

Olga Hadžić

A FIXED POINT THEOREM IN RANDOM NORMED SPACES

In his paper: Statistical Metrics, Proc. Acad. Sci. USA, 28, 1942, 535—537 K. Menger has generalized the notion of metric space attaching to each pair (p, q) in the cartesian product $S \times S$ a distribution function $F_{p, q}$. Thus was obtained the notion of probabilistic metric space (briefly PM-space). The notion of random normed space was introduced by A. N. Šerstnev [13] and in this paper we shall prove a fixed point theorem for mapping $\Phi_z = (H(x, y), K(x, y))$, $z = (x, y)$, where S_1 and S_2 are random normed space, $U \subset S_1$, $V \subset S_2$, $H: U \times V \rightarrow U$ and $K: U \times V \rightarrow V$.

Important contributions in the study of PM-spaces are due to Wald [5], B. Schweizer and A. Sklar [3], O. Onicescu [6] and in [1], [2], [7] and [8] are given some fixed point theorems for contraction mapping in PM-spaces. In [9] the notion of the Kuratowski function is introduced and based on it in [11] are defined the functions probabilistic densifying and α -contractive and some fixed point theorems for such functions are given.

First, we shall give some definitions and theorems to be used in the sequel.

Definition 1. The mapping $t: [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is a t -norm if the following conditions are satisfied:

1. $t(a, 1) = a$ for every $a \in [0, 1]$ and $t(0, 0) = 0$
2. $t(a, b) = t(b, a)$ for every $a, b \in [0, 1]$
3. If $c \geq a$ and $d \geq b$ then $t(c, d) \geq t(a, b)$
4. $t(t(a, b), c) = t(a, t(b, c))$ for every $a, b, c \in [0, 1]$ Let Δ^+ be the family of all distribution functions F such that $F(0) = 0$

Definition 2. Probabilistic metric space is an ordered pair (S, \mathcal{F}) where S is an abstract set of elements and \mathcal{F} is a mapping of $S \times S$ into a collection Δ^+ (the value of \mathcal{F} at $(u, v) \in S \times S$ will be denoted by $F_{u, v}$) where the functions $F_{u, v}$ are assumed to satisfy the following conditions:

- (a) $F_{u, v}(x) = 1$ for all $x > 0$ if and only if $u = v$.
- (b) $F_{u, v}(0) = 0$ for every $(u, v) \in S \times S$
- (c) $F_{u, v} = F_{v, u}$ for every $(u, v) \in S \times S$
- (d) $F_{u, v}(x) = 1$ and $F_{v, w}(y) = 1$ imply $F_{u, w}(x+y) = 1$

Definition 3. A Menger space is a triplet (S, \mathcal{F}, t) where (S, \mathcal{F}) is a PM-space and t -norm t is such that Menger's triangle inequality:

$$F_{u, w}(x+y) \geq t(F_{u, v}(x), F_{v, w}(y))$$

is satisfied for all $u, v, w \in S$ and for all $x > 0, y > 0$.

The (ε, λ) -topology in (S, \mathcal{F}, t) is introduced by the family of (ε, λ) -neighborhood of $v \in S$:

$$U_v(\varepsilon, \lambda) = \{u \in S : F_{u, v}(\varepsilon) > 1 - \lambda\}, \quad \varepsilon > 0, \lambda \in (0, 1)$$

Definition 4. A random normed space is a triplet (S, \mathcal{F}, t) with the following properties:

1. t -norm t is stronger than $T_m(a, b) = \max\{a+b-1, 0\}$

2. $F_u = H$ for $u=0$ where $H(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & x \leq 0 \\ 1 & x > 0 \end{cases}$

3. For every $u \in S, x \in R, \lambda \in K, \lambda \neq 0$.

$$F_{\lambda u}(x) = F_u\left(\frac{x}{|\lambda|}\right) \text{ where } S \text{ is vector space over the field } K.$$

4. $F_{u+v}(x+y) \geq t(F_u(x), F_v(y))$ for every $x > 0$ and $y > 0$. It is easy to see that every random normed space is a Menger space if we take $F_{u, v} = F_{u-v}$.

Definition 5. A mapping T on PM-space (S, \mathcal{F}) will be called a generalized contraction iff there exists a constant $q, 0 < q < 1$, such that for every $u, v \in S$:

$$F_{Tu, Tv}(qx) \geq \min\{F_{u, v}(x), F_{u, Tu}(x), F_{v, Tv}(x), F_{u, Tv}(2x), F_{v, Tu}(2x)\}, \quad x > 0$$

Probabilistic diameter of the set $A \subset S$ is defined in the following way:

$$D_A(x) = \sup_{t < x} \inf_{u, v \in A} F_{u, v}(t)$$

The set A is probabilistic bounded if $\sup_x D_A(x) = 1$. The Kuratowski function of a probabilistic set A is of the form:

$$\alpha_A(x) = \sup\{\varepsilon > 0, \exists A_j \subset S, j=1, 2, \dots, n, A \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^n A_j, D_{A_j}(x) \geq \varepsilon\}$$

The Kuratowski function has the following properties:

1. $\alpha_A \in \Delta$

2. $\alpha_A(x) \geq D_A(x)$

3. $\Phi \neq A \subset B \subset S \Rightarrow \alpha_A(x) \geq \alpha_B(x)$

4. $\alpha_{A \cup B}(x) = \min\{\alpha_A(x), \alpha_B(x)\}$

5. $\alpha_A(x) = \alpha_{\bar{A}}(x)$ where \bar{A} is adherence in (ε, λ) -topology

Definition 6. If T is a mapping of random normed space S into itself so that for every subset A of S such that $\alpha_A < H$ we have:

$$\alpha_{T(A)} > \alpha_A$$

T is called probabilistic densifying.

Theorem 1 [1]. Let (S, \mathcal{F}, t) be a Menger space, $t = \min$ and $T: S \rightarrow S$ is a generalized contraction on S and S is T -orbitally complete. Then T has a unique fixed point $v \in S$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T^n u = v$ for every $u \in S$.

Theorem 2 [1]. Let $\{T_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of mappings on a Menger space (S, \mathcal{F}, \min) and let $T: S \rightarrow S$ be a generalized contraction on S which is T -orbitally complete. If each T_i ($i=1, 2, \dots$) has at least one fixed point v_i and the sequence $\{T_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ on the subset:

$I = \{u \mid u \in S, \text{ there is some } T_i \text{ such that } u = T_i u\}$ converges uniformly to T then the sequence $\{u_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to a unique fixed point v of T .

Theorem 3 [11]. Let (S, \mathcal{F}, t) be a complete random normed space, A a probabilistic bounded, closed, convex subset of S and T a continuous probabilistic densifying selfmapping of S such that $TA \subset A$. If $t = \min$, then there exists at least one fixed point of the mapping T in the set A .

Theorem 4. Suppose that $(S_1, \mathcal{F}_1, \min)$ and $(S_2, \mathcal{F}_2, \min)^*$ are complete random normed spaces. Further, let U be a closed, convex subset of S_1 , V be a closed, convex and probabilistic bounded subset of S_2 and $H: U \times V \rightarrow U$, $K: U \times V \rightarrow V$ such that the following conditions are satisfied:

1. The mapping H is uniformly continuous and for every $x > 0$ the following inequality holds:

$$F_{H(u, w) - H(v, w)}(qx) \geq \min \{F_{u-v}(x), F_{u-H(u, w)}(x), F_{v-H(v, w)}(x), F_{u-H(v, w)}(2x), F_{v-H(u, w)}(2x)\}$$

for every $u, v \in U$ and every $w \in V$, $0 < q < 1$.

2. The mapping K is continuous and for every set Q such that $\alpha_Q < H$ we have: $\alpha_{K(U, Q)} > \alpha_Q$

Then there exists at least one element $z_0 \in U \times V$ such that:

$$\Phi z_0 = z_0 \quad (\Phi z = (H(x, y), K(x, y)), z = (x, y))$$

Proof: For every $w \in V$ we shall define the mapping H_w of the set U into itself such that:

$$H_w(u) = H(u, w) \text{ for every } u \in U, w \in V$$

From the condition 1. it follows that the mapping H_w satisfies the following inequality:

$$F_{H_w(u) - H_w(v)}(qx) \geq \min \{F_{u-v}(x), F_{u-H_w(u)}(x), F_{v-H_w(v)}(x), F_{u-H_w(v)}(2x), F_{v-H_w(u)}(2x)\}$$

for every $u, v \in U$ and $w \in V$.

* If $K(U, V)$ is compact and (S_2, \mathcal{F}_2, t) is admissible [14] in (ε, λ) topology from [14] it follows the existence of element $z_0 \in U \times V$ such that $\Phi z_0 = z_0$.

From Theorem 1 it follows that for every $w \in V$ there exists one and only one element $Rw \in U$ such that: $H_w(Rw) = Rw$. We shall prove that the mapping $R: V \rightarrow U$ is a continuous mapping. Since (ε, λ) topology is a metrizable topology we shall prove that from $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} w_n = w_0$, where $\{w_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence from U it follows:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Rw_n = Rw_0$$

Let \tilde{H}_n be the mapping H_{w_n} for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\tilde{H}_0 = H_{w_0}$. Since the mapping H is uniformly continuous we have:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} H(u, w_n) = H(u, w_0) \text{ for every } u \in U,$$

namely that:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} H_n(u) = H_0(u)$$

uniformly in respect to $u \in U$. From Theorem 2 it follows that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Rw_n = Rw_0$ and so the mapping R is continuous.

Now, we shall define the mapping T of the set V into itself in the following way:

$$Tw = K(Rw, w) \text{ for every } w \in V$$

It is obvious that the mapping T is continuous. We shall show that the mapping T is densifying.

From the definition of the mapping T it follows:

$TQ = \{Tw; w \in Q\} = \{K(Rw, w); w \in Q\} \subset \{K(u, v); u \in U, v \in V\} = K(U, Q)$
Suppose now that $\alpha_A < H$, $A \subset V$. From the property 3. of the Kuratowski function and the condition 2. of the Theorem we have:

$$\alpha_{T(Q)}(x) \geq \alpha_{K(U, Q)}(x) \text{ for every } x > 0$$

and so:

$$\alpha_{TQ} \geq \alpha_{K(U, Q)} > \alpha_Q$$

which means that the mapping T is probabilistic densifying. From the Theorem 3 it follows that there exists $w_0 \in V$ such that $Tw_0 = w_0$. If we take for element z_0 the element $(Rw_0, w_0) \in U \times V$ we have:

$$\Phi z_0 = z_0$$

which completes the proof.

Remark: Every Banach space is complete random normed space if $F_u(x) = H(x - \|u\|)$ for every $u \in S$. If we take $t = \min$ and $\mathcal{F}: S \rightarrow \Delta^+$ is defined by $\mathcal{F}(u) = F_u$ for every $u \in S$ then (S, \mathcal{F}, \min) is a random normed space. It is easy to see that (ε, λ) topology induced on S the norm topology and that every bounded subset is probabilistic bounded. If $K(U, V)$ is a compact set it is obvious that:

$$\alpha_Q < H \text{ implies } \alpha_{K(U, Q)} > \alpha_Q$$

and so the Theorem of Avramescu from [12] follows from our theorem.

REFERENCES

- [1] Ljubomir B. Ćirić, *On fixed points of generalized contractions on probabilistic metric spaces*, Publ. Inst. Math., tome 18 (32), 1975, 71–78.
- [2] V. Sehgal and A. Bharucha-Reid, *Fixed points of contractions mappings on probabilistic metric spaces*, Math. System theory, 6 (1972), 97–102.
- [3] B. Schweizer and A. Sklar, *Statistical metric spaces*, Pacific J. Math. 10, 1960, 313–334.
- [4] B. Schweizer, A. Sklar and E. Thorp, *The metrization of statistical metric spaces*, Pacific J. Math., 10 (1960), 673–675.
- [5] A. Wald, *On a Statistical Generalization of Metric Spaces*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA, 29 (1943), 196–197.
- [6] O. Onicescu, *Nombres et systèmes aléatoires*, Editions de l'Académie de la R. P. Roumaine, Bucarest, 1968.
- [7] V. Istratescu and I. Sacuiu, *Fixed Points Theorems for Contraction Mappings on Probabilistic Metric Spaces*, Rev. Roum. Math. Pures et Appl., XVIII, 9, 1973, 1375–1381.
- [8] H. Sherwood, *Complete Probabilistic Metric Space*, Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie verw. Geb. 20, 1971.
- [9] Gh. Bocsan and Gh. Constantin, *The Kuratowski function and some applications to the probabilistic metric spaces*, Universitatea din Timisoara, Facultatea de matematica-mecanica, Seminarul de teoria functiilor si matematici aplicate, A. Spatii metrice probabiliste, № 1.
- [10] Gh. Bocsan and Gh. Constantin, *The Kuratowski function and some applications to the probabilistic metric spaces*, Atti Acad. Naz. Lincei, LV, 1973, Fasc. 3–4, 236–240.
- [11] Gh. Bocsan, *On some fixed point theorem in random normed spaces*, A. Spatii metrice probabiliste, № 13, 1974.
- [12] C. Avramescu, *Asupra unei teoreme de punct fix*. Studii si Cercetari mat. Acad. R. S. R. 1970, 22 №, 215–221.
- [13] A. N. Šerstnev, *The notion of Random Normed Space*, DAN USSR, 149 (2), 1963, 280–283.
- [14] Siegfried Hahn und Karl-Friedrich Pötter, *Über Fixpunkte kompakter Abbildungen in topologischen Vektorräumen*, Studia Math. T. L. 1974, 1–16.

Olga Hadžić

TEOREMA O NEPOKRETNOSTI TAČKE U SLUČAJNIM
NORMIRANIM PROSTORIMA

Rezime

U ovom radu je dokazana sledeća teorema:

Teorema: *Pretpostavimo da su $(S_1, \mathcal{F}_1, \min)$ i $(S_2, \mathcal{F}_2, \min)$ kompletni slučajni normirani prostori. Neka je dalje U zatvoren i konveksan podskup od S_1 , V zatvoren, konveksan i probabilistički ograničen podskup od S_2 , H preslikavanje proizvoda $U \times V$ u U i K preslikavanje proizvoda $U \times V$ u V tako da su zadovoljeni sledeći uslovi:*

1. *Preslikavanje H je neprekidno i za svako $x > 0$ je:*

$$F_{H(u, w) - H(v, w)}(qx) \geq \min\{F_{u-v}(x), F_{u-H(u, w)}(x), F_{v-H(v, w)}(x), \\ F_{u-H(v, w)}(2x), F_{v-H(u, w)}(2x)\}$$

za svako $u, v \in U$ i svako $w \in V$ gde je $0 < q < 1$.

2. *Preslikavanje K je neprekidno i za svaki skup Q takav da je $\alpha_Q < H$ važi: $\alpha_{(KU, Q)} > \alpha_Q$. Tada postoji bar jedan element $z_0 \in U \times V$ takav da je $\Phi z_0 = z_0$ gde je $\Phi z = (H(x, y), K(x, y))$ $z = (x, y)$.*