

# CHARACTERIZATION OF $H^p$ -SPACES WITH BOUNDARY VALUES IN SOME SPACES OF BEURLING TEMPERED ULTRADISTRIBUTIONS

Ljupčo Nastovski<sup>1</sup>

**Abstract.** In this paper we give necessary and sufficient conditions for a function  $f$  belonging to  $H^p$  space with the convergence in the sense of ultradistribution  $S'^{(s)}$ ,  $s > 1$ .

*AMS Mathematics Subject Classification* (2010): 46F20

*Key words and phrases:*  $H^p$  spaces, ultradistributions, Beurling tempered ultradistributions

## 1. Introduction

In [11], the next theorem is proved:

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $f$  be an analytic function in the upper half-plane  $Imz \geq 0$  and suppose that there exists  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that in every half-plane  $Imz \geq \delta > 0$ , there exists  $C_\delta > 0$  such that*

$$|f(z)| \leq C_\delta(1 + |z|)^n$$

*Then,  $f$  is in  $H^p(\Pi^+)$  ( $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ ) if and only if  $f(x + iy)$  converges to  $f(x) \in L^p(-\infty, \infty)$  in the sense of converges in  $(S^1)'$ , as  $y \rightarrow 0$ .*

S. Pilipović in 2004 posed the following problem: Let  $s > 1$  and let  $f(z)$  be an analytic function in the upper half plain  $\Pi^+$  and let for every  $\delta > 0$  there exist  $C_\delta > 0$  and  $K_\delta > 0$  such that:

$$|f(z)| \leq C_\delta e^{K_\delta |z|^{1/s}}, Imz \geq \delta.$$

Is it true that  $f \in H^p(\Pi^+)$  ( $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ ) if and only if  $f(z)$  converges to  $f(x) \in L^p(\mathbb{R})$  in the sense of ultradistribution  $S'^{(s)}$ ,  $s > 1$ ?

We refer to Section 2 for generalized function spaces  $(S^1)'$  and  $S'^{(s)}$ .

The aim of this paper is to give the positive answer to this question (Theorem 3.2).

Boundary values in ultradistributions spaces were studied by many authors, for example, [5], [7], [9], [10], [12]. (see references therein).

---

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, University of Skopje,  
e-mail: ljupcona@iunona.pmf.ukim.edu.mk

## 2. Notation and notions

The following definitions and results are given in [1]. By  $(M_p) = (M_p)_{p \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  we will denote a sequence of positive numbers which satisfies some of the following conditions:

$$(2.1) \quad M_p^2 \leq M_{p-1}M_{p+1}, \quad p \in \mathbb{N};$$

there are positive constants  $A$  and  $H$  such that

$$(2.2) \quad M_p \leq AH^p \min_{0 \leq q \leq p} M_q M_{p-q} \quad p \in \mathbb{N}_0;$$

there is a constant  $A > 0$  such that

$$(2.3) \quad \sum_{q=p+1}^{\infty} M_{q-1}/M_q \leq ApM_p/M_{p+1}, \quad p \in \mathbb{N};$$

Sometimes (2.2) and (2.3) will be replaced by the following weaker conditions:

$$(2.4) \quad \text{there are constants } A \text{ and } H \text{ such that } M_{p+1} \leq AH^p M_p, \quad p \in \mathbb{N}_0$$

$$(2.5) \quad \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} M_{p-1}/M_p < \infty.$$

If  $s > 1$  the Gevrey sequence  $(M_p)$  given by  $M_p = (p!)^s$ ,  $M_p = p^{ps}$  and  $M_p = \Gamma(1+ps)$ , where  $\Gamma$  denotes the gamma function, are basic examples of sequences satisfying some of the above stated conditions.

For a sequence  $(M_p)$ , the associated functions  $M$  and  $M^*$  of Komatsu, are defined by

$$M(\rho) = \sup_{p \in \mathbb{N}_0} \log(\rho^p M_0/M_p), \quad 0 < \rho < \infty,$$

$$M^*(\rho) = \sup_{p \in \mathbb{N}_0} \log(\rho^p p! M_0/M_p), \quad 0 < \rho < \infty.$$

The formal series

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j z^j, \quad j \in \mathbb{C}$$

is an ultrapolynomial of class  $(M_p)$  (resp. of class  $\{M_p\}$ ) if there are constants  $A > 0$ ,  $h > 0$  (resp. for every  $h > 0$  there is an  $A > 0$ ) such that

$$|a_j| \leq Ah^j/M_j, \quad j \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

Let  $(M_p)$ ,  $p \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , be a sequence of positive numbers. We define  $D((M_p), \Omega)$  (resp.  $D(\{M_p\}, \Omega)$ ), where  $\Omega$  is an open set in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  to be the set of all complex valued infinitely differentiable functions  $\varphi$  with compact support in  $\Omega$  such that there exists an  $N > 0$  for which

$$(2.6) \quad \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}^n} |D_t^\alpha \varphi(t)| \leq NH^\alpha M_\alpha, \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$$

for all  $h > 0$  (resp. for some  $h > 0$ ). Here the positive constants  $N$  and  $h$  depend only on  $\varphi$ : they do not depend on  $\alpha$ .

The topologies of  $D((M_p), \Omega)$  and  $D(\{M_p\}, \Omega)$  are given in Komatsu [4]. Let  $D(h, K)$  denote the space of smooth functions supported by a compact set  $K$  for which (2.6) holds and  $D((M_p), K)$  and  $D(\{M_p\}, K)$  denote subspaces of  $D((M_p), \Omega)$  and  $D(\{M_p\}, \Omega)$  consisting of the elements supported by  $K$ , respectively. Recall that

$$\begin{aligned} D^{(M_p)}(\Omega) = D((M_p), \Omega) &= \text{ind lim}_{K \subset \Omega} \text{proj lim}_{h \rightarrow 0} D(h, k) \\ &= \text{ind lim}_{K \subset \Omega} D((M_p), K); \\ D^{\{M_p\}}(\Omega) = D(\{M_p\}, \Omega) &= \text{ind lim}_{K \subset \Omega} \text{ind lim}_{h \rightarrow 0} D(h, k) \\ &= \text{ind lim}_{K \subset \Omega} D(\{M_p\}, K). \end{aligned}$$

The dual space of  $D^{(M_p)}(\Omega)$  equipped with strong topology will be denoted with  $D'^{(M_p)}(\Omega)$ , and will be called a space of ultradistribution of Beurling type. Respectively, with  $D'^{\{M_p\}}(\Omega)$  will be denoted the dual space of  $D^{\{M_p\}, \Omega}$  and will be called ultradistribution of Roumieu type.

Let the sequence  $(M_p)$  satisfies the conditions (2.1) and (2.5). The spaces of the ultradifferentiable functions which has an ultrapolynomial growth are test spaces for the spaces of tempered ultradistributions.

Let  $S_r^{(M_p), m} = S_r^{(M_p), m}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $S_\infty^{(M_p), m} = S_\infty^{(M_p), m}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  be the space of smooth functions  $\varphi$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{m,r}(\varphi) &= \left[ \sum_{\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left| \frac{m^{\alpha+\beta}}{M_\alpha M_\beta} \langle x \rangle^\beta \varphi^{(\alpha)}(x) \right|^r dx \right]^{1/r} \\ &= \left[ \sum_{\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^n} \left( \left\| \frac{m^{\alpha+\beta}}{M_\alpha M_\beta} \langle x \rangle^\beta \varphi^{(\alpha)} \right\|_r \right)^r \right]^{1/r} < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\sigma_{m,\infty}(\varphi) = \sup_{\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^n} \frac{m^{\alpha+\beta}}{M_\alpha M_\beta} \left\| \langle x \rangle^\beta \varphi^{(\alpha)} \right\|_\infty,$$

equipped with the topology induced by the norms  $\sigma_{m,r}$  and  $\sigma_{m,\infty}$ , respectively, where  $\langle x \rangle = (1 + |x|^2)^{1/2}$ .

Let  $S^{(M_p)} = S^{(M_p)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $S^{\{M_p\}} = S^{\{M_p\}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  be the projective (as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ ) and the inductive (as  $m \rightarrow 0$ ) limits of the space  $S_2^{(M_p), m}$  respectively.

The dual spaces of  $S^{(M_p)}$  and  $S^{\{M_p\}}$  are denoted by  $S'^{(M_p)}$  and  $S'^{\{M_p\}}$  respectively. These are the spaces of tempered ultradistributions of Beurling and Roumieu type, respectively.

In the case when the sequence  $(M_p)$  is defined with  $M_p = p!^s$ ,  $s > 1$ , the spaces of tempered ultradistributions  $S'(M_p)$  and  $S'^{\{M_p\}}$  will be denote with  $S'^{(s)}$  and  $S'^{\{s\}}$ , respectively. These spaces are studied in Grudzinski [3] and Pilipović [8].

A non-trivial example, in case  $n = 1$ , of an element of the space  $S'^*$  is

$$\langle f, \varphi \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f\varphi dx, \quad \varphi \in S'^*,$$

where  $f$  is a locally integrable function of the ultrapolynomial growth of the class  $*$ , i.e.

$$|f(x)| \leq P(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}$$

where  $P$  is an ultrapolynomial of the class  $*$  ( $*$  denotes  $(M_p)$  or  $\{M_p\}$ ). Note that if (2.4) is fulfilled the function  $f$  is of the ultrapolynomial growth of the class  $(M_\alpha)$  (respectively,  $\{M_p\}$ ) if and only if for some  $m > 0$  and some  $C > 0$  (respectively, for every  $m > 0$  there exists  $C > 0$ ) such that

$$|f(x)| \leq C \exp M(m|x|), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Let  $f$  be an analytic function in the upper half-plane  $\Pi^+ = \{z : \text{Im}z > 0\}$  and let  $p > 0$ . Then  $f \in H^p(\Pi^+) = H^p$  if

$$\sup_{y>0} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(x + iy)|^p dx < +\infty.$$

We need the following results.

**Theorem 2.1** ([6]). *Let  $f \in H^p(\Pi^+)$ ,  $p \geq 1$ . Then there exists  $f^* \in L^p(\mathbb{R})$  such that for almost every  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  the nontangential limit*

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow t} f(z) = f^*(t).$$

**Theorem 2.2** ([6]). *If  $f \in H^p(\Pi^+)$ ,  $1 \leq p$ , then*

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{y}{(x-t)^2 + y^2} f^*(t) dt, \quad z = x + iy.$$

*Also, if  $h \in L^p$ ,  $(1 \leq p)$  and*

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{y}{(x-t)^2 + y^2} h(t) dt, \quad z = x + iy$$

*is an analytic function in  $\Pi^+$ , then  $f \in H^p(\Pi^+)$ , and for its boundary function it is true that  $f^*(t) = h(t)$  almost everywhere in  $\mathbb{R}$ .*

**Theorem 2.3** ([2]). *If  $f \in H^p$ ,  $1 \leq p$ , then*

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{f(t)}{t-z} dt, \quad \text{Im}z = y > 0$$

*and the integral is equal to zero for each  $\text{Im}z = y < 0$ .*

Also, the opposite is true. If  $h \in L^p, (1 \leq p \leq \infty)$  and if

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{h(t)}{t-z} dt = 0, \text{Im}z = y < 0$$

then for each  $y > 0$  the integral represents the function  $f \in H^p(\Pi^+)$  with the, boundary function  $f^*(x) = h(x)$  almost everywhere in  $\mathbb{R}$ .

**Theorem 2.4** ([13]). *Let  $f$  be an analytic function at  $V \setminus \Omega$ . If  $f(x \pm i0) = \lim_{y \rightarrow 0^+} f(x \pm iy)$  exist in  $D'^*(\Omega)$ , if  $f(x \pm i0)$  is bounded in  $\Omega$  and if  $f(x+i0) = f(x-i0)$ , then  $f$  is analytic at  $V$ .*

### 3. Main Results

In Rajna's paper [11], a characterization of the functions from the  $H^p(\Pi^+)$ -space, with asymptotic behavior and distributional boundary values, in the space of distribution  $(S^1)'$ , is given through Theorem 1.1. The distributions  $(S^1)'$  are defined in the following way:  $S^1$  is the space of all functions  $\phi$  which are infinite differential on  $\mathbb{R}$  such that  $|\phi^{(n)}(x)| \leq C_n e^{-a|x|}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , where  $C_n > 0$  and  $a > 0$  depend upon  $\phi$ . The space  $S^1$  is the image of  $S_1$  by a Fourier transformation. Their duals distributional spaces are denoted by  $(S_1)'$  and  $(S^1)'$ , respectively.

Let  $(M_p)$  be a sequence satisfying conditions (M.1), (M.2) and (M.3). Let  $m_p = M_p/M_{p-1}, p \in \mathbb{N}$ . A polynomial

$$P_L(z) = \prod_{p=1}^{\infty} (1 + \frac{L}{m_p} z), \text{Re}z > 0$$

where  $L > 0$  is some constant is an ultrapolynomial of  $(M_p)$  class.

The next inequality is true, and it is given in [4]. There exist  $K_1 > 0, C_1 > 0$  such that

$$(3.1) \quad e^{M(L|z|)} \leq |P_L(z)| \leq C_1 e^{M(K_1|z|)}, \text{Re}z > 0.$$

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $s > 1$  and  $f$  be an analytic function in the upper half plain  $\Pi^+ = \{z : \text{Im}z > 0\}$ . Then, for every  $\delta > 0$  there exist  $C_\delta > 0$  and  $K_\delta > 0$  such that:*

$$|f(z)| \leq C_\delta e^{K_\delta |z|^{1/s}}, \text{Im}z \geq \delta$$

*if and only if for every  $\delta > 0$  there exist  $C_\delta > 0$  and the ultrapolynomial  $P_L$  of  $(p^{1/s})$  - class, such that*

$$|f(z)| \leq C_\delta |P_L(iz)|, \text{Im}z \geq \delta.$$

*Proof.* Let for every  $\delta > 0$  there exist  $C_\delta > 0$  and the ultrapolynomial  $P_L(z)$  of  $(p^{1/s})$  - class such that

$$|f(z)| \leq C_\delta |P_L(iz)|, \text{Im}z \geq \delta.$$

The inequality (3.1) implies that there exist  $C_1 > 0$  and  $L_1 > 0$  such that

$$|P_L(-iz)| \leq C_1 e^{M(L|z|)} \approx C_1 e^{KL^{1/s}|z|^{1/s}}, \text{Im}z \geq \delta > 0$$

(We used the fact that  $M(|z|) \approx C|z|^{1/s}$ , for some  $C > 0$ ).

Now we will show that the opposite holds. Let for every  $\delta > 0$  there exist  $C > 0$  and  $K_\delta > 0$  such that

$$|f(z)| \leq C_\delta e^{K_\delta |z|^{1/s}}, \text{Im}z \geq \delta$$

From (3.1), it follows

$$e^{L|z|^{1/s}} \leq |P_L(z)|, \text{Re}z > 0.$$

□

The next theorem is the main result of the paper. It answers positively the posed question, as we noted in Introduction.

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $s > 1$  and let  $f$  be an analytic function in the upper half-plane  $\Pi^+$  and let for each  $\delta > 0$  there exist  $C_\delta > 0$  and the ultrapolynomial  $P_L(z)$  of  $(p!^s)$ -class, such that*

$$(3.2) \quad |f(z)| \leq C_\delta |P_L(iz)|, \text{Im}z \geq \delta.$$

*Then,  $f \in H^p(\Pi^+)$ ,  $(1 \leq p \leq \infty)$  if and only if  $f(z)$  converges to  $f(x) \in L^p(\mathbb{R})$  in the sense of the ultradistributions  $S'(s)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $f(z) \in H^p(\Pi^+)$ . We will show that  $f(x+iy) \rightarrow f(x)$  when  $y \rightarrow 0$  in the sense of ultradistributions  $S'(s)$  ( $f(x)$  is a bounded function for  $f(z)$ ). Note that the following is true: for every  $y > 0, f_y(x) = f(x+iy)$  is ultradistribution in  $S'(s)$ , because  $f_y(x)$  is locally integrable and it is ultrapolynomial bounded, i.e. there exists an ultrapolynomial  $P$  so that  $|f_y(x)| \leq P(x)$  holds for every  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . This is true because of the condition (3.2). Now, we will show that  $f(x+iy) \rightarrow f(x)$  when  $y \rightarrow 0$  in the sense of ultradistribution  $S'(s)$ . Let  $\phi \in S(s)$ . We have:

$$\begin{aligned} | \langle f_y, \phi \rangle - \langle f, \phi \rangle | &= | \langle f_y - f, \phi \rangle | \\ &\leq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(x+iy) - f(x)| |\phi(x)| dx \\ &\leq \left( \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(x+iy) - f(x)|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left( \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\phi(x)|^{p'} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

when  $y \rightarrow 0$ . Where  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1$ .

Now, we will prove the opposite. Let  $f(x+iy)$  converge to  $f(x) \in L^p(-\infty, \infty)$  in the sense of the ultradistribution  $S'(s)$  as  $y \rightarrow 0$ . We will prove that  $f \in H^p(\Pi^+)$ .

Let  $N_p = (p!)^{s-\rho}$ , where  $s - \rho > 1, \rho > 0$ . Let  $\tilde{P}_L(z)$  be an ultrapolynomial of  $(p!^s)$ -class which corresponds to the sequence  $(N_p)$ .

Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . We will define a function  $g_\epsilon(z) = \frac{f(z)}{\psi_\epsilon(z)}$ , where  $\psi_\epsilon(z) = \tilde{P}_L(i\epsilon z)$ ,  $Re z > 0$ .

Step one:

Let  $\epsilon > 0$  be fixed. We will show that for every fixed  $y > 0$ ,

$$G_\epsilon(u) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{yu} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g_\epsilon(x + iy) e^{-ixu} dx, u \in \mathbb{R}$$

is a smooth function independent of  $y > 0$ .

Let  $y_1 > y_2 > 0$  be fixed numbers and let  $\delta > 0$  such that  $y_2 > \delta$ .

We will approximate  $|g_\epsilon(z)|$  in  $\{z : Im z \geq \delta\}$ .

We will use the inequalities in (3.1). Taking into consideration (3.2) we have that

$$\begin{aligned} |g_\epsilon(z)| &= \frac{|f(z)|}{|\psi_\epsilon(z)|} \leq \frac{C_\delta |P_L(-iz)|}{|\tilde{P}_L(-i\epsilon z)|} \\ &\leq \frac{C_\delta C e^{M(L_1|z|)}}{e^{2N(L\epsilon|z|)}}, Im z \geq \delta > 0 \end{aligned}$$

Since  $M(t) \approx Kt^{\frac{1}{s}}$  and  $N(t) \approx K_1 t^{\frac{1}{s-\rho}}$ , for some  $K, K_1 > 0$ , we obtain

$$\frac{e^{M(L_1|z|)}}{e^{2N(L\epsilon|z|)}} \approx e^{KL_1^{\frac{1}{s}}|z|^{\frac{1}{s}} - 2K_1 L^{\frac{1}{s-\rho}} \epsilon^{\frac{1}{s-\rho}} |z|^{\frac{1}{s-\rho}}} \rightarrow 0, |z| \rightarrow \infty$$

So there exists  $K_{\epsilon,\delta} > 0$  such that, for every  $z \in \mathbb{C} Im z \geq \delta > 0$  there holds:

$$|g_\epsilon(z)| \leq K_{\epsilon,\delta} e^{-d|z|^{\frac{1}{s-\rho}}}$$

where  $d = K_1 L^{\frac{1}{s-\rho}} \epsilon^{\frac{1}{s-\rho}} > 0$ . This implies that  $g_\epsilon$  is a smooth function.

We will use the integral  $\int_\Gamma g_\epsilon(z) e^{-izu} dz$ , where the contour  $\Gamma$  is the boundary of  $\Omega = \{z : -a < Re z < a, y_1 < Im z < y_2\}$ .

For the fixed  $u \in \mathbb{R}$  the function  $z \mapsto g_\epsilon(z) e^{-izu}$ ,  $z \in \Pi^+$  is an analytic function in  $\Omega$ , so by Cauchy's Theorem we obtain  $\int_\Gamma g_\epsilon(z) e^{-izu} dz = 0$ .

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} &e^{y_2 u} \int_{-a}^a g_\epsilon(x + iy_2) e^{-ixu} dx + e^{y_1 u} \int_a^{-a} g_\epsilon(x + iy) e^{-ixu} dx \\ &+ e^{-iau} \int_{y_2}^{y_1} g_\epsilon(a + iy) e^{yu} dy + e^{iau} \int_{y_1}^{y_2} g_\epsilon(-a + iy) e^{yu} dy = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Because of  $|g_\epsilon(\pm a + iy)| \leq K_{\epsilon,\delta} e^{-d|\pm a + iy|^{1/(s-\rho)}} \leq K_{\epsilon,\delta} e^{-d|a|^{1/(s-\rho)}}$  we obtain

$$\lim_{a \rightarrow \infty} \int_{y_1}^{y_2} |g_\epsilon(a + iy)| e^{yu} dy = \lim_{a \rightarrow \infty} \int_{y_2}^{y_1} |g_\epsilon(-a + iy)| e^{yu} dy = 0.$$

So  $e^{y_2 u} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g_{\epsilon}(x + iy_2)e^{-ixu} dx = e^{y_1 u} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g_{\epsilon}(x + iy_1)e^{-ixu} dx$  i.e.

$$(3.3) \quad G_{\epsilon}(u) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{yu} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g_{\epsilon}(x + iy)e^{-ixu} dx$$

is independent of  $y > 0$ . So, we have proved step one.

*Step two:*

We shall prove that, for  $G_{\epsilon}(u) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{yu} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g_{\epsilon}(x + iy)e^{-ixu} dx$ ,  $u \in \mathbb{R}$ , it is true that  $G_{\epsilon}(u) = 0$  for  $u < 0$  and  $G_{\epsilon}(u)$  has an exponential growth, when  $u > 0$ .

Since,  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |g_{\epsilon}(x + iy)| dx < +\infty$ , there exists  $K_{\epsilon} > 0$  such that for every  $u \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $y \geq \delta$  it is true that  $|G_{\epsilon}(u)| \leq K_{\epsilon} e^{uy}$ .

So, if  $u < 0$ , we obtain  $G_{\epsilon}(u) = 0$  and if  $u > 0$  we obtain that  $|G_{\epsilon}(u)| \leq A_{\delta, \epsilon} e^{\delta u}$  for some constant  $A_{\delta, \epsilon}$ .

*Step three:*

Let  $\epsilon > 0$  be fixed. We shall prove that  $e^{-yu} G_{\epsilon}(u) \rightarrow G_{\epsilon}(u)$  in the sense of  $S^{(s)}$  when  $y \rightarrow 0$ , i.e.

$$(3.4) \quad \langle e^{-yu} G_{\epsilon}(u), \phi(u) \rangle \rightarrow \langle G_{\epsilon}(u), \phi(u) \rangle, \text{ when } y \rightarrow 0 \text{ for every } \phi \in S^{(s)}.$$

Let  $\phi_1, \phi_2 \in S^{(s)}$ , that they are equal at  $(-\infty, p)$  for some  $p > 0$ . Because of  $\text{supp} G_{\epsilon} \subset [0, \infty)$  it is true  $\langle G_{\epsilon}(u), \phi_1 \rangle = \langle G_{\epsilon}(u), \phi_2 \rangle$ . So, if  $\gamma \in S^{(s)}$  such that  $\gamma(u) = 0$  for  $u < -2$  and  $\gamma(u) = 1$  for  $u > -1$  we obtain that

$$\langle e^{-yu} G_{\epsilon}(u), \phi \rangle = \langle G_{\epsilon}(u), e^{-yu} \phi \rangle = \langle G_{\epsilon}(u), \gamma(u) e^{-yu} \phi \rangle$$

To prove (3.4), it suffices to show that  $\gamma e^{-yu} \phi \rightarrow \phi$  when  $y \rightarrow 0$  in  $S^{(s)}$ .

Let  $h > 0$  be fixed. We will show that  $\|e^{-yu} \theta(u) - \theta(u)\|_h \rightarrow 0$  when  $y \rightarrow 0$ , where  $\theta(u) = \gamma(u) \phi(u)$ ,  $u \in \mathbb{R}$ , and  $\|\theta\|_h = \sigma_{h, \infty}(\phi)$ . We have (for every  $u \in R$ ),

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{h^{\alpha+\beta} (1+u^2)^{\alpha/2}}{\alpha!^s \beta!^s} (e^{-yu} \theta(u) - \theta(u))^{\langle \beta \rangle} \right| \\ &= \frac{h^{\alpha+\beta} (1+u^2)^{\alpha/2}}{\alpha!^s \beta!^s} \left| \sum_{j=0}^{\beta} \binom{\beta}{j} (e^{-yu} - 1)^{\langle \beta-j \rangle} \theta^{(j)}(u) \right| \\ &= \frac{h^{\alpha+\beta} (1+u^2)^{\alpha/2}}{\alpha!^s \beta!^s} \left| (e^{-yu} - 1) \theta^{(\beta)}(u) + \sum_{j=0}^{\beta-1} \binom{\beta}{j} (-y)^{\langle \beta-j \rangle} e^{-yu} \theta^{(j)}(u) \right| \\ &\leq (e^{-yu} - 1) \sup_{\alpha, \beta} \frac{h^{\alpha+\beta} (1+u^2)^{\alpha/2} |\theta^{(\beta)}(u)|}{\alpha!^s \beta!^s} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &+e^{-yu}|y|\frac{(2h)^{\alpha+\beta}(1+u^2)^{\alpha/2}}{\alpha!^s\beta!^s}\frac{1}{2^{\alpha+\beta}}\left|\sum_{j=0}^{\beta-1}\binom{\beta}{j}(-1)^{\beta-j}y^{\beta-j-1}e^{-yu}\theta^{(j)}(u)\right| \\
 &= \|\theta\|_h(e^{-yu} - 1) \\
 &+e^{-yu}|y|\frac{1}{2^{\alpha+\beta}}\left|\sum_{j=0}^{\beta-1}\binom{\beta}{j}\frac{(-1)^{\beta-j}y^{\beta-j-1}}{(\beta-j)!^s}\frac{(2h)^{\alpha+\beta}(1+u^2)^{\alpha/2}\theta^{(j)}(u)}{\alpha!^sj!^s}\right| \\
 &\leq \|\theta\|_h(e^{-yu} - 1) + e^{-yu}|y|\frac{\|\theta\|_{2h}}{2^{\alpha+\beta}}\sum_{j=0}^{\beta-1}\binom{\beta}{j}\frac{|y|^{\beta-j-1}}{(\beta-j)!^s} \\
 &\leq \|\theta\|_h(e^{-yu} - 1) + e^{-yu}|y|\frac{\|\theta\|_{2h}}{2^{\alpha+\beta}}(1+|y|)^{\beta-1}.
 \end{aligned}$$

The last expression, for a sufficient small  $|y|$  will be less than

$$\|\theta\|_h(e^{-yu} - 1) + e^{-yu}|y|\frac{\|\theta\|_{2h}}{2^{\alpha+1}},$$

and this yields

$$\|e^{-yu}\theta(u) - \theta(u)\|_h \leq \|\theta\|_h(e^{-yu} - 1) + e^{-yu}|y|\|\theta\|_{2h}, u \in R.$$

Thus, it is proved that  $\gamma e^{-yu}\phi \rightarrow \gamma\phi$  in  $S^{(s)}$  when  $y \rightarrow 0$ . So, for every  $\epsilon > 0$  it is true that  $e^{-yu}G_\epsilon \rightarrow G_\epsilon$  in  $S'^{(s)}$  when  $y \rightarrow 0$ . This completes the prof of step 3.

Notice that  $g_\epsilon(x+iy)$  is a Fourier transform of  $e^{-yu}G_\epsilon(u)$ . It is known that the Fourier transformation  $F : S'^{(s)} \rightarrow S^{(s)}$ , is continuous in weak topology. Hence, the ultradistributional Fourier transform of  $g_\epsilon(x+iy)$  converges in  $S'^{(s)}$  when  $y \rightarrow 0$  to the ultradistributional Fourier transform of  $G_\epsilon$  which we denote by  $g_\epsilon$ .

*Step four:*

We will prove that  $g_\epsilon(x) = \frac{f(x)}{\psi_\epsilon(x)}, x \in \mathbb{R}$ , as an ultradistribution in  $S'^{(s)}$ .

Notice that,  $(1/\psi_\epsilon)(x+iy) \rightarrow (1/\psi_\epsilon)(x)$  in the sense of ultradistribution  $S'^{(s)}$  when  $y \rightarrow 0$ , and because  $f(x+iy) \rightarrow f(x)$  in the sense of ultradistribution  $S'^{(s)}$  when  $y \rightarrow 0$ , we get  $g_\epsilon(x+iy) = (f/\psi_\epsilon)(x+iy) \rightarrow (f/\psi_\epsilon)(x)$  in the sense of ultradistribution  $S'^{(s)}$  when  $y \rightarrow 0$  (as a product of regular ultraultradistribution). It holds that  $g_\epsilon(x+iy) \rightarrow g_\epsilon(x)$  in  $S'^{(s)}$  when  $y \rightarrow 0$ . Thus we obtain that  $g_\epsilon(x) = \frac{f(x)}{\psi_\epsilon(x)}, x \in \mathbb{R}$ , as an ultradistribution in  $S'^{(s)}$ .

*Step five:*

We shall show that  $g_\epsilon \in H^p, p \in [2, \infty)$ , for every  $\epsilon > 0$ .

We need the following result:

If  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ , then  $F(\tilde{f}) = F(f)$ , where on the left-hand side is an  $F$ -transformation in the sense of ultradistribution  $S^{(s)}$ , and on the right-hand side is a regularization of  $F$ -transformation of  $f$  defined by  $\tilde{f} = F(f) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(\phi_n)$ , where  $(\phi_n)$  is a sequence in  $S^{(s)}$  which converges to  $f$  in the space  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ .

Because,  $f \in L^p$ , in view of Hölder's inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |g_\epsilon(x)|^2 dx &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(x)|^2 \frac{1}{|\psi_\epsilon(x)|^2} dx \\ &\leq \left( \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (|f(x)|^2)^{p/2} dx \right)^{2/p} \left( \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dx}{|\psi_\epsilon(x)|^{2q}} \right)^{1/q}. \end{aligned}$$

where  $1/p + 1/q = 1$ . So  $g_\epsilon(x) \in L^2(-\infty, \infty)$ . Because the function  $1/\psi_\epsilon$  is bounded, we get that  $g_\epsilon(x) \in L^p(-\infty, \infty)$ . Also, because  $g_\epsilon$  is a Fourier transform of  $G_\epsilon$ , and  $g_\epsilon \in L^2(-\infty, \infty)$ , we obtain  $G_\epsilon \in L^2(0, \infty)$ ,  $(G_\epsilon(u) = 0$  for  $u < 0$ ).

Now, because  $g_\epsilon$  is a Fourier transform of  $e^{-yu}G_\epsilon$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} g_\epsilon(z) &= g_\epsilon(x + iy) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G_\epsilon(u) e^{-yu} e^{ixu} du = \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_0^{\infty} G_\epsilon(u) e^{i(xu+iyu)} du = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_0^{\infty} G_\epsilon(u) e^{iuz} du, z \in \mathbb{C}, y > 0 \end{aligned}$$

Now, from the Theorem (Paley-Wiener)[2] we obtain  $g_\epsilon \in H^2$  and from Poisson's Theorem [2] for integral representation we obtain that  $g_\epsilon \in H^p$ . Thus step five is proved.

*Step six:*

Now we will prove that  $f(z) \in H^p$  for  $p \in [2, \infty)$ . It is true that  $g_\epsilon(x) \rightarrow f(x)$  in  $L^p(-\infty, \infty)$  when  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ . It follows that  $g_\epsilon(z) \rightarrow f_1(z)$  when  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ , where  $f_1 \in H^p$  and  $f(x)$  is its bounded function. The above is true, because of the next arguments.

Let  $f_1(z) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{y}{y^2 + (x-t)^2} f(t) dt \in H^p(\Pi^+)$ .

Now we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |g_\epsilon(z) - f_1(z)| &= \frac{1}{\pi} \left| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{y}{y^2 + (x-t)^2} (g_\epsilon(t) - f(t)) dt \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{y}{y^2 + (x-t)^2} |g_\epsilon(t) - f(t)| dt \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\pi} \left( \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |g_\epsilon(t) - f(t)|^p dt \right)^{1/p} \left( \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left( \frac{y}{y^2 + (x-t)^2} \right)^2 dt \right)^{1/q} \rightarrow 0, \epsilon \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

So  $\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} g_\epsilon(z) = f_1(z)$ ,  $Imz > 0$ .

Now, we obtain  $(f - f_1)(x + iy) \rightarrow 0$  in the sense of ultradistribution  $S'^{(s)}$  when  $y \rightarrow 0$ . Because  $S'^{(s)} \subseteq D'^{(s)}(\Omega)$ ,  $\Omega = (-R, R)$  we can use Theorem 2.4.

So, from  $(f - f_1)(x + iy) \rightarrow 0$  in the sense of  $D'^{(s)}$ , when  $y \rightarrow 0$ , we obtain  $f - f_1 \equiv 0$  in the neighborhood of  $\Omega$ , i.e. there exist  $r > 0$  such that  $(f - f_1)(x + iy) = 0$  for  $|x| < R$  and  $0 < y < r$ . With analytic continuation, we get that  $f(z) = f_1(z)$  for every  $z \in \Pi^+$  i.e.  $f \in H^p$ .

*Step seven:*

We will show that  $g_\epsilon \in H^p(\Pi^+)$ ,  $p \in [1, 2)$  for every  $\epsilon > 0$ . Under the condition of Theorem 2.3,  $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R})$ . So, since  $1/\psi_\epsilon$  is bounded, it is true that  $g_\epsilon \in L^p(-\infty, \infty)$  and

$$G_\epsilon \in L^q(0, \infty), (q = p/(p - 1)).$$

Again, we get the representation (3.3) with  $G_\epsilon$  as the Fourier transform of some function in  $L^p(-\infty, \infty)$ .

The following is true:

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{1}{i(t-z)} &= \int_0^\infty e^{-itu} e^{izu} du, (Imz > 0) \\ &= -\int_{-\infty}^0 e^{-itu} e^{izu} du, (Imz < 0) \end{aligned}$$

Now we use Fubini's Theorem the fact that  $g_\epsilon$  is the Fourier transform of  $G_\epsilon$  and the equality (3.5) and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\infty}^\infty \frac{g_\epsilon(t)}{i(t-z)} dt &= \int_{-\infty}^\infty (g_\epsilon(t) \int_0^\infty e^{-itu} e^{izu} du) dt = \\ \int_0^\infty (e^{izu} \int_{-\infty}^\infty g_\epsilon(t) e^{-itu} dt) du &= \sqrt{2\pi} \int_0^\infty G_\epsilon(u) e^{izu} du = 2\pi g_\epsilon(z), (Imz > 0). \end{aligned}$$

The same argument gives that  $g_\epsilon(z) = 0$  for  $Imz < 0$  because that  $G_\epsilon(u) = 0$  for  $u < 0$ .

Thus, Theorem 2.3 implies  $g_\epsilon \in H^p$ .

*Step eight:*

The proof that  $f \in H^p(\mathbb{R})$  for  $p \in [1, 2)$  is the same as in step six. □

## References

- [1] Carmichael, R. D., Kaminski, A., Pilipović, S., Notes on Boundary Values in Ultradistribution Spaces. Lecture Notes Series of Seoul University 49, 1999.
- [2] Duren, P. L., Theory of  $H^p$  spaces. New York: Acad. Press 1970.

- [3] Grudzinski, V.O., Temperierte Beurling-distributions. Math. Nachr. 91 (1979), 197-220.
- [4] Komatshu, H., Ultradistributions I. Structure theorems and characterisations. J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sec IA, 20 (1973), 25-105.
- [5] Komatshu, H., Ultradistributions II. J. Fac. Univ. Tokyo, Sect. IA 24 (1977), 607-628.
- [6] Kusiš, P., Vvedenie v teoriiu prostranstv  $H^p$ . Moskva: Mir, 1984.
- [7] Petzsche, H. J., Generalized function and the boundary values of holomorphic functions. J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA Math. 31 (1984), 391-431.
- [8] Pilipović, S., Tempered Ultradistributions, Boll.Un. Mat. Ital. (7) 2-B (1988), pp. 235-251.
- [9] Pilipović, S., Boundary value representation for a class of Beurling ultradistributions. Portugaliae Math. 45 (1988), 201-219.
- [10] Pilipović, S., Ultradistributional boundary values for a class of holomorphic function. Comment Math. Univ. Sancti Pauli 37 (1988), 63-71.
- [11] Raina, A. K., On the role of Hardy spaces in form factor bounds. Letter in Mathematics Physics 2 (1978), 513-519.
- [12] de Roever, J. W., Hyperfunctional singular support of distributions. J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo, Sect. IA Math. 31 (1985), 585-631.
- [13] Stanković, B., Analytic ultradistributions. Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, Vol. 123 No. 11 1995.

*Received by the editors July 10, 2013*