

## KOROVKIN TYPE THEOREM FOR FUNCTIONS OF TWO VARIABLES VIA LACUNARY EQUISTATISTICAL CONVERGENCE

M. Mursaleen

ABSTRACT. Aktuğlu and Gezer [1] introduced the concepts of lacunary equi-statistical convergence, lacunary statistical pointwise convergence and lacunary statistical uniform convergence for sequences of functions. Recently, Kaya and Gönül [11] proved some analogs of the Korovkin approximation theorem via lacunary equi-statistical convergence by using test functions  $1, \frac{x}{1+x}, \frac{y}{1+y}, (\frac{x}{1+x})^2 + (\frac{y}{1+y})^2$ . We apply the notion of lacunary equi-statistical convergence to prove a Korovkin type approximation theorem for functions of two variables by using test functions  $1, \frac{x}{1-x}, \frac{y}{1-y}, (\frac{x}{1-x})^2 + (\frac{y}{1-y})^2$ .

### 1. Introduction and preliminaries

The following concept of statistical convergence for sequences of real numbers was introduced by Fast [6]. Let  $K \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  and  $K_n = \{j : j \leq n, j \in K\}$ . Then the *natural density* of  $K$  is defined by  $\delta(K) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |K_n|/n$  if the limit exists, where  $|K_n|$  denotes the *cardinality* of the set  $K_n$ .

A sequence  $x = (x_j)$  of real numbers is said to be *statistically convergent* to the number  $L$  if, for every  $\epsilon > 0$ , the set  $\{j : j \in \mathbb{N}, |x_j - L| \geq \epsilon\}$  has natural density zero, that is, if, for each  $\epsilon > 0$ , we have

$$\lim_n \frac{1}{n} |\{j : j \leq n, |x_j - L| \geq \epsilon\}| = 0.$$

By a lacunary sequence we mean an increasing integer sequence  $\theta = \{k_r\}$  such that  $k_0 = 0$  and  $h_r = k_r - k_{r-1} \rightarrow \infty$  as  $r \rightarrow \infty$ . Throughout this paper the intervals determined by  $\theta$  will be denoted by  $I_r = (k_{r-1}, k_r]$ , and the ratio  $k_r/k_{r-1}$  will be abbreviated by  $q_r$ .

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 41A10, 41A25, 41A36; Secondary 40A30, 40G15.

*Key words and phrases*: statistical convergence, lacunary equi-statistical convergence, positive linear operator, Korovkin type approximation theorem.

The present research was supported by the Department of Science and Technology, New Delhi, under grant No. SR/S4/MS:792/12.

Communicated by Gradimir Milovanović.

Fridy and Orhan [7] defined the notion of lacunary statistical convergence as follows. Let  $\theta$  be a lacunary sequence; the number sequence  $x$  is  $S_\theta$ -convergent to  $L$  provided that for every  $\epsilon > 0$ ,

$$\lim_r \frac{1}{h_r} |\{k \in I_r : |x_k - L| \geq \epsilon\}| = 0.$$

In this case we write  $S_\theta$ -limit  $x = L$  or  $x_k \rightarrow L(S_\theta)$ .

The concept of equistatistical convergence was introduced by Balcerzak et al. [2] and was subsequently applied for deriving approximation theorems in [1, 8–10, 19]. In [1], Aktuglu and Gezer [1] generalized the idea of statistical convergence to lacunary equistatistical convergence. Recently, Kaya and Gönül [11] established some analogs of the Korovkin approximation theorem via lacunary equistatistical convergence. Korovkin type approximation theorems for various kinds of statistical convergence are studied in [3–5, 14–18]. In this paper, we prove such type of theorem via lacunary equistatistical convergence by using the test functions  $1, \frac{x}{1-x}$  and  $(\frac{x}{1-x})^2$ .

Let  $C[a, b]$  be the linear space of all real-valued continuous functions  $f$  on  $[a, b]$ . We know that  $C[a, b]$  is a Banach space with the norm given by

$$\|f\|_{C[a,b]} := \sup_{x \in [a,b]} |f(x)| \quad (f \in C[a, b]).$$

Let  $f$  and  $f_n$  ( $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ) be real-valued functions defined on a subset  $X$  of the set  $\mathbb{N}$  of positive integers.

DEFINITION 1.1. A sequence  $(f_k)$  of real-valued functions is said to be *lacunary equi-statistically convergent* to  $f$  on  $X$  if, for every  $\epsilon > 0$ , the sequence  $(S_r(\epsilon, x))_{r \in \mathbb{N}}$  of real-valued functions converges uniformly to the zero function on  $X$ , that is, if, for every  $\epsilon > 0$ , we have  $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \|S_r(\epsilon, x)\|_{C(X)} = 0$ , where

$$S_r(\epsilon, x) := \frac{1}{h_r} |\{k : k \in I_r, |f_k(x) - f(x)| \geq \epsilon\}|$$

and  $C(X)$  denotes the space of all continuous functions on  $X$ . In this case, we write

$$f_k \Rightarrow f \quad (\theta\text{-equistat}).$$

DEFINITION 1.2. A sequence  $(f_k)$  is said to be *lacunary statistically pointwise convergent* to  $f$  on  $X$  if, for every  $\epsilon > 0$  and for each  $x \in X$ , we have

$$\lim_r \frac{1}{h_r} |\{k : k \in I_r, |f_k(x) - f(x)| \geq \epsilon\}| = 0.$$

In this case, we write  $f_r \rightarrow f$  ( $\theta$ -stat).

DEFINITION 1.3. A sequence  $(f_r)$  is said to be *lacunary statistically uniformly convergent* to  $f$  on  $X$  if (for every  $\epsilon > 0$ ), we have

$$\lim_r \frac{1}{h_r} |\{k : k \in I_r, \|f_k - f\|_{C(X)} \geq \epsilon\}| = 0.$$

In this case, we write  $f_r \Rightarrow f$  ( $\theta$ -stat).

DEFINITION 1.4. (see [10]). A sequence  $(f_r)$  of real-valued functions is said to be *equistatistically convergent* to  $f$  on  $X$  if, for every  $\epsilon > 0$ , the sequence  $(P_{n,\epsilon}(x))_{r \in \mathbb{N}}$  of real-valued functions converges uniformly to the zero function on  $X$ , that is, if (for every  $\epsilon > 0$ ) we have  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|P_{n,\epsilon}(x)\|_{C(X)} = 0$ , where

$$P_{n,\epsilon}(x) = \frac{1}{n} |\{k : k \leq n. |f_k(x) - f(x)| \geq \epsilon\}| = 0.$$

In this case, we write  $f_k \rightsquigarrow f$  (equistat).

The following implications of the above definitions and concepts are trivial.

$$f_k \rightrightarrows f \text{ (\theta-stat)} \Rightarrow f_k \rightsquigarrow f \text{ (\theta-equistat)} \Rightarrow f_k \rightarrow f \text{ (\theta-stat)}.$$

Furthermore, in general, the reverse implications do not hold true.

### 2. Main Results

Let  $I = [0, A]$ ,  $J = [0, B]$ ,  $A, B \in (0, 1)$  and  $K = I \times J$ . We denote by  $C(K)$  the space of all continuous real valued functions on  $K$ . This space is equipped with the norm  $\|f\|_{C(K)} := \sup_{(x,y) \in K} |f(x, y)|$ ,  $f \in C(K)$ . Let  $H_\omega(K)$  denote the space of all real valued functions  $f$  on  $K$  such that

$$|f(s, t) - f(x, y)| \leq \omega \left( f; \sqrt{\left(\frac{s}{1-s} - \frac{x}{1-x}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{t}{1-t} - \frac{y}{1-y}\right)^2} \right),$$

where  $\omega$  is the modulus of continuity, i.e.

$$\omega(f; \delta) = \sup_{(s,t),(x,y) \in K} \{|f(s, t) - f(x, y)| : \sqrt{(s-x)^2 + (t-y)^2} \leq \delta\}.$$

It is to be noted that any function  $f \in H_\omega(K)$  is continuous and bounded on  $K$ .

In [1], Aktuğlu and Gezer proved the Korovkin theorem for lacunary equistatistical convergence by using the test functions  $1, x$  and  $x^2$ ; while Kaya and Gönül [11] used the test functions  $1, \frac{x}{1+x}, (\frac{y}{1+y}), (\frac{x}{1+x})^2 + (\frac{y}{1+y})^2$ . Recently, Srivastava et al [19] defined and studied the  $\lambda$ -equistatistical convergence of positive linear operators by using the notion of  $\lambda$ -statistical convergence [15]. In this paper, we apply the notion of lacunary equistatistical convergence to prove a Korovkin type approximation theorem for functions of two variables by using test functions  $1, \frac{x}{1-x}, (\frac{y}{1-y}), (\frac{x}{1-x})^2 + (\frac{y}{1-y})^2$ .

Let  $T$  be a linear operator which maps  $C[a, b]$  into itself. We say that  $T$  is *positive* if, for every non-negative  $f \in C[a, b]$ , we have  $T(f, x) \geq 0$  ( $x \in [a, b]$ ).

We prove the following result:

THEOREM 2.1. *Let  $\theta = (k_r)$  be a lacunary sequence, and let  $(L_r)$  be a sequence of positive linear operators from  $H_\omega(K)$  into  $C_B(K)$ . Then for all  $f \in H_\omega(K)$*

$$(2.1) \quad L_r(f; x, y) \rightsquigarrow f(x, y) \text{ (\theta-equistat)}$$

*if and only if*

$$(2.2) \quad L_r(f; x, y) \rightsquigarrow g_i(x, y) \text{ (\theta-equistat)} \text{ (} i = 0, 1, 2, 3\text{),}$$

*with  $g_0(x) = 1, g_1(x) = \frac{x}{1-x}, g_2(x) = \frac{y}{1-y}$  and  $g_3(x) = (\frac{x}{1-x})^2 + (\frac{y}{1-y})^2$ .*

PROOF. Since each of the functions  $f_i$  belongs to  $H_\omega(K)$ , conditions (2.2) follow immediately. Let  $g \in H_\omega(K)$  and  $(x, y) \in K$  be fixed. Then for  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exist  $\delta_1, \delta_2 > 0$  such that  $|f(s, t) - f(x, y)| < \varepsilon$  holds for all  $(s, t) \in K$  satisfying  $|\frac{s}{1-s} - \frac{x}{1-x}| < \delta_1, |\frac{t}{1-t} - \frac{y}{1-y}| < \delta_2$ . Let

$$K(\delta_1, \delta_2) := \left\{ (s, t) \in K : \left| \frac{s}{1-s} - \frac{x}{1-x} \right| < \delta_1, \left| \frac{t}{1-t} - \frac{y}{1-y} \right| < \delta_2 \right\}.$$

Hence

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} |f(s, t) - f(x, y)| &= |f(s, t) - f(x, y)|_{\chi_{K(\delta_1, \delta_2)}(s, t)} \\ &\quad + |f(s, t) - f(x, y)|_{\chi_{K \setminus K(\delta_1, \delta_2)}(s, t)} \\ &\leq \varepsilon + 2N_{\chi_{K \setminus K(\delta_1, \delta_2)}(s, t)}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\chi_D$  denotes the characteristic function of the set  $D$  and  $N = \|f\|_{C_B(K)}$ . Further we get

$$(2.4) \quad \chi_{K \setminus K(\delta_1, \delta_2)}(s, t) \leq \frac{1}{\delta_1^2} \left( \frac{s}{1-s} - \frac{x}{1-x} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{\delta_2^2} \left( \frac{t}{1-t} - \frac{y}{1-y} \right)^2.$$

Combining (2.3) and (2.4) and choosing  $\delta := \min\{\delta_1, \delta_2\}$ , we get

$$|f(s, t) - f(x, y)| \leq \varepsilon + \frac{2N}{\delta^2} \left\{ \left( \frac{s}{1-s} - \frac{x}{1-x} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{t}{1-t} - \frac{y}{1-y} \right)^2 \right\}.$$

After using the linearity and positivity of operators  $\{L_r\}$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} |L_r(f; x, y) - f(x, y)| &\leq \varepsilon + M \{ |L_r(g_0; x, y) - g_0(x, y)| + |L_r(g_1; x, y) - g_1(x, y)| \\ &\quad + |L_r(g_2; x, y) - g_2(x, y)| + |L_r(g_3; x, y) - g_3(x, y)| \}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$(2.5) \quad |L_r(f; x, y) - f(x, y)| \leq \varepsilon + B \sum_{i=0}^3 |L_r(g_i; x, y) - g_i(x, y)|,$$

where  $M := \varepsilon + N + \frac{4N}{\delta^2}$ . Now for a given  $\rho > 0$ , choose  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $\varepsilon < \rho$ . Then, for each  $i = 0, 1, 2, 3$ , set  $\psi_\rho(x, y) := |\{k \in \mathbb{N} : |L_k(f; x, y) - f(x, y)| \geq \rho\}|$  and  $\psi_{i,\rho}(x, y) := |\{k \in \mathbb{N} : |L_k(g_i; x, y) - g_i(x, y)| \geq \frac{\rho - \varepsilon}{4K}\}|$  for  $(i = 0, 1, 2, 3)$ , it follows from (2.5) that  $\psi_\rho(x, y) \subseteq \bigcup_{i=0}^3 \psi_{i,\rho}(x, y)$ . Hence

$$(2.6) \quad \frac{\|\psi_\rho(x, y)\|_{C_B(K)}}{h_r} \leq \sum_{i=0}^3 \left( \frac{\|\psi_{i,\rho}(x, y)\|_{C_B(K)}}{h_r} \right).$$

Now using hypothesis (2.2) and Definition 1.1, the right-hand side of (2.6) tends to zero as  $r \rightarrow \infty$ . Therefore, we have  $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{h_r} \|\psi_\rho(x, y)\|_{C_B(K)} = 0$  for every  $\rho > 0$ , i.e.. (2.1) holds.  $\square$

EXAMPLE 2.1. Consider the following Meyer-König and Zeller [13] (of two variables) operators:

$$B_{m,n}(f; x, y) := (1-x)^{m+1}(1-y)^{n+1}$$

$$\times \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} f\left(\frac{j}{j+m+1}, \frac{k}{k+n+1}\right) \binom{m+j}{j} \binom{n+k}{k} x^j y^k,$$

where  $f \in H_{\omega}(K)$ , and  $K = [0, A] \times [0, B]$ ,  $A, B \in (0, 1)$ .

Since, for  $x \in [0, A]$ ,  $A \in (0, 1)$ , we have  $1/(1-x)^{n+1} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{n+k}{k} x^k$ , it is easy to see that  $B_{m,n}(g_0; x, y) = f_0(x, y)$ . Also, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} B_{m,n}(g_1; x, y) &= (1-x)^{m+1}(1-y)^{n+1} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{j}{m+1} \binom{m+j}{j} \binom{n+k}{k} x^j y^k \\ &= (1-x)^{m+1}(1-y)^{n+1} x \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m+1} \frac{(m+j)!}{m!(j-1)!} \binom{n+k}{k} x^{j-1} y^k \\ &= (1-x)^{m+1}(1-y)^{n+1} x \frac{1}{(1-x)^{m+2}} \frac{1}{(1-y)^{n+1}} = \frac{x}{(1-x)}, \end{aligned}$$

and similarly  $B_{m,n}(g_2; x, y) = \frac{y}{(1-y)}$ .

Finally, we get

$$\begin{aligned} B_{m,n}(g_3; x, y) &= (1-x)^{m+1}(1-y)^{n+1} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left\{ \left(\frac{j}{m+1}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{k}{n+1}\right)^2 \right\} \binom{m+j}{j} \binom{n+k}{k} x^j y^k \\ &= (1-x)^{m+1}(1-y)^{n+1} \frac{x}{m+1} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{j}{m+1} \frac{(m+j)!}{m!(j-1)!} \binom{n+k}{k} x^{j-1} y^k \\ &\quad + (1-x)^{m+1}(1-y)^{n+1} \frac{y}{n+1} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{k}{n+1} \binom{m+j}{j} \frac{(n+k)!}{n!(k-1)!} x^j y^{k-1} \\ &= (1-x)^{m+1}(1-y)^{n+1} \frac{x}{m+1} \left\{ x \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(m+j+1)!}{(m+1)!(j-1)!} \binom{n+k}{k} x^{j-1} y^k \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{m+j+1}{j} \binom{n+k}{k} x^j y^k \right\} \\ &\quad + (1-x)^{m+1}(1-y)^{n+1} \frac{y}{n+1} \left\{ y \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(n+k+1)!}{(n+1)!(k-1)!} \binom{m+j}{j} x^j y^{k-1} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{n+k+1}{k} \binom{m+j}{j} x^j y^k \right\} \\ &= \frac{m+2}{m+1} \left(\frac{x}{1-x}\right)^2 + \frac{1}{m+1} \frac{x}{1-x} + \frac{n+2}{n+1} \left(\frac{y}{1-y}\right)^2 + \frac{1}{n+1} \frac{y}{1-y} \\ &\quad \rightarrow \left(\frac{x}{1-x}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{y}{1-y}\right)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have  $B_n(f_i; x, y) \rightsquigarrow g_i(x, y)$  ( $\theta$ -equistat) ( $i = 0, 1, 2, 3$ ). Hence by Theorem 2.1, we have  $B_n(f; x, y) \rightsquigarrow g(x, y)$  ( $\theta$ -equistat).

### 3. Rate of Lacunary Equistatistical Convergence

In this section we study the rate of lacunary equistatistical convergence of a sequence of positive linear operators as given in [11].

DEFINITION 3.1. Let  $(a_n)$  be a positive non-increasing sequence. A sequence  $(f_r)$  is said to be *lacunary equistatistically convergent to a function  $f$  with the rate  $\beta$*  ( $0 < \beta < 1$ ) if for every  $\epsilon > 0$ ,

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\Lambda_{r,\epsilon}(x,y)}{r^{-\beta}} = 0$$

uniformly with respect to  $(x,y) \in K$  or equivalently, for every  $\epsilon > 0$ ,

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\|\Lambda_{r,\epsilon}(x,y)\|_{C_B(X)}}{r^{-\beta}} = 0,$$

where

$$\Lambda_r(x,\epsilon) := \frac{1}{h_r} |\{k \in I_r : |f_k(x,y) - f(x,y)| \geq \epsilon\}| = 0.$$

In this case, we write  $f_r - f = o(r^{-\beta})$  ( $\theta$ -equistat) on  $K$ .

We have the following basic lemma.

LEMMA 3.1. Let  $(f_r)$  and  $(g_r)$  be sequences of functions belonging to  $H_\omega(K)$ . Assume that  $f_r - f = o(r^{-\beta_1})$  ( $\theta$ -equistat) on  $X$  and  $g_r - g = o(r^{-\beta_2})$  ( $\theta$ -equistat). Let  $\beta = \min\{\beta_1, \beta_2\}$ . Then the following statement holds:

- (i)  $(f_r + g_r) - (f + g) = o(r^{-\beta})$  ( $\theta$ -equistat),
- (ii)  $(f_r - f)(g_r - g) = o(r^{-\beta_1})$  ( $\theta$ -equistat),
- (iii)  $\mu(f_r - f) = o(r^{-\beta_1})$  ( $\theta$ -equistat) for any real number  $\mu$ ,
- (iv)  $\sqrt{|f_r - f|} = o(r^{-\beta_1})$  ( $\theta$ -equistat).

We recall that the modulus of continuity of a function  $f \in H_\omega(K)$  is defined by

$$\omega(f; \delta) = \sup_{s,x \in K} \{|f(s) - f(x)| : |s - x| \leq \delta\} \quad (\delta > 0).$$

Now we prove the following result.

THEOREM 3.1. Let  $\{L_r\}$  be a sequence of positive linear operators from  $H_\omega(K)$  into  $C_B(K)$ . Assume that the following conditions hold:

- (a)  $L_r(g_0; x, y) - g_0 = o(r^{-\beta_1})$  ( $\theta$ -equistat) on  $K$ ,
- (b)  $\omega(f; \delta_{r,x}, \delta_{r,y}) = o(r^{-\beta_2})$  ( $\theta$ -equistat) on  $K$ ,

where  $\delta_{r,x} = \sqrt{L_r\left(\left(\left(\frac{s}{1-s} - \frac{x}{1-x}\right)^2, x\right)\right)}$  and  $\delta_{r,y} = \sqrt{L_r\left(\left(\left(\frac{t}{1-t} - \frac{y}{1-y}\right)^2, y\right)\right)}$ . Then for all  $f \in H_\omega(K)$ , we have  $L_r(f; x, y) - f(x, y) = o(r^{-\beta})$  ( $\theta$ -equistat) on  $K$ , where  $\beta = \min\{\beta_1, \beta_2\}$ .

PROOF. Let  $f \in H_\omega(K)$  and  $(x, y) \in K$ . Then it is well known that,

$$\begin{aligned} |L_r(f; x, y) - f(x, y)| &\leq M |L_r(g_0; x, y) - g_0(x, y)| \\ &\quad + (L_r(g_0; x, y) + \sqrt{L_r(g_0; x, y)}) \omega(f; \delta_{r,x}, \delta_{r,y}), \end{aligned}$$

where  $M = \|f\|_{H_\omega(K)}$ . This yields that

$$|L_r(f; x, y) - f(x, y)| \leq M(|L_r(g_0; x, y) - g_0(x, y)| + 2\omega(f; \delta_{r,x}, \delta_{r,y}) + \omega(f; \delta_{r,x}, \delta_{r,y})|(L_r(g_0; x, y) - g_0(x, y))|.$$

Now using the conditions (a), (b) and Lemma 3.1 in the above inequality, we get  $L_r(f) - f = o(r^{-\beta})$  ( $\theta$ -equi-stat) on  $K$ .  $\square$

### References

1. H. Aktuğlu, H. Gezer, *Lacunary equi-statistical convergence of positive linear operators*, Cent. Eur. J. Math. **7** (2009), 558–567.
2. M. Balcerzak, K. Dems, A. Komisarski, *Statistical convergence and ideal convergence for sequences of functions*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **328** (2007), 715–729.
3. N. L. Braha, H. M. Srivastava, S. A. Mohiuddine, *A Korovkin's type approximation theorem for periodic functions via the statistical summability of the generalized de la Vallée Poussin mean*, Appl. Math. Comput. **228** (2014), 162–169.
4. N. L. Braha, V. Loku, H. M. Srivastava,  $\Lambda^2$ -Weighted statistical convergence and Korovkin and Voronovskaya type theorems, Appl. Math. Comput. **266** (2015), 675–686.
5. C. Belen, M. Mursaleen, M. Yildirim, *Statistical A-summability of double sequences and a Korovkin type approximation theorem*, Bull. Korean Math. Soc **49**(4) (2012), 851–861.
6. H. Fast, *Sur la convergence statistique*, Colloq. Math. **2** (1951), 241–244.
7. J. A. Fridy, C. Orhan, *Lacunary statistical convergence*, Pac. J. Math. **160** (1993), 43–51.
8. S. Karakuş, K. Demirci, *Equi-statistical extension of the Korovkin type approximation theorem*, Turk. J. Math. **33** (2009), 159–168 (Erratum: Turk. J. Math. **33** (2009), 427–428).
9. ———, *Equi-statistical  $\sigma$ -convergence of positive linear operators*, Comput. Math. Appl. **60** (2010), 2212–2218.
10. S. Karakuş, K. Demirci, O. Duman, *Equi-statistical convergence of positive linear operators*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **339** (2008), 1065–1072.
11. Y. Kaya, N. Gönül, *A generalization of lacunary equi-statistical convergence of positive linear operators*, Abstr. Appl. Anal. **2013**, Article ID 514174, 7 pages.
12. P. P. Korovkin, *Linear Operators and Approximation Theory*, (Translated from the Russian edition (1959)), Russian Monographs and Texts on Advanced Mathematics and Physics **III**, Gordon and Breach, New York; Hindustan, Delhi, 1960.
13. W. Meyer-König, K. Zeller, *Bersteinsche Potenzreihen*, Stud. Math. **19** (1960), 89–94.
14. S. A. Mohiuddine, A. Alotaibi, M. Mursaleen, *Statistical summability  $(C, 1)$  and a Korovkin type approximation theorem*, J. Inequal. Appl. **2012** (2012), 172.
15. M. Mursaleen, A. Alotaibi, *Statistical summability and approximation by de la Vallée-Poussin mean*, Appl. Math. Lett. **24** (2011), 320–324 (Erratum: Appl. Math. Lett. **25** (2012) 665).
16. M. Mursaleen, Rais Ahmad, *Korovkin type approximation theorem through statistical lacunary summability*, Iran. J. Sci. Technol., Trans. A, Sci. **37**(2) (2013), 99–102.
17. M. Mursaleen, V. Karakaya, M. Ertürk, F. Gürsoy, *Weighted statistical convergence and its application to Korovkin type approximation theorem*, Appl. Math. Comput. **218** (2012), 9132–9137.
18. M. Mursaleen, A. Kiliçman, *Korovkin second theorem via B-statistical A-summability*, Abstr. Appl. Anal. **2013**, Article ID 598963, 6 pages.
19. H. M. Srivastava, M. Mursaleen, A. Khan, *Generalized equi-statistical convergence of positive linear operators and associated approximation theorems*, Math. Comput. Modelling **55** (2012), 2040–2051.

Department of Mathematics  
Aligarh Muslim University  
Aligarh, India  
mursaleenm@gmail.com

(Received 15 11 2015)