## MAGNETIC VECTOR FIELDS: NEW EXAMPLES

# Jun-ichi Inoguchi and Marian Ioan Munteanu

ABSTRACT. In a previous paper, we introduced the notion of magnetic vector fields. More precisely, we consider a vector field  $\xi$  as a map from a Riemannian manifold into its tangent bundle endowed with the usual almost Kählerian structure and we find necessary and sufficient conditions for  $\xi$  to be a magnetic map with respect to  $\xi$  itself and the Kähler 2-form. In this paper we give new examples of magnetic vector fields.

## 1. Preliminaries

In [13] the authors define the notion of *magnetic maps* with the aim of generalizing the notion of magnetic trajectory on a Riemannian manifold. In fact, both magnetic curves and harmonic maps can be obtained as particular situations of magnetic maps.

Let  $f: N \to M$  be a smooth map between two Riemannian manifolds (N, h)of dimension n and (M, g) of dimension m. Suppose that N is compact and let  $\xi$ be a global vector field on N having null divergence. Let  $\omega$  be a 1-form on M. The energy of f is known as  $E(f) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{N} |df|^2 dv_h$ , where  $dv_h$  is the volume element on N and |df| is the Hilbert-Schmidt norm of the differential df given (in a point  $p \in N$ ) by

$$|df_p|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n g_{f(p)} \left( f_{*,p} e_i, f_{*,p} e_i \right).$$

Here  $\{e_i; i = 1, ..., n\}$  is an arbitrary orthonormal basis for  $T_pN$  and  $f_{*,p}: T_pN \to T_{f(p)}M$  is the tangent map of f at p.

A smooth map  $f: (N, h) \to (M, g)$  which is a critical point of E(f) is called a *harmonic map* (see e.g., [**11**, **21**]).

Let us now define the following functional for f associated to  $\xi$  and  $\omega$ :

$$\mathcal{P}(f) = \int_N \omega(df(\xi)) dv_h.$$

The Landau-Hall functional associated to  $\xi$  and  $\omega$  is defined by

$$LH(f) = E(f) + \mathcal{P}(f).$$

<sup>2010</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 53C43; Secondary 53C07, 53C15, 58E20. Key words and phrases: magnetic curves; harmonic maps; magnetic maps.

The first author is supported by Kakenhi 15K04834.

Let *I* be an open interval containing 0. A smooth variation of *f* is a smooth map  $\mathcal{F} : N \times I \to M$ , such that  $\mathcal{F}(p,0) = f(p)$ . For the sake of simplicity we use the notation  $f_{\epsilon}(p) = \mathcal{F}(p,\epsilon)$ . The variation vector field along *f* is a section in the induced bundle  $f^{-1}T(M)$  defined by  $V(x) = \frac{\partial f_{\epsilon}}{\partial \epsilon}\Big|_{\epsilon=0}(x)$ .

DEFINITION 1.1. [13] The map f is called *magnetic* with respect to  $\xi$  and  $\omega$  if it is a critical point of the Landau Hall integral LH(f).

In what follows we compute the first variation  $\frac{d}{d\epsilon}LH(f_{\epsilon})|_{\epsilon=0}$ . It is known from the theory of harmonic maps that

$$\frac{d}{d\epsilon} E(f_{\epsilon})\big|_{\epsilon=0} = -\int_{N} g(\tau(f), V) \circ f \, dv_h,$$

where  $\tau(f) := \operatorname{trace}_h \nabla df$  is the *tension field* of f.

Let us focus on the integral  $\mathcal{P}$  and compute  $\frac{d}{d\epsilon}\mathcal{P}(f_{\epsilon})|_{\epsilon=0}$ . Consider local coordinates  $x^1, \ldots, x^n$  on N and  $y^1, \ldots, y^m$  local coordinates on M. With respect to this setting, the map  $f_{\epsilon}$  may be expressed as  $y^{\alpha} = f_{\epsilon}^{\alpha}(x)$ , where  $f_{\epsilon}^{\alpha}$  are smooth functions on the domain of coordinates x taking values in  $\mathbb{R}$ . From now on the indices i, j, k range from 1 to n, while the indices  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$  range from 1 to m.

We have

$$\mathcal{P}(f_{\epsilon}) = \int_{N} \omega_{\alpha}(f_{\epsilon}(x)) \frac{\partial f_{\epsilon}^{\alpha}}{\partial x^{i}}(x) \xi^{i}(x) \ dv_{h}$$

Compute

(1.1) 
$$\frac{d}{d\epsilon} \mathcal{P}(f_{\epsilon})\Big|_{\epsilon=0} = \int_{N} \left[ \frac{\partial \omega_{\alpha}}{\partial y^{\beta}} (f(x)) \frac{\partial f_{\epsilon}^{\beta}}{\partial \epsilon} (x) \Big|_{\epsilon=0} \frac{\partial f^{\alpha}}{\partial x^{i}} (x) + \omega_{\alpha}(f(x)) \frac{\partial}{\partial \epsilon} \Big|_{\epsilon=0} \frac{\partial f_{\epsilon}^{\alpha}}{\partial x^{i}} (x) \right] \xi^{i}(x) dv_{h}.$$

Let us define a vector field X on N by  $X(x) = \xi^i(x)\omega_\alpha(f(x))V^\alpha(x)\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}$  and compute its divergence. We obtain

$$\operatorname{div}(X) = (\stackrel{n}{\nabla}_{i} \xi^{i}) \omega_{\alpha}(f(x)) V^{\alpha}(x) + \xi^{\alpha}(x)' \nabla_{i} \omega_{\alpha}(f(x)) V^{\alpha}(x) + \xi^{i}(x) \omega_{\alpha}(f(x))' \nabla_{i} V^{\alpha}(x),$$

where  $\stackrel{n}{\nabla}$  is the Levi-Civita connection on N and  $'\nabla$  is the induced connection. We successively have

$$\begin{split} \nabla_{i}^{h} \xi^{i} &= \operatorname{div}(\xi), \\ ^{\prime}\nabla_{i}\omega_{\alpha}(f(x)) &= \left(^{\prime}\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i}}}\omega(f(x))\right)\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y^{\alpha}}\circ f\right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i}}\omega_{\alpha}(f(x)) - \omega\left(^{\prime}\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i}}}\frac{\partial}{\partial y^{\alpha}}\circ f\right) \\ &= \frac{\partial\omega_{\alpha}}{\partial y^{\beta}}(f(x))\frac{\partial f^{\beta}}{\partial x^{i}}(x) - \omega_{\beta}(f(x))\frac{\partial f^{\gamma}}{\partial x^{i}}(x) \stackrel{g}{\Gamma}{}_{\gamma\alpha}^{\beta}(f(x)) \\ &= \frac{\partial f^{\gamma}}{\partial x^{i}}(x) \stackrel{g}{\nabla}_{\gamma} \omega_{\alpha}(f(x)); \end{split}$$

$$^{T}\nabla_{i}V^{\alpha} = \frac{\partial V^{\alpha}}{\partial x^{i}}(x) + \frac{\partial f^{\beta}}{\partial x^{i}}(x) \stackrel{g}{\Gamma}{}^{\alpha}{}_{\beta\gamma}(f(x))V^{\gamma}(x)$$

As  $\xi$  is divergence free, we get

$$\operatorname{div}(X) = \xi^{i}(x) \left[ \omega_{\alpha}(f(x)) \frac{\partial V^{\alpha}}{\partial x^{i}}(x) + \frac{\partial f^{\beta}}{\partial x^{i}}(x) \frac{\partial \omega_{\alpha}}{\partial y^{\beta}}(f(x)) V^{\alpha}(x) \right].$$

Since  $\int_N \operatorname{div}(X) dv_h = 0$ , we obtain

(1.2) 
$$\int_{N} \xi^{i}(x)\omega_{\alpha}(f(x))\frac{\partial V^{\alpha}}{\partial x^{i}}(x)dv_{h} = -\int_{N} \xi^{i}(x)\frac{\partial \omega_{\alpha}}{\partial y^{\beta}}(f(x))\frac{\partial f^{\beta}}{\partial x^{i}}(x)V^{\alpha}(x)dv_{h}.$$

Combining (1.1) and (1.2) we find

$$\begin{split} \frac{d}{d\epsilon} \Big|_{\epsilon=0} \mathcal{P}(f_{\epsilon}) &= \int_{N} \xi^{i}(x) \frac{\partial \omega_{\alpha}}{\partial y^{\beta}}(f(x)) \left( \frac{\partial f^{\alpha}}{\partial x^{i}}(x) V^{\beta}(x) - \frac{\partial f^{\beta}}{\partial x^{i}}(x) V^{\alpha}(x) \right) dv_{h} \\ &= \int_{N} \xi^{i}(x) \frac{\partial f^{\alpha}}{\partial x^{i}}(x) \left( \frac{\partial \omega_{\alpha}}{\partial y^{\beta}}(f(x)) - \frac{\partial \omega_{\beta}}{\partial y^{\alpha}}(f(x)) \right) V^{\beta}(x) dv_{h} \\ &= \int_{N} \xi^{i}(x) \frac{\partial f^{\alpha}}{\partial x^{i}}(x) (d\omega)_{\alpha\beta} V^{\beta}(x) dv_{h} \\ &= \int_{N} d\omega(f_{*}\xi, V) \circ f \ dv_{h}. \end{split}$$

Define the endomorphism  $\phi$ , called the Lorentz force associated to the potential 1-form  $\omega$ , by  $g(\phi(X), Y) = d\omega(X, Y)$ , for all X, Y tangent to M. It follows that

$$\frac{d}{d\epsilon}\Big|_{\epsilon=0}\mathcal{P}(f_{\epsilon}) = \int_{N} g(\phi f_*\xi, V) \circ f \ dv_h.$$

We finally obtain

$$\frac{d}{d\epsilon}\Big|_{\epsilon=0}LH(f_{\epsilon}) = -\int_{N}g(\tau(f) - \phi f_{*}\xi, V) \circ f \ dv_{h}.$$

We state the following.

THEOREM 1.1. [13] Let  $f : (N,h) \to (M,g)$  be a smooth map. Then f is a magnetic map with respect to  $\xi$  and  $\omega$  if and only if it satisfies the Lorentz equation, that is

(1.3) 
$$\tau(f) = \phi(f_*\xi)$$

Sometimes, equation (1.3) will be called the magnetic equation. Recall that on a Riemannian manifold (M, g) a magnetic field is defined by a closed 2-form F and the Lorentz force associated to F is a (1,1) tensor field  $\phi$  on M given by  $g(\phi X, Y) = F(X, Y)$ . The magnetic trajectories of F are curves  $\gamma$  satisfying the Lorentz equation  $\nabla_{\gamma'}\gamma' = \phi\gamma'$ . This equation is a particular case of equation (1.3) when N is an interval of  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $\xi = \frac{d}{dt}$ , where t is the global coordinate on  $\mathbb{R}$ . Magnetic curves were intensively studied in the last years by several geometers (including the authors of this article) in different ambient spaces. See for example [8, 9, 10, 14, 15, 18]. REMARK 1.1. The Lorentz equation (1.3) was obtained from a variational principle assuming that the domain is compact and the 2-form F is exact. Since it has a tensorial character, one can define a magnetic map  $f: (N, h) \to (M, g)$  without the assumptions N compact and F exact (but only closed). Moreover, we will remove also the assumption for  $\xi$  to be divergence free.

Let  $\xi$  be a global vector field on N and F be a magnetic field on M with the associated Lorentz force  $\phi$ . Similarly to magnetic curves, we may also introduce a *strength* (i.e., a real number) in the equation. Hence, we give the following.

DEFINITION 1.2. We say that f is a magnetic map with strength  $q \in \mathbb{R}$  associated to  $\xi$  and F if the Lorentz equation

$$\tau(f) = q \ \phi(f_*\xi)$$

is satisfied.

### 2. Vector fields as magnetic maps

In our previous paper [14] we ask when a vector field is a magnetic map. More precisely, we consider a Riemannian manifold (M, g) of dimension n and its tangent bundle  $(T(M), g_S)$  equipped with the Sasaki metric. On T(M) we also define an almost complex structure  $J_S$  by

$$J_S X^H = X^V, \ J_S X^V = -X^H, \text{ for all } X \in \mathfrak{X}(M).$$

It is known that  $(T(M), g_S, J_S)$  is an almost Käherian manifold [6]. Hence, the Kähler 2-form  $\Omega_S = g_S(J_S \cdot, \cdot)$  may be considered as a magnetic field on T(M).

A vector field  $\xi \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$  will be thought as a map from (M, g) to  $(T(M), g_S, J_S)$ . In the book of Dragomir and Perrone [7], the authors write the following formula

$$\tau(\xi) = -\left\{ (\operatorname{trace}_g R(\nabla_{\bullet}\xi,\xi)\bullet)^H + (\Delta_g\xi)^V \right\} \circ \xi$$

Here  $\Delta_g$  denotes the rough Laplacian on vector fields, defined by

$$\Delta_g X = -\sum_{k=1}^n \left[ \nabla_{e_k} \nabla_{e_k} X - \nabla_{\nabla_{e_k} e_k} X \right],$$

where  $\{e_k\}_{k=1,\dots,n}$  is an orthonormal frame on M. We also have

$$J_S(\xi_*\xi) = \xi^V - (\nabla_\xi \xi)^H.$$

We state the following.

THEOREM 2.1. [14] Let (M, g) be a Riemannian manifold and  $(T(M), g_S, J_S)$ its tangent bundle endowed with the usual almost Kählerian structure. Let  $\xi$  be a vector field on M. Then  $\xi$  is a magnetic map with strength q associated to  $\xi$  itself and the Kähler magnetic field  $\Omega_S$  if and only if the following conditions hold:

(2.1) 
$$\operatorname{trace}_{g} R(\nabla_{\bullet}\xi,\xi) \bullet = q \,\nabla_{\xi}\,\xi$$

(2.2) 
$$\Delta_q \xi = -q\xi.$$

Consider a Killing vector field  $\xi$  on the Riemannian manifold (M, g). We know that:

LEMMA 2.1. A Killing vector field  $\xi$  on a Riemannian manifold (M, g) satisfies the equation  $\nabla^2_{XY}\xi = -R(\xi, X)Y$ , for all  $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ .

We ask now for  $\xi : (M, g) \to (T(M), g_S, J_S)$  to be a magnetic map. Then  $\xi$  must satisfy (2.2). But  $\Delta_g \xi = -\operatorname{trace}_g \nabla^2 \xi$ . Using the previous lemma, we get

$$\Delta_g \xi = \operatorname{trace}_g R(\xi, \bullet) \bullet$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\operatorname{Ric}(\xi, X) = \operatorname{trace}_g\{Z \mapsto R_{Z\xi}X\} = \sum_{i=1}^n g(e_i, R_{e_i\xi}X) = -\sum_{i=1}^n g(R_{e_i\xi}e_i, X)$$
$$= g(\operatorname{trace}_g R(\xi, \bullet) \bullet, X) = -qg(\xi, X), \quad \text{for all } X \in \mathfrak{X}(M).$$

So, if Q is the Ricci operator, that is g(QX, Y) = Ric(X, Y), for all X, Y tangent to M, then we get that  $Q\xi = -q\xi$ . We give the following.

PROPOSITION 2.1. If a Killing vector field is a magnetic map with strength q, then it is an eigenvector of the Ricci operator corresponding to the eigenfunction (-q).

REMARK 2.1. In the special case of Einstein manifolds, the strength q is related to the scalar curvature, namely  $q = -\frac{\text{scal}}{n}$ .

Suppose that M is a real space form  $M^n(c)$ , case when the curvature tensor is expressed as  $R_{XY}Z = c(g(Y,Z)X - g(X,Z)Y)$ , for all  $X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ . We can easily compute trace<sub>g</sub>  $R(\nabla_{\bullet}\xi,\xi) \bullet = c(\nabla_{\xi}\xi - \operatorname{div}(\xi))$ . As  $\xi$  is Killing, its divergence is zero and thus, the magnetic equation becomes

(2.3) 
$$(c-q)\nabla_{\xi}\xi = 0.$$

We obtained the following.

THEOREM 2.2. Let  $\xi$  be a Killing vector field on a real space form  $M^n(c)$ ,  $n \ge 2$ . If  $\xi$  is a non-harmonic magnetic map with strength q, then q = (1-n)c and  $\xi$  is self parallel, case in which it has constant length.

PROOF. Note that a real space form  $M^n(c)$  is Einstein and its scalar curvature is scal = cn(n-1). So, as  $\xi$  is magnetic, cf. Remark 2.1, we must have q = (1-n)c. Obviously, equation (2.3) is satisfied if q = c. In this situation we get that M is flat and q = 0, that is  $\xi$  is a harmonic vector field. If  $q \neq c$  then  $\nabla_{\xi}\xi = 0$ . As  $\xi$  is Killing, we have

$$g(\nabla_{\xi}\xi, X) + g(\xi, \nabla_X\xi) = 0$$
, for all  $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ .

It follows that the length of  $\xi$  is constant.

In the end of this section we propose the study of the following problem: Study non-harmonic magnetic Killing vector fields on the unit sphere  $\mathbb{S}^n$ .

### 3. Magnetic vector fields on almost contact metric manifolds

A  $(\varphi, \xi, \eta)$ -structure on a manifold M is defined by a field  $\varphi$  of endomorphisms of tangent spaces, a vector field  $\xi$  and a 1-form  $\eta$  satisfying

$$\eta(\xi) = 1, \quad \varphi^2 = -\mathbf{I} + \eta \otimes \xi, \quad \varphi \xi = 0, \quad \eta \circ \varphi = 0.$$

If  $(M, \varphi, \xi, \eta)$  admits a compatible Riemannian metric g, namely

$$g(\varphi X, \varphi Y) = g(X, Y) - \eta(X)\eta(Y), \text{ for all } X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M),$$

then M is said to have an almost contact metric structure, and  $(M, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$  is called an almost contact metric manifold. It follows that  $\eta(X) = g(\xi, X)$ , for any  $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$  and  $\xi$  is unitary.

The fundamental 2-form  $\Omega$  is defined by  $\Omega(X, Y) = g(\varphi X, Y)$ , for any vector fields X and Y. Recall that a *contact metric manifold* is an almost contact metric manifold such that  $\Omega = d\eta$ . If in addition the structure is normal, that is the normality tensor field  $N = [\varphi, \varphi] + 2d\eta \otimes \xi$  vanishes, then the manifold M is called a *Sasakian* manifold. Here  $[\varphi, \varphi]$  denotes the Nijenhuis tensor of  $\varphi$ . Denoting by  $\nabla$  the Levi-Civita connection associated to g, the Sasakian manifold  $(M, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ is characterized by  $(\nabla_X \varphi)Y = -g(X, Y)\xi + \eta(Y)X$ , for any  $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ . As a consequence, we have  $\nabla_X \xi = \varphi X$ , for all  $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ . A systematic study of these structures is presented in the two books of Blair [4, 5]. However, we use the sign convention given by Sasaki, see e.g., [12].

On the other hand, a *Kenmotsu manifold* can be defined as a normal almost contact metric manifold such that  $d\eta = 0$  and  $d\Omega = 2\eta \wedge \Omega$ . These manifolds can be characterized using their Levi-Civita connection, by requiring

$$(\nabla_X \varphi)Y = g(\varphi X, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)\varphi X$$
, for every  $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ .

In our previous paper [14], we find some conditions when the Reeb vector field  $\xi$  on a Sasakian space form is magnetic, that is satisfies the condition in Theorem 2.1. We obtain that q = -2n.

Let us analyze the property of the characteristic vector field  $\xi$  on a Kenmotsu manifold to be magnetic. Recall the following two useful formulas:

$$\nabla_X \xi = X - \eta(X)\xi,$$
  

$$R(X,\xi)Y = g(X,Y)\xi - \eta(Y)X, \text{ for every } X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M).$$

Compute trace<sub>g</sub>  $R(\nabla_{\bullet}\xi,\xi)$ •. To do this, consider as usual, a  $\varphi$  adapted orthonormal basis  $\{e_i, \varphi e_i, \xi\}, i = 1, \ldots, n$ . We have  $\nabla_{e_i}\xi = e_i, \nabla_{\varphi e_i}\xi = \varphi e_i, \nabla_{\xi}\xi = 0$ . Hence

$$\operatorname{trace}_{g} R(\nabla_{\bullet}\xi,\xi) \bullet = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[ R(e_{i},\xi)e_{i} + R(\varphi e_{i},\xi)\varphi e_{i} \right]$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[ g(e_{i},e_{i})\xi + g(\varphi e_{i},\varphi e_{i})\xi \right] = 2n\xi.$$

Thus, the equation (2.1) becomes  $2n\xi = 0$ , which is a contradiction.

As a matter of fact, for the second condition of Theorem 2.1, we have

$$\Delta_g \xi = -\sum_{i=1}^n \left[ \left( \nabla_{e_i} \nabla_{e_i} \xi - \nabla_{\nabla_{e_i} e_i} \xi \right) + \left( \nabla_{\varphi e_i} \nabla_{\varphi e_i} \xi - \nabla_{\nabla_{\varphi e_i} \varphi e_i} \xi \right) \right]$$
$$= -\sum_{i=1}^n \left[ \eta(\nabla_{e_i} e_i) \xi + \eta(\nabla_{\varphi e_i} \varphi e_i) \xi \right] = 2n\xi.$$

Therefore,  $\xi$  is an eigenvector of the rough Laplacian with corresponding eigenfunction q = -2n. We conclude with the following.

**PROPOSITION 3.1.** The characteristic vector field of a Kenmotsu manifold is not magnetic.

Next we would like to make some comments on the same problem in a cosymplectic manifold. Recall that a *cosymplectic manifold* is an almost contact metric manifold for which the three tensor fields  $\varphi$ ,  $\xi$  and  $\eta$  are parallel. Therefore, the first condition in the Theorem 2.1 is automatically satisfied. Since  $\Delta_g \xi = 0$ , the second condition implies q = 0, that is  $\xi$  is a harmonic map. We conclude with the following.

**PROPOSITION 3.2.** If the characteristic vector field of a cosymplectic manifold is magnetic, then it is harmonic.

At this point we propose another problem:

Study the property of  $\xi$  of being a magnetic map on a generalized Sasakian space form. See [1].

We end this section with some comments concerning the condition  $\operatorname{div}(\xi) = 0$ used in finding the magnetic equation. Because some readers may think that the divergence free condition for  $\xi$  is too strong or artificial, we mention that this condition is often satisfied. For example, on almost contact metric manifolds, we know the following:

- The characteristic vector field  $\xi$  of a contact metric manifold is divergence free.
- In addition, cosymplectic manifolds have divergence free  $\xi$ .
- However,  $\xi$  is not always divergence free; e.g. on Kenmotsu manifolds, we have

div 
$$\xi = \sum_{i=1}^{n} g(\nabla_{e_i}\xi, e_i) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} g(\nabla_{\varphi e_i}\xi, \varphi e_i)$$
  
$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} g(e_i, e_i) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} g(\varphi e_i, \varphi e_i) = 2n \neq 0$$

## 4. More examples of magnetic maps

**4.1. H-minimal submanifolds.** Let N be an n-dimensional Lagrangian submanifold in a Kähler manifold M. Then  $\zeta := -JH/n$  is a globally defined tangent vector field on M. Here H is the mean curvature vector field. In our previous paper [13], we showed that the inclusion map  $\iota : N \to M$  satisfies  $\tau(\iota) = J\iota_*\zeta$ .

According to Oh [19], a Lagrangian submanifold N is said to be *Hamiltonian*minimal (in short *H*-minimal) if it is a critical point of the volume functional under compactly supported smooth variations arising from Hamiltonian deformations.

The Euler–Lagrange equation of this variational problem is div (JH) = 0, that is  $\zeta$  is divergence free.

This implies that every H-minimal Lagrangian submanifold N is magnetic with respect to  $\zeta = -JH/n$  and the Kähler form of M.

**4.2. L-minimal submanifolds.** In Sasakian geometry, one introduces the notion of *L*-minimal immersion as follows:

DEFINITION 4.1. [16] An *n*-dimensional Legendrian submanifold N in a Sasakian manifold M is said to be *L*-minimal if it is a critical point of the volume functional under compactly supported smooth variations arising from Legendre deformations.

The Euler–Lagrange equation of this variational problem is  $\operatorname{div}(\varphi \mathsf{H}) = 0$ .

One can check that every Legendrian submanifold satisfies  $\tau(\iota) = \phi \iota_* \zeta$ , where the vector field  $\zeta$  is defined globally on N by  $\zeta := -\varphi \mathsf{H}/n$ .

Thus every L-minimal Legendrian submanifold in a Sasakian manifold is magnetic with respect to the divergence free vector field  $\zeta$  and the contact form on M.

**4.3.** Magnetic hypersurfaces in complex space forms. Let (M, g, J) be a Kähler manifold of complex dimension n and let  $f : N \to (M, g, J)$  be an orientable real hypersurface with unit normal vector field  $\nu$ . Then the Kähler structure (g, J) induces an almost contact metric structure  $(\varphi, \xi, \eta, h)$  on N as follows. First, define the vector field  $\xi$  by  $f_*\xi = -J\nu$ . Next  $(\varphi, \eta)$  are defined by the formula

$$Jf_*X = f_*\varphi X + \eta(X)\nu$$

for all tangent vector X on N. Finally, we set  $h = f^*g$ .

Then the Levi-Civita connections  $\widetilde{\nabla}$  of M and  $\nabla$  of N are related by the following *Gauss formula* and *Weingarten formula*:

$$\widetilde{\nabla}_X f_* Y = f_* \nabla_X Y + g(AX, Y)\nu, \ ]; \\ \widetilde{\nabla}_X \nu = -f_* AX, \ X \in \mathfrak{X}(N).$$

The endomorphism field A is called the *shape operator* of N derived from  $\nu$ . We know that

$$(\nabla_X \varphi)Y = \eta(Y)AX - g(AX, Y)\xi, \ \nabla_X \xi = \varphi AX.$$

The following result is fundamental (see [7]).

PROPOSITION 4.1. The structure vector field  $\xi$  is divergence free.

PROOF. We can compute

div 
$$\xi = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} g(\nabla_{e_i}\xi, e_i) + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} g(\nabla_{\phi e_i}\xi, \phi e_i) + g(\nabla_{\xi}\xi, \xi)$$
  
=  $\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} g(\phi A e_i, e_i) + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} g(\phi A \phi e_i, \phi e_i) + g(\phi A \xi, \xi).$ 

We note that  $g(\phi A\xi, \xi) = 0$ . Next we have

$$\langle \phi A \phi e_i, \phi e_i \rangle = -\langle A \phi e_i, \phi^2 e_i \rangle = \langle A \phi e_i, e_i \rangle = \langle \phi e_i, A e_i \rangle = -\langle e_i, \phi A e_i \rangle$$

Thus  $\xi$  is divergence free.

The tension field  $\tau(f)$  is given by  $\tau(f) = (2n-1)H\nu$ . Here H is the mean curvature function. If  $\Omega = g(J \cdot, \cdot)$  is considered as a magnetic field on M, then the magnetic equation for the immersion f with respect to  $\{\xi, \Omega\}$  and strength q is computed as

$$(2n-1)H\nu = qJ(f_*\xi) = qJ(-J\nu) = q\nu$$

Thus f is magnetic with respect to  $\{\xi, \Omega\}$  if and only if q = (2n - 1)H.

PROPOSITION 4.2. [13] Let  $f : N \to (M, g, J)$  be an orientable real hypersurface of constant mean curvature H with induced almost contact metric structure  $(\varphi, \xi, \eta, h)$ . Then f is a magnetic map with respect to the structure vector field  $\xi$ and the Kähler magnetic field  $\Omega$  with strength q = (2n - 1)H.

Now, we add one more example to our previous list of magnetic real hypersurfaces in complex space forms and complex Grassmannian manifolds given in [13], namely magnetic real hypersurfaces in complex quadrics.

EXAMPLE 4.1. In [3], Berndt and Suh studied real hypersurfaces in the Grassmannian manifold  $\widetilde{\operatorname{Gr}}_2(\mathbb{R}^{m+2})$  of *oriented* 2-planes in Euclidean (m+2)-space. As is well known, the Grassmannian manifold  $\widetilde{\operatorname{Gr}}_2(\mathbb{R}^{m+2})$  is identified with the complex quadric

$$\mathfrak{Q}_m = \{ [z_1 : z_2 : \dots : z_{m+2}] \in \mathbb{C}P^{m+1} \mid z_1^2 + z_2^2 + \dots + z_{m+2}^2 = 0 \}$$

in the complex projective (m+1)-space.

When we equip the ambient projective space with the Fubini–Study metric of constant holomorphic sectional curvature 4, then  $\Omega_m = SO(m+2)/SO(2) \times SO(m)$  is a Hermitian symmetric space of rank 2 and maximal sectional curvature 4 with respect to the induced metric g. The Ricci tensor is given by Ric = 2mg.

Hereafter we assume that  $m \ge 3$ . For m = 2k, the map

$$[z_1:z_2:\cdots:z_{k+1}]\mapsto [z_1:z_2:\cdots:z_{k+1}:iz_1:iz_2:\cdots:iz_{k+1}]$$

defines a totally geodesic complex immersion of  $\mathbb{C}P^k$  into  $\mathfrak{Q}_{2k} \subset \mathbb{C}P^{2k+1}$ .

For  $r \in (0, \pi/2)$ , the tube around  $\mathbb{C}P^k$  is a homogeneous real hypersurface with principal curvatures  $\lambda_1 = 2 \cot(2r)$ ,  $\lambda_2 = 0$ ,  $\lambda_3 = -\tan r$ ,  $\lambda_4 = \cot r$  and multiplicities  $m_1 = 1$ ,  $m_2 = 2$ ,  $m_3 = m_4 = 2k - 2$ .

In case m = 2, i.e., k = 1, we have  $\mathbb{C}P^1 \subset \mathbb{Q}_2 = \mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$ . The principal curvatures of a tube around  $\mathbb{C}P^1$  are 0 and  $2 \cot(2r)$ .

The inclusion map of a tube  $M_r$  of radius r around  $\mathbb{C}P^k$  into  $\mathbb{Q}_{2k}$  is a magnetic immersion with respect to the magnetic field  $F = \Omega$  with strength

$$q = m_1 \lambda_1 + m_2 \lambda_2 + m_3 \lambda_3 + m_4 \lambda_4 = 2(2k - 1) \cot 2r.$$

4.4. Harmonic unit vector fields as magnetic maps. A unit vector field  $\xi$  on a Riemannian manifold (M, g) is said to be a harmonic unit vector field if it is a critical point of the energy functional over the space  $\mathfrak{X}_1(M)$  of all smooth unit vector fields on M. The Euler-Lagrange equation of this variational problem is  $\Delta_g \xi = |\xi|^2 \xi$ . Moreover it is known that  $\xi$  is a harmonic map from (M, g) into the unit tangent sphere bundle U(M) with the metric induced from  $g_S$  if and only if  $\xi$  is a harmonic unit vector field and satisfies trace  $R(\nabla_{\bullet}\xi, \xi) \bullet = 0$  (see [7]).

Comparing the harmonic map equation for  $\xi : M \to U(M)$  and magnetic equation for  $\xi : M \to T(M)$  we have

PROPOSITION 4.3. Let  $\xi$  be a unit vector field on a Riemannian manifold (M,g). Assume that  $\xi$  satisfies

- $\xi$  is divergence free, (optional condition)
- $\nabla_{\xi}\xi = 0$ ,
- $|\nabla \xi|$  is constant
- $\xi: M \to U(M)$  is a harmonic map.

Then  $\xi$  is a magnetic map into T(M) with strength  $q = -|\nabla \xi|^2$ .

**4.5.** Magnetic vector fields on real hypersurfaces. An oriented real hypersurface N of a Kähler manifold M is said to be *Hopf* if the structure vector field  $\xi$  introduced in subsection 4.3 is a principal vector field. In that case, if  $A\xi = \alpha\xi$ , then  $\alpha$  is called *the Hopf principal curvature* on N. It is easy to check that  $\xi$  satisfies  $\nabla_{\xi}\xi = 0$  if and only if N is Hopf.

The following results are direct consequences of  $[\mathbf{20}, \text{ Theorem 3.2}]$  due to Perrone.

PROPOSITION 4.4. Let  $N \subset M$  be an oriented Hopf hypersurface of a Kähler-Einstein manifold. Then the structure vector field  $\xi$  satisfies:

- (1)  $\xi$  is a harmonic unit vector field if and only if grad  $H = \xi(H)\xi$ , where H is the mean curvature function.
- (2) If the principal curvature  $\alpha$  corresponding to  $\xi$  is constant along the trajectories of  $\xi$  then  $\xi(H) = 0$ .

COROLLARY 4.1. Let  $N \subset M$  be an oriented Hopf hypersurface of a Kähler-Einstein manifold satisfying  $\xi(\alpha) = 0$ . Then  $\xi$  is a harmonic map into U(N) if and only if the mean curvature is constant.

Complex space forms are typical examples of Kähler–Einstein manifolds.

THEOREM 4.1. Let N be an oriented Hopf hypersurface with constant principal curvatures in a complex space form M. Then the characteristic vector field  $\xi$  of N is a magnetic map with strength  $q = -|A|^2 + \alpha^2$ .

PROOF. Let N be an oriented Hopf hypersurface with constant principal curvatures in a complex space form M. Then  $\xi$  satisfies

$$\Delta_q \xi = |\nabla \xi|^2 \xi, \ \text{trace}_q R(\nabla_{\bullet} \xi, \xi) \bullet = 0, \ \nabla_{\xi} \xi = 0.$$

Since all the principal curvatures are constant and  $\nabla \xi = \varphi A$ , we have  $|\nabla \xi|^2 = |A|^2 - \alpha^2$ . Hence  $\xi$  is a magnetic map with strength  $q = -|A|^2 + \alpha^2$ .

As is well known, a complete and simply connected complex space form is a complex projective space  $\mathbb{C}P^n(c)$ , a complex Euclidean space  $\mathbb{C}^n$  or a complex hyperbolic space  $\mathbb{C}H^n(c)$ , according as c > 0, c = 0 or c < 0. Hopf hypersurfaces in  $\mathbb{C}P^n(c)$  and  $\mathbb{C}H^n(c)$  are classified by Kimura [17] and Berndt [2], respectively.

Of course, one can check that characteristic vector fields of all homogeneous Hopf real hypersurfaces in  $\mathbb{C}P^n(c)$  and  $\mathbb{C}H^n(c)$  are magnetic maps into tangent bundles. However, we exhibit here only few examples.

EXAMPLE 4.2 (Type A hypersurfaces). Let us consider

$$\hat{M}_k(r) := \mathbb{S}^{2k+1}(\cos r) \times \mathbb{S}^{2n-1-2k}(\sin r) \subset \mathbb{S}^{2n+1}, \ 0 \leqslant k < n, \ 0 < r < \frac{\pi}{2}.$$

Then the Hopf projection image  $M_k(r)$  of  $M_k(r)$  is a Hopf hypersurface in the complex projective space  $\mathbb{C}P^n(4)$  of constant holomorphic sectional curvature 4. These hypersurfaces  $M_k(r)$  are referred as to type A hypersurfaces. Note that type A hypersurfaces are quasi-Sasakian. The type A hypersurface  $M_k(r)$  has constant principal curvatures  $\lambda_1 = -\tan r$ ,  $\lambda_2 = \cot r$ ,  $\alpha = 2\cot(2r)$ ,  $0 < r < \frac{\pi}{2}$  with multiplicities  $m_1 = 2k$ ,  $m_2 = 2(n-k-1)$ ,  $m_{\alpha} = 1$ . Then the characteristic vector field  $\xi$  is a magnetic map into T(M) with strength

$$q = -(m_1\lambda_1^2 + m_2\lambda_2^2) = -2\{k\tan^2 r + (n-k-1)\cot^2 r\} < 0.$$

EXAMPLE 4.3 (Horospheres). Let M be a horosphere in the complex hyperbolic n-space  $\mathbb{C}H^n(-4)$ . It is known that the horosphere in  $\mathbb{C}H^n(-4)$  is a Sasakian space form of constant holomorphic sectional curvature -3. The horosphere has constant principal curvatures  $\lambda = 1$  with multiplicity 2n - 2 and  $\alpha = 2$  with multiplicity 1. Then the strength is q = -2(n-1). This is consistent with Section 2.

EXAMPLE 4.4 (Type B hypersurfaces). Let M be a tube over totally real and totally geodesic real hyperbolic space  $\mathbb{H}^n$  in the complex hyperbolic *n*-space  $\mathbb{C}H^n(-4)$ of constant holomorphic sectional curvature -4. Then M is a Hopf hypersurface with constant principal curvatures having the form

$$\lambda_1 = \frac{1}{r} \operatorname{coth} u, \ \lambda_2 = \frac{1}{r} \tanh u, \ \alpha = \frac{2}{r} \tanh (2u)$$

with multiplicities  $m_1 = m_2 = n - 1$ ,  $m_\alpha = 1$ . Hence the characteristic vector field  $\xi$  is a magnetic map into T(M) with strength

$$q = -(m_1\lambda_1^2 + m_2\lambda_2^2) = -\frac{n-1}{r^2} \{ \coth^2 u + \tanh^2 u \} < 0.$$

Acknowledgments. The second author would like to thank organizers for warm hospitality, cordially atmosphere created in Zlatibor, as well as for supporting him to participate at this Seminar.

### References

- P. Alegre, A. Carriazo, Structures on generalized Sasakian-space-forms, Diff. Geom. Appl. 26 (2008), 656–666.
- J. Berndt, Real hypersurfaces with constant principal curvatures in complex hyperbolic space, J. Reine Angew. Math. 395 (1989), 132–141.

#### INOGUCHI AND MUNTEANU

- [3] J. Berndt, Y.J. Suh, Real hypersurfaces with isometric Reeb flow in complex quadrics, Internat. J. Math. 24 (2013), 1350050, 18 pages.
- [4] D. E. Blair, Contact Manifolds in Riemannian Geometry, Lect. Notes Math. 509, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, New York, 1976.
- [5] \_\_\_\_\_, Riemannian Geometry of Contact and Symplectic Manifolds, Progress in Math. 203, 2002, Birkhäuser, Boston-Basel-Berlin.
- [6] P. Dombrowski, On the Geometry of the Tangent Bundle, J. Reine Angew. Math. 210 (1962), 73–88.
- [7] S. Dragomir, D. Perrone, Harmonic Vector Fields. Variational Principles and Differential Geometry, Elsevier, 2012.
- [8] S. L. Druţă-Romaniuc, J. Inoguchi, M. I. Munteanu, A. I. Nistor, Magnetic curves in Sasakian manifolds, J. Nonlinear Math. Phys. 22(3) (2015), 428–447.
- [9] \_\_\_\_\_, Magnetic curves in cosymplectic manifolds, Rep. Math. Phys. 78(1) (2016), 33–48.
- [10] S. L. Druţă-Romaniuc, M. I. Munteanu, Magnetic curves corresponding to Killing magnetic fields in E<sup>3</sup>, J. Math. Phys. 52(11) (2011), 113506.
- [11] J. Eells, L. Lemaire, Selected Topics in Harmonic Maps, Conf. Board Math. Sci 50, 1983.
- [12] M. Harada, On Sasakian submanifolds, (Collection of articles dedicated to Shigeo Sasaki on his sixtieth birthday), Tohoku Math. J. 25(2) (1973), 103–109.
- [13] J. Inoguchi, M. I. Munteanu, *Magnetic maps*, Int. J. Geom. Methods Mod. Phys. **11**(6) (2014), art. 1450058, (22 pages).
- [14] \_\_\_\_\_, New examples of magnetic maps involving tangent bundles, Rend. Semin. Mat., Univ. Politec. Torino (spec. issue: Proc. Conf. Geometric Structures on Riemmannian Manifolds) 73(1-2) (2015), 101–116.
- [15] \_\_\_\_\_, Periodic magnetic curves in Berger spheres, Tôhoku Math. J. **69**(1) (2017), 113–128.
- [16] H. Iriyeh, Hamiltonian minimal Lagrangian cones in  ${\bf C}^m,$  Tokyo J. Math. 28(1) (2005), 91–107.
- [17] M. Kimura, Real hypersurfaces and complex submanifolds in complex projective space, Trans. Am. Math. Soc. 296(1) (1986), 137–149.
- [18] M.I. Munteanu, A. I. Nistor, The classification of Killing magnetic curves in S<sup>2</sup> × ℝ, J. Geom. Phys. 62(2) (2012), 170–182.
- [19] Y.G. Oh, Volume minimization of Lagrangian submanifolds under Hamiltonian deformations, Math. Z. 212 (1993), 175–192.
- [20] D. Perrone, The rough Laplacian and harmonicity of Hopf vector fields, Ann. Global Anal. Geom. 28 (2005), 91–106.
- [21] H. Urakawa, Calculus of Variations and Harmonic Maps, Translations of Mathematical Monographs 132, 1993.

Institute of Mathematics University of Tsukuba 1-1-1 Tennodai Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-8571, Japan inoguchi@math.tsukuba.ac.jp

Al. I. Cuza University of Iasi Faculty of Mathematics Iasi, Romania marian.ioan.munteanu@gmail.com