

## FRÉCHET FRAMES FOR SHIFT INVARIANT WEIGHTED SPACES<sup>1</sup>

Suzana Simić<sup>2</sup>

**Abstract.** In the present paper we analyze Fréchet frame of the form  $\{\varphi(\cdot - j) \mid j \in \mathbb{Z}^d\}$ . With a known condition on  $\varphi$ , we show that the given sequence constitutes a frame for a test space isomorphic to the space of periodic smooth functions so that its dual is the multiple of the space of periodic distributions by  $\widehat{\varphi}$ .

*AMS Mathematics Subject Classification (2000):* 42C15, 42C40, 42C99, 46A35, 46B20

*Key words and phrases:* Banach frame, Fréchet frame, pre- $F$ -frame,  $F$ -Bessel sequence, weighted  $L_s^p$ -spaces, weighted sequence spaces

### 1. Introduction

Frame theory was introduced in [9] and up to now it has been developed very well in connection to wavelet theory, time frequency analysis and sampling theory (see [1], [2], [5], [7], [10], [13], [14], [15], ...). Shift invariant spaces are generated by the frames of the form  $\{\varphi(x - na)\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d}$  and in Banach spaces, especially  $L^p$  spaces, has been developed by Aldroubi, Sun and Tang [4], who studied frames of the form  $\{\varphi_i(\cdot - j) \mid j \in \mathbb{Z}^d, 1 \leq i \leq r\}$  in  $L^p$  spaces. On the other hand, in [16] and [17] the authors introduced Fréchet frames and in this way enabled the analysis of various test function spaces and their duals spaces of distributions.

In Section 2 we recall from [16] and [17] the definitions concerning Fréchet frames. Section 3 contains preliminary results on shift invariant weighted spaces, extensions of the corresponding results in [4]. Our main result is given in Section 4. We prove that  $\{\varphi(\cdot - j) \mid j \in \mathbb{R}^d\}$  is a frame for weighted shift invariant spaces through several equivalent conditions. In the end we conclude that  $\{\varphi(\cdot - j) \mid j \in \mathbb{R}^d\}$  forms a Fréchet frame for a space of test functions  $X_F = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\widehat{\varphi} \cdot \mathcal{P}(-\pi, \pi))$ , where  $\mathcal{P}$  is the space of periodic test functions.

### 2. Notation and notions

We will recall basic notions following [6], [11], [16].

---

<sup>1</sup>Supported by the Project No. 144016

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics and Informatics, Faculty of Science, University of Kragujevac, Radoja Domanovića 12, Kragujevac 34000, Serbia, e-mail: suzanasimic@kg.ac.rs

We denote by  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$  a Banach space, by  $(X^*, \|\cdot\|^*)$  its dual space,  $(\Theta, \|\cdot\|)$  is a Banach sequence space. If the coordinate functionals on  $\Theta$  are continuous, or, equivalently, if the convergence in  $\Theta$  implies the convergence of the corresponding coordinates, then  $\Theta$  is called a *BK*-space.

We refer to [11] for the basic definitions of frames.  $p$ -frames in shift-invariant spaces of  $L^p$  were considered in [4], while  $p$ -frames in general Banach spaces were studied in [8].

Let  $\{(Y_s, |\cdot|_s)\}_{s \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ ,  $\mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ , be a family of separable Banach spaces such that

$$(1) \quad \{0\} \neq \bigcap_{s \in \mathbb{N}_0} Y_s \subseteq \cdots \subseteq Y_2 \subseteq Y_1 \subseteq Y_0,$$

$$(2) \quad |\cdot|_0 \leq |\cdot|_1 \leq |\cdot|_2 \leq \cdots,$$

$$(3) \quad Y_F := \bigcap_{s \in \mathbb{N}_0} Y_s \text{ is dense in } Y_s, \quad s \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

Then  $Y_F$  is a Fréchet space with the sequence of norms  $|\cdot|_s$ ,  $s \in \mathbb{N}_0$ .

We will always assume that  $\{(X_s, \|\cdot\|_s)\}_{s \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  and  $\{(\Theta_s, \|\cdot\|_s)\}_{s \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  are sequences of Banach spaces which satisfy (1)-(3). For fixed  $s \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , an operator  $V : \Theta_F \rightarrow X_F$  will be called  $s$ -bounded if there exists a constant  $K_s > 0$  such that  $\|V(\{c_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}})\|_s \leq K_s \|\{c_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\|_s$  for all  $\{c_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \in \Theta_F$ . If  $V$  is  $s$ -bounded for every  $s \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , then  $V$  will be called  $F$ -bounded.

Let  $\{(\Theta_s, \|\cdot\|_s)\}_{s \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  be a sequence of *BK*-spaces, as well. Then a sequence  $\{g_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \in (X_F^*)^{\mathbb{N}}$  is called a pre- $F$ -frame for  $X_F$  with respect to  $\Theta_F$  if for every  $s \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , there exist constants  $0 < A_s \leq B_s < +\infty$  such that

$$(4) \quad \{g_i(f)\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \in \Theta_F, \quad f \in X_F,$$

$$(5) \quad A_s \|f\|_s \leq \|\{g_i(f)\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\|_s \leq B_s \|f\|_s, \quad f \in X_F.$$

The constants  $B_s$  and  $A_s$ ,  $s \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , are called resp. upper and lower bounds for  $\{g_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ . If  $A_s = B_s$ ,  $s \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , then the pre- $F$ -frame is called tight. If there exists an  $F$ -bounded operator  $V : \Theta_F \rightarrow X_F$  such that  $V(\{g_i(f)\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}) = f$  for all  $f \in X_F$ , then a pre- $F$ -frame  $\{g_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  is called an  $F$ -frame (Fréchet frame) for  $X_F$  with respect to  $\Theta_F$  and  $V$  is called an  $F$ -frame operator for  $\{g_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ . When (4) holds and at least the upper inequality in (5) holds, then  $\{g_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  is called an  $F$ -Bessel sequence for  $X_F$  with respect to  $\Theta_F$  with bounds  $B_s$ ,  $s \in \mathbb{N}_0$ .

When  $X = X_F = X_s$  and  $\Theta = \Theta_F = \Theta_s$ , then one obtains the definitions of  $\Theta$ -frame, Banach frame and  $\Theta$ -Bessel sequence, respectively.

If  $\{g_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a pre- $F$ -frame for  $X_F$  with respect to  $\Theta_F$  with lower bounds  $A_s$  and upper bounds  $B_s$ ,  $s \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , then for every  $s \in \mathbb{N}_0$  we have

$$A_s \|f\|_s \leq \|\{g_i^s(f)\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\|_s \leq \lambda_s B_s \|f\|_s, \quad f \in X_s,$$

where  $g_i^s$  is the continuous extension of  $g_i$  on  $X_s$ . We will consider the following operators

$$(6) \quad U_s : X_s \rightarrow \Theta_s, \quad U_s f = \{g_i^s(f)\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}, \quad s \in \mathbb{N}_0,$$

$$(7) \quad U : X_F \rightarrow \Theta_F, \quad U f = \{g_i(f)\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}},$$

and

$$(8) \quad U_s^{-1} : \mathcal{R}(U_s) \rightarrow X_s, \quad U^{-1} : \mathcal{R}(U) \rightarrow X_F.$$

The shift invariant spaces of the form

$$V(\varphi) = \left\{ \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^d} c_j \varphi(\cdot - j) \right\},$$

where  $c = \{c_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^d}$  is taken from some sequence space, are considered in [4].  $\varphi$  is called generator of  $V(\varphi)$ . The space  $V_p(\varphi)$  is the shift invariant space of the form  $V_p(\varphi) = \left\{ \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} c_k \varphi(\cdot - k) \mid c = \{c_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \in \ell^p \right\}$ . Let  $V_0(\varphi)$  be the space of finite linear combination of integer translates of  $\varphi$  and  $V_{0,p}(\varphi)$  be the  $L^p$  closure of  $V_0(\varphi)$ . Obviously, we have  $V_0(\varphi) \subset V_p(\varphi) \subset V_{0,p}(\varphi)$ . A function in  $V_{0,p}(\varphi)$  is not necessarily generated by  $\ell^p$  coefficients. If  $V_p(\varphi)$  is itself closed, i.e. a Banach space, then  $V_p(\varphi) = V_{0,p}(\varphi)$ .

### 3. Preliminary result

Considering  $p$ -frames for shift invariant subspaces of  $L^p$  space, Aldroubi, Sun and Tung in [4] proved that when a sequence of translations of a finite set of appropriate functions  $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_r$  forms an  $\ell^p$ -frame for the shift-invariant space  $V_p(\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_r) \subseteq L^p$ , for some  $p > 1$ , then this sequence is also an  $\ell^r$ -frame for  $V_r(\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_r)$  for all values of  $r > 1$ .

In this paper we will consider weighted  $L_s^p$ ,  $s \geq 0$ , spaces. A function  $f$  belongs to  $L_s^p$  with weight function  $\omega_s(x) = (1 + |x|)^s$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $s \geq 0$ , if  $\omega_s f$  belongs to  $L^p$ . Equipped with the norm  $\|f\|_{L_s^p} = \|\omega_s f\|_{L^p}$ , the space  $L_s^p$  is a Banach space. Let  $s \geq 0$ ,  $1 \leq p < +\infty$  and

$$\mathcal{L}_s^p := \left\{ f \mid \|f\|_{\mathcal{L}_s^p} := \left( \int_{[0,1]^d} \left( \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^d} |f(x+j)|(1+|x+j|)^s \right)^p dx \right)^{1/p} < +\infty \right\},$$

$$\mathcal{L}_s^\infty := \left\{ f \mid \|f\|_{\mathcal{L}_s^\infty} := \sup_{x \in [0,1]^d} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^d} |f(x+j)|(1+|x+j|)^s < +\infty \right\};$$

$$W_s^p := \left\{ f \mid \|f\|_{W_s^p} := \left( \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \sup_{x \in [0,1]^d} |f(x+j)|^p (1+|j|)^{ps} \right)^{1/p} < +\infty \right\};$$

$$\ell_s^p := \left\{ c = \{c_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mid \|c\|_{\ell_s^p} = \left( \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} |c_i|^p (1 + |i|)^{sp} \right)^{1/p} < +\infty \right\}.$$

Obviously we have  $W_s^p \subset W_s^q \subset \mathcal{L}_s^\infty \subset \mathcal{L}_s^q \subset \mathcal{L}_s^p \subset L_s^p$ , where  $1 \leq p \leq q \leq +\infty$ . For  $p = 1$  and  $s = 0$  we also have  $\mathcal{L}^1 = L^1$ .

Next we recall the inequalities from [3].

**Lemma 1.** a) Let  $f \in L_s^p$ ,  $g \in L_s^1$  and  $1 \leq p \leq +\infty$ . Then

$$(9) \quad \|f * g\|_{L_s^p} \leq \|f\|_{L_s^p} \|g\|_{L_s^1}.$$

b) If  $f \in L_s^p$ ,  $1 \leq p \leq +\infty$ , and  $g \in W_s^1$ , then  $f * g \in W_s^p$  and

$$(10) \quad \|f * g\|_{W_s^p} \leq \|f\|_{L_s^p} \|g\|_{W_s^1}.$$

c) If  $c \in \ell_s^p$  and  $d \in \ell_s^1$ , then  $c * d \in \ell_s^p$  and

$$(11) \quad \|c * d\|_{\ell_s^p} \leq \|c\|_{\ell_s^p} \|d\|_{\ell_s^1}.$$

For any sequence  $c = \{c_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \in \ell_s^p$  and  $f \in \mathcal{L}_s^p$ ,  $1 \leq p \leq +\infty$ , define, as in [4], their semi-convolution  $f *' c$  by

$$(f *' c)(x) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^d} c_j f(x - j), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

**Lemma 2.** a) If  $f \in W_s^p$ ,  $1 \leq p \leq +\infty$ , and  $c \in \ell_s^1$ , then the function  $f *' c$  belongs to  $W_s^p$  and

$$(12) \quad \|f *' c\|_{W_s^p} \leq \|c\|_{\ell_s^1} \|f\|_{W_s^p},$$

and also if  $f \in W_s^1$  and  $c \in \ell_s^p$ ,  $1 \leq p \leq +\infty$ , then the function  $f *' c$  belongs to  $W_s^p$  and

$$(13) \quad \|f *' c\|_{W_s^p} \leq \|c\|_{\ell_s^p} \|f\|_{W_s^1}.$$

b) If  $f \in \mathcal{L}_s^p$  and  $c \in \ell_s^1$ , then  $f *' c$  belongs to  $\mathcal{L}_s^p$  and

$$(14) \quad \|f *' c\|_{\mathcal{L}_s^p} \leq \|c\|_{\ell_s^1} \|f\|_{\mathcal{L}_s^p}.$$

c)  $f *' \cdot$  is a continuous map from  $\ell_s^p$  to  $L_s^p$ , and also from  $\ell_s^1$  to  $\mathcal{L}_s^p$  if  $f \in \mathcal{L}_s^p$ ,  $1 \leq p \leq +\infty$ .

We will give the proof of the next lemma since it is differently posed in [4].

**Lemma 3.** Let  $f \in L_s^p$  and  $g \in W_s^1$ ,  $1 \leq p \leq +\infty$ ,  $s \geq 0$ . Then the sequence  $\left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x)g(x-j)dx \right\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^d}$  belongs to  $\ell_s^p$  and we have

$$(15) \quad \left\| \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x)g(x-j)dx \right\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \right\|_{\ell_s^p} \leq \|f\|_{L_s^p} \|g\|_{W_s^1}.$$

PROOF. Using inequality (11) for fixed  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left\| \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x) \overline{g(x-j)} dx \right\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \right\|_{\ell_s^p} = \left( \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x) \overline{g(x-j)} dx \right|^p (1+|j|)^{sp} \right)^{1/p} \\
& \leq \left( \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |f(x)| |g(x-j)| dx \right)^p (1+|j|)^{sp} \right)^{1/p} \\
& = \left( \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \left( \int_{[0,1]^d} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} |f(x+k)| |g(x+k-j)| dx \right)^p (1+|j|)^{sp} \right)^{1/p} \\
& \leq \left( \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \int_{[0,1]^d} \left( \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} |f(x+k)| |g(x+k-j)| dx \right)^p (1+|j|)^{sp} \right)^{1/p} \\
& = \left( \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \int_{[0,1]^d} \left( \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} |f(x+k)| |g(x+k-j)| (1+|k|)^s \right)^p dx \right)^{1/p} \\
& \leq \left( \int_{[0,1]^d} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \left( \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} |f(x+k)| |g(x+k-j)| (1+|k|)^s \right)^p dx \right)^{1/p} \\
& \leq \left( \int_{[0,1]^d} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^d} |f(x+j)|^p (1+|j|)^{sp} \left( \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} |g(x-k)| (1+|k|)^s \right)^p dx \right)^{1/p} \\
& \leq \|f\|_{L_s^p} \left( \sup_{x \in [0,1]^d} \left( \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} |g(x-k)| (1+|k|)^s \right)^p \right)^{1/p} \leq \|f\|_{L_s^p} \|g\|_{W_s^1}. \quad \square
\end{aligned}$$

#### 4. Main result

Our main result is related to Theorem 1 in [4].

Let  $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}_s^p$ ,  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ . We consider shift-invariant spaces of the form

$$(16) \quad V_s^p(\varphi) = \left\{ \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^d} c_j \varphi(\cdot - j) \mid c \in \ell_s^p \right\}.$$

Note, if  $s = 0$ , then we have space  $V^p(\varphi)$  considered in [4].

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $\varphi \in \bigcap_{s \geq 0} W_s^1$ . Then the following statements are equivalent to each other.*

- i)  $V_s^p(\varphi)$  is closed in  $L_s^p$  for all  $s \geq 0$  and for all  $1 \leq p \leq +\infty$ .
- ii) For all  $s \geq 0$  and  $1 \leq p \leq +\infty$ , the family  $\{\varphi(\cdot - j) \mid j \in \mathbb{Z}^d\}$  is a  $p$ -frame for  $V_s^p(\varphi)$ , i.e. there exist positive constants  $A_s, B_s$  (depending on  $\varphi$  and

s) such that

$$(17) \quad A_s \|f\|_{L_s^p} \leq \left\| \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x) \overline{\varphi(x-j)} dx \right\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \right\|_{\ell_s^p} \leq B_s \|f\|_{L_s^p}, \quad \forall f \in V_s^p(\varphi).$$

iii) There exist positive constants  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  such that

$$(18) \quad 0 < C_1 \leq \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^d} |\widehat{\varphi}(x+j)|^2 \leq C_2 < +\infty, \quad \text{a.e. } x \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

iv) There exist positive constants  $K_s^1$  and  $K_s^2$  (depending on  $\varphi$  and  $s$ ) such that for all  $1 \leq p \leq +\infty$  we have

$$(19) \quad K_s^1 \|f\|_{L_s^p} \leq \inf_{c \in M} \|c\|_{\ell_s^p} \leq K_s^2 \|f\|_{L_s^p}, \quad \forall f \in V_s^p(\varphi), \quad s \geq 0,$$

where

$$(20) \quad M = \left\{ c = \{c_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \in \ell_s^p \mid f(\cdot) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} c_k \varphi(\cdot - k) \right\}.$$

v) There exists  $\psi \in \bigcap_{s \geq 0} W_s^1$  such that

$$(21) \quad f = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \langle f, \psi(\cdot - j) \rangle \varphi(\cdot - j) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \langle f, \varphi(\cdot - j) \rangle \psi(\cdot - j), \quad \forall f \in V_s^p(\varphi).$$

**Proof.**

v)  $\Rightarrow$  iv)

Let  $f = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \langle f, \psi(\cdot - j) \rangle \varphi(\cdot - j)$  and let  $M$  be given by (20). Using (15) we have

$$\inf_{c \in M} \|c\|_{\ell_s^p} \leq \left\| \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x) \overline{\psi(x-j)} dx \right\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \right\|_{\ell_s^p} \leq \|f\|_{L_s^p} \|\psi\|_{W_s^1}.$$

For  $K_s^2 = \|\psi\|_{W_s^1}$  we have the right-hand side of the inequality.

Using (13), we have

$$\|f\|_{L_s^p} \leq \|f\|_{W_s^p} = \|\varphi *' c\|_{W_s^p} \leq \|\varphi\|_{W_s^1} \|c\|_{\ell_s^p},$$

and for  $K_s^1 = \frac{1}{\|\varphi\|_{W_s^1}}$  we prove the left-hand side of the inequality (19).

Assertions v)  $\Rightarrow$  ii), ii)  $\Leftrightarrow$  iv), and iv)  $\Rightarrow$  i) are simple and their proofs are omitted.

iii)  $\Rightarrow$  iv)

We have already seen that for  $\varphi \in W_s^1$  and  $c \in \ell_s^p$ ,  $1 \leq p \leq +\infty$ , the inequality

$$\|\varphi *' c\|_{W_s^p} \leq \|c\|_{\ell_s^p} \|\varphi\|_{W_s^1},$$

holds. With  $\|\varphi *' c\|_{L_s^p} \leq \|\varphi *' c\|_{W_s^p}$  for all  $1 \leq p \leq +\infty$ , and  $K_s^1 = \|\varphi\|_{W_s^1}^{-1}$ , we have that the left side of the inequality (17).

The family  $\{\varphi(\cdot - k) \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}^d\}$  with the condition (18) is a Riesz basis of  $V^2(\varphi)$  (see [3]), so there exists a unique function  $\psi \in V^2(\varphi)$  such that  $\{\psi(\cdot - k) \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}^d\}$  is also a Riesz basis for  $V^2(\varphi)$  and such that it satisfies the biorthogonality relations

$$\langle \psi(x), \varphi(x) \rangle = 1, \quad \langle \psi(x), \varphi(x - k) \rangle = 0, \quad k \neq 0.$$

Theorem 2.3 in [3] says that if  $\varphi \in W_s^1$  and the family  $\{\varphi(\cdot - k) \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}^d\}$  is a Riesz basis for  $V^2(\varphi)$ , then the dual generator  $\psi$  is in  $W_s^1$ . Since we have that  $\varphi \in W_s^1$  for all  $s \geq 0$ , then we have that  $\psi \in \bigcap_{s \geq 0} W_s^1$ . Since

$$(\varphi *' c)(x) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} c_k \varphi(x - k) \in V_s^p(\varphi),$$

then  $c_k, k \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ , can be expressed in the form

$$c_k = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\varphi *' c)(x) \overline{\psi(x - k)} dx.$$

For  $1 \leq p \leq +\infty$  (with usual changes for  $p = \infty$ ), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |c_k(1 + |k|)^s|^p &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\varphi *' c)(x) \overline{\psi(x - k)} (1 + |k|)^s dx \right|^p \\ &\leq \left( \int_{[0,1]^d} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^d} |\varphi *' c|(x + j) |\psi(x + j - k)| (1 + |k|)^s dx \right)^p \\ &\leq \int_{[0,1]^d} \left( \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^d} |\varphi *' c|(|\psi(x + j - k)| (1 + |k|)^s) \right)^p dx. \end{aligned}$$

We sum over  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^d$  and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} |c_k|^p (1 + |k|)^{sp} &\leq \int_{[0,1]^d} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \left( \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} |\varphi *' c|(x + j) |\psi(x + j - k)| (1 + |k|)^s \right)^p dx \\ &\leq \int_{[0,1]^d} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} |\varphi *' c|^p(x + k) (1 + |k|)^{sp} \left( \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} |\psi(x + k)| (1 + |k|)^s \right)^p dx \\ &\leq \|\psi\|_{W_s^1}^p \|\varphi *' c\|_{L_s^p}^p. \end{aligned}$$

It follows

$$\|c\|_{\ell_s^p} \leq \|\psi\|_{W_s^1} \|\varphi *' c\|_{L_s^p}.$$

For the lower bound in the inequality (19) one may choose  $K_s^2 = \|\psi\|_{W_s^1}$ . Finally,

$$\|c\|_{\ell_s^p} \leq K_s^2 \|f\|_{L_s^p}.$$

*i) ⇒ iii)*

Since  $V_s^p(\varphi)$  is closed in  $L_s^p$  for all  $1 \leq p \leq +\infty$ ,  $s \geq 0$ , then for  $p = 2$  and  $s = 1$  we have the standard assumption on the generator  $\varphi$ , i.e. there exist two constants  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  such that

$$0 < C_1 \leq \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^d} |\widehat{\varphi}(x+j)|^2 \leq C_2 < +\infty, \quad \text{a.e. } x \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

□

**Corollary 1.** *Let  $\varphi \in \bigcap_{s \geq 0} W_s^1$ . Then  $V_s^p(\varphi) \subset V_s^q(\varphi)$ , for all  $1 \leq p \leq q \leq +\infty$  and  $s \geq 0$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $f(x) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} c_k \varphi(x-k)$ , for some  $c = \{c_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \in \ell_s^p$ ,  $1 \leq p \leq +\infty$ . Since  $\ell_s^p \subset \ell_s^q$ ,  $1 \leq p \leq q \leq +\infty$ , Theorem 1 implies the inequalities

$$\|f\|_{L_s^q} \leq B_s \|c\|_{\ell_s^q} \leq B'_s \|c\|_{\ell_s^p} \leq \|f\|_{L_s^p}, \quad \forall s \geq 0, 1 \leq p \leq q \leq +\infty.$$

□

**Remark 1.** *From the inequalities (19) and (17) we can conclude that  $\ell_s^p$  and  $V_s^p$  are isomorphic Banach spaces for all  $s \geq 0$  and  $1 \leq p \leq +\infty$ , and for  $f \in V_s^p(\varphi)$  we have the equivalence between  $\inf_{c \in M} \{\|c\|_{\ell_s^p}\}$  and the  $L_s^p$ -norm of  $f$ .*

As a consequence of Theorem 1 and from [3, Theorem 1], and since  $\ell_{s_1}^p \subset \ell_{s_2}^p$ , for  $0 \leq s_2 \leq s_1$ , we have the following corollary.

**Corollary 2.** *Let  $\varphi \in \bigcap_{s \geq 0} W_s^1$ . Then  $V_{s_1}^p(\varphi) \subset V_{s_2}^p(\varphi)$  for  $0 \leq s_2 \leq s_1$  and every  $1 \leq p \leq +\infty$ .*

We construct Fréchet spaces  $X_{F,p}$ ,  $p \geq 1$ , as the intersection of translator invariant spaces  $V_s^p(\varphi)$ ,  $s \in \mathbb{N}$ . Note that, for  $1 \leq p \leq +\infty$ ,

$$\{\mathbf{0}\} \neq \bigcap_{s \in \mathbb{N}_0} V_s^p(\varphi) \subseteq \cdots \subseteq V_2^p(\varphi) \subseteq V_1^p(\varphi) \subseteq V_0^p(\varphi) = V^p(\varphi).$$

Also, we have that  $X_{F,p} = \bigcap_{s \in \mathbb{N}_0} V_s^p(\varphi)$  is dense in  $V_s^p(\varphi)$  for all  $s \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . The corresponding sequence space  $Q_{F,p}$ ,  $p \geq 1$ , is the intersection of the weighted sequence space  $\ell_s^p$ ,  $s \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . Note that  $\bigcap_{s \in \mathbb{N}_0} \ell_s^p$ , for every  $p \geq 1$ , is actually

the space of rapidly decreasing sequences  $s$ . We proved that if  $\varphi \in W_s^1$ , then a sequence  $\{\varphi(\cdot - k) \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}^d\}$  is a  $p$ -frame for  $V_s^p(\varphi)$  as well as  $\{\varphi(\cdot - k) \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}^d\}$  is an  $r$ -frame for  $V_s^r(\varphi)$ , for all  $1 \leq r \leq +\infty$ . So we have that the definition of  $X_{F,p}$  does not depend on  $p \geq 1$ , so  $\{\varphi(\cdot - k) \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}^d\}$  is a pre- $F$ -frame

for  $X_{F,p}$  as well as that  $\{\varphi(\cdot - k) \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}^d\}$  is a pre- $F$ -frame for  $X_{F,r}$ , for all  $1 \leq r \leq +\infty$ .

Since the corresponding function space for  $s$  is the space of rapidly increasing functions

$$\mathcal{S} = \{f \mid \|f\|_m = \sup_{n \leq m} (1 + |x|^2)^{m/2} |f^{(n)}(x)| < +\infty\},$$

and its dual is  $\mathcal{S}'$  - the space of slowly decreasing distributions, we obtain that dual space of Fréchet space  $X_F = X_{F,p}$ , for any  $p$ , is isomorphic to (a complemented subspace of) the space  $\mathcal{S}'$ .

Denote by  $\mathcal{P}(-\pi, \pi)$  the space of smooth  $2\pi$ -periodic functions with the family of norms  $|\theta|_k = \sup\{|\theta^{(k)}(t)|; t \in (-\pi, \pi)\}$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . It is a Fréchet space and its dual is the space of  $2\pi$ -periodic tempered distributions. Denote by  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $\mathcal{F}^{-1}$  the Fourier transformation and its inverse transformation, respectively. We have

**Theorem 2.** Let  $\varphi \in \bigcap_{s \geq 0} W_s^1$  and  $X_F = \bigcap_{s \in \mathbb{N}_0} V_s^p(\varphi)$  for some  $1 \leq p \leq +\infty$ .

Then

$$X_F = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\widehat{\varphi} \cdot \mathcal{P}(-\pi, \pi)),$$

in the topological sense.

PROOF. For  $f \in X_F$  we have  $f = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^d} c_j \varphi(\cdot - j)$ , for some sequence  $c = \{c_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \in s$ . Then

$$\widehat{f} = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \widehat{c_j \varphi(\cdot - j)} = \left( \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^d} c_j e^{ij \cdot} \right) \widehat{\varphi}.$$

This implies the assertion.  $\square$

## References

- [1] Aldroubi, A., Oblique projections in atomic spaces. Proc. Am. Math. Soc., 124 (1996), 2051–2060.
- [2] Aldroubi, A., Exact iterative reconstruction algorithm for multivariate irregularly sampled functions in spline-like space: the  $L^p$  theory. Proc. Am. Math. Soc., 126 (1998), 2677–2686.
- [3] Aldroubi, A., Gröchenig K., Non-uniform sampling and reconstruction in shift-invariant spaces. SIAM Review, 43, (2001), 585–620.
- [4] Aldroubi, A., Sun, Q., Tang, W.,  $p$ -frames and shift invariant subspaces of  $L^p$ . J. Fourier Anal. Appl., 7 (2001), 1–21.
- [5] Benedetto, J. J., Frame decomposition, sampling and uncertainty principle inequalities. in [6], 247–304.

- [6] Benedetto, J. J., Frazier, M. W., Wavelets: Mathematics and Applications. Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press, 1994.
- [7] Christensen, O., Heil, C., Perturbations of Banach frames and atomic decompositions. *Math. Nach.*, 185 (1997), 33–47.
- [8] Christensen, O., Stoeva, D. T.,  $p$ -frames in separable Banach spaces . *Adv. Comp. Math.* 18(2-4) (2003), 117-126.
- [9] Duffin, R. J., Schaeffer, A. C., A class of nonharmonic Fourier series. *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.*, 72 (1952), 341-3666.
- [10] Gröchenig, K., Describing functions: atomic decompositions versus frames. *Monatsh. Math.*, 112 (1991), 1–42.
- [11] Gröchenig, K., Foundations of Time-Frequency Analysis. Boston, Birkhäuser, 2001.
- [12] Heil, C., A Basis Theory Primer. Manuscript, 1997.
- [13] Heil, C. E., Walnut, D. F., Continuous and discrete wavelet transforms. *SIAM Review*, 31 (1989), 628–666.
- [14] Mallat, S., A Wavelet Tour of Signal Processing. New York: Academic Press, 1998.
- [15] Meyer, Y., Ondelettes. Hermann, Paris, Editeurs des Science et des Arts, 1990.
- [16] Pilipović, S., Stoeva, D. T., Series expansions in Fréchet spaces and construction of Fréchet frames. preprint, 2009.
- [17] Pilipović, S., Stoeva, D. T., Teofanov, N., Frames for Fréchet spaces . *Bull. Cl. Sci. Math. Nat. Sci. Math.*, 32 (2007), 69-84.
- [18] Strichartz, R. S., A Guide to Distribution Theory and Fourier Transforms. New Jersey: World Scientific, 1994.

*Received by the editors July 5, 2009*