

## A COMMON FIXED POINT THEOREM IN COMPLETE FUZZY METRIC SPACES

D. Turkoglu<sup>1</sup>, S. Sedghi<sup>2</sup>, N. Shobe<sup>3</sup>

**Abstract.** In this paper, we establish a common fixed point theorem in complete fuzzy metric spaces.

*AMS Mathematics Subject Classification (2000):* 54E40; 54E35; 54H25

*Key words and phrases:* Fuzzy contractive mapping, Complete fuzzy metric space

### 1. Introduction and Preliminaries

The concept of fuzzy sets was introduced initially by Zadeh [11] in 1965. Since then, using this concept in topology and analysis many authors have expansively developed the theory of fuzzy sets and application. George and Veeramani [3] and Kramosil and Michalek [6] have introduced the concept of fuzzy topological spaces induced by fuzzy metric, which have very important applications in quantum particle physics, particularly in connections with both string and  $\epsilon^{(\infty)}$  theory, given and studied by El Naschie [1, 2]. Many authors [4, 8, 9] have proved fixed point theorem in fuzzy (probabilistic) metric spaces.

**Definition 1.1.** A binary operation  $*$  :  $[0, 1] \times [0, 1] \longrightarrow [0, 1]$  is a continuous t-norm if it satisfies the following conditions

1.  $*$  is associative and commutative,
2.  $*$  is continuous,
3.  $a * 1 = a$  for all  $a \in [0, 1]$ ,
4.  $a * b \leq c * d$  whenever  $a \leq c$  and  $b \leq d$ , for each  $a, b, c, d \in [0, 1]$ .

Two typical examples of continuous t-norm are  $a*b = ab$  and  $a*b = \min(a, b)$ .

**Definition 1.2.** A 3-tuple  $(X, M, *)$  is called a fuzzy metric space if  $X$  is an arbitrary (non-empty) set,  $*$  is a continuous t-norm, and  $M$  is a fuzzy set on  $X^2 \times (0, \infty)$ , satisfying the following conditions for each  $x, y, z \in X$  and  $t, s > 0$ ,

---

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science and Arts, Gazi University, 06500 Teknikokullar, Ankara, Turkey, e-mail: dturkoglu@gazi.edu.tr

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, Islamic Azad University-Ghaemshahr Branch Ghaemshahr P. O. Box 163, Iran, e-mail: sedghi\_gh@yahoo.com

<sup>3</sup>Department of Mathematics, Islamic Azad University-Babol Branch, Iran, e-mail: nabi\_shobe@yahoo.com

1.  $M(x, y, t) > 0$ ,
2.  $M(x, y, t) = 1$  if and only if  $x = y$ ,
3.  $M(x, y, t) = M(y, x, t)$ ,
4.  $M(x, y, t) * M(y, z, s) \leq M(x, z, t + s)$ ,
5.  $M(x, y, \cdot) : (0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is continuous.
6.  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} M(x, y, t) = 1$

Let  $(X, M, *)$  be a fuzzy metric space. For  $t > 0$ , the open ball  $B(x, r, t)$  with center  $x \in X$  and radius  $0 < r < 1$  is defined by

$$B(x, r, t) = \{y \in X : M(x, y, t) > 1 - r\}.$$

Let  $(X, M, *)$  be a fuzzy metric space. Let  $\tau$  be the set of all  $A \subset X$  with  $x \in A$  if and only if there exist  $t > 0$  and  $0 < r < 1$  such that  $B(x, r, t) \subset A$ . Then  $\tau$  is a topology on  $X$  (induced by the fuzzy metric  $M$ ). This topology is Hausdorff and first countable. A sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $X$  converges to  $x$  if and only if  $M(x_n, x, t) \rightarrow 1$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , for each  $t > 0$ . It is called a Cauchy sequence if for each  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$  and  $t > 0$ , there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $M(x_n, x_m, t) > 1 - \varepsilon$  for each  $n, m \geq n_0$ . The fuzzy metric space  $(X, M, *)$  is said to be complete if every Cauchy sequence is convergent. A subset  $A$  of  $X$  is said to be F-bounded if there exist  $t > 0$  and  $0 < r < 1$  such that  $M(x, y, t) > 1 - r$  for all  $x, y \in A$ .

**Example 1.3.** Let  $X = \mathbb{R}$ . Denote  $a * b = a.b$  for all  $a, b \in [0, 1]$ . For each  $t \in (0, \infty)$ , define

$$M(x, y, t) = \frac{t}{t + |x - y|}$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ .

**Lemma 1.4.** Let  $(X, M, *)$  be a fuzzy metric space. Then  $M(x, y, t)$  is non-decreasing with respect to  $t$ , for all  $x, y$  in  $X$ .

**Definition 1.5.** Let  $(X, M, *)$  be a fuzzy metric space.  $M$  is said to be continuous on  $X^2 \times (0, \infty)$  if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(x_n, y_n, t_n) = M(x, y, t).$$

Whenever a sequence  $\{(x_n, y_n, t_n)\}$  in  $X^2 \times (0, \infty)$  converges to a point  $(x, y, t) \in X^2 \times (0, \infty)$ , i.e.

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(x_n, x, t) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(y_n, y, t) = 1 \text{ and } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(x, y, t_n) = M(x, y, t)$$

**Lemma 1.6.** Let  $(X, M, *)$  be a fuzzy metric space. Then  $M$  is a continuous function on  $X^2 \times (0, \infty)$ .

*Proof.* see proposition 1 of [7] □

**Definition 1.7.** Let  $A$  and  $S$  be mappings from a fuzzy metric space  $(X, M, *)$  into itself. Then the mappings are said to be weak compatible if they commute at their coincidence point, that is,  $Ax = Sx$  implies that  $ASx = SAx$ .

**Definition 1.8.** Let  $A$  and  $S$  be mappings from a fuzzy metric space  $(X, M, *)$  into itself. Then the mappings are said to be compatible if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(ASx_n, SAx_n, t) = 1, \forall t > 0$$

whenever  $\{x_n\}$  is a sequence in  $X$  such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ax_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sx_n = x \in X.$$

**Proposition 1.9.** [10]. *Self-mappings  $A$  and  $S$  of a fuzzy metric space  $(X, M, *)$  are compatible, then they are weak compatible.*

The converse is not true as seen in the following example.

**Example 1.10.** Let  $(X, M, *)$  be a fuzzy metric space, where  $X = [0, 2]$ , with t-norm defined  $a * b = \min\{a, b\}$ , for all  $a, b \in [0, 1]$  and  $M(x, y, t) = \frac{t}{t+d(x,y)}$  for all  $t > 0$  and  $x, y \in X$ . Define self-maps  $A$  and  $S$  on  $X$  as follows:

$$Ax = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } 0 \leq x \leq 1, \\ \frac{x}{2} & \text{if } 1 < x \leq 2, \end{cases} \quad Sx = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } x = 1, \\ \frac{x+3}{5} & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

Then we have  $S1 = A1=2$  and  $S2 = A2 = 1$ . Also  $SA1 = AS1 = 1$  and  $SA2 = AS2 = 2$ . Thus  $(A, S)$  is weak compatible. Again,

$$Ax_n = 1 - \frac{1}{4n}, \quad Sx_n = 1 - \frac{1}{10n}.$$

Thus,

$$Ax_n \rightarrow 1, \quad Sx_n \rightarrow 1.$$

Further,

$$SAx_n = \frac{4}{5} - \frac{1}{20n}, \quad ASx_n = 2.$$

Now,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(ASx_n, SAx_n, t) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(2, \frac{4}{5} - \frac{1}{20n}, t) = \frac{t}{t + \frac{6}{5}} < 1, \quad \forall t > 0.$$

Hence  $(A, S)$  is not compatible.

Henceforth, we assume that  $*$  is a continuous t-norm on  $X$  such that for every  $\mu \in (0, 1)$ , there is a  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$  such that

$$\underbrace{(1 - \lambda) * (1 - \lambda) * \cdots * (1 - \lambda)}_n \geq 1 - \mu$$

**Lemma 1.11.** *Let  $(X, M, *)$  be a fuzzy metric space. If we define  $E_{\lambda, M} : X^2 \rightarrow^+ \cup \{0\}$  by*

$$E_{\lambda, M}(x, y) = \inf\{t > 0 : M(x, y, t) > 1 - \lambda\}$$

for each  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$  and  $x, y \in X$ . Then we have

(i) For any  $\mu \in (0, 1)$  there exists  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$  such that

$$E_{\mu, M}(x_1, x_n) \leq E_{\lambda, M}(x_1, x_2) + E_{\lambda, M}(x_2, x_3) + \cdots + E_{\lambda, M}(x_{n-1}, x_n)$$

for any  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in X$ .

(ii) The sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is convergent in fuzzy metric space  $(X, M, *)$  if and only if  $E_{\lambda, M}(x_n, x) \rightarrow 0$ . Also the sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a Cauchy sequence if and only if it is Cauchy with  $E_{\lambda, M}$ .

*Proof.* (i) For every  $\mu \in (0, 1)$ , we can find a  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$  such that

$$\underbrace{(1 - \lambda) * (1 - \lambda) * \cdots * (1 - \lambda)}_n \geq 1 - \mu$$

by definition

$$\begin{aligned} & M(x_1, x_n, E_{\lambda, M}(x_1, x_2) + E_{\lambda, M}(x_2, x_3) + \cdots + E_{\lambda, M}(x_{n-1}, x_n) + n\delta) \\ & \geq M(x_1, x_2, E_{\lambda, M}(x_1, x_2) + \delta) * \cdots * M(x_{n-1}, x_n, E_{\lambda, M}(x_{n-1}, x_n) + \delta) \\ & \geq \underbrace{(1 - \lambda) * (1 - \lambda) * \cdots * (1 - \lambda)}_n \geq 1 - \mu \end{aligned}$$

for very  $\delta > 0$ , which implies that

$$E_{\mu, M}(x_1, x_n) \leq E_{\lambda, M}(x_1, x_2) + E_{\lambda, M}(x_2, x_3) + \cdots + E_{\lambda, M}(x_{n-1}, x_n) + n\delta$$

Since  $\delta > 0$  is arbitrary, we have

$$E_{\mu, M}(x_1, x_n) \leq E_{\lambda, M}(x_1, x_2) + E_{\lambda, M}(x_2, x_3) + \cdots + E_{\lambda, M}(x_{n-1}, x_n).$$

For (ii), note that since  $M$  is continuous in its third place and

$$E_{\lambda, M}(x, y) = \inf\{t > 0 : M(x, y, t) > 1 - \lambda\}.$$

Hence, we have

$$M(x_n, x, \eta) > 1 - \lambda \iff E_{\lambda, M}(x_n, x) < \eta$$

for every  $\eta > 0$ . □

**Lemma 1.12.** *Let  $(X, M, *)$  be a fuzzy metric space. If there is a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $X$ , such that for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .*

$$M(x_n, x_{n+1}, t) \geq M(x_0, x_1, k^n t)$$

for every  $k > 1$ , then the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence.

*Proof.* For every  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$  and  $x_n, x_{n+1} \in X$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\lambda, M}(x_{n+1}, x_n) &= \inf\{t > 0 : M(x_{n+1}, x_n, t) > 1 - \lambda\} \\ &\leq \inf\{t > 0 : M(x_0, x_1, k^n t) > 1 - \lambda\} \\ &= \inf\left\{\frac{t}{k^n} : M(x_0, x_1, t) > 1 - \lambda\right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{k^n} \inf\{t > 0 : M(x_0, x_1, t) > 1 - \lambda\} \\ &= \frac{1}{k^n} E_{\lambda, M}(x_0, x_1). \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma (1.11), for every  $\mu \in (0, 1)$  there exists  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\mu, M}(x_n, x_m) &\leq E_{\lambda, M}(x_n, x_{n+1}) + E_{\lambda, M}(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) + \cdots + E_{\lambda, M}(x_{m-1}, x_m) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{k^n} E_{\lambda, M}(x_0, x_1) + \frac{1}{k^{n+1}} E_{\lambda, M}(x_0, x_1) + \cdots + \frac{1}{k^{m-1}} E_{\lambda, M}(x_0, x_1) \\ &= E_{\lambda, M}(x_0, x_1) \sum_{j=n}^{m-1} \frac{1}{k^j} \longrightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence. □

## 2. THE MAIN RESULTS

### A class of implicit relation

Let  $\Phi$  be the set of all continuous functions  $\phi : [0, 1]^3 \longrightarrow [0, 1]$ , increasing in any coordinate and  $\phi(t, t, t) > t$  for every  $t \in [0, 1]$ .

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $A, B, S$  and  $T$  be self-mappings of a complete fuzzy metric space  $(X, M, *)$  satisfying :*

(i)  $A(X) \subseteq T(X)$ ,  $B(X) \subseteq S(X)$  and  $A(X)$  or  $B(X)$  is a closed subset of  $X$ ,

(ii)

$$M(Ax, By, t) \geq \phi(M(Sx, Ty, kt), M(Ax, Sx, kt), M(By, Ty, kt)),$$

for every  $x, y$  in  $X, k > 1$  and  $\phi \in \Phi$ ,

(iii) the pairs  $(A, S)$  and  $(B, T)$  are weak compatible. Then  $A, B, S$  and  $T$  have a unique common fixed point in  $X$ .

*Proof.* Let  $x_0 \in X$  be an arbitrary point as  $A(X) \subseteq T(X), B(X) \subseteq S(X)$ , there exist  $x_1, x_2 \in X$  such that  $Ax_0 = Tx_1, Bx_1 = Sx_2$ . Inductively, construct the sequences  $\{y_n\}$  and  $\{x_n\}$  in  $X$  such that  $y_{2n} = Ax_{2n} = Tx_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1} = Bx_{2n+1} = Sx_{2n+2}$ , for  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ .

Now, we prove that  $\{y_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence. Let  $d_m(t) = M(y_m, y_{m+1}, t)$ . Set  $m = 2n$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_{2n}(t) &= M(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, t) = M(Ax_{2n}, Bx_{2n+1}, t) \\ &\geq \phi(M(Sx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1}, kt), M(Ax_{2n}, Sx_{2n}, kt), M(Bx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1}, kt)) \\ &= \phi(M(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}, kt), M(y_{2n}, y_{2n-1}, kt), M(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n}, kt)) \\ &= \phi(d_{2n-1}(kt), d_{2n-1}(kt), d_{2n}(kt)) \end{aligned}$$

We claim that for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $d_{2n}(kt) \geq d_{2n-1}(kt)$ . For if  $d_{2n}(kt) < d_{2n-1}(kt)$ , for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , since  $\phi$  is an increasing function, then the last inequality above we get

$$d_{2n}(t) \geq \phi(d_{2n}(kt), d_{2n}(kt), d_{2n}(kt)) > d_{2n}(kt).$$

That is,  $d_{2n}(t) > d_{2n}(kt)$ , a contradiction. Hence  $d_{2n}(t) \geq d_{2n-1}(kt)$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\forall t > 0$ . Similarly for an odd integer  $m = 2n + 1$ , we have  $d_{2n+1}(kt) \geq d_{2n}(kt)$ . Thus  $\{d_n(t)\}$ ; is an increasing sequence in  $[0, 1]$ . Thus

$$d_{2n}(t) \geq \phi(d_{2n-1}(kt), d_{2n-1}(kt), d_{2n-1}(kt)) > d_{2n-1}(kt).$$

Similarly, for an odd integer  $m = 2n + 1$ , we have  $d_{2n+1}(t) \geq d_{2n}(kt)$ . Hence  $d_n(t) \geq d_{n-1}(kt)$ . That is,

$$M(y_n, y_{n+1}, t) \geq M(y_{n-1}, y_n, kt) \geq \dots \geq M(y_0, y_1, k^n t).$$

Hence by Lemma 1.12  $\{y_n\}$  is Cauchy and the completeness of  $X$ ,  $\{y_n\}$  converges to  $y$  in  $X$ . That is,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n = y \Rightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_{2n} &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ax_{2n} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Tx_{2n+1} \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_{2n+1} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Bx_{2n+1} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sx_{2n+2} = y. \end{aligned}$$

As  $B(X) \subseteq S(X)$ , there exist  $u \in X$  such that  $Su = y$ . So, for  $\epsilon > 0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} M(Au, y, t + \epsilon) &\geq M(Au, Bx_{2n+1}, t) * M(Bx_{2n+1}, y, \epsilon) \\ &\geq \phi(M(Su, Tx_{2n+1}, kt), M(Au, Su, kt), M(Bx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1}, kt)) * \\ &\quad * M(Bx_{2n+1}, y, \epsilon). \end{aligned}$$

By continuous  $M$  and  $\phi$ , on making  $n \rightarrow \infty$  the above inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned} M(Au, y, t + \epsilon) &\geq \phi(M(y, y, kt), M(Au, y, kt), M(y, y, kt)) \\ &\geq \phi(M(Au, y, kt), M(Au, y, kt), M(Au, y, kt)). \end{aligned}$$

On making  $\epsilon \longrightarrow 0$ , we have

$$M(Au, y, t) \geq \phi(M(Au, y, kt), M(Au, y, kt), M(Au, y, kt)).$$

If  $Au \neq y$ , by above inequality we get  $M(Au, y, t) > M(Au, y, kt)$ , which is a contradiction. Hence  $M(Au, y, t) = 1$ , i.e  $Au = y$ . Thus  $Au = Su = y$ . As  $A(X) \subseteq T(X)$  there exist  $v \in X$ , such that  $Tv = y$ . So,

$$\begin{aligned} M(y, Bv, t) &= M(Au, Bv, t) \\ &\geq \phi(M(Su, Tv, kt), M(Au, Su, kt), M(Bv, Tv, kt)) \\ &= \phi(1, 1, M(Bv, y, kt)). \end{aligned}$$

we claim that  $Bv = y$ . For if  $Bv \neq y$ , then  $M(Bv, y, t) < 1$ . On the above inequality we get

$$M(y, Bv, t) \geq \phi(M(y, Bv, kt), M(y, Bv, kt), M(y, Bv, kt)) > M(y, Bv, kt),$$

a contradiction. Hence  $Tv = Bv = Au = Su = y$ . Since  $(A, S)$  is weak compatible, we get that  $ASu = SAu$ , that is  $Ay = Sy$ . Since  $(B, T)$  is weak compatible, we get that  $TBv = BTv$ , that is  $Ty = By$ . If  $Ay \neq y$ , then  $M(Ay, y, t) < 1$ . However

$$\begin{aligned} M(Ay, y, t) &= M(Ay, Bv, t) \\ &\geq \phi(M(Sy, Tv, kt), M(Ay, Sy, kt), M(Bv, Tv, kt)) \\ &\geq \phi(M(Ay, y, kt), 1, 1) \\ &\geq \phi(M(Ay, y, kt), M(Ay, y, kt), M(Ay, y, kt)) \\ &> M(Ay, y, kt) \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction. Thus  $Ay = y$ , hence  $Ay = Sy = y$ .

Similarly, we prove that  $By = y$ . For if  $By \neq y$ , then  $M(By, y, t) < 1$ , however

$$\begin{aligned} M(y, By, t) &= M(Ay, By, t) \\ &\geq \phi(M(Sy, Ty, kt), M(Ay, Sy, kt), M(By, Ty, kt)) > M(y, By, kt), \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction. Therefore,  $Ay = By = Sy = Ty = y$ , that is,  $y$  is a common fixed point of  $A, B, S$  and  $T$ .

Uniqueness, let  $x$  be another common fixed point of  $A, B, S$  and  $T$ .

Then  $x = Ax = Bx = Sx = Tx$  and  $M(x, y, t) < 1$ , hence

$$\begin{aligned} M(y, x, t) &= M(Ay, Bx, t) \\ &\geq \phi(M(Sy, Tx, kt), M(Ay, Sy, kt), M(Bx, Tx, kt)) \\ &= \phi(M(y, x, kt), 1, 1) > M(y, x, kt), \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction. Therefore,  $y$  is the unique common fixed point of self-maps  $A, B, S$  and  $T$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let  $S$  and  $T$  be self-mappings of a complete fuzzy metric space  $(X, M, *)$ . If  $F, G$  are two mappings of  $Y$  into  $X$  and  $A, B$  are two mappings of  $X$  into  $Y$ , where  $Y$  is a nonempty set, such that it satisfies the following conditions:*

(i)  $FA(X) \subseteq T(X)$ ,  $GB(X) \subseteq S(X)$  and  $A(X)$  or  $B(X)$  is a complete subset of  $X$ ,

(ii)  $M(FAx, GBx, t) \geq \phi(M(Sx, Ty, kt), M(FAx, Sx, kt), M(GBx, Ty, kt))$ , for every  $x, y$  in  $X, k > 1$  and  $\phi \in \Phi$ ,

(iii) the pairs  $(FA, S)$  and  $(GB, T)$  are weak compatible.

Then  $FA, GB, S$  and  $T$  have a unique common fixed point in  $X$ .

*Proof.* By Theorem 2.1 it suffices to set  $FA = A$  and  $GB = B$ . □

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let  $S$  and  $T$  be self-mappings of a complete fuzzy metric space  $(X, M, *)$ , satisfying*

$$(i) \quad M(Sx, Ty, t) \geq a(t)M(x, Sy, kt) + b(t)M(x, Sx, kt) \\ + c(t)M(Sy, TSy, kt) \\ + h(t) \max\{M(x, TSy, kt), M(Sx, Sy, kt)\}$$

for every  $x, y \in X$  and some  $k > 1$ , where  $a, b$  and  $c, h$  are functions of  $[0, \infty)$  into  $(0, 1)$  such that

$$a(t) + b(t) + c(t) + h(t) = 1, \quad \text{for any } t > 0$$

Then  $S$  and  $T$  have a unique common fixed point.

*Proof.* Let  $x_0$  be an arbitrary point in  $X$ , defined as

$$x_{2n+1} = Sx_{2n} \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots \\ x_{2n} = Tx_{2n-1} \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

For simplicity, we set

$$d_n(t) = M(x_n, x_{n+1}, t), \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Now, we prove that the sequence  $d_n(t) = M(x_n, x_{n+1}, t)$  is an increasing se-

quence in  $[0, 1]$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
d_{2n}(t) &= M(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}, t) = M(Sx_{2n}, Tx_{2n-1}, t) = M(Sx_{2n}, TSx_{2n-2}, t) \\
&\geq a(t)M(x_{2n}, Sx_{2n-2}, kt) + b(t)M(x_{2n}, Sx_{2n}, kt) \\
&\quad + c(t)M(Sx_{2n-2}, TSx_{2n-2}, kt) \\
&\quad + h(t) \max\{M(x_{2n}, TSx_{2n-2}, kt), \\
&\quad M(Sx_{2n}, Sx_{2n-2}, kt)\} \\
&= a(t)M(x_{2n}, x_{2n-1}, kt) + b(t)M(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}, kt) \\
&\quad + c(t)M(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}, kt) \\
&\quad + h(t) \max\{M(x_{2n}, x_{2n}, kt), M(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n-1}, kt)\} \\
&= a(t)d_{2n-1}(kt) + b(t)d_{2n}(kt) + c(t)d_{2n-1}(kt) + h(t)
\end{aligned}$$

Let  $d_{2n}(kt) < d_{2n-1}(kt)$  in the above inequality we have

$$d_{2n}(t) > a(t)d_{2n}(kt) + b(t)d_{2n}(kt) + c(t)d_{2n}(kt) + h(t)d_{2n}(kt) = d_{2n}(kt)$$

which is a contradiction. Thus,  $d_{2n}(kt) \geq d_{2n-1}(kt)$ . Similarly, we have  $d_{2n+1}(kt) \geq d_{2n}(kt)$ . Hence in the above equality we get  $d_n(t) > d_{n-1}(kt)$ . That is

$$M(x_n, x_{n+1}, t) = M(x_{n-1}, x_n, kt) \geq \cdots \geq M(x_0, x_1, k^n t).$$

Hence by Lemma 1.12, the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  is Cauchy and by completeness of  $X$ ,  $\{x_n\}$  converges to  $x$  in  $X$ . That is,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{2n} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sx_{2n-1} = x, \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{2n+1} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Tx_{2n} = x.$$

Now, we prove that  $Sx = x$ . If  $Sx \neq x$  by (i),

$$\begin{aligned}
M(Sx, x_{2n}, t) &= M(Sx, TSx_{2n-2}, t) \\
&\geq a(t)M(x, Sx_{2n-2}, kt) + b(t)M(x, Sx, kt) \\
&\quad + c(t)M(Sx_{2n-2}, TSx_{2n-2}, kt) + h(t) \max\{M(x, TSx_{2n-2}, kt), \\
&\quad M(Sx, Sx_{2n-2}, kt)\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Taking limit as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  we get

$$\begin{aligned}
M(Sx, x, t) &\geq a(t)M(x, x, kt) + b(t)M(x, Sx, kt) \\
&\quad + c(t)M(x, x, kt) + h(t) \max\{M(x, x, kt), M(Sx, x, kt)\} \\
&> M(x, Sx, kt)
\end{aligned}$$

is a contradiction. Thus  $M(x, Sx, t) = 1$  that is  $Sx = x$ . Now, we prove that  $Tx = x$ . If  $Tx \neq x$  then by (ii) we have,

$$\begin{aligned}
M(x, Tx, t) &= M(Sx, TSx, t) \\
&\geq a(t)M(x, Sx, kt) + b(t)M(x, Sx, kt) \\
&\quad + c(t)M(Sx, Tx, kt) + h(t) \max\{M(x, TSx, kt), M(Sx, Sx, kt)\} \\
&> M(x, Tx, kt)
\end{aligned}$$

is a contradiction. Hence  $Sx = Tx = x$ , that is  $x$  is a common fixed point of  $S$  and  $T$ . Now to prove uniqueness let, if possible,  $y \neq x$  be another common fixed point of  $S$  and  $T$ . Then there exists  $t > 0$  such that  $M(x, y, t) < 1$  and

$$\begin{aligned} M(x, y, t) &= M(Sx, Ty, t) = M(Sx, TSy, t) \\ &\geq a(t)M(x, Sy, kt) + b(t)M(x, Sx, kt) \\ &\quad + c(t)M(Sy, TSy, kt) + h(t) \max\{M(x, TSy, kt), M(Sx, Sy, kt)\} \\ &= a(t)M(x, y, kt) + b(t) + c(t) + h(t)M(x, y, kt) \\ &> [(a(t) + b(t) + c(t)) + h(t)]M(x, y, kt) = M(x, y, kt), \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction. Therefore,  $x = y$ , i.e.,  $x$  is a unique common fixed point of  $S$  and  $T$ .  $\square$

## References

- [1] El Naschie, MS., On the uncertainty of Cantorian geometry and two-slit experiment. *Chaos, Solitons and Fractals* 9 (1998), 517-529.
- [2] El Naschie MS., The idealized quantum two-slit gedanken experiment revisited - Criticism and reinterpretation. *Chaos, Solitons and Fractals* 27 (2006), 9-13.
- [3] George, A, Veeramani, P., On some result in fuzzy metric space. *Fuzzy Sets Syst.* 64 (1994), 395-399.
- [4] Gregori, V, Sapena, A., On fixed-point theorem in fuzzy metric spaces. *Fuzzy Sets and Syst.* 125 (2002), 245-252.
- [5] Jungck, G., Rhoades B. E., Fixed points for set valued functions without continuity, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.* **29** no. 3 (1998), 227-238.
- [6] Kramosilk, I., Michalek, J., Fuzzy metric and statistical metric spaces. *Kybernetika* 11 (1975), 326-334.
- [7] Rodríguez López, J., Ramaguera, S., The Hausdorff fuzzy metric on compact sets. *Fuzzy Sets Syst.* 147 (2004), 273-283.
- [8] Miheţ, D., A Banach contraction theorem in fuzzy metric spaces. *Fuzzy Sets Syst.* 144 (2004), 431-439.
- [9] Schweizer, B., Sherwood, H., Tardiff RM. Contractions on PM-space examples and counterexamples. *Stochastica* 1 (1988) 1, 5-17.
- [10] Singh, B., and Jain, S., A fixed point theorem in Menger space through weak compatibility. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 301 no. 2 (2005), 439-448.
- [11] Zadeh, LA., Fuzzy sets. *Inform and Control* 8, (1965), 338-353.

*Received by the editors January 31, 2007*