

## BI-LIPSCHITZITY OF QUASICONFORMAL HARMONIC MAPPINGS IN $n$ -DIMENSIONAL SPACE WITH RESPECT TO $k$ -METRIC

Shadia Shalandi

ABSTRACT. We explore conditions which guarantee bi-Lipschitzity of harmonic quasiconformal maps with respect to  $k$ -metric. We prove that harmonic  $k$ -quasiconformal maps with nonzero Jacobian between any two domains in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  are bi-Lipschitz with respect to  $k$ -metric, and prove the converse too.

### 1. Introduction

We prove results about bi-Lipschitzity of harmonic  $k$ -qc mappings  $f: D_1 \rightarrow D_2$ , where  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  are arbitrary proper subdomains of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , with respect to  $k$ -metric.

Similar problems have been studied at the Belgrade Seminar for Complex Analysis. In [1], Mateljević proved such a result in  $n$ -dimensional space, but only in the case when both  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  are the upper half space in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Also, in the same paper, Proposition 5 gives an estimate in dimension 2 for minimal and maximal moduli of directional derivative at a point, in terms of distance to the boundary, for arbitrary codomain. As a corollary, he proved that every harmonic quasiconformal map of the unit disk is a quasi-isometry with respect to hyperbolic distances. He posed a question if analogue of Proposition 5 holds in higher dimensions. In the case  $n = 2$ , Manojlović proved in [2] that, when  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  are arbitrary domains in the plane, then harmonic quasiconformal maps are bi-Lipschitz with respect to  $k$ -metric.

Note that the Lipschitz condition for maps between domains in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  was obtained by Mateljević and Vourinen [6]. Here a different proof, based on results of Božin and Mateljević [3], is given.

Let  $B^n(x, r) = \{z \in \mathbb{R}^n : \|z - x\| < r\}$ ,  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}(x, r) = \partial B^n(x, r)$ , and let  $B^n, \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$  stand for the unit ball and the unit sphere in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , respectively. For a domain  $G \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  let  $\rho: G \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  be a continuous function. We say that  $\rho$  is a

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 30C65; 42B37.

*Key words and phrases*: harmonic maps, quasi-conformal maps,  $k$ -metric, bi-Lipschitz maps. Communicated by Miodrag Mateljević.

metric density if, for every locally rectifiable curve  $\gamma$  in  $G$ , the integral

$$l_\rho(\gamma) = \int_\gamma \rho(x) ds,$$

exists. In this case we call  $l_\rho(\gamma)$  the  $\rho$ -length of  $\gamma$ . A metric density  $d_\rho: G \times G \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  defines a metric as follows. For  $a, b \in G$ , let  $d_\rho(a, b) = \inf_\gamma l_\rho(\gamma)$ , where the infimum is taken over all locally rectifiable curves in  $G$  joining  $a$  and  $b$ . For a fixed  $a, b \in G$ , suppose that there exists a  $d_\rho$ -length minimizing curve  $\gamma: [0, 1] \rightarrow G$  with  $\gamma(0) = a$ ,  $\gamma(1) = b$  such that  $d_\rho(a, b) = l_\rho(\gamma|_{[0, t]}) + l_\rho(\gamma|_{[t, 1]})$ , for all  $t \in [0, 1]$ . Then  $\gamma$  is called a geodesic segment joining  $a$  and  $b$ .

In dimensions  $n \geq 3$ , we do not have a Riemann mapping theorem, and it is natural to look for counterparts of the hyperbolic metric. So-called hyperbolic type metrics have been the subject of many recent papers. One of the most important of these metrics is the quasihyperbolic metric  $k_G$  of a domain  $G \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ . The quasihyperbolic  $k$ -metric  $k = k_G$  of  $G$  is a particular case of the geodesic metric  $d_\rho$  when  $\rho(x) = 1/d(x, \partial G)$  [4, 5], where  $d(x, \partial G)$  is the distance from point  $x$  to the boundary of  $G$ .

We will consider Euclidean harmonic maps, also called harmonic maps in this paper, i.e., those with zero Laplacian of each coordinate function. Also, we will deal with quasiconformal maps. For a domain  $D$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , a map  $f: D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  is  $K$ -quasiconformal if it is a homeomorphism of  $D$  to  $f(D)$ , and if  $f$  belongs to the Sobolev space  $W_{1, \text{loc}}^n(D)$  and there exists  $K$ ,  $1 \leq K < \infty$ , such that  $\|Df(x)\|^n \leq K J_f(x)$  a.e. on  $D$ , where  $\|Df(x)\|$  denote the operator norm of the Jacobian matrix of  $f$  at  $x$ .

Our main result is that harmonic  $k$ -quasiconformal mappings which do not have zero of Jacobian  $f: D_1 \rightarrow D_2$  are bi-Lipschitz. This result is based on two Theorems from [3]. We also prove that every harmonic mappings  $f: D_1 \rightarrow D_2$  which is bi-Lipschitz with respect to  $k$ -metric is quasiconformal, where  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  are domains in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

## 2. Background

In this section we give some background results which will be used in our main proofs.

**THEOREM 2.1.** [6] *Let  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  be two domains in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and let  $\rho_1$  and  $\rho_2$  be two densities,  $ds = \rho_1(z)|dz|$ , and  $ds = \rho_2(w)|dw|$  where  $|dz|$ , and  $|dw|$  stand for Euclidean metric, and  $\Lambda_f(z)$ ,  $\lambda_f(z)$  are respectively the maximum and the minimum of modulus of eigenvalues of the Jacobian matrix at  $z$ , and suppose that  $f: D_1 \rightarrow D_2$  is a  $C^1$  quasiconformal mapping*

- (A) *If there is a positive constant  $c_1$  such that at every point  $z$ ,  $\rho_2(f(z))\Lambda_f(z) \leq c_1\rho_1(z)$ ,  $z \in D_1$ , then  $d_{\rho_2}(f(z_1), f(z_2)) \leq c_1d_{\rho_1}(z_1, z_2)$ .*
- (B) *If  $f(D_1) = D_2$ , and there is a positive constant  $c_2$  such that at every point  $z$ ,  $\lambda_f(z)\rho_2(f(z)) \geq c_2\rho_1(z)$ ,  $z \in D_1$ , then  $d_{\rho_2}(f(z_1), f(z_2)) \geq c_2d_{\rho_1}(z_1, z_2)$ ,  $z_1, z_2 \in D_1$ .*

For convenience, we give a proof of this known result.

PROOF. Part (A). Suppose that  $\gamma$  is geodesic with parametrization

$$\gamma(t) = (\gamma_1(t), \gamma_2(t), \gamma_3(t), \dots, \gamma_n(t)),$$

and derivative

$$\gamma'(t) = (\gamma'_1(t), \gamma'_2(t), \gamma'_3(t), \dots, \gamma'_n(t)).$$

Let  $\gamma_*(t) = f(\gamma(t))$ ; then  $\gamma'_*(t) = D_f(\gamma(t))\gamma'(t)$ , and  $\|\gamma'_*(t)\| \leq \Lambda_f \|\gamma'(t)\|$ . We have

$$d_{\rho_1}(z_1, z_2) = \inf_{\gamma} \int_{\gamma} \rho_1(z) |dz| \leq \int_0^1 \rho_1(\gamma(t)) \|\gamma'(t)\| dt.$$

Letting  $w_1 = f(z_1)$  and  $w_2 = f(z_2)$ , we can write

$$d_{\rho_2}(w_1, w_2) = \inf_{\gamma_*} \int_{\gamma_*} \rho_2(w) |dw| \leq \int_0^1 \rho_2(\gamma_*(t)) \|\gamma'_*(t)\| dt.$$

Using change of variable,

$$d_{\rho_2}(w_1, w_2) \leq \int_0^1 \rho_2(f(\gamma(t))) \Lambda_f \|\gamma'(t)\| dt,$$

and by (A), we get

$$d_{\rho_2}(w_1, w_2) \leq c_1 \int_0^1 \rho_1(\gamma(t)) \|\gamma'(t)\| dt \leq c_1 \int_{\gamma} \rho_1(z) |dz| \leq c_1 d_{\rho_1}(z_1, z_2).$$

Then  $d_{\rho_2}(f(z_1), f(z_2)) \leq c_1 d_{\rho_1}(z_1, z_2)$ .

Part (B). Let  $g$  be an inverse function of  $f$ . We have  $f(z_1) = w_1 \rightarrow z_1 = g(w_1)$  and  $f(z_2) = w_2 \rightarrow z_2 = g(w_2)$ .

Let  $\gamma(t) = g(\gamma_*(t))$ ; then  $\gamma'(t) = D_g(\gamma_*(t))\gamma'_*(t)$ , and thus  $\|\gamma'(t)\| \leq \Lambda_g \|\gamma'_*(t)\|$ . Here  $\Lambda_g = \frac{1}{\lambda_f}$ , because  $D_g(w) = [D_f(z)]^{-1}$ . It follows that

$$d_{\rho_1}(g(w_1), g(w_2)) = \inf_{\gamma} \int_{\gamma} \rho_1(z) |dz| \leq \int_0^1 \rho_1(\gamma(t)) \|D_g(\gamma_*(t))\gamma'_*(t)\| dt.$$

By assumption in (B), we get

$$(2.1) \quad d_{\rho_1}(g(w_1), g(w_2)) \leq \int_0^1 \rho_1(g(\gamma_*(t))) \frac{1}{\lambda_f} \|\gamma'_*(t)\| dt \leq \frac{1}{c_2} \int_0^1 \rho_2(\gamma_*(t)) \|\gamma'_*(t)\| dt \\ \leq \frac{1}{c_2} \int_{\gamma_*} \rho_2(w) |dw| \leq \frac{1}{c_2} d_{\rho_2}(w_1, w_2).$$

Then  $c_2 d_{\rho_1}(z_1, z_2) \leq d_{\rho_2}(f(z_1), f(z_2))$ .  $\square$

In the following two theorems from [3, Theorems 4.1 and 4.2], nonzero Jacobian families are defined as closed families of harmonic maps with nonzero Jacobians (see [3]).

**THEOREM 2.2.** *For every nonzero Jacobian closed family of  $k$ -quasiconformal harmonic maps, there is a constant  $c > 0$ , such that if  $f: B^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  is from the family,  $d(0, \partial f(B^n)) \geq 1$ , and  $f(0) = 0$ , then  $J_f(0) \geq c$ .*

**THEOREM 2.3.** *There is a constant  $c > 0$ , depending only on  $k$ , such that if  $f: D_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  is  $k$ -quasiconformal harmonic map,  $d(0, \partial f(B^n)) \leq 1$ , and  $f(0) = 0$ , then  $J_f(0) \leq c$ .*

We will also need the following well known theorem for qc maps, called local quasi-symmetry (see, for instance, [4]).

**THEOREM 2.4.** *If  $f: B^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  is a  $K$ -quasiconformal map and  $\hat{f}: \bar{B}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  its continuous extension, then for any two points  $a, b \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$*

$$\frac{d(\hat{f}(0), \hat{f}(a))}{d(\hat{f}(0), \hat{f}(b))} \leq c(k, n),$$

for some constant  $c(K, n)$  independent of  $f$ .

### 3. Bi-Lipschitzity with respect to $k$ -metric

**THEOREM 3.1.** *Suppose that  $f: D_1 \rightarrow D_2$ , where  $D_1, D_2 \subsetneq \mathbb{R}^n$ , is a harmonic quasi-conformal mapping, and that  $f$  belongs to a nonzero Jacobian family of harmonic maps, then the following holds for some constant  $C$*

$$\frac{1}{C} J_f^{\frac{1}{n}}(z) \leq \frac{d(f(z), \partial D_2)}{d(z, \partial D_1)} \leq C J_f^{\frac{1}{n}}(z).$$

**PROOF.** Let  $z_0$  be a point in  $D_1$ ,  $r_1 = d(z_0, \partial D_1)$ ,  $r_2 = d(f(z_0), \partial D_2)$ .

Let  $B(z_0, r_1)$  be the  $n$  dimensional ball centered at  $z_0$  of radius  $r_1$  and let  $D_3 = f(B(z_0, r_1))$ . Also assume that  $f$  is  $K$ -quasiconformal.

Define  $\hat{f}: B^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  by  $\hat{f}(z) = \frac{1}{r_2}(f(z_0 + r_1 z) - f(z_0))$ . Note that since  $D_3 \subseteq D_2$ , we have  $r_2 = d(f(z_0), \partial D_2) \geq d(f(z_0), \partial D_3)$ , and hence  $d(0, \partial \hat{f}(B^n)) \leq 1$ . We have

$$J_f(z_0) = \det \begin{bmatrix} \partial f_1 / \partial x_1 & \dots & \partial f_1 / \partial x_n \\ \partial f_2 / \partial x_1 & \dots & \partial f_2 / \partial x_n \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \partial f_n / \partial x_1 & \dots & \partial f_n / \partial x_n \end{bmatrix}$$

$$J_{\hat{f}}(0) = \left(\frac{1}{r_2}\right)^n \det \begin{bmatrix} r_1 \partial f_1 / \partial x_1 & \dots & r_1 \partial f_1 / \partial x_n \\ r_1 \partial f_2 / \partial x_1 & \dots & r_1 \partial f_2 / \partial x_n \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ r_1 \partial f_n / \partial x_1 & \dots & r_1 \partial f_n / \partial x_n \end{bmatrix} = \left(\frac{r_1}{r_2}\right)^n J_f(z_0).$$

Since,  $d(0, \partial \hat{f}(B^n)) \leq 1$ , by Theorem 2.3  $J_{\hat{f}}(0) \leq c$ , so

$$\frac{r_1^n}{r_2^n} J_f(z_0) \leq c$$

$$\frac{1}{c_1} J_f(z_0)^{\frac{1}{n}} \leq \frac{r_2}{r_1} = \frac{d(f(z), \partial D_2)}{d(z, \partial D_1)}$$

where  $c_1 = c^{1/n}$ .

Note that, by Theorem 2.4, for any point  $w \in \partial D_3$ ,

$$d(f(z_0), \partial D_3) \geq \frac{1}{c(K, n)} d(f(z_0), w).$$

So, since by our construction there is a point  $w$  which belongs to both  $\partial D_2$  and  $\partial D_3$ , we have

$$d(f(z_0), \partial D_3) \geq \frac{1}{c(K, n)} d(f(z_0), \partial D_2)$$

and so we have

$$d(f(z_0), \partial D_3) \geq \frac{r_2}{c(K, n)}.$$

Now again, define  $\hat{f}: B^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  by  $\hat{f}(z) = \frac{c(K, n)}{r_2} (f(z_0 + r_1 z) - f(z_0))$  for  $z \in B^n$ . Note that  $d(0, \partial \hat{f}(B^n)) \geq 1$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} J_f(z_0) &= \det \begin{bmatrix} \partial f_1 / \partial x_1 & \dots & \partial f_1 / \partial x_n \\ \partial f_2 / \partial x_1 & \dots & \partial f_2 / \partial x_n \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \partial f_n / \partial x_1 & \dots & \partial f_n / \partial x_n \end{bmatrix} \\ J_{\hat{f}}(0) &= \left( \frac{c(K, n)}{r_2} \right)^n = \det \begin{bmatrix} r_1 \partial f_1 / \partial x_1 & \dots & r_1 \partial f_1 / \partial x_n \\ r_1 \partial f_2 / \partial x_1 & \dots & r_1 \partial f_2 / \partial x_n \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ r_1 \partial f_n / \partial x_1 & \dots & r_1 \partial f_n / \partial x_n \end{bmatrix} \\ J_{\hat{f}}(0) &= c(K, n)^n \left( \frac{r_1}{r_2} \right)^n J_f(z_0). \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 2.2, since  $d(0, \partial \hat{f}(B^n)) \geq 1$ , we have  $J_{\hat{f}}(0) \geq c$ , so

$$\frac{r_1^n}{r_2^n} J_f(z_0) \geq \frac{c}{c(K, n)^n}.$$

Then

$$c_2 J_f(z_0)^{\frac{1}{n}} \geq \frac{r_2}{r_1} = \frac{d(f(z_0), \partial D_2)}{d(z_0, \partial D_1)}$$

where  $c_2 = \frac{c(K, n)}{c_1^{1/n}}$ . Finally, set  $C = \max(c_1, c_2)$ .  $\square$

A consequence of Theorem 3.1 is the following:

**THEOREM 3.2.** *Suppose that  $f: D_1 \rightarrow D_2$ , where  $D_1, D_2 \subsetneq \mathbb{R}^n$ , is a harmonic  $K$ -quasiconformal mapping, and that  $f$  belongs to a nonzero Jacobian family of harmonic maps. Then  $f$  is bi-Lipschitz with respect to  $k$ -metric.*

**PROOF.** From the quasiconformality condition and using that our map is  $C^1$ , we have a constant  $k$  such that at every point  $z$

$$\Lambda_f(z) \leq k J^{\frac{1}{n}}(z), \quad \lambda_f(z) \geq \frac{1}{k} J^{\frac{1}{n}}(z)$$

where  $\Lambda_f$  and  $\lambda_f$  are the greatest and smallest moduli of eigenvalues of the Jacobian matrix. By Theorem 3.1, there is a constant  $C$  such that

$$\frac{1}{C}J^{\frac{1}{n}}(z) \leq \frac{d(f(z), \partial D_2)}{d(z, \partial D_1)} \leq CJ^{\frac{1}{n}}(z).$$

The metric densities for  $k$  metrics are

$$\rho_1(z) = \frac{1}{d(z, \partial D_1)}, \quad \rho_2(z) = \frac{1}{d(w, \partial D_2)},$$

and so we have

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_2(f(z))\Lambda_f(z) &= \frac{1}{d(f(z), \partial D_2)}\Lambda_f(z) \leq \frac{1}{d(f(z), \partial D_2)}kJ^{\frac{1}{n}}(z) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{d(f(z), \partial D_2)}kC\frac{d(f(z), \partial D_2)}{d(z, \partial D_1)} = kC\frac{1}{d(z, \partial D_1)} = kC\rho_1(z), \\ \rho_2(f(z))\lambda_f(z) &= \frac{1}{d(f(z), \partial D_2)}\lambda_f(z) \geq \frac{1}{d(f(z), \partial D_2)}\frac{1}{k}J^{\frac{1}{n}}(z) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{d(f(z), \partial D_2)}\frac{1}{kC}\frac{d(f(z), \partial D_2)}{d(z, \partial D_1)} = \frac{1}{kC}\frac{1}{d(z, \partial D_1)} = \frac{1}{kC}\rho_1(z). \end{aligned}$$

Then by Theorem 2.1 the map  $f$  is bi-Lipschitz with respect to  $k$ -metric.  $\square$

**THEOREM 3.3.** *If a bijective harmonic map  $f: D_1 \rightarrow D_2$ , where  $D_1, D_2 \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ , is bi-Lipschitz with respect to  $k$ -metric, then it is a quasiconformal mapping.*

**PROOF.** Note that, by elliptic regularity,  $f$  is a  $C^1$  map. Let  $x, x + \Delta x$  be two points in  $D_1$  where  $\|\Delta x\| \rightarrow 0$ , and suppose that the Jacobian matrix  $D_f(x)$  maps unit sphere to ellipsoid with minimal and maximal axes equal to  $\lambda_f$  and  $\Lambda_f$  respectively, and let  $\rho_1$ , and  $\rho_2$  be metric density functions in  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  respectively. Assume that

$$\frac{1}{c}d_{\rho_2}(f(x), f(y)) \leq d_{\rho_1}(x, y) \leq cd_{\rho_2}(f(x), f(y)).$$

We prove that  $\frac{\Lambda_f}{\lambda_f} \leq c^2$ , wherefrom quasiconformality follows. As  $\Delta x \rightarrow 0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_{\rho_1}(x, x + \Delta x) &= \rho_1(x)\|\Delta x\|(1 + o(1)), \\ d_{\rho_2}(f(x), f(x + \Delta x)) &= \rho_2(x)\|Df\Delta x\|(1 + o(1)). \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\Lambda_f = \sup_{e, \|e\|=1} \|Df(x)e\| \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_f = \inf_{e, \|e\|=1} \|Df(x)e\|.$$

Suppose supremum is achieved for vector  $e_1$ , and infimum is achieved for  $e_2$  (since matrix multiplication is continuous, and unit sphere is compact, there have to be such vectors  $e_1$  and  $e_2$ ).

We are going to consider  $\Delta x = te_1$ ,  $t \rightarrow 0$  and  $\Delta x = te_2$ ,  $t \rightarrow 0$ . Putting  $\Delta x = te_1$ ,  $t \rightarrow 0$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_{\rho_1}(x, x + te_1) &= \rho_1(x)t(1 + o(1)) \text{ as } t \rightarrow 0 \\ d_{\rho_2}(f(x), f(x + te_1)) &= \rho_2(f(x))\Lambda_f t(1 + o(1)) \text{ as } t \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Putting  $\Delta x = te_2$ ,  $t \rightarrow 0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_{\rho_1}(x, x + te_2) &= \rho_1(x)t(1 + o(1)) \text{ as } t \rightarrow 0 \\ d_{\rho_2}(f(x), f(x + te_2)) &= \rho_2(f(x))\lambda_f t(1 + o(1)) \text{ as } t \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Using the bi-Lipschitz condition, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{c}\rho_2(f(x))t\lambda_f(1 + o(1)) &\leq \rho_1(x)t(1 + o(1)) \leq c\rho_2(f(x))t\lambda_f(1 + o(1)), \\ \frac{1}{c}\rho_2(f(x))t\Lambda_f(1 + o(1)) &\leq \rho_1(x)t(1 + o(1)) \leq c\rho_2(f(x))t\Lambda_f(1 + o(1)). \end{aligned}$$

Letting  $t$  tend to zero and dividing by  $t$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{c}\rho_2(f(x))\lambda_f &\leq \rho_1(x) \leq c\rho_2(f(x))\lambda_f, \\ \frac{1}{c}\rho_2(f(x))\Lambda_f &\leq \rho_1(x) \leq c\rho_2(f(x))\Lambda_f. \end{aligned}$$

So  $\frac{1}{c}\rho_2(f(x))\Lambda_f \leq c\rho_2(f(x))\lambda_f$ , wherefrom  $\frac{\Lambda_f}{\lambda_f} \leq c^2$ .  $\square$

Note that the proof of previous theorem assumes only that  $\rho_1$  and  $\rho_2$  are positive continuous and that  $f$  is  $C^1$ . So in fact we have proved

**THEOREM 3.4.** *Suppose that  $\rho_1, \rho_2$  are positive continuous metric densities defined in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  domains  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  respectively, and  $f: D_1 \rightarrow D_2$  is  $C^1$  bijection which is bi-Lipschitz with respect metrics  $d_{\rho_1}$  and  $d_{\rho_2}$ . Then  $f$  is a quasiconformal mapping.*

**Acknowledgments.** I wish to thank my advisor, Vladimir Božin, and Miša Arsenović for suggestions and discussion regarding this problem. I also thank the referee for pointing out the paper [7], that appeared while this paper was under review.

## References

3. V. Božin, M. Mateljević, *Bounds for Jacobian of Harmonic Injective Mappings in  $n$ -Dimensional Space*, Filomat **29**(9) (2015), 2119–2124.
5. F. W. Gehring, B. G. Osgood, *Uniform domains and the quasihyperbolic metric*, J. Anal. Math. **36** (1979), 50–74.
2. V. Manojlović, *Bi-Lipschitz of quasiconformal harmonic mappings in the plane*, Filomat **23**(1) (2009), 85–89.
1. M. Mateljević, *Distorsion of harmonic functions and harmonic quasiconformal quasi-isometry*, Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl. **51**(5–6) (2006), 711–722.
7. ———, *The Growth of Gradients of Solutions of Some Elliptic Equations and Bi-Lipschitz of QCH*, Filomat **31**(10) (2017), 3023–3034.
6. M. Mateljević, M. Vuorinen, *On Harmonic Quasiconformal Quasi-Isometries*, J. Inequal. Appl. **2010** (2010), Article ID 178732, 19 pp.
4. M. Vuorinen, *Conformal Geometry and Quasiregular Mappings*, Lect. Notes Math. **1319**, Springer, Berlin, 1988.

Department of Mathematics  
University of Belgrade  
Belgrade, Serbia  
Shado.shadia@yahoo.com

(Received 29 07 2016)  
(Revised 10 05 2017 and 21 06 2017)