

AN INTEGRAL UNIVALENT OPERATOR DEFINED BY GENERALIZED AI-OBOUDI DIFFERENTIAL OPERATOR ON THE CLASSES \mathcal{T}_j , $\mathcal{T}_{j,\mu}$ AND $\mathcal{S}_j(p)$

Serap Bulut¹

Abstract. In [4], Breaz and Breaz gave the univalence conditions of the integral operator $F_{\alpha,n}$ of the analytic functions belonging to the classes \mathcal{T}_2 , $\mathcal{T}_{2,\mu}$ and $\mathcal{S}(p)$.

The purpose of this paper is to generalize the integral operator $F_{\alpha,n}$ by means of the generalized Al-Oboudi differential operator and investigate univalence conditions of this generalized integral operator considering the classes \mathcal{T}_j , $\mathcal{T}_{j,\mu}$ and $\mathcal{S}_j(p)$ ($j = 2, 3, \dots$).

AMS Mathematics Subject Classification (2000): 30C45

Key words and phrases: Analytic functions, Univalent functions, Integral operator, Schwarz lemma

1. Introduction

Let \mathcal{A} be the class of all functions of the form

$$(1.1) \quad f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k$$

which are analytic in the open unit disk

$$\mathbb{U} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}.$$

Also, let \mathcal{S} denote the subclass of \mathcal{A} consisting of functions f which are univalent in \mathbb{U} .

The following definition of fractional derivative given by Owa [7] (also by Srivastava and Owa [13]) will be required in our investigation.

The fractional derivative of order γ for a function f is defined by

$$(1.2) \quad D_z^\gamma f(z) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\gamma)} \frac{d}{dz} \int_0^z \frac{f(\xi)}{(z-\xi)^\gamma} d\xi \quad (0 \leq \gamma < 1),$$

where the function f is analytic in a simply connected region of the complex z -plane containing the origin, and the multiplicity of $(z-\xi)^{-\gamma}$ is removed by requiring $\log(z-\xi)$ to be real when $z-\xi > 0$.

¹Kocaeli University, Civil Aviation College Arslanbey Campus, 41285 İzmit-Kocaeli, TURKEY, e-mail: serap.bulut@kocaeli.edu.tr

It readily follows from (1.2) that

$$D_z^\gamma z^k = \frac{\Gamma(k+1)}{\Gamma(k+1-\gamma)} z^{k-\gamma} \quad (0 \leq \gamma < 1, k \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \dots\}).$$

Using $D_z^\gamma f$, Owa and Srivastava [8] introduced the operator $\Omega^\gamma : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$, which is known as an extension of fractional derivative and fractional integral, as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega^\gamma f(z) &= \Gamma(2-\gamma) z^\gamma D_z^\gamma f(z), \quad \gamma \neq 2, 3, 4, \dots \\ (1.3) \quad &= z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(k+1)\Gamma(2-\gamma)}{\Gamma(k+1-\gamma)} a_k z^k. \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\Omega^0 f(z) = f(z).$$

In [2], Al-Oboudi and Al-Amoudi defined the linear multiplier fractional differential operator $D_\lambda^{n,\gamma}$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} D^0 f(z) &= f(z), \\ D_\lambda^{1,\gamma} f(z) &= (1-\lambda) \Omega^\gamma f(z) + \lambda z (\Omega^\gamma f(z))' \\ (1.4) \quad &= D_\lambda^\gamma (f(z)), \quad \lambda \geq 0, 0 \leq \gamma < 1, \\ D_\lambda^{2,\gamma} f(z) &= D_\lambda^\gamma (D_\lambda^{1,\gamma} f(z)), \\ &\vdots \\ (1.5) \quad D_\lambda^{n,\gamma} f(z) &= D_\lambda^\gamma (D_\lambda^{n-1,\gamma} f(z)), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}. \end{aligned}$$

If f is given by (1.1), then by (1.3), (1.4) and (1.5), we see that

$$(1.6) \quad D_\lambda^{n,\gamma} f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \Psi_{k,n}(\gamma, \lambda) a_k z^k, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\},$$

where

$$(1.7) \quad \Psi_{k,n}(\gamma, \lambda) = \left[\frac{\Gamma(k+1)\Gamma(2-\gamma)}{\Gamma(k+1-\gamma)} (1 + (k-1)\lambda) \right]^n.$$

Remark 1. (i) When $\gamma = 0$, we get the Al-Oboudi differential operator [1].

(ii) When $\gamma = 0$ and $\lambda = 1$, we get the Sălăgean differential operator [10].

(iii) When $n = 1$ and $\lambda = 0$, we get the Owa-Srivastava fractional differential operator [8].

Let \mathcal{A}_j be the subclass of \mathcal{A} consisting of functions f given by

$$(1.8) \quad f(z) = z + \sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} a_k z^k \quad (j \in \mathbb{N}_1^* := \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\} = \{2, 3, \dots\}).$$

Let \mathcal{T} be the univalent subclass of \mathcal{A} consisting of functions f which satisfy

$$(1.9) \quad \left| \frac{z^2 f'(z)}{(f(z))^2} - 1 \right| < 1 \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

Let \mathcal{T}_j be the subclass of \mathcal{T} for which $f^{(k)}(0) = 0$ ($k = 2, 3, \dots, j$). Let $\mathcal{T}_{j,\mu}$ be the subclass of \mathcal{T}_j consisting of functions f of the form (1.8) which satisfy

$$(1.10) \quad \left| \frac{z^2 f'(z)}{(f(z))^2} - 1 \right| < \mu \quad (z \in \mathbb{U})$$

for some μ ($0 < \mu \leq 1$), and let us denote $\mathcal{T}_{j,1} \equiv \mathcal{T}_j$.

For some real p with $0 < p \leq 2$, we define the subclass $\mathcal{S}(p)$ of \mathcal{A} consisting of all functions f which satisfy

$$(1.11) \quad \left| \left(\frac{z}{f(z)} \right)'' \right| \leq p \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

In [12], Singh has shown that if $f \in \mathcal{S}(p)$, then f satisfies

$$(1.12) \quad \left| \frac{z^2 f'(z)}{(f(z))^2} - 1 \right| \leq p |z|^2 \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

Let $\mathcal{S}_j(p)$ be the subclass of \mathcal{A} consisting of functions $f \in \mathcal{A}_j$ which satisfy (1.11) and

$$(1.13) \quad \left| \frac{z^2 f'(z)}{(f(z))^2} - 1 \right| \leq p |z|^j \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}, j \in \mathbb{N}_1^*),$$

and let us denote $\mathcal{S}_2(p) \equiv \mathcal{S}(p)$.

The subclasses \mathcal{T}_j , $\mathcal{T}_{j,\mu}$ and $\mathcal{S}_j(p)$ are introduced by Seenivasagan [11].

The following results will be required in our investigation.

General Schwarz Lemma. [6] *Let the function f be regular in the disk $\mathbb{U}_R = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < R\}$, with $|f(z)| < M$ for fixed M . If f has one zero with multiplicity order bigger than m for $z = 0$, then*

$$|f(z)| \leq \frac{M}{R^m} |z|^m \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}_R).$$

The equality can hold only if $f(z) = e^{i\theta} (M/R^m) z^m$, where θ is a constant.

Theorem A. [9] *Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, $\operatorname{Re} \alpha > 0$ and $f \in \mathcal{A}$. If f satisfies*

$$\frac{1 - |z|^{2\operatorname{Re} \alpha}}{\operatorname{Re} \alpha} \left| \frac{z f''(z)}{f'(z)} \right| \leq 1 \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}),$$

then, for any complex number β with $\operatorname{Re} \beta \geq \operatorname{Re} \alpha$, the integral operator

$$(1.14) \quad F_\beta(z) = \left\{ \beta \int_0^z t^{\beta-1} f'(t) dt \right\}^{\frac{1}{\beta}}$$

is in the class \mathcal{S} .

In [4], Breaz and Breaz gave the following results.

Theorem B. [4] Let $g_i \in \mathcal{T}_2$, $g_i(z) = z + a_3^i z^3 + a_4^i z^4 + \dots$, $\forall i = \overline{1, n}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ which satisfy the properties

$$\left| \frac{z^2 g_i'(z)}{(g_i(z))^2} - 1 \right| < 1, \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{U}, \forall i = \overline{1, n}.$$

If $|g_i(z)| \leq 1$, $\forall z \in \mathbb{U}$, $\forall i = \overline{1, n}$, then for every complex number α , satisfying the properties

$$\operatorname{Re} \alpha > 0, \quad \operatorname{Re}(n(\alpha - 1) + 1) \geq \operatorname{Re} \alpha, \quad \text{and} \quad |\alpha - 1| \leq \frac{\operatorname{Re} \alpha}{3n}$$

the function

$$(1.15) \quad F_{\alpha, n}(z) = \left\{ (n(\alpha - 1) + 1) \int_0^z (g_1(t))^{\alpha-1} \dots (g_n(t))^{\alpha-1} dt \right\}^{\frac{1}{n(\alpha-1)+1}}$$

is univalent.

Theorem C. [4] Let $g_i \in \mathcal{T}_{2, \mu}$, $g_i(z) = z + a_3^i z^3 + a_4^i z^4 + \dots$, $\forall i = \overline{1, n}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, $\operatorname{Re} \alpha > 0$ so that

$$\operatorname{Re}(n(\alpha - 1) + 1) \geq \operatorname{Re} \alpha, \quad |\alpha - 1| \leq \frac{\operatorname{Re} \alpha}{n(\mu + 2)}.$$

If $|g_i(z)| \leq 1$, $\forall z \in \mathbb{U}$, $i = \overline{1, n}$, then we have $F_{\alpha, n} \in \mathcal{S}$.

Theorem D. [4] Let $g_i \in \mathcal{S}(p)$, $0 < p < 2$, $g_i(z) = z + a_3^i z^3 + a_4^i z^4 + \dots$, $\forall i = \overline{1, n}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, $\operatorname{Re} \alpha > 0$ so that

$$\operatorname{Re}(n(\alpha - 1) + 1) \geq \operatorname{Re} \alpha, \quad |\alpha - 1| \leq \frac{\operatorname{Re} \alpha}{n(p + 2)}.$$

If $|g_i(z)| \leq 1$, $\forall z \in \mathbb{U}$, $i = \overline{1, n}$, then we have $F_{\alpha, n} \in \mathcal{S}$.

In [3], Breaz gave the extensions of Theorems B, C, and D as follows.

Theorem B'. [3] Let $M \geq 1$, $g_i \in \mathcal{T}_2$, $g_i(z) = z + a_3^i z^3 + a_4^i z^4 + \dots$, $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ so that it satisfies the properties

$$\left| \frac{z^2 g_i'(z)}{(g_i(z))^2} - 1 \right| < 1, \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{U}, \forall i \in \{1, \dots, n\}.$$

If $|g_i(z)| \leq M$, $\forall z \in \mathbb{U}$, $\forall i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, then for every complex number α , such that

$$\operatorname{Re} \alpha \geq 1, \quad |\alpha - 1| \leq \frac{\operatorname{Re} \alpha}{(2M + 1)n}$$

the function $F_{\alpha, n}$ is univalent.

Theorem C'. [3] Let $M \geq 1$, $g_i \in \mathcal{T}_{2, \mu}$, $g_i(z) = z + a_3^i z^3 + a_4^i z^4 + \dots$, $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, be such that

$$\operatorname{Re} \alpha \geq 1, \quad |\alpha - 1| \leq \frac{\operatorname{Re} \alpha}{n(M\mu + M + 1)}.$$

If $|g_i(z)| \leq M$, $\forall z \in \mathbb{U}$, $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, then the function $F_{\alpha, n} \in \mathcal{S}$.

Theorem D'. [3] Let $M \geq 1$, $g_i \in \mathcal{S}(p)$, $0 < p < 2$, $g_i(z) = z + a_3^i z^3 + a_4^i z^4 + \dots$, $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ be such that

$$\operatorname{Re} \alpha \geq 1, \quad |\alpha - 1| \leq \frac{\operatorname{Re} \alpha}{n(Mp + M + 1)}.$$

If $|g_i(z)| \leq M$, $\forall z \in \mathbb{U}$, $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, then the function $F_{\alpha, n} \in \mathcal{S}$.

Now, we define a new general integral operator by means of the generalized Al-Oboudi differential operator as follows.

Definition 1. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, $\lambda \geq 0$, $0 \leq \gamma < 1$. We define the integral operator $G_{\lambda, \alpha}^{m, \gamma}$ by

$$(1.16) \quad G_{\lambda, \alpha}^{n, m, \gamma}(z) = \left\{ [n(\alpha - 1) + 1] \int_0^z \prod_{i=1}^n (D_{\lambda}^{m, \gamma} g_i(t))^{\alpha-1} dt \right\}^{\frac{1}{n(\alpha-1)+1}} \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}),$$

where $g_1, \dots, g_n \in \mathcal{A}$ and $D_{\lambda}^{m, \gamma}$ is the generalized Al-Oboudi differential operator.

Remark 2. In the special case $n = 1$ we obtain the integral operator

$$(1.17) \quad G_{\lambda, \alpha}^{m, \gamma}(z) = \left\{ \alpha \int_0^z (D_{\lambda}^{m, \gamma} g(t))^{\alpha-1} dt \right\}^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

Remark 3. If we set $\gamma = 0$ in (1.16) and (1.17), then we get the integral operators $G_{n, m, \alpha}$ and $G_{m, \alpha}$ respectively defined in [5].

In this paper we generalize the results of [3].

2. Main Results

Theorem 2.1. *Let g_i , defined by*

$$(2.1) \quad g_i(z) = z + \sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} a_{k,i} z^k$$

be in the class \mathcal{T}_j for $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $j \in \mathbb{N}_1^$, and satisfy the properties*

$$\left| \frac{z^2 (D_\lambda^{m,\gamma} g_i(z))'}{(D_\lambda^{m,\gamma} g_i(z))^2} - 1 \right| < 1 \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}, i \in \{1, \dots, n\}).$$

If

$$|D_\lambda^{m,\gamma} g_i(z)| \leq M_i \quad (M_i \geq 1; z \in \mathbb{U}; i \in \{1, \dots, n\}),$$

and $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ be such that

$$\operatorname{Re}(n(\alpha - 1) + 1) \geq \operatorname{Re} \alpha > 0, \quad \text{and} \quad |\alpha - 1| \leq \frac{\operatorname{Re} \alpha}{\sum_{i=1}^n (2M_i + 1)},$$

then the integral operator $G_{\lambda,\alpha}^{m,\gamma}$ defined by (1.16) is in the class \mathcal{S} .

Proof. Since $g_i \in \mathcal{T}_j$ ($i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $j \in \mathbb{N}_1^*$), by (1.6), we have

$$\frac{D_\lambda^{m,\gamma} g_i(z)}{z} = 1 + \sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} \Psi_{k,n}(\gamma, \lambda) a_{k,i} z^{k-1} \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}_0)$$

and

$$\frac{D_\lambda^{m,\gamma} g_i(z)}{z} \neq 0$$

for all $z \in \mathbb{U}$.

From (1.16) we obtain that

$$G_{\lambda,\alpha}^{m,\gamma}(z) = \left\{ (n(\alpha - 1) + 1) \int_0^z t^{n(\alpha-1)} \prod_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{D_\lambda^{m,\gamma} g_i(t)}{t} \right)^{\alpha-1} dt \right\}^{\frac{1}{n(\alpha-1)+1}}.$$

Define a function

$$(2.2) \quad h(z) = \int_0^z \prod_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{D_\lambda^{m,\gamma} g_i(t)}{t} \right)^{\alpha-1} dt.$$

Then we obtain

$$h'(z) = \prod_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{D_\lambda^{m,\gamma} g_i(z)}{z} \right)^{\alpha-1}.$$

It is clear that $h(0) = h'(0) - 1 = 0$. Also, a simple computation yields

$$(2.3) \quad \frac{zh''(z)}{h'(z)} = (\alpha - 1) \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{z (D_\lambda^{m,\gamma} g_i(z))'}{D_\lambda^{m,\gamma} g_i(z)} - 1 \right).$$

From (2.3), we get

$$(2.4) \quad \frac{1 - |z|^{2\operatorname{Re}\alpha}}{\operatorname{Re}\alpha} \left| \frac{zh''(z)}{h'(z)} \right| \leq \frac{1 - |z|^{2\operatorname{Re}\alpha}}{\operatorname{Re}\alpha} |\alpha - 1| \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\left| \frac{z^2 (D_\lambda^{m,\gamma} g_i(z))'}{(D_\lambda^{m,\gamma} g_i(z))^2} \right| \left| \frac{D_\lambda^{m,\gamma} g_i(z)}{z} \right| + 1 \right).$$

From the hypothesis, we have $|D_\lambda^{m,\gamma} g_i(z)| \leq M_i$ ($z \in \mathbb{U}$; $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$), then by the general Schwarz lemma we obtain that

$$|D_\lambda^{m,\gamma} g_i(z)| \leq M_i |z| \quad (i \in \{1, \dots, n\}; z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

We apply this result in inequality (2.4), then we find

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1 - |z|^{2\operatorname{Re}\alpha}}{\operatorname{Re}\alpha} \left| \frac{zh''(z)}{h'(z)} \right| \\ & \leq \frac{1 - |z|^{2\operatorname{Re}\alpha}}{\operatorname{Re}\alpha} |\alpha - 1| \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\left| \frac{z^2 (D_\lambda^{m,\gamma} g_i(z))'}{(D_\lambda^{m,\gamma} g_i(z))^2} \right| M_i + 1 \right) \\ & \leq \frac{1 - |z|^{2\operatorname{Re}\alpha}}{\operatorname{Re}\alpha} |\alpha - 1| \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\left| \frac{z^2 (D_\lambda^{m,\gamma} g_i(z))'}{(D_\lambda^{m,\gamma} g_i(z))^2} - 1 \right| M_i + M_i + 1 \right) \\ & \leq \frac{|\alpha - 1|}{\operatorname{Re}\alpha} \sum_{i=1}^n (2M_i + 1) \\ & \leq 1 \end{aligned}$$

since $|\alpha - 1| \leq \frac{\operatorname{Re}\alpha}{\sum_{i=1}^n (2M_i + 1)}$. Applying Theorem A, we obtain that $G_{\lambda,\alpha}^{n,m,\gamma}$ is in the class \mathcal{S} . \square

Corollary 2.2. *Let g_i , defined by (2.1), be in the class \mathcal{T}_j for $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $j \in \mathbb{N}_1^*$, and satisfy the properties*

$$\left| \frac{z^2 (D_\lambda^{m,\gamma} g_i(z))'}{(D_\lambda^{m,\gamma} g_i(z))^2} - 1 \right| < 1 \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}, i \in \{1, \dots, n\}).$$

If

$$|D_\lambda^{m,\gamma} g_i(z)| \leq M \quad (M \geq 1; z \in \mathbb{U}; i \in \{1, \dots, n\}),$$

and $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ be such that

$$\operatorname{Re}(n(\alpha - 1) + 1) \geq \operatorname{Re}\alpha > 0, \quad \text{and} \quad |\alpha - 1| \leq \frac{\operatorname{Re}\alpha}{(2M + 1)n},$$

then the integral operator $G_{\lambda,\alpha}^{n,m,\gamma}$ defined by (1.16) is in the class \mathcal{S} .

Proof. In Theorem 2.1, we consider $M_1 = M_2 = \dots = M_n = M$. \square

Corollary 2.3. In Corollary 2.2, if we set $D_\lambda^{0,\gamma} g_i = D_0^{m,0} g_i = g_i$ ($i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$) and

- (i) $j = 2$, then we have Theorem B'.
- (ii) $j = 2$ and $M = 1$, then we have Theorem B.

Theorem 2.4. Let g_i , defined by (2.1), be in the class \mathcal{T}_{j,μ_i} for $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $j \in \mathbb{N}_1^*$, and satisfy the properties

$$\left| \frac{z^2 (D_\lambda^{m,\gamma} g_i(z))'}{(D_\lambda^{m,\gamma} g_i(z))^2} - 1 \right| < \mu_i \quad (0 < \mu_i \leq 1, z \in \mathbb{U}, i \in \{1, \dots, n\}).$$

If

$$|D_\lambda^{m,\gamma} g_i(z)| \leq M_i \quad (M_i \geq 1; z \in \mathbb{U}; i \in \{1, \dots, n\}),$$

and $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ be such that

$$\operatorname{Re}(n(\alpha - 1) + 1) \geq \operatorname{Re} \alpha > 0, \quad \text{and} \quad |\alpha - 1| \leq \frac{\operatorname{Re} \alpha}{\sum_{i=1}^n ((\mu_i + 1)M_i + 1)},$$

then the integral operator $G_{\lambda,\alpha}^{n,m,\gamma}$ defined by (1.16) is in the class \mathcal{S} .

Proof. Considering the function h defined by (2.2), we take the same steps as in the proof of Theorem 2.1. Then, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1 - |z|^{2\operatorname{Re} \alpha}}{\operatorname{Re} \alpha} \left| \frac{zh''(z)}{h'(z)} \right| \\ & \leq \frac{1 - |z|^{2\operatorname{Re} \alpha}}{\operatorname{Re} \alpha} |\alpha - 1| \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\left| \frac{z^2 (D_\lambda^{m,\gamma} g_i(z))'}{(D_\lambda^{m,\gamma} g_i(z))^2} - 1 \right| M_i + M_i + 1 \right) \\ & \leq \frac{|\alpha - 1|}{\operatorname{Re} \alpha} \sum_{i=1}^n ((\mu_i + 1)M_i + 1) \leq 1 \end{aligned}$$

for $g_i \in \mathcal{T}_{j,\mu_i}$ ($i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$). In view of Theorem A, we have $G_{\lambda,\alpha}^{n,m,\gamma} \in \mathcal{S}$. \square

Corollary 2.5. Let g_i , defined by (2.1), be in the class \mathcal{T}_{j,μ_i} for $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $j \in \mathbb{N}_1^*$, and satisfy the properties

$$\left| \frac{z^2 (D_\lambda^{m,\gamma} g_i(z))'}{(D_\lambda^{m,\gamma} g_i(z))^2} - 1 \right| < \mu_i \quad (0 < \mu_i \leq 1, z \in \mathbb{U}, i \in \{1, \dots, n\}).$$

If

$$|D_\lambda^{m,\gamma} g_i(z)| \leq M \quad (M \geq 1; z \in \mathbb{U}; i \in \{1, \dots, n\}),$$

and $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ be such that

$$\operatorname{Re}(n(\alpha - 1) + 1) \geq \operatorname{Re} \alpha > 0, \quad \text{and} \quad |\alpha - 1| \leq \frac{\operatorname{Re} \alpha}{\sum_{i=1}^n ((\mu_i + 1)M + 1)},$$

then the integral operator $G_{\lambda,\alpha}^{n,m,\gamma}$ defined by (1.16) is in the class \mathcal{S} .

Proof. In Theorem 2.4, we consider $M_1 = M_2 = \dots = M_n = M$. \square

Corollary 2.6. Let g_i , defined by (2.1), be in the class $\mathcal{T}_{j,\mu}$ for $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $j \in \mathbb{N}_1^*$, and satisfy the properties

$$\left| \frac{z^2 (D_\lambda^{m,\gamma} g_i(z))'}{(D_\lambda^{m,\gamma} g_i(z))^2} - 1 \right| < \mu \quad (0 < \mu \leq 1, z \in \mathbb{U}, i \in \{1, \dots, n\}).$$

If

$$|D_\lambda^{m,\gamma} g_i(z)| \leq M \quad (M \geq 1; z \in \mathbb{U}; i \in \{1, \dots, n\}),$$

and $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ be such that

$$\operatorname{Re}(n(\alpha - 1) + 1) \geq \operatorname{Re} \alpha > 0, \quad \text{and} \quad |\alpha - 1| \leq \frac{\operatorname{Re} \alpha}{((\mu + 1)M + 1)n},$$

then the integral operator $G_{\lambda,\alpha}^{n,m,\gamma}$ defined by (1.16) is in the class \mathcal{S} .

Proof. In Corollary 2.5, we consider $\mu_1 = \mu_2 = \dots = \mu_n = \mu$. \square

Corollary 2.7. In Corollary 2.6, if we set $D_\lambda^{0,\gamma} g_i = D_0^{m,0} g_i = g_i$ ($i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$) and

- (i) $j = 2$, then we have Theorem C'.
- (ii) $j = 2$ and $M = 1$, then we have Theorem C.

Theorem 2.8. Let g_i , defined by (2.1), be in the class $\mathcal{S}_j(p_i)$ for $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $j \in \mathbb{N}_1^*$, and satisfy the properties

$$\left| \frac{z^2 (D_\lambda^{m,\gamma} g_i(z))'}{(D_\lambda^{m,\gamma} g_i(z))^2} - 1 \right| < p_i \quad (0 < p_i \leq 2, z \in \mathbb{U}, i \in \{1, \dots, n\}).$$

If

$$|D_\lambda^{m,\gamma} g_i(z)| \leq M_i \quad (M_i \geq 1; z \in \mathbb{U}; i \in \{1, \dots, n\}),$$

and $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ be such that

$$\operatorname{Re}(n(\alpha - 1) + 1) \geq \operatorname{Re} \alpha > 0, \quad \text{and} \quad |\alpha - 1| \leq \frac{\operatorname{Re} \alpha}{\sum_{i=1}^n ((p_i + 1)M_i + 1)},$$

then the integral operator $G_{\lambda,\alpha}^{n,m,\gamma}$ defined by (1.16) is in the class \mathcal{S} .

Proof. Considering the function h defined by (2.2) and following the same way as in the proof of Theorem 2.1, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1 - |z|^{2\operatorname{Re} \alpha}}{\operatorname{Re} \alpha} \left| \frac{zh''(z)}{h'(z)} \right| \\ & \leq \frac{1 - |z|^{2\operatorname{Re} \alpha}}{\operatorname{Re} \alpha} |\alpha - 1| \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\left| \frac{z^2 (D_\lambda^{m,\gamma} g_i(z))'}{(D_\lambda^{m,\gamma} g_i(z))^2} - 1 \right| M_i + M_i + 1 \right) \\ & \leq \frac{|\alpha - 1|}{\operatorname{Re} \alpha} \sum_{i=1}^n ((p_i + 1)M_i + 1) \leq 1 \end{aligned}$$

for $g_i \in \mathcal{S}_j(p_i)$ ($i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$). Therefore, we get $G_{\lambda, \alpha}^{m, m, \gamma} \in \mathcal{S}$ by Theorem A. \square

Corollary 2.9. *Let g_i , defined by (2.1), be in the class $\mathcal{S}_j(p_i)$ for $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $j \in \mathbb{N}_1^*$, and satisfy the properties*

$$\left| \frac{z^2 (D_{\lambda}^{m, \gamma} g_i(z))'}{(D_{\lambda}^{m, \gamma} g_i(z))^2} - 1 \right| < p_i \quad (0 < p_i \leq 2, z \in \mathbb{U}, i \in \{1, \dots, n\}).$$

If

$$|D_{\lambda}^{m, \gamma} g_i(z)| \leq M \quad (M \geq 1; z \in \mathbb{U}; i \in \{1, \dots, n\}),$$

and $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ be such that

$$\operatorname{Re}(n(\alpha - 1) + 1) \geq \operatorname{Re} \alpha > 0, \quad \text{and} \quad |\alpha - 1| \leq \frac{\operatorname{Re} \alpha}{\sum_{i=1}^n ((p_i + 1)M + 1)},$$

then the integral operator $G_{\lambda, \alpha}^{m, m, \gamma}$ defined by (1.16) is in the class \mathcal{S} .

Proof. In Theorem 2.8, we consider $M_1 = M_2 = \dots = M_n = M$. \square

Corollary 2.10. *Let g_i , defined by (2.1), be in the class $\mathcal{S}_j(p)$ for $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $j \in \mathbb{N}_1^*$, and satisfy the properties*

$$\left| \frac{z^2 (D_{\lambda}^{m, \gamma} g_i(z))'}{(D_{\lambda}^{m, \gamma} g_i(z))^2} - 1 \right| < p \quad (0 < p \leq 2, z \in \mathbb{U}, i \in \{1, \dots, n\}).$$

If

$$|D_{\lambda}^{m, \gamma} g_i(z)| \leq M \quad (M \geq 1; z \in \mathbb{U}; i \in \{1, \dots, n\}),$$

and $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ be such that

$$\operatorname{Re}(n(\alpha - 1) + 1) \geq \operatorname{Re} \alpha > 0, \quad \text{and} \quad |\alpha - 1| \leq \frac{\operatorname{Re} \alpha}{((p + 1)M + 1)n},$$

then the integral operator $G_{\lambda, \alpha}^{m, m, \gamma}$ defined by (1.16) is in the class \mathcal{S} .

Proof. In Corollary 2.9, we consider $p_1 = p_2 = \dots = p_n = p$. \square

Corollary 2.11. *In Corollary 2.10, if we set $D_{\lambda}^{0, \gamma} g_i = D_0^{m, 0} g_i = g_i$ ($i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$) and*

- (i) $j = 2$, then we have Theorem D'.
- (ii) $j = 2$ and $M = 1$, then we have Theorem D.

References

- [1] Al-Oboudi, F. M., On univalent functions defined by a generalized Sălăgean operator. *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.* no. 25-28 (2004), 1429-1436.
- [2] Al-Oboudi, F. M., Al-Amoudi, K. A., On classes of analytic functions related to conic domains. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 339 no. 1 (2008), 655-667.
- [3] Breaz, D., An integral univalent operator of the class $\mathcal{S}(p)$ and \mathcal{T}_2 . *Novi Sad J. Math.* 37 no. 1 (2007), 9-15.
- [4] Breaz, D., Breaz, N., New univalence conditions for an integral operator of the class $\mathcal{S}(p)$ and \mathcal{T}_2 . *Acta Univ. Apulensis, Math. Inform.* 13 (2007), 89-96.
- [5] Bulut, S., Univalence condition for a new generalization of the family of integral operators. *Acta Univ. Apulensis, Math. Inform.* 18 (2009), 71-78.
- [6] Nehari, Z., *Conformal Mapping*. New York, NY, USA: Dover, 1975.
- [7] Owa, S., On the distortion theorems. I. *Kyungpook Math. J.* 18 no. 1 (1978), 53-59.
- [8] Owa, S., Srivastava, H.M., Univalent and starlike generalized hypergeometric functions. *Canad. J. Math.* 39 no. 5 (1987), 1057-1077.
- [9] Pascu, N., An improvement of Becker's univalence criterion. *Proceedings of the Commemorative Session Simion Stoilow, Brasov*, (1987), 43-48.
- [10] Sălăgean, G.Ş., Subclasses of univalent functions. *Complex Analysis - Fifth Romanian-Finnish seminar, Part 1* (Bucharest, 1981), *Lecture Notes in Math.*, vol. 1013, pp. 362-372. Berlin: Springer, 1983,
- [11] Seenivasagan, N., Sufficient conditions for univalence. *Appl. Math. E-Notes* 8 (2008), 30-35.
- [12] Singh, V., On class of univalent functions. *Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci.* 23 (2000), 855-857.
- [13] Srivastava, H. M., Owa, S., (Eds.), *Univalent Functions, Fractional Calculus, and Their Applications*. Ellis Horwood Series: Mathematics and Its Applications, Chichester, UK: Ellis Horwood; New York, NY, USA: JohnWiley & Sons, 1989.

Received by the editors August 9, 2009