only in the discrete case (cf. [4, Theorem 3.3.23]). If  $\mathcal{A} = M = M(G)$  or  $\mathcal{A} = M = L^1(G)$  the condition (2.1) holds if and only if G is finite or compact respectively (cf. [20, Theorems 5 and 6]).

EXAMPLE 2.2. Clearly (2.1) is satisfied when M is reflexive. For instance, again on an underlying locally compact group G, this covers the case of  $L^p(G)$  considered as a left- $L^1(G)$ -module if 1 .

EXAMPLE 2.3. Condition (2.1) holds for  $W^*$  algebras, i.e.,  $C^*$  algebras that are dual Banach algebras. For, any  $C^*$  algebra is approximately unital (cf. [17, Lemma 1.1]) and a  $B^*$  algebra is a dual algebra if and only if its immersion in its second conjugate space is an ideal (cf. [18, Theorem 5.1]).

EXAMPLE 2.4. Let  $\mathcal{A} = M = A(X)$  be the Banach algebra of approximable operators on a Banach space X whose dual has the bounded approximation property. Then X itself has the bounded approximation property,  $\mathcal{A}$  coincides with the Banach algebra of compact operators on X and it has also a bounded approximate identity (cf. [1, 5]). Now, (2.1) holds if and only if X is reflexive (cf. [20, Theorem 4]).

EXAMPLE 2.5. On a locally compact group G we consider  $L^1(G)$  as a left Banach M(G)-module (cf. [10, Theorem 20.12]). Now (2.1) is no longer true even in the discrete case. For instance,  $\chi_{l^1(\mathbb{Z})}(l^1(\mathbb{Z})) \subsetneq M(\mathbb{Z}) \cdot l^{\infty}(\mathbb{Z})^*$ . For, let us consider the probability measure  $\mu \in M(\mathbb{Z})$  so that  $\mu(E) = 3^{-1} \sum_{m \in E} 2^{-|m|}$  if  $E \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z})$ . By Alaoglu's theorem there is an increasing sequence  $\{n_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  that determines a well defined functional

$$\Theta = w^* - \lim_{k \to \infty} \chi_{l^1(\mathbb{Z})}[1_{\{-n_k, \dots, n_k\}}]/(2n_k + 1)$$

in  $l^{\infty}(\mathbb{Z})^*$ . It will suffice to see that  $\mu \cdot \Theta \notin \chi_{l^1(\mathbb{Z})}(l^1(\mathbb{Z}))$ . Indeed, we can write  $\mu \cdot \Theta = \chi_{l^1(\mathbb{Z})}(f)$  for some  $f \in l^1(\mathbb{Z})$  and given  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$  we have

$$\begin{split} f(m) &= \langle f, 1_{\{m\}} \rangle = \langle 1_{\{m\}}, \mu \cdot \Theta \rangle = \langle 1_{\{m\}} \cdot \mu, \Theta \rangle \\ &= \lim_{k \to \infty} \langle 1_{\{-n_k, \dots, n_k\}}, 1_{\{m\}} \cdot \mu \rangle / (2n_k + 1) \\ &= \lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{1}{2n_k + 1} \langle 1_{\{-n_k, \dots, n_k\}}, \{3^{-1} \cdot 2^{-|p-m|}\}_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} \rangle \\ &= \lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{3^{-1}}{2n_k + 1} \sum_{p \in \mathbb{Z}: |p| \leqslant n_k} 2^{-|p-m|} = \lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{3^{-1}}{2n_k + 1} \sum_{q = -n_k - m} 2^{-|q|} \\ &= \lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{3^{-1}}{2n_k + 1} \Big[ \frac{1 - 2^{-n_k - m - 1}}{1/2} - 1 + \frac{1 - 2^{-n_k + m - 1}}{1/2} \Big] = 0, \end{split}$$

i.e.,  $f = 0_{l^1(\mathbb{Z})}$ . Nevertheless,  $\langle 1_{\mathbb{Z}}, \mu \cdot \Theta \rangle = \langle 1_{\mathbb{Z}} \cdot \mu, \Theta \rangle = \langle 1_{\mathbb{Z}}, \Theta \rangle = 1$  and we get a contradiction.

THEOREM 2.2. Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a Banach algebra with a right bounded approximate identity and let N be a nontrivial right Banach  $\mathcal{A}$ -module.

- (1) If N is essential and reflexive then  $_{\mathcal{A}}H(\mathcal{A}, N^*) \approx N^*$ .
- (2) If N is not essential then  $_{\mathcal{A}}H(\mathcal{A}, N^*) \approx \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{A}}(N^*)/(N^{***})_0$ , where the quotient is not trivial.
- (3) If N is not essential and reflexive then  $_{\mathcal{A}}H(\mathcal{A}, N^*) \approx N^*/(N \cdot \mathcal{A})^o$ , where the quotient is not trivial.

PROOF. (1) By Cohen's factorization theorem [2] is  $N = N\mathcal{A}$ , i.e., any element  $n \in N$  can be factored as  $n = n_1 a$  for suitable  $n_1 \in N$  and  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ . Moreover, if N is reflexive  $\chi_N(N) = N^{**} \cdot \mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{A}}(N^*) = N^*$  because  $N^*$  becomes reflexive. The result now follows from Theorem 2.1(7).

(2) Clearly it will suffice to prove the existence of  $n^{***} \in N^{***}$  so that

(2.6) 
$$\{0_{N^{***}}\} \neq \mathcal{A}n^{***} \subseteq \chi_{N^*}(N^*).$$

Precisely,  $(N \cdot \mathcal{A})^o \neq \{0_{N^*}\}$  because N is not essential. If  $(N \cdot \mathcal{A})^o = N^*$  then

$$\{0_{N^{**}}\} = (N \cdot \mathcal{A})^{oo} = \chi_N (N \cdot \mathcal{A})^{-w^*} \supseteq \chi_N (N \cdot \mathcal{A})^{-w}$$

Hence  $\chi_N(N \cdot \mathcal{A})^- = \{0_{N^{**}}\}$  (cf. [16, Theorem 3.12]), which is impossible because  $\chi_N$  is injective and N is a nontrivial  $\mathcal{A}$ -module. Consequently, let  $n^* \in N^* - (N \cdot \mathcal{A})^0$ . In particular, there exist  $\tilde{a} \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $n_0 \in N$  so that  $\langle n_0 \tilde{a}, n^* \rangle \neq 0$ . If  $n^{***} \triangleq \chi_{N^*}(n^*)$  then

$$\langle \chi_N(n_0), \tilde{a}n^{***} \rangle = \langle \chi_N(n_0), \chi_{N^*}(\tilde{a}n^*) \rangle = \langle n_0, \tilde{a}n^* \rangle = \langle n_0\tilde{a}, n^* \rangle \neq 0,$$

and clearly  $n^{***}$  satisfies (2.6).

(3) By the reflexivity of N the Banach left  $\mathcal{A}$ -module isomorphism follows by Theorem 2.1(8). Moreover,  $N \cdot \mathcal{A} \approx N^{**} \cdot \mathcal{A}$  and  $N \cdot \mathcal{A} \subsetneq N$  because N is not essential. Therefore, by the Hahn–Banach theorem there exists a nonzero  $n^* \in N^*$  that annihilates on  $N \cdot \mathcal{A}$  and the result holds.

EXAMPLE 2.6. The condition of reflexivity in Theorem 2.2 is necessary. For instance, the abelian Banach algebra  $\mathcal{A} = c_0(\mathbb{N})$  is approximately bounded. Since its conjugate space  $l^1(\mathbb{N})$  is a  $c_0(\mathbb{N})$ -essential Banach module by Theorem 2.1(7) is

112

$$\begin{split} & {}_{c_0(\mathbb{N})}H(c_0(\mathbb{N}),l^1(\mathbb{N}))\approx \mathcal{I}_{c_0(\mathbb{N})}(l^1(\mathbb{N})). \text{ However, } \imath_{l^1(\mathbb{N})}(l^1(\mathbb{N})) \varsubsetneq \mathcal{I}_{c_0(\mathbb{N})}(l^1(\mathbb{N})). \text{ For,} \\ & \text{the semidirect product } l^1(\mathbb{N})^{**} = \imath_{l^1(\mathbb{N})}(l^1(\mathbb{N})) \ltimes [\imath_{c_0(\mathbb{N})}(c_0(\mathbb{N}))]^0 \text{ holds (cf. [4, Example 2.6.22(iii)]). Let } \Phi \in l^1(\mathbb{N})^{**}, \ \Phi = \imath_{l^1(\mathbb{N})}(\lambda) + \Psi, \text{ with unique } \lambda \in l^1(\mathbb{N}) \\ & \text{and } \Psi \in [\imath_{c_0(\mathbb{N})}(c_0(\mathbb{N}))]^0. \text{ If } x \in c_0(\mathbb{N}) \text{ it is seen that } x\Psi \in [\imath_{c_0(\mathbb{N})}(c_0(\mathbb{N}))]^0 \text{ and} \\ & x\imath_{l^1(\mathbb{N})}(\lambda) = \imath_{l^1(\mathbb{N})}(x\lambda). \text{ Thus } \Phi \in \mathcal{I}_{c_0(\mathbb{N})}(l^1(\mathbb{N})) \text{ if and only if } x\Psi = 0_{l^1(\mathbb{N})^{**}} \text{ for all } x \in c_0(\mathbb{N}). \text{ By the Hahn-Banach theorem we can choose a nonzero functional } \\ & \Lambda \in [\imath_{c_0(\mathbb{N})}(c_0(\mathbb{N}))]^0 \text{ and it is plain that } \Lambda \in \mathcal{I}_{c_0(\mathbb{N})}(l^1(\mathbb{N})) - \imath_{l^1(\mathbb{N})}(l^1(\mathbb{N})). \end{split}$$

#### References

- 1. E. Berkson, H. Porta, Representations of B(X), J. Funct. Anal. 3 (1969), 1–34.
- 2. P. J. Cohen, Factorization in group algebras, Duke Math. J. 26 (1959), 199–205.
- C. V. Comisky, *Multipliers of Banach modules*, Notices Am. Math. Soc. 17(213) (1970), Doctoral dissertation, University of Oregon.
- 4. H.G. Dales, Banach algebras and automatic continuity, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 2004.
- P.G. Dixon, Left approximate identities in algebras of compact operators on Banach spaces, Proc. R. Soc. Edinb., Sect. A, Math. 104 (1986), 169–175.
- G. H. Hardy, J. E. Littlewood, Some new properties of Fourier constants, Math. Ann. 97 (1927), 159–209.
- 7. S. Helgason, Multipliers of Banach algebras, Ann. Math. (2) 64 (1956), 240-254.
- S. L. Gulick, T.S. Liu, A.C. Van Rooij, Group algebra modules I, Can. J. Math. 19 (1967), 133–150.
- 9. \_\_\_\_\_, Group algebra modules II, Can. J. Math. 19 (1967), 151–173.
- E. Hewitt, K. A. Ross, Abstract harmonic analysis, Vol. I, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Göttingen, Heidelberg, 1963.
- 11. T. S. Liu, A. C. Van Rooij, Translation invariant maps  $L^{\infty}(G) \to L^{p}(G)$ , Nederl. Akad. Wet., Proc., Ser. A **77** (1974), 306–316.
- G. W. Mackey, Induced representations of locally compact groups I, Ann. Math. (2) 55 (1952), 101–139.
- C. C. Moore, On the Frobenius reciprocity theorem for locally compact groups, Pac. J. Math. 12 (1962), 359–365.
- M. A. Rieffel, Induced Banach representations of Banach algebras and locally compact groups, J. Funct. Anal. 1 (1967), 443–491.
- Multipliers and tensor products of L<sup>p</sup>-spaces of locally compact groups, Stud. Math. 23 (1969), 71–82.
- 16. W. Rudin, Functional Analysis, 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition, McGraw-Hill Inc., 1991.
- I. Segal, Irreducible representation of operator algebras, Bull. Am. Math. Soc. 53 (1947), 73–78.
- B. J. Tomiuk, P. K. Wong, The Arens product and duality in B<sup>\*</sup> algebras, Proc. Am. Math. Soc. 25 (1970), 529–535.
- 19. J.-K. Wang, Multipliers of commutative Banach algebras, Pac. J. Math. 11 (1961), 1131–1149.
- S. Watanabe, A Banach algebra which is an ideal in the second conjugate space II, Sci. Rep. Niigata Univ., Ser. A 13 (1976), 43–48.
- J. G. Wendel, Left centralizers and isomorphism of group algebras, Pac. J. Math. 2 (1952), 251–261; (2006), 55–112.

Department of Mathematics (Red Universidad Nacional del Centro de la Provincia de Buenos Aires Tandil, Argentina analucia.barrenechea@gmail.com

ccpenia@gmail.com

(Received 04 03 2016)