

## COMMON FIXED POINT THEOREMS FOR FOUR MAPPINGS WITH SOME WEAK CONDITIONS OF COMMUTATIVITY

Duran Turkoglu<sup>1</sup>, Ishak Altun<sup>1</sup>, Brian Fisher<sup>2</sup>

**Abstract.** Some results on the common fixed point of two set-valued and two single valued mappings defined on a complete metric space with some weak commutativity conditions have been proved.

*AMS Mathematics Subject Classification (2000):* 54H25, 47H10

*Key words and phrases:* Common fixed point, set-valued mapping, weakly commuting mapping, slightly commuting mapping, quasi commuting mapping.

### 1. Introduction

Imdad, Khan and Sessa [3], generalizing the notion of commutativity for set-valued mappings, established the idea of weak commutativity, quasi commutativity, slight commutativity. Under these concepts, Imdad and Ahmad proved Theorems 3.1-3.4 [6], for set-valued mappings. Our work generalizes earlier results due to Pathak, Mishra and Kalinde [5] with the proof techniques of Imdad and Ahmad [6].

### 2. Preliminaries

Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space, then following [1] we record

(i)  $B(X) = \{A : A \text{ is a nonempty bounded subset of } X\}$

(ii) For  $A, B \in B(X)$  we define  $\delta(A, B) = \sup\{d(a, b) : a \in A, b \in B\}$

If  $A = \{a\}$ , then we write  $\delta(A, B) = \delta(a, B)$  and if  $B = \{b\}$ , then  $\delta(a, B) = d(a, b)$ .

One can easily prove that for  $A, B, C$  in  $B(X)$

$$\delta(A, B) = \delta(B, A) \geq 0,$$

$$\delta(A, B) \leq \delta(A, C) + \delta(C, B)$$

$$\delta(A, A) = \sup\{d(a, b) : a, b \in A\} = \text{diam}A \text{ and}$$

$$\delta(A, B) = 0 \text{ implies that } A = B = \{a\}.$$

If  $\{A_n\}$  is a sequence in  $B(X)$ , we say that  $\{A_n\}$  converges to  $A \subseteq X$ , and write  $A_n \rightarrow A$ , iff

---

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science and Arts, University of Gazi 06500-Teknikokullar, Ankara, TURKEY, emails: dturkoglu@gazi.edu.tr, ialtun@gazi.edu.tr

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, Leicester University Leicester, LE1 7RH, ENGLAND, e-mail: fbr@le.ac.uk

(i)  $a \in A$  implies that  $a_n \rightarrow a$  for some sequence  $\{a_n\}$  with  $a_n \in A_n$  for  $n \in N$ , and

(ii) for any  $\varepsilon > 0 \exists m \in N$  such that  $A_n \subseteq A_\varepsilon = \{x \in X : d(x, a) < \varepsilon\}$  for some  $a \in A$  for  $n > m$ .

We need the following lemmas.

**Lemma 1.** [2] Suppose  $\{A_n\}$  and  $\{B_n\}$  are sequences in  $B(X)$  and  $(X, d)$  is a complete metric space. If  $A_n \rightarrow A \in B(X)$  and  $B_n \rightarrow B \in B(X)$ , then  $\delta(A_n, B_n) \rightarrow \delta(A, B)$ .

**Lemma 2.** [3] If  $\{A_n\}$  is a sequence of nonempty bounded subsets in the complete metric space  $(X, d)$  and if  $\delta(A_n, y) \rightarrow 0$  for some  $y \in X$ , then  $A_n \rightarrow \{y\}$ .

**Definition 1.** [7] The mappings  $F, S : X \rightarrow X$  are weakly commuting if for all  $x \in X$ , we have  $d(FSx, SFx) \leq d(Fx, Sx)$ .

**Definition 2.** Let  $F : X \rightarrow B(X)$  be a set-valued mapping and  $S : X \rightarrow X$  a single-valued mapping. Then, following [1, 3], we say that the pair  $(F, S)$  is

(i) weakly commuting on  $X$  if  $\delta(FSx, SFx) \leq \max\{\delta(Sx, Fx), \text{diam}SFx\}$  for any  $x$  in  $X$

(ii) quasi-commuting on  $X$  if  $SFx \subseteq FSx$  for any  $x$  in  $X$

(iii) slightly commuting on  $X$  if  $\delta(FSx, SFx) \leq \max\{\delta(Sx, Fx), \text{diam}Fx\}$  for any  $x$  in  $X$ .

Clearly, two commuting mappings satisfy (i) – (iii) but the converse may not be true. In [3] it is demonstrated by suitable examples that the foregoing three concepts are mutually independent and none of them implies the other two.

### 3. Fixed Point Theorems

Throughout this section, let  $\mathbb{R}^+$  denote the set of non-negative reals, and let  $\Phi$  be the family of all mappings  $\phi : (\mathbb{R}^+)^5 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  such that  $\phi$  is upper semi continuous, non-decreasing in each coordinate variable and, for any  $t > 0$ ,

$$\gamma(t) = \phi(t, t, a_1t, a_2t, a_3t) < t,$$

where  $\gamma : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  and  $a_1 + a_2 + a_3 = 8$ .

We need the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.** [4] For any  $t > 0$ ,  $\gamma(t) < t$  if and only if  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma^n(t) = 0$ , where  $\gamma^n$  denotes the composition of  $\gamma$   $n$ -times with itself.

Let  $F, G$  be two set-valued mappings of a metric space  $(X, d)$  into  $B(X)$ , and  $A, B$ , two self-mappings of  $X$  such that

$$(1) \quad F(X) \subseteq A(X), \quad G(X) \subseteq B(X),$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta^{2p}(Fx, Gy) &\leq \phi(d^{2p}(Bx, Ay), \\
&\delta^q(Bx, Fx) \delta^{q^*}(Ay, Gy), \\
&\delta^r(Bx, Gy) \delta^{r^*}(Ay, Fx), \\
&\delta^s(Bx, Fx) \delta^{s^*}(Ay, Fx), \\
&\delta^l(Bx, Gy) \delta^{l^*}(Ay, Gy))
\end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ , where  $\phi \in \Phi$ ,  $0 < p, q, q^*, r, r^*, s, s^*, l, l^* \leq 1$  such that  $2p = q + q^* = r + r^* = s + s^* = l + l^*$ .

Then by choosing an arbitrary  $x_0 \in X$  and using (1), we can define a sequence  $\{y_n\}$  in  $X$  by

$$\begin{aligned}
y_{2n+1} &= Ax_{2n+1} \in Fx_{2n} = X_{2n+1} \text{ and} \\
y_{2n+2} &= Bx_{2n+2} \in Gx_{2n+1} = X_{2n+2}, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots
\end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

Let  $F, G : X \rightarrow B(X)$  and  $A, B : X \rightarrow X$  satisfy conditions (1) and (2), and the sequence  $\{y_n\}$  is defined by (3), then following the proof techniques of Imdad et al. [6], we can prove the following

**Lemma 4.** *If  $d_n = \delta(X_n, X_{n+1})$ , then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_n = 0$ .*

*Proof.* Let us assume that  $d_{2n+1} > d_{2n}$ , then

$$\begin{aligned}
d_{2n+1} &\leq \{\phi(d_{2n+1}^{2p}, d_{2n+1}^{2p}, 4d_{2n+1}^{2p}, 2d_{2n+1}^{2p}, 2d_{2n+1}^{2p})\}^{\frac{1}{2p}} \\
&\leq \{\gamma(d_{2n+1}^{2p})\}^{\frac{1}{2p}} \\
&< d_{2n+1},
\end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction. Hence  $d_{2n+1} \leq d_{2n}$ . Similarly, one can show that  $d_{2n+2} \leq d_{2n+1}$ . Then  $\{d_n\}$  is a decreasing sequence.

Now since

$$\begin{aligned}
d_2^{2p} &\leq \phi(d_1^{2p}, d_1^{2p}, 4d_1^{2p}, 2d_1^{2p}, 2d_1^{2p}) \\
&\leq \gamma(d_1^{2p}),
\end{aligned}$$

it follows by induction that

$$d_{n+1}^{2p} \leq \gamma^n(d_1^{2p})$$

and if  $d_1 > 0$ , then Lemma 3 implies that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_n = 0$ . If  $d_1 = 0$ , then  $d_n = 0$ ,  $n = \{1, 2, \dots\}$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 5.**  *$\{y_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $X$ .*

*Proof.* We show that  $\{y_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence. For this it is sufficient to show that  $\{y_{2n}\}$  is a Cauchy sequence. Suppose  $\{y_{2n}\}$  is not Cauchy sequence. Then

there is an  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that for an even integer  $2k$  there exists even integers  $2m(k) > 2n(k) > 2k$  such that

$$(4) \quad d(y_{2n(k)}, y_{2m(k)}) > \varepsilon.$$

For every even integer  $2k$ , let  $2m(k)$  be the least positive integer exceeding  $2n(k)$  satisfying (4) and such that

$$(5) \quad d(y_{2n(k)}, y_{2m(k)-2}) < \varepsilon.$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon &\leq d(y_{2n(k)}, y_{2m(k)}) \\ &\leq d(y_{2n(k)}, y_{2m(k)-2}) + d_{2m(k)-2} + d_{2m(k)-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Then by (4) and (5) it follows that

$$(6) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(y_{2n(k)}, y_{2m(k)}) = \varepsilon.$$

Also, by the triangle inequality, we have

$$|d(y_{2n(k)}, y_{2m(k)-1}) - d(y_{2n(k)}, y_{2m(k)})| < d_{2m(k)-1}.$$

By using (6) we get  $d(y_{2n(k)}, y_{2m(k)-1}) \rightarrow \varepsilon$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . Now by (2) we get

$$\begin{aligned} d(y_{2n(k)}, y_{2m(k)}) &\leq d_{2n(k)} + \delta(Fx_{2n(k)}, Gx_{2m(k)-1}) \\ &\leq d_{2n(k)} + \{\phi(d^{2p}(y_{2n(k)}, y_{2m(k)-1}), d_{2n(k)}^q d_{2m(k)-2}^{q*}, \\ &\quad [d^r(y_{2n(k)}, y_{2m(k)-1}) + d_{2m(k)-2}^r] \times \\ &\quad [d^{r*}(y_{2m(k)-1}, y_{2n(k)}) + d_{2n(k)}^{r*}], \\ &\quad d_{2n(k)}^s [d^{s*}(y_{2m(k)-1}, y_{2n(k)}) + d_{2n(k)}^{s*}], \\ &\quad [d^l(y_{2m(k)-1}, y_{2n(k)}) + d_{2m(k)-2}^l] d_{2m(k)-2}^{l*}\}^{\frac{1}{2p}} \end{aligned}$$

which on letting  $k \rightarrow \infty$  reduces to

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon &\leq \{\phi(\varepsilon^{2p}, 0, \varepsilon^{2p}, 0, 0)\}^{\frac{1}{2p}} \\ &\leq \{\gamma(\varepsilon^{2p})\}^{\frac{1}{2p}} \\ &< \varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

giving a contradiction. Thus  $\{y_{2n}\}$  is a Cauchy sequence.  $\square$

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $F, G$  be two set-valued mappings of a complete metric space  $(X, d)$  into  $B(X)$ , and  $A, B$  two self-mappings of  $X$  satisfying conditions (1), (2),  $(F, B)$  and  $(G, A)$  are slightly commuting and any one of these four mappings is continuous, then  $F, G, A$  and  $B$  have a unique common fixed point in  $X$ .*

*Proof.* By Lemma 5, the sequence  $\{y_n\}$  defined by (3) is a Cauchy sequence in  $X$ . Therefore  $y_n \rightarrow z$  for some  $z \in X$ . Hence the subsequences  $\{y_{2n}\} = \{Bx_{2n}\}$  and  $\{y_{2n+1}\} = \{Ax_{2n+1}\}$  of  $\{y_n\}$  also converge to  $z$ , whereas the sequences of sets  $\{Fx_{2n}\}$  and  $\{Gx_{2n+1}\}$  converge to the set  $\{z\}$ .

Since  $(F, B)$  commute slightly, we have

$$\delta(BFx_{2n}, FBx_{2n}) \leq \max\{\delta(Bx_{2n}, Fx_{2n}), \text{diam}Fx_{2n}\}$$

which on letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$  gives (by Lemma 1)

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \delta(BFx_{2n}, FBx_{2n}) = 0.$$

Now suppose that  $B$  is continuous, then we have  $BBx_{2n} = By_{2n} \rightarrow Bz$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} d(By_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}) &\leq \delta(BFx_{2n}, Gx_{2n+1}) \\ &\leq \delta(BFx_{2n}, FBx_{2n}) + \delta(FBx_{2n}, Gx_{2n+1}) \\ &\leq \delta(BFx_{2n}, FBx_{2n}) + \{\phi(d^{2p}(BBx_{2n}, Ax_{2n+1}), \\ &\quad [\delta^q(BBx_{2n}, BFx_{2n}) + \delta^q(BFx_{2n}, FBx_{2n})] \times \\ &\quad \delta^q(Ax_{2n+1}, Gx_{2n+1}), \\ &\quad \delta^r(BBx_{2n}, Gx_{2n+1}) \times \\ &\quad [\delta^s(Ax_{2n+1}, BFx_{2n}) + \delta^s(BFx_{2n}, FBx_{2n})], \\ &\quad [\delta^s(BBx_{2n}, BFx_{2n}) + \delta^s(BFx_{2n}, FBx_{2n})] \\ &\quad [\delta^{s^*}(Ax_{2n+1}, BFx_{2n}) + \delta^{s^*}(BFx_{2n}, FBx_{2n})], \\ &\quad \delta^l(BBx_{2n}, Gx_{2n+1})\delta^{l^*}(Ax_{2n+1}, Gx_{2n+1})\}^{\frac{1}{2p}}. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose  $Bz \neq z$ . Then letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and using Lemma 1 and Lemma 2 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} d(Bz, z) &\leq \{\phi(d^{2p}(Bz, z), 0, d^{2p}(Bz, z), 0, 0)\}^{\frac{1}{2p}} \\ &\leq \{\gamma(d^{2p}(Bz, z))\}^{\frac{1}{2p}} \\ &< d(Bz, z) \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction. We must therefore have  $Bz = z$ . Similarly, applying the condition (2) to

$$\delta(FSz, y_{2n+2}) \leq \delta(FSz, GTx_{2n+1})$$

and letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we can prove that  $Fz = \{z\}$ , which means that  $z$  is in the range of  $F$ . Since  $F(X) \subseteq A(X)$ , there exist a point  $z'$  in  $X$  such that  $Az' = z$ . Suppose that  $Gz' \neq z$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(z, Gz') &= \delta(Fz, Gz') \\ &\leq \{\phi(0, 0, 0, 0, \delta^{2p}(z, Gz'))\}^{\frac{1}{2p}} \\ &\leq \{\gamma(\delta^{2p}(z, Gz'))\}^{\frac{1}{2p}} \\ &< \delta(z, Gz') \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction. We must therefore have  $Gz' = \{z\}$ . Since  $(G, A)$  is slightly commuting, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\delta(Gz, Az) &= \delta(GAz', AGz') \\ &\leq 0,\end{aligned}$$

proving that  $Gz = Az$ . If  $Gz \neq z$ , then

$$\begin{aligned}\delta(z, Gz) &= \delta(Fz, Gz) \\ &\leq \{\phi(\delta^{2p}(z, Gz), 0, \delta^{2p}(z, Gz), 0, 0)\}^{\frac{1}{2p}} \\ &\leq \{\gamma(\delta^{2p}(z, Gz))\}^{\frac{1}{2p}} \\ &< \delta(z, Gz),\end{aligned}$$

a contradiction and so  $Gz = \{z\} = Az$ .

Thus we have shown that  $Bz = Az = Fz = Gz = \{z\}$ . Hence  $z$  is a common fixed point of  $F, G, A$  and  $B$ .

Now suppose that  $F$  is continuous, then we have  $\{Fy_{2n}\} = \{FBx_{2n}\} \rightarrow \{Fz\}$ . Since  $By_{2n+1} \in BFx_{2n}$ , the inequality (2) yields

$$\begin{aligned}\delta(Fy_{2n+1}, Gx_{2n+1}) &\leq \{\phi([\delta^p(BFx_{2n}, FBx_{2n}) + \delta^p(FBx_{2n}, Ax_{2n+1})]^2, \\ &\quad [\delta^q(BFx_{2n}, FBx_{2n}) + \delta^q(FBx_{2n}, Fy_{2n+1})] \times \\ &\quad \delta^{q^*}(Ax_{2n+1}, Gx_{2n+1}), \\ &\quad [\delta^r(BFx_{2n}, FBx_{2n}) + \delta^r(FBx_{2n}, Gx_{2n+1})] \times \\ &\quad \delta^{r^*}(Ax_{2n+1}, Fy_{2n+1}), \\ &\quad [\delta^s(BFx_{2n}, FBx_{2n}) + \delta^s(FBx_{2n}, z) + \delta^s(z, Fy_{2n+1})] \times \\ &\quad \delta^{s^*}(Ax_{2n+1}, Fy_{2n+1}), \\ &\quad [\delta^l(BFx_{2n}, FBx_{2n}) + \delta^l(FBx_{2n}, Gx_{2n+1})] \times \\ &\quad \delta^{l^*}(Ax_{2n+1}, Gx_{2n+1})\}^{\frac{1}{2p}}.\end{aligned}$$

Suppose  $Fz \neq z$ . Then letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\delta(z, Fz) &\leq \{\phi(\delta^{2p}(z, Fz), 0, \delta^{2p}(z, Fz), 2\delta^{2p}(z, Fz), 0)\}^{\frac{1}{2p}} \\ &\leq \{\gamma(\delta^{2p}(z, Fz))\}^{\frac{1}{2p}} \\ &< \delta(z, Fz)\end{aligned}$$

a contradiction and so  $Fz = \{z\}$ . Since  $F(X) \subseteq A(X)$ , there exists a point  $z'$  in  $X$  such that  $Az' = z$ . Similarly, using (2) on  $\delta(Gz', Fx_{2n})$  and letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$  one can prove that  $Gz' = \{z\}$ . Now, by the slight commutativity of  $(G, A)$  we find

$$\begin{aligned}\delta(Gz, Az) &= \delta(GAz', AGz') \\ &\leq 0\end{aligned}$$

which gives that  $Gz = Az$ . Further, applying (2) to  $\delta(Fx_{2n}, Gz)$  and letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we can show that  $Gz = \{z\} = Az$ .

Since  $G(X) \subseteq B(X)$  there exists a point  $z''$  in  $X$  such that  $Bz'' = z$ . Suppose that  $Fz \neq z$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(Fz'', z) &= \delta(Fz'', Gz) \\ &\leq \{\phi(0, 0, 0, \delta^{2p}(z, Fz''), 0)\}^{\frac{1}{2p}} \\ &\leq \{\gamma(\delta^{2p}(z, Fz''))\}^{\frac{1}{2p}} \\ &< \delta(z, Fz''), \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction, implying that  $Fz'' = \{z\}$ .

By the slight commutativity of  $(F, B)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(Fz, Bz) &= \delta(FBz'', BFz'') \\ &\leq 0, \end{aligned}$$

which gives that  $Fz = Bz$ . Thus we have shown that  $Fz = Gz = Bz = Az = \{z\}$ .

The other cases,  $A$  is continuous and  $G$  is continuous, can be disposed of a similar argument as above.

For uniqueness, suppose that  $w$  is a second distinct fixed point of  $(F, B)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} d(w, z) &= \delta(Fw, Gz) \\ &\leq \{\phi(0, 0, d^{2p}(w, z), 0, d^{2p}(w, z))\}^{\frac{1}{2p}} \\ &\leq \{\gamma(d^{2p}(w, z))\}^{\frac{1}{2p}} \\ &< d(w, z), \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction and so the fixed point  $z$  is unique. Similarly, one can show that  $z$  is the unique common fixed point of  $G$  and  $A$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $F, G$  be two set-valued mappings of a complete metric space  $X$  into  $B(X)$ , and  $A, B$  two self-mappings of  $(X, d)$  satisfying conditions (1), (2),  $B$  is continuous or (1), (2),  $A$  is continuous. If  $(F, B)$  and  $(G, A)$  are weakly commuting, then  $F, G, B$  and  $A$  have a unique common fixed point in  $X$ .*

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $F, G$  be two set-valued mappings of a complete metric space  $X$  into  $B(X)$ , and  $A, B$  two self-mappings of  $(X, d)$  satisfying conditions (1), (2),  $F$  is continuous,  $(F, B)$  and  $(G, A)$  are quasi-commuting or (1), (2),  $G$  is  $G$  is continuous,  $(F, B)$  and  $(G, A)$  are quasi-commuting, then  $F, G, B$  and  $A$  have a unique common fixed point in  $X$ .*

**Remark 1.** *The conclusion of Theorems 1-3 remains valid if the condition (2) is replaced by*

$$\begin{aligned} \delta^{2p}(Fx, Gy) \leq & \alpha d^{2p}(Bx, Ay) + \\ & \beta \max\{\delta^q(Bx, Fx) \delta^{q^*}(Ay, Gy), \\ & \delta^r(Bx, Gy) \delta^{r^*}(Ay, Fx), \\ & \delta^s(Bx, Fx) \delta^{s^*}(Ay, Fx), \\ & \delta^l(Bx, Gy) \delta^{l^*}(Ay, Gy)\} \end{aligned} \quad (2^*)$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ , where  $\alpha > 0$ ,  $\beta \geq 0$  with  $\alpha + 4\beta < 1$  and  $0 < p, q, q^*, r, r^*, s, s^*, l, l^* \leq 1$  with  $2p = q + q^* = r + r^* = s + s^* = l + l^*$ .

**Theorem 4.** *Let  $F, G, A$  and  $B$  be self-mappings of a complete metric space  $(X, d)$  satisfying (1) and*

$$\begin{aligned} d^{2p}(Fx, Gy) \leq & \phi(d^{2p}(Bx, Ay), \\ & d^q(Bx, Fx) d^{q^*}(Ay, Gy), \\ & d^r(Bx, Gy) d^{r^*}(Ay, Fx), \\ & d^s(Bx, Fx) d^{s^*}(Ay, Fx), \\ & d^l(Bx, Gy) d^{l^*}(Ay, Gy)) \end{aligned} \quad (2^{**})$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ , where  $\phi \in \Phi$ ,  $0 < p, q, q^*, r, r^*, s, s^*, l, l^* \leq 1$  such that  $2p = q + q^* = r + r^* = s + s^* = l + l^*$  and any one of these four mappings is continuous. If  $(F, B)$  and  $(G, A)$  are weakly commuting, then  $F, G, B$  and  $A$  have a unique common fixed point in  $X$ .

**Remark 2.** *By Theorem 4, we get the improved version of Theorem 3.1 of Pathak-Mishra-Kalinde [5].*

We now give an example in which is used Theorem 1.

**Example 1.** *Let  $X$  be reals with  $\delta$  induced by the Euclidean metric  $d$  and we define*

$$Fx = \begin{cases} \{0\} & \text{if } x \leq 0 \\ [0, \frac{x}{1+3x}] & \text{if } 0 < x \leq 1 \\ [0, \frac{1}{4}] & \text{if } x > 1 \end{cases}, \quad Ax = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \leq 0 \\ x & \text{if } 0 < x \leq 1 \\ 1 & \text{if } x > 1 \end{cases}$$

$$Gx = \begin{cases} \{0\} & \text{if } x \leq 0 \\ [0, \frac{x}{1+2x}] & \text{if } 0 < x \leq 1 \\ [0, \frac{1}{3}] & \text{if } x > 1 \end{cases}, \quad Bx = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \leq 0 \\ x & \text{if } 0 < x \leq 1 \\ 1 & \text{if } x > 1 \end{cases}$$

for all  $x$  in  $X$  and let  $\gamma : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  be given by

$$\gamma(t) < t$$



and let  $\phi : (\mathbb{R}^+)^5 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  be given by

$$\phi(t_1, t_2, a_1 t_3, a_2 t_4, a_3 t_5) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } t_i = 0 \\ \gamma(t) & \text{if } t_i = t \text{ and } a_1 + a_2 + a_3 = 8 \\ \beta \max\{t_i\} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

for some  $0 < \beta < 1, i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$ . Then for all  $x$  in  $X$ . Hence  $F(X) \subseteq A(X)$  and  $G(X) \subseteq B(X)$ .

Now we examine the following cases

case 1 : if  $x \leq 0$  and  $y \leq 0$ , then

$$\delta^2(Fx, Gy) = 0 \leq 0 = \phi(0, 0, 0, 0, 0)$$

case 2 : if  $x \leq 0$  and  $0 < y \leq 1$ , then

$$\delta^2(Fx, Gy) = \left(\frac{y}{1+2y}\right)^2 \leq \beta y^2 = \phi(y^2, 0, \frac{y^2}{1+2y}, 0, \frac{y^2}{1+2y})$$

case 3 : if  $x \leq 0$  and  $y > 1$ , then

$$\delta^2(Fx, Gy) = \left(\frac{1}{3}\right)^2 \leq \beta = \phi(1, 0, \frac{1}{3}, 0, \frac{1}{3})$$

case 4 : if  $0 < x \leq 1$  and  $y \leq 0$ , then

$$\delta^2(Fx, Gy) = \left(\frac{x}{1+3x}\right)^2 \leq \beta x^2 = \phi(x^2, 0, \frac{x^2}{1+3x}, \frac{x^2}{1+3x}, 0)$$

case 5 : if  $0 < x \leq 1$  and  $y > 1$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \delta^2(Fx, Gy) &= \left(\frac{1}{3}\right)^2 \leq \begin{cases} \beta(1-x)^2 & \text{if } x \leq \frac{1}{3} \\ \beta(1-x)^2 & \text{if } \frac{1}{3} \leq x < \frac{3-\sqrt{5}}{2} \\ \beta x & \text{if } \frac{3-\sqrt{5}}{2} \leq x \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} \phi((1-x)^2, x, \frac{1}{3}, x, \frac{1}{3}) & \text{if } x \leq \frac{1}{3} \\ \phi((1-x)^2, x, x, x, x) & \text{if } \frac{1}{3} < x \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

case 6 : if  $x > 1$  and  $y \leq 0$ , then

$$\delta^2(Fx, Gy) = \left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^2 \leq \beta = \phi(1, 0, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, 0)$$

case 7 : if  $x > 1$  and  $0 < y \leq 1$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \delta^2(Fx, Gy) &= \begin{cases} \left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^2 & \text{if } y \leq \frac{1}{2} \\ \left(\frac{y}{1+2y}\right)^2 & \text{if } \frac{1}{2} < y \end{cases} \\ &\leq \begin{cases} \beta(1-y)^2 & \text{if } y \leq \frac{1}{4} \\ \beta(1-y)^2 & \text{if } \frac{1}{4} \leq y < \frac{3-\sqrt{5}}{2} \\ \beta y & \text{if } \frac{3-\sqrt{5}}{2} \leq y \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} \phi((1-y)^2, y, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, y) & \text{if } y \leq \frac{1}{4}, \\ \phi((1-y)^2, y, y, y, y) & \text{if } \frac{1}{4} < y, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

case 8 : if  $x > 1$  and  $y > 1$ , then

$$\delta^2(Fx, Gy) = \left(\frac{1}{3}\right)^2 \leq \beta = \phi(0, 1, 1, 1, 1)$$

case 9 : if  $0 < x \leq 1$  and  $0 < y \leq 1$ , then

$$\delta^2(Fx, Gy) = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{x}{1+3x}\right)^2 & \text{if } \left(\frac{y}{1+2y}\right)^2 \leq \left(\frac{x}{1+3x}\right)^2 \\ \left(\frac{y}{1+2y}\right)^2 & \text{if } \left(\frac{x}{1+3x}\right)^2 < \left(\frac{y}{1+2y}\right)^2 \end{cases}$$

subcase 9<sub>1</sub> : if  $\frac{y}{1+2y} < y < \frac{x}{1+3x} < x$ , then

$$\phi((x-y)^2, xy, \frac{x^2}{1+3x}, \frac{x^2}{1+3x}, xy) = \begin{cases} \beta(x-y)^2 & \text{if } \frac{x^2}{1+3x} \leq (x-y)^2 \\ \beta \frac{x^2}{1+3x} & \text{if } (x-y)^2 < \frac{x^2}{1+3x} \end{cases}$$

subcase 9<sub>2</sub> : if  $\frac{y}{1+2y} < \frac{x}{1+3x} < y < x$ , then

$$\phi((x-y)^2, xy, xy, xy, xy) = \begin{cases} \beta(x-y)^2 & \text{if } xy \leq (x-y)^2 \\ \beta xy & \text{if } (x-y)^2 < xy \end{cases}$$

subcase 9<sub>3</sub> : if  $\frac{y}{1+2y} < \frac{x}{1+3x} < x < y$ , then

$$\phi((x-y)^2, xy, xy, xy, xy) = \begin{cases} \beta(x-y)^2 & \text{if } xy \leq (x-y)^2, \\ \beta xy & \text{if } (x-y)^2 < xy, \end{cases}$$

subcase 9<sub>4</sub> : if  $\frac{x}{1+3x} < \frac{y}{1+2y} < x < y$ , then

$$\phi((x-y)^2, xy, xy, xy, xy) = \begin{cases} \beta(x-y)^2 & \text{if } xy \leq (x-y)^2 \\ \beta xy & \text{if } (x-y)^2 < xy \end{cases}$$

subcase 9<sub>5</sub> : if  $\frac{x}{1+3x} < x < \frac{y}{1+2y} < y$ , then

$$\phi((x-y)^2, xy, \frac{y^2}{1+2y}, xy, \frac{y^2}{1+2y}) = \begin{cases} \beta(x-y)^2 & \text{if } \frac{y^2}{1+2y} \leq (x-y)^2 \\ \beta \frac{y^2}{1+2y} & \text{if } (x-y)^2 < \frac{y^2}{1+2y} \end{cases}$$

subcase 9<sub>6</sub> : if  $\frac{x}{1+3x} < \frac{y}{1+2y} < y < x$ , then

$$\phi((x-y)^2, xy, xy, xy, xy) = \begin{cases} \beta(x-y)^2 & \text{if } xy \leq (x-y)^2 \\ \beta xy & \text{if } (x-y)^2 < xy \end{cases}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \delta^{2p}(Fx, Gy) &\leq \phi(d^{2p}(Bx, Ay), \\ &\delta^q(Bx, Fx) \delta^{q^*}(Ay, Gy), \\ &\delta^r(Bx, Gy) \delta^{r^*}(Ay, Fx), \\ &\delta^s(Bx, Fx) \delta^{s^*}(Ay, Fx), \\ &\delta^l(Bx, Gy) \delta^{l^*}(Ay, Gy)) \end{aligned}$$

for  $0 < p = q = q^* = r = r^* = s = s^* = l = l^* = 1$  and  $2 = q + q^* = r + r^* = s + s^* = l + l^*$ . Also  $(F, B)$  and  $(G, A)$  are slightly commuting. Really,

$$FBx = \begin{cases} \{0\} & \text{if } x \leq 0 \\ [0, \frac{x}{1+3x}] & \text{if } 0 < x \leq 1 \\ [0, \frac{1}{4}] & \text{if } x > 1 \end{cases}, \quad BFx = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \leq 0 \\ [0, \frac{x}{1+3x}] & \text{if } 0 < x \leq 1 \\ [0, \frac{1}{4}] & \text{if } x > 1 \end{cases}$$

$$GAx = \begin{cases} \{0\} & \text{if } x \leq 0 \\ [0, \frac{x}{1+2x}] & \text{if } 0 < x \leq 1 \\ [0, \frac{1}{3}] & \text{if } x > 1 \end{cases}, \quad AGx = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \leq 0 \\ [0, \frac{x}{1+2x}] & \text{if } 0 < x \leq 1 \\ [0, \frac{1}{3}] & \text{if } x > 1 \end{cases}$$

and

i) if  $x \leq 0$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(FBx, BFx) &= 0 \leq 0 = \delta(Fx, Bx) \leq \max\{\delta(Fx, Bx), \text{diam}Fx\}, \\ \delta(GAx, AGx) &= 0 \leq 0 = \delta(Gx, Ax) \leq \max\{\delta(Gx, Ax), \text{diam}Gx\} \end{aligned}$$

ii) if  $0 < x \leq 1$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(FBx, BFx) &= \frac{x}{1+3x} \leq x = \delta(Fx, Bx) \leq \max\{\delta(Fx, Bx), \text{diam}Fx\}, \\ \delta(GAx, AGx) &= \frac{x}{1+2x} \leq x = \delta(Gx, Ax) \leq \max\{\delta(Gx, Ax), \text{diam}Gx\}. \end{aligned}$$

iii) if  $x > 1$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(FBx, BFx) &= \frac{1}{4} \leq 1 = \delta(Fx, Bx) \leq \max\{\delta(Fx, Bx), \text{diam}Fx\}, \\ \delta(GAx, AGx) &= \frac{1}{3} \leq 1 = \delta(Gx, Ax) \leq \max\{\delta(Gx, Ax), \text{diam}Gx\}. \end{aligned}$$

Further,  $B$  and  $T$  are continuous. Then  $F, G, B$  and  $A$  have a unique common fixed point in  $X$  by Theorem 1.

## References

- [1] Sessa, S., Khan, M. S., Imdad, M., A common fixed point theorem with a weak commutativity condition. *Glas. Math.* 21 (41) (1986), 225-235.
- [2] Fisher, B., Common fixed point of mappings and set-valued mappings. *Rostock Math Kolloq.* 18 (1981), 69-77.
- [3] Imdad, M., Khan, M. S., Sessa, S., On some weak conditions of commutativity in common fixed point theorems. *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.* 11 (2) (1988), 289-296.
- [4] Singh, S. P., Meade, B. A., A common fixed point theorems. *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* 16 (1977), 49-53.
- [5] Pathak, H. K., Mishra, S. N., Kalinde, A. K., Common fixed point theorems with applications to nonlinear integral equations. *Demonstratio Math.* 3 (1999), 547-564.

- [6] Imdad, M., Ahmad, A., On common fixed point of mappings and set-valued mappings with some weak conditions of commutativity. *Publ. Math. Debrecen.* 44 (1994), 105-114.
- [7] Sessa, S., On a weak commutativity condition of mappings in fixed point considerations. *Publ. Inst. Math. (Beograd)* 32 (46) (1982), 149-153.

*Received by the editors August 3, 2005*