

## THE INDEX OF PRODUCT SYSTEMS OF HILBERT MODULES: TWO EQUIVALENT DEFINITIONS

Biljana Vujošević

ABSTRACT. We prove that a conditionally completely positive definite kernel, as the generator of completely positive definite (CPD) semigroup associated with a continuous set of units for a product system over a  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{B}$ , allows a construction of a Hilbert  $\mathcal{B} - \mathcal{B}$  module. That construction is used to define the index of the initial product system. It is proved that such definition is equivalent to the one previously given by Kečkić and Vujošević [*On the index of product systems of Hilbert modules*, Filomat, to appear, ArXiv:1111.1935v1 [math.OA] 8 Nov 2011]. Also, it is pointed out that the new definition of the index corresponds to the one given earlier by Arveson (in the case  $\mathcal{B} = \mathbb{C}$ ).

### 1. Introduction

Product systems over  $\mathbb{C}$  have been studied during last several decades in connection with  $E_0$ -semigroups acting on a type  $I$  factor. Although the main problem of classification of all nonisomorphic product systems is still open, this theory is well developed. The reader is referred to [2] and references therein. In the present century there are some significant results that generalize this theory to product systems over a  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{B}$ , either in connection with  $E_0$  semigroups (see [8, 10]) or in connection with quantum probability dynamics (see [4, 3, 9]).

There are many difficulties in generalizing the notion of the index of a product system introduced in [1] to this more general concept. Up to our knowledge there are attempts in this direction done in [11] and recently in [5].

Here we give another definition of the index of product systems of Hilbert  $\mathcal{B} - \mathcal{B}$  modules and show that it is equivalent to the one previously given in [5]. Also, we point out that the new definition of index corresponds to the one given by Arveson (in the case  $\mathcal{B} = \mathbb{C}$ ).

Throughout the paper  $\mathcal{B}$  will denote a unital  $C^*$ -algebra and  $1$  will denote its unit.

The rest of Section 1 is devoted to basic definitions.

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 46L53, 46L55.

*Key words and phrases*: product system, Hilbert module, index.

Communicated by Stevan Pilipović.

DEFINITION 1.1. a) A product system over  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{B}$  is a family  $(E_t)_{t \geq 0}$  of Hilbert  $\mathcal{B}$ - $\mathcal{B}$  modules, with  $E_0 \cong \mathcal{B}$ , and a family of (unitary) isomorphisms  $\varphi_{t,s} : E_t \otimes E_s \rightarrow E_{t+s}$ , where  $\otimes$  stands for the so called inner tensor product obtained by identifications  $ub \otimes v \sim u \otimes bv$ ,  $u \otimes vb \sim (u \otimes v)b$ ,  $bu \otimes v \sim b(u \otimes v)$ , ( $u \in E_t$ ,  $v \in E_s$ ,  $b \in \mathcal{B}$ ) and then completing in the inner product  $\langle u \otimes v, u_1 \otimes v_1 \rangle = \langle v, \langle u, u_1 \rangle v_1 \rangle$ ;

b) Unit on  $E$  is a family  $u_t \in E_t$ ,  $t \geq 0$ , such that  $u_0 = 1$  and  $\varphi_{t,s}(u_t \otimes u_s) = u_{t+s}$ , which will be abbreviated to  $u_t \otimes u_s = u_{t+s}$ . A unit  $u_t$  is unital if  $\langle u_t, u_t \rangle = 1$ . It is central if for all  $b \in \mathcal{B}$  and all  $t \geq 0$  there holds  $bu_t = u_t b$ ;

DEFINITION 1.2. Two units  $u_t$  and  $v_t$  give rise to the family of mappings  $\mathcal{K}_t^{u,v} : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ , given by  $\mathcal{K}_t^{u,v}(b) = \langle u_t, bv_t \rangle$ . All  $\mathcal{K}_t^{u,v}$  are bounded  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear operators on  $\mathcal{B}$ , and this family forms a semigroup. The set of units  $S$  is continuous if the corresponding semigroup  $(\mathcal{K}_t^{\xi,\eta})_{\xi,\eta \in S}$  (with respect to Schur multiplying) is uniformly continuous. A single unit  $u_t$  is uniformly continuous, or briefly just continuous, if the set  $\{u\}$  is continuous, that is, the corresponding family  $\mathcal{K}_t^{u,u}$  is continuous in the norm of the space  $B(\mathcal{B})$  (the algebra of all bounded  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear operators on  $\mathcal{B}$ ).

As it can be seen in [3], for a (uniformly) continuous set of units  $\mathcal{U}$ , there can be formed a uniformly continuous completely positive definite semigroup (CPD-semigroup further on)  $\mathcal{K} = (\mathcal{K}_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$ .

Denote by  $\mathcal{L} = \frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{K} |_{t=0}$  the generator of CPD-semigroup  $\mathcal{K}$ . It is well known [3] that  $\mathcal{L}$  is conditionally completely positive definite, that is, for all finite  $n$ -tuples  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathcal{U}$  and for all  $a_j, b_j \in \mathcal{B}$  there holds

$$(1.1) \quad \sum_{j=1}^n a_j b_j = 0 \implies \sum_{i,j=1}^n b_i^* \mathcal{L}^{x_i, x_j} (a_i^* a_j) b_j \geq 0.$$

Also,

$$(1.2) \quad \mathcal{L}^{y,x}(b) = \mathcal{L}^{x,y}(b^*)^*.$$

It is known that  $\mathcal{K}$  is uniquely determined by  $\mathcal{L}$ . More precisely,  $\mathcal{K}$  can be recovered from  $\mathcal{L}$  by  $\mathcal{K} = e^{t\mathcal{L}}$  using the Schur product, i.e.,  $\mathcal{K}_t^{x,y}(b) = \langle x_t, by_t \rangle = (\exp t\mathcal{L}^{x,y})(b)$ .

REMARK 1.1. One should distinguish the continuous set of units from the set of continuous units. In the second case only  $\mathcal{K}_t^{\xi,\xi}$  should be uniformly continuous for  $\xi \in S$ , whereas in the first case all  $\mathcal{K}_t^{\xi,\eta}$  should be uniformly continuous.

In Section 2 the auxiliary statements, that are necessary for the proofs of the main result, are listed. In Section 3 another definition of the index of product systems of Hilbert  $\mathcal{B}$ - $\mathcal{B}$  modules is obtained and the equivalency with the one previously given in [5] is proved. Also, it is pointed out that the new definition of the index corresponds to the one given by Arveson (in the case  $\mathcal{B} = \mathbb{C}$ ).

## 2. Preliminary results

In [6], Liebscher and Skeide introduce an interesting way to obtain new units in a given product system. The results are stated in Lemma 3.1, Proposition 3.3 and Lemma 3.4 of the mentioned paper and here they are quoted as

PROPOSITION 2.1. a) *Suppose that a continuous set  $S$  of units generates a product system  $E$ . Let  $t \mapsto y_t \in E_t$  be a mapping (not necessarily unit), with  $K$  and  $K_\xi \in B(\mathcal{B})$  ( $\xi \in S$ ) such that for all  $b \in \mathcal{B}$*

$$\langle y_t, by_t \rangle = b + tK(b) + O(t^2) \quad \text{and} \quad \langle y_t, b\xi_t \rangle = b + tK_\xi(b) + O(t^2).$$

*Then there exists a product system  $F \supseteq E$  and a unit  $\zeta$  such that  $S \cup \{\zeta\}$  is continuous and  $\mathcal{L}^{\zeta, \zeta} = K$ ,  $L^{\zeta, \xi} = K_\xi$ .*

b) *The following three conditions are equivalent.*

- (1)  $\zeta \in E$ ;
- (2)  $\zeta$  can be obtained as the norm limit of the sequence  $(y_{t/n})^{\otimes n}$ ;
- (3)  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle \zeta_t, (y_{t/n})^{\otimes n} \rangle = \langle \zeta_t, \zeta_t \rangle$ .

REMARK 2.1. In [6], a more general limit over the filter of all partitions of segment  $[0, t]$  was considered instead of  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (y_{t/n})^{\otimes n}$ . However, such a general context is not necessary here.

The previous proposition is used in [5, Proposition 2.3] to obtain new units in a product system in the following way.

Suppose that a continuous set  $S$  of units generates a product system  $E$ . Let  $x^j \in S$ ,  $\varkappa_j \in \mathcal{B}$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, n$  such that  $\sum \varkappa_j = 1$ . Then the functions  $t \mapsto \sum_{j=1}^n \varkappa_j x_t^j$  and  $t \mapsto \sum_{j=1}^n x_t^j \varkappa_j$  satisfy all the assumptions of Proposition 2.1 and the resulting units, denoted by  $\varkappa_1 x^1 \boxplus \dots \boxplus \varkappa_n x^n$  and  $x^1 \varkappa_1 \boxplus \dots \boxplus x^n \varkappa_n$ , belong to  $E$ . For example, the kernels of  $\zeta = \varkappa_1 x^1 \boxplus \varkappa_2 x^2 \boxplus \varkappa_3 x^3$  are

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}^{\zeta, \zeta} &= \mathcal{L}^{x^1, x^1} L_{\varkappa_1^*} R_{\varkappa_1} + \mathcal{L}^{x^1, x^2} L_{\varkappa_1^*} R_{\varkappa_2} + \mathcal{L}^{x^1, x^3} L_{\varkappa_1^*} R_{\varkappa_3} + \mathcal{L}^{x^2, x^1} L_{\varkappa_2^*} R_{\varkappa_1} \\ &\quad + \mathcal{L}^{x^2, x^2} L_{\varkappa_2^*} R_{\varkappa_2} + \mathcal{L}^{x^2, x^3} L_{\varkappa_2^*} R_{\varkappa_3} + \mathcal{L}^{x^3, x^1} L_{\varkappa_3^*} R_{\varkappa_1} \\ &\quad + \mathcal{L}^{x^3, x^2} L_{\varkappa_3^*} R_{\varkappa_2} + \mathcal{L}^{x^3, x^3} L_{\varkappa_3^*} R_{\varkappa_3}, \\ \mathcal{L}^{\zeta, \xi} &= \mathcal{L}^{x^1, \xi} L_{\varkappa_1^*} + \mathcal{L}^{x^2, \xi} L_{\varkappa_2^*} + \mathcal{L}^{x^3, \xi} L_{\varkappa_3^*}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

where  $L_b, R_b : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  are the left and the right multiplication operators for  $b \in \mathcal{B}$ . Proposition 3.1 from [5] is quoted here as

PROPOSITION 2.2. *Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be the set of all continuous units on a product system  $E$ . The relation  $\rho$  on  $\mathcal{U}$  defined by*

$$x \rho y \Leftrightarrow \{x, y\} \text{ is a continuous set}$$

*is an equivalence relation.*

Thus, the set of all continuous units on some product system can be decomposed into mutually disjoint collection of maximal continuous sets.

Let  $E$  be a product system over a unital  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{B}$  with at least one continuous unit. (In view of [9, Definition 4.4] this means that  $E$  is non type III product

system.) Further, let  $\omega$  be an arbitrary continuous unit in  $E$  and let  $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{U}_\omega$  be the set of all uniformly continuous units that are equivalent to  $\omega$ . (That refers to the equivalence relation  $\rho$  on  $\mathcal{U}$  defined in Proposition 2.2.) As it can be seen in [5], the addition and multiplication by  $b \in \mathcal{B}$  on  $\mathcal{U}_\omega$  are defined by

$$(2.2) \quad x + y = x \boxplus y \boxplus -\omega, \quad b \cdot x = bx \boxplus (1 - b)\omega, \quad x \cdot b = xb \boxplus \omega(1 - b),$$

and the kernels of  $x + y$ ,  $x \cdot a$ ,  $a \cdot x$  are

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}^{x+y, x+y} &= \mathcal{L}^{x, x} + \mathcal{L}^{x, y} - \mathcal{L}^{x, \omega} + \mathcal{L}^{y, x} + \mathcal{L}^{y, y} - \mathcal{L}^{y, \omega} - \mathcal{L}^{\omega, x} - \mathcal{L}^{\omega, y} + \mathcal{L}^{\omega, \omega}, \\ \mathcal{L}^{x+y, \xi} &= \mathcal{L}^{x, \xi} + \mathcal{L}^{y, \xi} - \mathcal{L}^{\omega, \xi}, \end{aligned}$$

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}^{x \cdot a, x \cdot a} &= a^* \mathcal{L}^{x, x} a + (1 - a)^* \mathcal{L}^{\omega, x} a + a^* \mathcal{L}^{x, \omega} (1 - a) + (1 - a)^* \mathcal{L}^{\omega, \omega} (1 - a), \\ \mathcal{L}^{x \cdot a, \xi} &= a^* \mathcal{L}^{x, \xi} + (1 - a)^* \mathcal{L}^{\omega, \xi}, \quad \xi \in \mathcal{U}, \end{aligned}$$

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}^{a \cdot x, a \cdot x} &= \mathcal{L}^{x, x} L_a^* R_a + \mathcal{L}^{\omega, x} L_{1-a}^* R_a + \mathcal{L}^{x, \omega} L_a^* R_{1-a} + \mathcal{L}^{\omega, \omega} L_{1-a}^* R_{1-a}, \\ \mathcal{L}^{a \cdot x, \xi} &= \mathcal{L}^{x, \xi} L_a^* + \mathcal{L}^{\omega, \xi} L_{1-a}^*, \quad \xi \in \mathcal{U}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $L_b, R_b : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  are the left and right multiplication operators for  $b \in \mathcal{B}$ .

REMARK 2.2. For  $x, y \in \mathcal{U}_\omega$ ,  $x - y = x \boxplus (-y) \boxplus \omega$ .

According to [5, Theorem 3.2], the set  $\mathcal{U}$  with respect to the operations defined by (2.2) is a left–right  $\mathcal{B}$ – $\mathcal{B}$  module.

In [5] it was proved that the mapping  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_1 : \mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  given by

$$(2.6) \quad \langle x, y \rangle_1 = (\mathcal{L}^{x, y} - \mathcal{L}^{x, \omega} - \mathcal{L}^{\omega, y} + \mathcal{L}^{\omega, \omega})(1)$$

( $\omega$  is the same as in (2.2)) is a  $\mathcal{B}$ -valued semi-inner product (in the sense that it can be degenerate, i.e.,  $\langle x, x \rangle_1 = 0$  need not imply  $x = 0$ ) and that it satisfies all the customary properties:

- (a) For all  $x, y, z \in \mathcal{U}$ , and  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$   $\langle x, \alpha y + \beta z \rangle_1 = \alpha \langle x, y \rangle_1 + \beta \langle x, z \rangle_1$ ;
- (b) For all  $x, y \in \mathcal{U}$ ,  $a \in \mathcal{B}$   $\langle x, y \cdot a \rangle_1 = \langle x, y \rangle_1 a$ ;
- (c) For all  $x, y \in \mathcal{U}$   $\langle x, y \rangle_1 = \langle y, x \rangle_1^*$ ;
- (d) For all  $x \in \mathcal{U}$   $\langle x, x \rangle_1 \geq 0$ .

Also, the set  $N = \{x \in \mathcal{U} \mid \langle x, x \rangle_1 = 0\}$  is a submodule of  $\mathcal{U}$  and  $\mathcal{U}/N$  is a pre-Hilbert left–right  $\mathcal{B}$ – $\mathcal{B}$  module.

### 3. The result

The definition of the index of the product system with at least one continuous unit, given in [5], is quoted here as

DEFINITION 3.1. Let  $E$  be a product system, and let  $\omega$  be a continuous unit on  $E$ . The index of a pair  $(E, \omega)$  is the completion of pre-Hilbert left–right module  $\mathcal{U}/\sim$ , where  $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{U}_\omega$  is the maximal continuous set of units containing  $\omega$ , and  $\sim$  is the equivalence relation defined by  $x \sim y$  if and only if  $x - y \in N$  where  $N$  is the set mentioned at the end of Section 2. Naturally, the index will be denoted by  $\text{ind}(E, \omega)$ .

REMARK 3.1. If  $\{\omega, \omega'\}$  is a continuous set, then  $\text{ind}(E, \omega) \cong \text{ind}(E, \omega')$ . Indeed, then  $\mathcal{U}_\omega = \mathcal{U}_{\omega'}$  and the isometric isomorphism is given by translation  $x \mapsto x \boxplus -\omega \boxplus \omega'$ . Therefore,  $\text{ind}(E, \omega)$  is independent on the choice of  $\omega$  in the same continuous set of units.

The index of the product systems with at least one continuous unit may also be defined in a different way and we prove that these two definitions are equivalent. In detail, let  $E$  be a product system and let  $\mathcal{U}$  be a continuous set of units in  $E$ . Consider the  $\mathcal{B}$ -bimodule  $\mathcal{B}\mathcal{U}\mathcal{B}$  where  $\mathcal{B}\mathcal{U}\mathcal{B}$  is the set of all formal sums  $\sum_i a_i x_i b_i$ ,  $x_i \in \mathcal{U}$ ,  $a_i, b_i \in \mathcal{B}$  with identification subject to the relations

$$(\lambda a)xb \sim ax(\lambda b) \ (\lambda \in \mathbb{C}), \ (a_1 + a_2)xb \sim a_1xb + a_2xb, \ ax(b_1 + b_2) \sim axb_1 + axb_2.$$

For  $c \in \mathcal{B}$ ,  $(\sum_i a_i x_i b_i)c = \sum_i a_i x_i (b_i c)$  and  $c(\sum_i a_i x_i b_i) = \sum_i (ca_i)x_i b_i$ . Also consider  $\mathcal{B}$ -subbimodule  $(\mathcal{B}\mathcal{U}\mathcal{B})_0 = \{\sum_i a_i x_i b_i \in \mathcal{B}\mathcal{U}\mathcal{B} \mid \sum_i a_i b_i = 0\}$  and define the map  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : (\mathcal{B}\mathcal{U}\mathcal{B})_0 \times (\mathcal{B}\mathcal{U}\mathcal{B})_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  by

$$(3.1) \quad \left\langle \sum_i a_i x_i b_i, \sum_j a'_j x'_j b'_j \right\rangle = \sum_{i,j} b_i^* \mathcal{L}^{x_i, x'_j} (a_i^* a'_j) b'_j.$$

LEMMA 3.1. *The map (3.1) satisfies the following properties:*

(a) *For all  $a_i, b_i, c_i, c'_i, d_i, d'_i \in \mathcal{B}$ ,  $x_i, y_i, y'_i \in \mathcal{U}$ ,  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$*

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\langle \sum_i a_i x_i b_i, \alpha \sum_i c_i y_i d_i + \beta \sum_i c'_i y'_i d'_i \right\rangle \\ &= \alpha \left\langle \sum_i a_i x_i b_i, \sum_i c_i y_i d_i \right\rangle + \beta \left\langle \sum_i a_i x_i b_i, \sum_i c'_i y'_i d'_i \right\rangle; \end{aligned}$$

(b) *For all  $a_i, a'_i, b_i, b'_i \in \mathcal{B}$ ,  $x_i, x'_i \in \mathcal{U}$ ,  $c \in \mathcal{B}$*

$$\left\langle \sum_i a_i x_i b_i, \left( \sum_i a'_i x'_i b'_i \right) c \right\rangle = \left\langle \sum_i a_i x_i b_i, \sum_i a'_i x'_i b'_i \right\rangle c;$$

(c) *For all  $a_i, a'_i, b_i, b'_i \in \mathcal{B}$ ,  $x_i, x'_i \in \mathcal{U}$*

$$\left\langle \sum_i a_i x_i b_i, \sum_i a'_i x'_i b'_i \right\rangle = \left\langle \sum_i a'_i x'_i b'_i, \sum_i a_i x_i b_i \right\rangle^*;$$

(d) *For all  $a_i, b_i \in \mathcal{B}$ ,  $x_i \in \mathcal{U}$   $\langle \sum_i a_i x_i b_i, \sum_i a_i x_i b_i \rangle \geq 0$ .*

PROOF. (a), (b) are easy to check. For (c) use (1.2) and (d) follows since  $\mathcal{L}$  is conditionally CPD (1.1).  $\square$

From the previous lemma, the Causchy–Schwartz inequality can be derived (see [7, Proposition 1.2.4]):

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\langle \sum_i a_i x_i b_i, \sum_i a'_i x'_i b'_i \right\rangle \left\langle \sum_i a_i x_i b_i, \sum_i a'_i x'_i b'_i \right\rangle^* \\ & \leq \left\langle \sum_i a_i x_i b_i, \sum_i a_i x_i b_i \right\rangle \left\| \left\langle \sum_i a'_i x'_i b'_i, \sum_i a'_i x'_i b'_i \right\rangle \right\|. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that the set  $\mathcal{N} = \{\sum_i a_i x_i b_i \in (\mathcal{BUB})_0 \mid \langle \sum_i a_i x_i b_i, \sum_i a_i x_i b_i \rangle = 0\}$  is equal to  $\{\sum_i a_i x_i b_i \in (\mathcal{BUB})_0 \mid \forall \sum_i a'_i x'_i b'_i \in (\mathcal{BUB})_0, \langle \sum_i a_i x_i b_i, \sum_i a'_i x'_i b'_i \rangle = 0\}$ . So,  $\mathcal{N}$  is a submodule of  $(\mathcal{BUB})_0$  and  $(\mathcal{BUB})_0/\mathcal{N}$  is a pre-Hilbert left-right  $\mathcal{B}$ - $\mathcal{B}$  module.

**THEOREM 3.1.** *Let  $E$  be a product system over a unital  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{B}$ . Let  $\omega$  be an arbitrary continuous unit in  $E$  and let  $\mathcal{U}$  be the maximal continuous set of units containing  $\omega$ . The mapping  $f : \mathcal{U}/\sim \rightarrow (\mathcal{BUB})_0/\mathcal{N}$  defined by  $f([y]) = y - \omega + \mathcal{N}$  is an isomorphism between pre-Hilbert  $\mathcal{B}$ - $\mathcal{B}$  module  $\mathcal{U}/\sim$  introduced in Definition 3.1 and pre-Hilbert  $\mathcal{B}$ - $\mathcal{B}$  module  $(\mathcal{BUB})_0/\mathcal{N}$ .*

**PROOF.** Let  $y, y' \in \mathcal{U}$  and  $y \sim y'$ , i.e.,  $\langle y - y', y - y' \rangle_1 = 0$  (the subtraction is as in Remark 2.2). For  $1y1 + (-1)y'1 \in (\mathcal{BUB})_0$  we also write  $y - y' \in (\mathcal{BUB})_0$ . By (3.1) there holds

$$\langle y - y', y - y' \rangle = \mathcal{L}^{y,y}(1) - \mathcal{L}^{y,y'}(1) - \mathcal{L}^{y',y}(1) + \mathcal{L}^{y',y'}(1),$$

and also, by (2.6) and (2.1),

$$\begin{aligned} \langle y - y', y - y' \rangle_1 &= \langle y \boxplus (-y') \boxplus \omega, y \boxplus (-y') \boxplus \omega \rangle_1 \\ &= \mathcal{L}^{y,y}(1) - \mathcal{L}^{y,y'}(1) - \mathcal{L}^{y',y}(1) + \mathcal{L}^{y',y'}(1). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $y - y' \in \mathcal{N}$  which means that  $f$  is well defined. Let  $[y], [z] \in \mathcal{U}/\sim$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f([y]), f([z]) \rangle_{(\mathcal{BUB})_0/\mathcal{N}} &= \langle y - \omega + \mathcal{N}, z - \omega + \mathcal{N} \rangle_{(\mathcal{BUB})_0/\mathcal{N}} = \langle y - \omega, z - \omega \rangle \\ &= \mathcal{L}^{y,z}(1) - \mathcal{L}^{\omega,z}(1) - \mathcal{L}^{y,\omega}(1) + \mathcal{L}^{\omega,\omega}(1) \\ &= \langle y, z \rangle_1 = \langle [y], [z] \rangle_{\mathcal{U}/\sim}, \end{aligned}$$

so  $f$  is an isometry. For the surjectivity of  $f$ , it needs to be proved that for all  $\sum_i a_i x_i b_i + \mathcal{N}$  in  $(\mathcal{BUB})_0/\mathcal{N}$  there exists  $[y] \in \mathcal{U}/\sim$  such that  $\sum_i a_i x_i b_i - y + \omega \in \mathcal{N}$ . The mapping  $t \mapsto \omega_t + \sum_i a_i x_{i,t} b_i$  satisfies all the assumptions of Proposition 2.1 and let us denote the resulting unit by  $\zeta$ . The kernels of  $\zeta$  are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}^{\zeta,\zeta}(b) &= \mathcal{L}^{\omega,\omega}(b) + \sum_i b_i^* \mathcal{L}^{x_i,\omega}(a_i^* b) + \sum_i \mathcal{L}^{\omega,x_i}(b a_i) b_i + \sum_{i,j} b_i^* \mathcal{L}^{x_i,x_j}(a_i^* b a_j) b_j, \\ (3.2) \quad \mathcal{L}^{\zeta,\xi}(b) &= \mathcal{L}^{\omega,\xi}(b) + \sum_i b_i^* \mathcal{L}^{x_i,\xi}(a_i^* b), \quad \xi \in \mathcal{U}, b \in \mathcal{B}. \end{aligned}$$

By (3.1), (3.2), (1.2) it follows  $\langle \sum_i a_i x_i b_i - \zeta + \omega, \sum_i a_i x_i b_i - \zeta + \omega \rangle = 0$ . Therefore,  $\sum_i a_i x_i b_i - \zeta + \omega \in \mathcal{N}$  and  $f([\zeta]) = \sum_i a_i x_i b_i + \mathcal{N}$ . Let  $[x], [y] \in \mathcal{U}/\sim$ . Denote  $\zeta = x + y \in \mathcal{U}$  (the addition is as in (2.2)). By (3.1), (2.3), (1.2) it follows that  $\langle \zeta - x - y + \omega, \zeta - x - y + \omega \rangle = 0$  which means  $\zeta - x - y + \omega \in \mathcal{N}$ . Therefore,

$$f([x] + [y]) = f([x + y]) = \zeta - \omega + \mathcal{N} = x - \omega + y - \omega + \mathcal{N} = f([x]) + f([y]).$$

Let  $[x] \in \mathcal{U}/\sim$  and  $b \in \mathcal{B}$ . Denote  $\eta = x \cdot b \in \mathcal{U}$  and  $\mu = b \cdot x \in \mathcal{U}$  (the multiplication is as in (2.2)). By (3.1), (2.4), (2.5), (1.2) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \eta - \omega - xb + \omega b, \eta - \omega - xb + \omega b \rangle &= 0, \\ \langle \mu - \omega - bx + b\omega, \mu - \omega - bx + b\omega \rangle &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

hence  $\eta - \omega - xb + \omega b \in \mathcal{N}$  and  $\mu - \omega - bx + b\omega \in \mathcal{N}$ . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} f([x] \cdot b) &= f([x \cdot b]) = \eta - \omega + \mathcal{N} = xb - \omega b + \mathcal{N} = f([x])b, \\ f(b \cdot [x]) &= f([b \cdot x]) = \mu - \omega + \mathcal{N} = bx - b\omega + \mathcal{N} = bf([x]). \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

**COROLLARY 3.1.** *Let  $E$  be a product system over a unital  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{B}$ . Let  $\omega$  be an arbitrary continuous unit in  $E$  and let  $\mathcal{U}$  be the maximal continuous set of units containing  $\omega$ . The index of  $E$  may also be defined as the completion of pre-Hilbert left-right  $\mathcal{B} - \mathcal{B}$  module  $(\mathcal{B}\mathcal{U}\mathcal{B})_0/\mathcal{N}$ .*

**REMARK 3.2.** Let  $E$  be an Arveson product system, i.e.,  $E$  is a product system with  $\mathcal{B} = \mathbb{C}$ , and let  $\mathcal{U}$  be the set of its units. As it can be found in [2], for  $x, y \in \mathcal{U}$  there exists a unique complex number  $c(x, y)$  satisfying  $\langle x_t, y_t \rangle = e^{tc(x, y)}$ . The function  $c : \mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is the covariance function of  $E$ . It is conditionally positive definite and there holds

$$(3.3) \quad \mathcal{L}^{x, y}(1) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\langle x_t, y_t \rangle - 1}{t} = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{e^{tc(x, y)} - 1}{t} = c(x, y).$$

Since all  $\mathcal{L}^{x, y}$  are  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear, the  $\mathcal{B}$ -bimodule  $\mathcal{B}\mathcal{U}\mathcal{B}$  is reduced to the complex vector space  $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{U}$  consisting of all formal sums  $\sum_i a_i x_i$  with  $a_i \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $x_i \in \mathcal{U}$  and its  $\mathcal{B}$ -subbimodule  $(\mathcal{B}\mathcal{U}\mathcal{B})_0$  is reduced to  $(\mathbb{C}\mathcal{U})_0 = \{\sum_i a_i x_i \in \mathbb{C}\mathcal{U} \mid \sum_i a_i = 0\}$ . Using (3.3), it follows

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \left\langle \sum_i a_i x_i, \sum_i a'_i x'_i \right\rangle &= \sum_{i, j} \mathcal{L}^{x_i, x'_j}(\overline{a_i} a'_j) \\ &= \sum_{i, j} \mathcal{L}^{x_i, x'_j}(1) \overline{a_i} a'_j = \sum_{i, j} c(x_i, x'_j) \overline{a_i} a'_j. \end{aligned}$$

According to Corollary 3.1, the index of  $E$  is the completion of the inner product space  $(\mathbb{C}\mathcal{U})_0/\mathcal{N}$  where  $\mathcal{N} = \{\sum_i a_i x_i \in (\mathbb{C}\mathcal{U})_0 \mid \langle \sum_i a_i x_i, \sum_i a_i x_i \rangle = 0\}$ . That definition of the index corresponds to the one previously given by Arveson in [2]. In detail, following the notation in [2],  $\mathbb{C}_0\mathcal{U}$  is the complex vector space consisting of all finitely nonzero functions  $f : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  satisfying  $\sum_x f(x) = 0$ . There is a mapping  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : \mathbb{C}_0\mathcal{U} \times \mathbb{C}_0\mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  defined by

$$(3.5) \quad \langle f, g \rangle = \sum_{x, y \in \mathcal{U}} c(x, y) \overline{f(x)} g(y).$$

If  $N = \{f \mid \langle f, f \rangle = \sum_{x, y} c(x, y) \overline{f(x)} f(y) = 0\}$ , the mapping (3.5) is an inner product on  $(\mathbb{C}_0\mathcal{U})/N$  and the index of  $E$  is defined as dimension of the completion of  $(\mathbb{C}_0\mathcal{U})/N$ . A basis for  $\mathbb{C}_0\mathcal{U}$  is given by the set  $\{\delta_x \mid x \in \mathcal{U}\}$  where  $\delta_x(x) = 1$  and  $\delta_x(y) = 0$ ,  $\forall y \neq x$ . The mapping  $x \mapsto \delta_x$  is a bijection between  $\mathcal{U}$  and the basis vectors  $\{\delta_x \mid x \in \mathcal{U}\}$ , hence  $\mathcal{U}$  may be considered as a linearly independent basis for  $\mathbb{C}_0\mathcal{U}$ . Therefore, every  $f \in \mathbb{C}_0\mathcal{U}$  may be written in the form  $f = \sum_i a_i x_i$  where  $a_i = f(x_i) \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $x_i \in \mathcal{U}$ . Consequently, we may identify  $(\mathbb{C}\mathcal{U})_0$  and  $\mathbb{C}_0\mathcal{U}$ ,  $\mathcal{N}$  and  $N$  and the mappings in (3.4) and (3.5).

The final conclusion is that, according to Corollary 3.1, the index of Arveson product system  $E$  may also be defined as the completion of the inner product space  $\mathcal{U}/\sim$ , where  $\sim$  is the equivalence relation introduced in Definition 3.1.

### References

1. W. Arveson, *Continuous analogues of Fock space*, Mem. Am. Math. Soc. **80** (1989) no. 409, iv+66 pp.
2. ———, *Noncommutative Dynamics and E-Semigroups*, Springer, 2003
3. S. D. Barreto, B. V. R. Bhat, V. Liebscher, M. Skeide, *Type I product systems of Hilbert modules*, J. Funct. Anal. **212** (2004) 121–181
4. B. V. R. Bhat, M. Skeide, *Tensor product systems of Hilbert modules and dilations of completely positive semigroups*, Infin. Dimens. Anal. Quantum Probab. Relat. Top. **3** (2000) 519–575
5. D. J. Kečkić, B. Vujošević, *On the index of product systems of Hilbert modules*, Filomat, to appear, ArXiv:1111.1935v1 [math.OA] 8 Nov 2011.
6. V. Liebscher, M. Skeide, *Constructing units in product systems*, Proc. Am. Math. Soc. **136** (2008) 989–997
7. V. M. Manuilov, E. V. Troitsky, *Hilbert  $C^*$ -Modules*, American Mathematical Society, 2005
8. M. Skeide, *Dilations, product systems and weak dilations*, Math. Notes **71** (2002), 914–923
9. ———, *Dilation theory and continuous tensor product systems of Hilbert modules*, PQ&UQP: Quantum Probability and White Noise Analysis XV (2003) World Scientific
10. ———, *Classification of  $E_0$ -semigroups by product systems*, Preprint, ArXiv: 0901.1798v3 (2011)
11. ———, *The index of (white) noises and their product systems*, Infin. Dimens. Anal. Quantum Probab. Relat. Top. **9** (2006) 617–655

Faculty of Mathematics  
 University of Belgrade  
 Belgrade  
 Serbia  
 bvujosevic@matf.bg.ac.rs

(Received 19 07 2014)