

INTEGRATED C-SEMIGROUPS OF UNBOUNDED LINEAR OPERATORS IN BANACH SPACES

Ratko Kravarušić¹, Milorad Mijatović²

Abstract. A family of unbounded linear operators $(S(t))_{t \geq 0}$ in the Banach space $(E, \|\cdot\|)$ which satisfies the composition law for an integrated C -semigroup on a domain $D \subset E$ is introduced and investigated. The Banach spaces $(E_\omega, \|\cdot\|_\omega)$, $\omega > 0$, are used for the construction of a family of infinitesimal generators A^ω , $\omega > 0$ which determine an operator A called the infinitesimal generator of $(S(t))_{t \geq 0}$.

AMS Mathematics Subject Classification (1991): 47D06

Key words and phrases: Integrated C -semigroup, infinitesimal generators, C -pseudoresolvents

1. Introduction

Integrated semigroups of unbounded linear operator in Banach spaces have been studied in [7], [8]. This paper is a continuation of these studies. Here we use also some results of [9], [15], for n -times integrated C -semigroups and mild integrated C -existence families of bounded operators.

We proved in [7] that any integrated semigroup of unbounded linear operators under additional conditions is an exponentially bounded integrated semigroup on a subspace with a possibly stronger norm. We obtain this result for the integrated C -semigroups of unbounded operators with additional condition for the operator C .

2. Structural properties

Let $(S(t))_{t \geq 0}$ be a family of unbounded linear operators in a Banach space $(E, \|\cdot\|)$ and let $C : D(C) \rightarrow E$ be an unbounded linear operator. Denote by $D(S(t))$ the domain of $S(t)$ and set

$$(1) \quad \mathbf{D} = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} x \in \bigcap_{s,t \geq 0} D(S(s)S(t)) \\ \left. \begin{array}{l} S(0)x = 0 \\ S(t)x \text{ is strongly continuous for } t \geq 0, \\ S(t)Cx = CS(t)x \text{ for } t \geq 0, \\ S(s)S(t)x = \int_0^s (S(r+t) - S(r))Cxdr \\ = S(t)S(s)x \text{ for } t \geq 0. \end{array} \right\} \end{array} \right.$$

¹Faculty of Economics, University of Banja Luka Bosnia and Hercegovina

²Department of Mathematics and Informatics, University of Novi Sad, Trg Dositeja Obradovića 4, Novi Sad, Serbia and Montenegro e-mail: mijatm@uns.ns.ac.yu

If $\mathbf{D} \neq \{0\}$, then $(S(t))_{t \geq 0}$ is said to be an *integrated C -semigroup of unbounded linear operators* in E . Note that $\mathbf{D} \subset S(C)$.

The set

$$\mathcal{N} = \{x \in \mathbf{D}; S(t)x = 0, t \geq 0\}$$

is called a *degeneration space* of an integrated C -semigroup of unbounded linear operators $(S(t))_{t \geq 0}$. A semigroup $(S(t))_{t \geq 0}$ is called *nondegenerate* if $\mathcal{N} = \{0\}$ and it is called *degenerate* otherwise.

Lemma 1. *If an integrated C -semigroup of bounded linear operators $(S(t))_{t \geq 0}$ is nondegenerate, then C is injective (cf. [15], the proof of Lemma 2.2).*

Definition 1. For $\omega \in \mathbb{R}^+ = (0, \infty)$, $x \in \bigcap_{t \geq 0} D(S(t))$, let

$$(2) \quad \|x\|_\omega := \sup_{t \geq 0} e^{-\omega t} \|S(t)x\|$$

and set

$$(3) \quad E_\omega := \{x \in \mathbf{D}; \|x\|_\omega < \infty\}.$$

Then, $\|\cdot\|_\omega$ is a norm on E_ω .

Let \overline{E}_ω denote the closure of the set E_ω under the norm $\|\cdot\|_\omega$ and $S(t)|\overline{E}_\omega$ is the part of $S(t)$ in \overline{E}_ω i.e.

$$(4) \quad D(S(t)|\overline{E}_\omega) = \{x \in \overline{E}_\omega; x \in D(S(t)) \text{ and } S(t)x \in \overline{E}_\omega\}.$$

In this paper we assume that for all $\omega > 0$, C is bounded linear operator under the norm $\|\cdot\|_\omega$ and $\|C\|_\omega = M_\omega$.

Proposition 1.

a) If $\omega_1 \leq \omega_2$ and $x \in \mathbf{D}$, then $\|x\|_{\omega_2} \leq \|x\|_{\omega_1}$. Hence, if $\omega_1 \leq \omega_2$ then $E_{\omega_1} \subset E_{\omega_2}$.

b) If $x \in E_\omega$ then $S(t)x \in E_\omega$ and

$$(5) \quad \|S(t)x\|_\omega \leq \frac{2}{\omega} M_\omega e^{\omega t} \|x\|_\omega.$$

Proof.

a) Let $\omega_1 \leq \omega_2$ and $x \in \mathbf{D}$. Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|x\|_{\omega_2} &= \sup_{t \geq 0} e^{-\omega_2 t} \|S(t)x\| \\ &= \sup_{t \geq 0} e^{-\omega_1 t} \cdot e^{(\omega_1 - \omega_2)t} \|S(t)x\| \leq \sup_{t \geq 0} e^{\omega_1 t} \|S(t)x\| = \|x\|_{\omega_1}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $E_{\omega_1} \subset E_{\omega_2}$ if $\omega_1 \leq \omega_2$.

b) Let $x \in E_\omega$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|S(t)x\|_\omega &= \sup_{s \geq 0} e^{-\omega s} \|S(s)S(t)x\| = e^{\omega t} \sup_{s \geq 0} e^{-\omega(t+s)} \|S(s)S(t)x\| \\
 &= e^{\omega t} \sup_{s \geq 0} e^{-\omega(s+t)} \left\| \int_0^s (S(r+t) - S(r))Cx dr \right\| \\
 &\leq e^{\omega t} \sup_{s \geq 0} e^{-\omega s} \left(\int_0^s e^{\omega r} e^{-\omega(r+t)} \|S(r+t)Cx\| dr + e^{-\omega t} \int_0^s e^{\omega r} e^{-\omega r} \|S(r)Cx\| dr \right) \\
 &\leq e^{\omega t} \|Cx\|_\omega \sup_{s \geq 0} e^{-\omega s} \left(\int_0^s e^{\omega r} dr + e^{-\omega t} \int_0^s e^{\omega r} dr \right) \\
 &\leq M_\omega e^{\omega t} \|x\|_\omega \sup_{s \geq 0} e^{-\omega s} (1 + e^{-\omega t}) \int_0^s e^{\omega r} dr \\
 &= M_\omega e^{\omega t} \|x\|_\omega \sup_{s \geq 0} \frac{1}{\omega} e^{-\omega s} (1 + e^{-\omega t}) (e^{\omega s} - 1) \\
 &= M_\omega e^{\omega t} \|x\|_\omega \sup_{s \geq 0} \frac{1}{\omega} (1 + e^{-\omega t}) (1 - e^{-\omega s}) \leq \frac{2}{\omega} M_\omega e^{\omega t} \|x\|_\omega.
 \end{aligned}$$

□

Remark 1. By the proof of Proposition 1 b), we have

$$e^{-\omega(t+s)} \|S(s)S(t)x\| \leq \frac{2M_\omega}{\omega} \|x\|_\omega$$

and

$$\|S(s)S(t)x\| \leq \frac{2M_\omega e^{\omega(t+s)}}{\omega} \|x\|_\omega.$$

The following additional assumption will be needed throughout the paper.

(6) For every $\omega > 0$ and for every $x \in \mathbf{D}$, there exists $K_\omega > 0$ such that $\|x\|_\omega \geq K_\omega \|x\|$.

Remark 2. If for an integrated C -semigroup of unbounded linear operators $(S(t))_{t \geq 0}$ there exist $t_0 \geq 0$ and $K_{t_0} > 0$ such that

$$(7) \quad \|S(t_0)x\| \geq K_{t_0} \|x\|, \quad x \in \mathbf{D},$$

then, for every $\omega > 0$

$$\|x\|_\omega = \sup_{t \geq 0} e^{-\omega t} \|S(t)x\| \geq e^{-\omega t_0} \|S(t_0)x\| \geq K_\omega \|x\|, \quad x \in \mathbf{D},$$

where $K_\omega = e^{-\omega t_0} K_{t_0}$.

Theorem 1. Let $(S(t))_{t \geq 0}$ be an integrated C -semigroup of unbounded linear operators in E such that:

- (i) $(S(t))_{t \geq 0}$ is nondegenerate,
 - (ii) C is the bounded linear operator under the norm $\|\cdot\|_\omega$ in E_ω ,
 - (iii) condition (6) holds.
- Then:

- a) Let $\omega > 0$ be fixed. Suppose that for every $t \geq 0$, $S(t)|_{\overline{E}_\omega}$ is a closed operator in \overline{E}_ω .
Then $(E_\omega, \|\cdot\|_\omega)$ is a Banach space.
- b) If $S(t)$ is a closed operator in E , then $S(t)|_{\overline{E}_\omega}$ is a closed operator in \overline{E}_ω for $t \geq 0$ and $\omega > 0$.

Proof.

a) Recall the assumption:

If $\{x_n\} \subset D(S(t)|_{\overline{E}_\omega})$, $\|x_n - x\| \rightarrow 0$ and $\|S(t)x_n - y\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then $x \in D(S(t)|_{\overline{E}_\omega})$ and $S(t)x = y$.

Suppose $\{x_n\} \subset E_\omega$ is a Cauchy sequence with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|_\omega$. For every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a number $N > 0$ such that

$$(8) \quad \|x_m - x_n\|_\omega = \sup_{t \geq 0} e^{-\omega t} \|S(t)x_m - S(t)x_n\| < \varepsilon, \quad m, n > N.$$

By (6) we have $\|x_m - x_n\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{K_\omega}$, $m, n > N$. Hence, there exists $x \in E$ such that $\|x_n - x\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. By (8)

$$(9) \quad e^{-\omega t} \|S(t)x_m - S(t)x_n\| < \varepsilon, \quad t \geq 0, \quad m, n > N,$$

that is, for $t \geq 0$, $\{e^{-\omega t} S(t)x_n\}_{t \geq 0}$ is a Cauchy sequence in the norm of E . Therefore, for every $t \geq 0$ there exists $y_t \in E$ such that $\|e^{-\omega t} S(t)x_n - y_t\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Fix $n > N$ in (9) and let $m \rightarrow \infty$. Then,

$$(10) \quad \|e^{-\omega t} S(t)x_n - y_t\| \leq \varepsilon.$$

In (10) N is independent of t .

Since $S(t)|_{\overline{E}_\omega}$ is closed for $t \geq 0$, the same holds for $e^{-\omega t} S(t)|_{\overline{E}_\omega}$, $t \geq 0$. This implies $x \in D(e^{-\omega t} S(t)|_{\overline{E}_\omega}) = D(S(t)|_{\overline{E}_\omega})$ and $y_t = e^{-\omega t} S(t)x$. Now, by (10)

$$(11) \quad e^{-\omega t} \|S(t)x_n - S(t)x\| \leq \varepsilon, \quad n > N, \quad t \geq 0.$$

This implies $\|x_n - x\|_\omega \leq \varepsilon$, for $n > N$ and $\|x_n - x\|_\omega \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Consequently, $\|x\|_\omega < \infty$.

It remains to prove that $x \in \mathbf{D}$. Since $x_n \in \mathbf{D}$ by (1), we have

$$(12) \quad S(s)S(t)x_n = \int_0^s (S(r+t) - S(r))Cx_n dr,$$

By Remark 1 we have

$$\|S(s)S(t)x_n - S(s)S(t)x\| \leq \frac{2M_\omega e^{\omega(t+s)}}{\omega} \|x_n - x\|_\omega.$$

Now, fix $s, t \geq 0$. Then by (5)

$$\|S(t)Cx_n - S(t)Cx\| \leq M_\omega e^{\omega t} \|x_n - x\|_\omega.$$

It implies

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \int_0^s (S(r+t) - S(r))Cx_n dr - \int_0^s (S(r+t) - S(r))Cx dr \right\| \\ & \leq \int_0^s \|(S(r+t) - S(r))C(x_n - x)\| dr \\ & \leq \frac{M_\omega}{\omega} (e^{\omega(s+t)} + e^{\omega s}) \|x_n - x\|_\omega \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Further

$$(13) \quad \left\| S(s)S(t)x - \int_0^s (S(r+t) - S(r))Cx dr \right\| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Since $\|S(t)x_n - S(t)x\| \leq e^{\omega t} \|x_n - x\|_\omega$ and $\|x_n - x\|_\omega \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have $\|S(t)x_n - S(t)x\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. On the other hand $S(s)\overline{E}_\omega$ is closed in \overline{E}_ω , by (13), we obtain

$$S(t)x \in D(S(s)) \text{ and } S(s)S(t)x = \int_0^s (S(r+t) - S(r))Cx dr.$$

Let $t_1 \geq 0$. Then,

$$(14) \quad \begin{aligned} \|S(t)x - S(t_1)x\| & \leq \|S(t)x - S(t)x_n\| + \|S(t)x_n - S(t_1)x_n\| \\ & \quad + \|S(t_1)x_n - S(t_1)x\| \leq e^{\omega t} \|x_n - x\|_\omega \\ & \quad + \|S(t)x_n - S(t_1)x_n\| + e^{\omega t_1} \|x_n - x\|_\omega. \end{aligned}$$

For $\varepsilon > 0$ and n sufficiently large choose $\delta > 0$ such that $e^{\omega t} < e^{\omega t_1} + \varepsilon$ and

$$\|S(t)x_n - S(t_1)x_n\| < \varepsilon, \quad \text{for } 0 < |t - t_1| < \delta.$$

Then (14) follows that $S(t)x$ is strongly continuous for $t \geq 0$.

Clearly, it holds

$$\|S(t)Cx - CS(t)x\| \leq \|S(t)Cx - S(t)Cx_n\| + \|CS(t)x_n - CS(t)x\|$$

$$\leq M_\omega e^{\omega t} \|x_n - x\|_\omega + \frac{2M_\omega^2 e^{\omega t}}{\omega K_\omega} \|x_n - x\|_\omega = M_\omega e^{\omega t} \left(1 + \frac{2M_\omega}{\omega K_\omega}\right) \|x_n - x\|_\omega < \varepsilon$$

for n sufficiently large.

It is easy to see that

$$\|S(0)x\| = \|S(0)x - S(0)x_n\| \leq \|x - x_n\|_\omega < \varepsilon$$

for n sufficiently large. Hence $S(0)x = 0$ and $x \in \mathbf{D}$.

b) We have $S(t)|_{\overline{E}_\omega} \subset S(t)$, $t \geq 0$, so if $S(t)$ is closed, then $S(t)|_{\overline{E}_\omega}$ is closable, with the closure $\overline{S(t)|_{\overline{E}_\omega}}$. If $x \in D(\overline{S(t)|_{\overline{E}_\omega}})$, then there is a sequence $\{x_n\} \subset D(S(t)|_{\overline{E}_\omega})$, and $y \in \overline{E}_\omega$ such that $\|x_n - x\| \rightarrow 0$ and $\|S(t)x_n - y\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then $x \in \overline{E}_\omega$ and since $S(t)$ is closed, $x \in D(S(t))$ and $S(t)x = y \in \overline{E}_\omega$. Thus $x \in D(\overline{S(t)|_{\overline{E}_\omega}})$, and $S(t)|_{\overline{E}_\omega}$ is a closed operator in \overline{E}_ω . \square

3. Family of C -pseudoresolvents

In this section we suppose that for a nondegenerate integrated C -semigroups $(S(t))_{t \geq 0}$ of unbounded linear operators for every $\omega > 0$ hold:

- (i) The operator C is bounded under the norm $\|\cdot\|_\omega$ in E_ω .
- (ii) There exists $K_\omega > 0$ such that

$$\|x\| \leq \frac{1}{K_\omega} \|x\|_\omega.$$

- (iii) The operator $S(t)|_{\overline{E}_\omega}$ is closed in \overline{E}_ω for $t \geq 0$ and $\omega > 0$.
Then, we have $\overline{E}_\omega^{\|\cdot\|_\omega} = E_\omega$.

Definition 2. For fixed $\omega > 0$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, $\operatorname{Re} \lambda > \omega$ define

$$R^\omega(\lambda)x = \lambda \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} S(t)x dt, \quad x \in E_\omega.$$

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \lambda \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} S(t)x dt \right\| &\leq |\lambda| \int_0^\infty e^{-t \operatorname{Re} \lambda} \|S(t)x\| dt \leq \frac{|\lambda|}{K_\omega} \int_0^\infty e^{-t \operatorname{Re} \lambda} \|S(t)x\|_\omega dt \\ &\leq \frac{2M_\omega |\lambda|}{\omega K_\omega} \|x\|_\omega \int_0^\infty e^{(\omega - \operatorname{Re} \lambda)t} dt = \frac{2M_\omega |\lambda|}{\omega K_\omega (\operatorname{Re} \lambda - \omega)} \|x\|_\omega. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the integral is an improper Riemann integral converging absolutely in the norm of E . Observe that $R^\omega(\lambda)$ is in general unbounded in $(E, \|\cdot\|)$ and that its domain is E_ω .

Theorem 2. Fix $\omega > 0$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\operatorname{Re}\lambda > \omega$.

a) (i) $R^\omega(\lambda)(E_\omega) \subset E_\omega$. Moreover,

$$\frac{\omega(\operatorname{Re}\lambda - \omega)}{2M_\omega|\lambda|} \|R^\omega(\lambda)x\|_\omega \leq \|x\|_\omega, \quad x \in E_\omega.$$

(ii) $R^\omega(\lambda)x \in D(S(t)C)$ and

$$S(t)CR^\omega(\lambda)x = R^\omega(\lambda)S(t)Cx = R^\omega(\lambda)CS(t)x, \quad t \geq 0, \quad x \in E_\omega.$$

b) (i) For every $x \in E_\omega$, $\|x\|_{R^\omega} < \infty$, where

$$(15) \quad \|x\|_{R^\omega} := \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} \sup_{\lambda > 0} \frac{(\lambda - \omega)^{n+1}}{n!} \left\| \left(\frac{R^\omega(\lambda)}{\lambda} \right)^{(n)} Cx \right\|, \quad \lambda > \omega.$$

The norm $\|\cdot\|_{R^\omega}$ is equivalent to the norm $\|\cdot\|_\omega$.

(ii) If $\omega_1 \leq \omega_2$ and $\operatorname{Re}\lambda > \omega_2$, then $R^{\omega_1}(\lambda)x = R^{\omega_2}(\lambda)x$, $x \in E_\omega$. Thus, as operators in E , $R^{\omega_1}(\lambda) \subset R^{\omega_2}(\lambda)$ if $\operatorname{Re}\lambda > \omega_2$.

Proof.

a) (i) Let $t \geq 0$ and $x \in E_\omega$. Then,

$$\|S(s)x\|_\omega \leq \frac{2M_\omega e^{\omega s}}{\omega} \|x\|_\omega < \infty$$

which implies

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \lambda \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} S(t)x dt \right\|_\omega &\leq |\lambda| \int_0^\infty e^{-t\operatorname{Re}\lambda} \|S(t)x\|_\omega dt \\ &\leq |\lambda| \int_0^\infty e^{(\omega - \operatorname{Re}\lambda)t} \frac{2M_\omega}{\omega} \|x\|_\omega dt \leq \frac{2M_\omega|\lambda|}{\omega(\operatorname{Re}\lambda - \omega)} \|x\|_\omega < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

(ii) We obtain $R^\omega(\lambda)x \in E_\omega \subset D(S(t)C)$. Since $S(t)$ is closed under the norm $\|\cdot\|$ and $S(t)C = CS(t)$, then holds

$$S(t)CR^\omega(\lambda)x = R^\omega(\lambda)S(t)Cx = R^\omega(\lambda)CS(t)x, \quad x \in E_\omega.$$

b) (i) We will show that, for every $x \in E_\omega$, $R^\omega(\lambda)x \in \mathbf{D}$. Theorem 2a) implies that $R^\omega(\lambda)x \in \bigcap_{t \geq 0} D(S(t))$ and $S(t)R^\omega(\lambda)x = R^\omega(\lambda)S(t)x$, $t \geq 0$.

It follows $R^\omega(\lambda)S(t)x \in \bigcap_{s \geq 0} D(S(s))$ and also $S(t)R^\omega(\lambda)x \in \bigcap_{s \geq 0} D(S(s))$. Thus, $R^\omega(\lambda)x \in \bigcap_{s, t \geq 0} D(S(s)S(t))$.

Therefore

$$S(s)S(t)R^\omega(\lambda)x = R^\omega(\lambda)S(s)S(t)x$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \lambda \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\lambda p} S(p) S(s) S(t) x dp = \lambda \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\lambda p} S(p) \int_0^s (S(r+t) - S(r)) C x dr dp \\
&= \int_0^s (S(r+t) - S(r)) C R^{\omega}(\lambda) x dr.
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, $S(t)R^{\omega}(\lambda)x = R^{\omega}(\lambda)S(t)x$ implies

$$S(0)R^{\omega}(\lambda)x = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\lambda s} S(0)S(s)x ds = 0.$$

We will prove $\lim_{t \rightarrow t_1} S(t)R^{\omega}(\lambda)x = S(t_1)R^{\omega}(\lambda)x$, $x \in E_{\omega}$. For $x \in E_{\omega}$ and $s \geq 0$, using strong continuity, we have

$$\|S(t)S(s)x - S(t_1)S(s)x\| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } t \rightarrow t_1.$$

Remark 1 implies

$$\|S(t)S(s)x\| \leq \frac{2M_{\omega}e^{\omega s}}{\omega} e^{\omega t} \|x\|_{\omega} \leq \frac{2M_{\omega}e^{\omega s}}{\omega} (e^{\omega t_1} + \varepsilon) \|x\|_{\omega},$$

for sufficiently small $|t - t_1|$. The dominated convergence theorem for vector valued integrals implies

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{t \rightarrow t_1} S(t)R^{\omega}(\lambda)x &= \lim_{t \rightarrow t_1} \lambda \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\lambda s} S(t)S(s)x ds = \lambda \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\lambda s} \lim_{t \rightarrow t_1} S(t)S(s)x ds \\
&= \lambda \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\lambda s} S(t_1)S(s)x ds = \lambda S(t_1) \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\lambda s} S(s)x ds = S(t_1)R^{\omega}(\lambda)x.
\end{aligned}$$

By (i), $\|R^{\omega}(\lambda)x\|_{\omega} < \infty$ and $\frac{\omega(Re\lambda - \omega)}{2|\lambda|M_{\omega}} \|R^{\omega}(\lambda)x\|_{\omega} \leq \|x\|_{\omega}$. Thus $R^{\omega}(\lambda)$ is a bounded linear operator with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\omega}$.

(ii) Let $x \in E_{\omega}$ and $\lambda > \omega$. Then, for $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$,

$$(16) \quad \left(\frac{R^{\omega}(\lambda)}{\lambda}\right)^{(n)} Cx = (-1)^n \int_0^{\infty} t^n e^{-\lambda t} S(t) Cx dt,$$

and

$$\left\| \left(\frac{R^{\omega}(\lambda)}{\lambda}\right)^{(n)} Cx \right\| \leq \int_0^{\infty} t^n e^{-\lambda t} \|S(t)Cx\| dt \leq \int_0^{\infty} t^n e^{-\lambda t} \frac{1}{K_{\omega}} \|S(t)Cx\|_{\omega} dt$$

$$\leq \frac{2M_\omega}{\omega K_\omega} \int_0^\infty t^n e^{-(\lambda-\omega)t} \|x\|_\omega dt = \frac{2M_\omega}{\omega K_\omega} \frac{n!}{(\lambda-\omega)^{n+1}} \|x\|_\omega.$$

This implies

$$\frac{\omega K_\omega}{2M_\omega} \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} \sup_{\lambda > \omega} \frac{(\lambda-\omega)^{n+1}}{n!} \left\| \left(\frac{R^\omega(\lambda)}{\lambda} \right)^{(n)} Cx \right\| \leq \|x\|_\omega.$$

We will use the following assertion (cf. [3]):

Let $f(t)$ be continuous and bounded. If $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$; $n \rightarrow \infty$ so that $\frac{n}{\lambda-\omega} \rightarrow t$, then,

$$\frac{(\lambda-\omega)^{n+1}}{n!} \int_0^\infty e^{-(\lambda-\omega)s} s^n f(s) ds \rightarrow f(t).$$

By (16)

$$\frac{(\lambda-\omega)^{n+1}}{n!} \left(\frac{R^\omega(\lambda)}{\lambda} \right)^{(n)} Cx = (-1)^n \frac{(\lambda-\omega)^{n+1}}{n!} \int_0^\infty e^{-(\lambda-\omega)s} s^n e^{-\omega s} S(s) Cx ds$$

and by using the preceding statement, we obtain

$$e^{-\omega t} S(t) Cx = \lim_{\substack{\lambda \rightarrow \infty \\ n \rightarrow \infty}} (-1)^n \frac{(\lambda-\omega)^{n+1}}{n!} \left(\frac{R^\omega(\lambda)}{\lambda} \right)^{(n)} Cx.$$

For $t \geq 0$

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-\omega t} \|S(t) Cx\| &\leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\lambda > \omega} \left\| \frac{(\lambda-\omega)^{n+1}}{n!} \left(\frac{R^\omega(\lambda)}{\lambda} \right)^{(n)} Cx \right\| \\ &\leq \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} \sup_{\lambda > \omega} \frac{(\lambda-\omega)^{n+1}}{n!} \left\| \left(\frac{R^\omega(\lambda)}{\lambda} \right)^{(n)} Cx \right\| \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\|x\|_\omega \leq \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} \sup_{\lambda > \omega} \frac{(\lambda-\omega)^{n+1}}{n!} \left\| \left(\frac{R^\omega(\lambda)}{\lambda} \right)^{(n)} Cx \right\|.$$

(ii) Obviously, $E_{\omega_1} \subseteq E_{\omega_2}$ if $\omega_1 \leq \omega_2$. For $x \in E_{\omega_1}$ and $Re\lambda > \omega_2$ the operators $R^{\omega_1}(\lambda)$ and $R^{\omega_2}(\lambda)$ are defined and $R^{\omega_1}(\lambda)x = R^{\omega_2}(\lambda)x$. Thus $R^{\omega_1}(\lambda) \subset R^{\omega_2}(\lambda)$. \square

4. Family of infinitesimal generators

Definition 3. A function $R(\cdot)$ defined on a subset $D(R)$ of the complex plane with values in $L(E)$ is called C -pseudoresolvent if it commutes with C and satisfies the equation

$$(17) \quad (\mu - \lambda)R(\lambda)R(\mu) = R(\lambda)C - R(\mu)C, \quad (\lambda, \mu \in D(R)).$$

$R(\cdot)$ is said to be nondegenerate if $R(\lambda)x = 0$ for all $\lambda \in D(R)$ implies $x = 0$.

Theorem 3. *The family of operators $(R^\omega(\lambda))_{\operatorname{Re}\lambda > \omega}$ on E_ω , $\omega > 0$ is the C -pseudoresolvent i.e.*

$$(\mu - \lambda)R^\omega(\lambda)R^\omega(\mu) = R^\omega(\lambda)C - R^\omega(\mu)C, \operatorname{Re}\lambda > \omega, \operatorname{Re}\mu > \omega.$$

Proof. Note that the operator C is bounded under the norm $\|\cdot\|_\omega$ and

$$CR^\omega(\lambda) = R^\omega(\lambda)C.$$

Fix $\omega > 0$. We will show that the family of operators $(R^\omega(\lambda))_{\operatorname{Re}\lambda > \omega}$ satisfies equation (17). Let $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{C}$, $\lambda \neq \mu$, $\operatorname{Re}\lambda, \operatorname{Re}\mu > \omega$, and $x \in E_\omega$. Then $R^\omega(\lambda)R^\omega(\mu)$ is well defined because $((R^\omega(\mu))(E_\omega) \subset E_\omega$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} (18) \quad R^\omega(\lambda)R^\omega(\mu)x &= \lambda \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda s} S(s) R^\omega(\mu)x ds \\ &= \lambda \mu \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda s} \int_0^\infty e^{-\mu t} S(s) S(t) x dt ds \\ &= \lambda \mu \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda s} \int_0^\infty e^{-\mu t} \int_0^s (S(r+t) - S(r)) C x dr dt ds \\ &= \frac{1}{\lambda - \mu} \left[\lambda \mu (\lambda - \mu) \left(\int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda s} \int_0^\infty e^{-\mu t} \int_0^s S(r+t) C x dr dt ds \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. - \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda s} \int_0^\infty e^{-\mu t} \int_0^s S(r) C x dr dt ds \right) \right] = \frac{1}{\lambda - \mu} [\lambda \mu (\lambda - \mu) (I_1 - I_2)]. \end{aligned}$$

By using Theorem 2a) and the change of variables, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (19) \quad I_1 &= \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda s} \int_0^\infty e^{\mu t} \int_0^s S(r+t) C x dr dt ds = \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda s} \int_0^\infty e^{-\mu t} \int_t^{s+t} S(v) C x dv dt ds \\ &= \int_0^\infty \int_0^v \int_{v-t}^\infty e^{-\lambda s} e^{-\mu t} S(v) C x ds dt dv = \frac{1}{\lambda} \int_0^\infty \int_0^v e^{-\lambda(v-t)} e^{-\mu t} S(v) C x dt dv \\ &= \frac{1}{\lambda(\lambda - \mu)} \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda v} (e^{(\lambda - \mu)v} - 1) S(v) C x dv = \frac{1}{\lambda(\lambda - \mu)} \left(\frac{R^\omega(\mu)C x}{\mu} - \frac{R^\omega(\lambda)C x}{\lambda} \right), \end{aligned}$$

$$(20) \quad I_2 = \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda s} \int_0^\infty e^{-\mu t} \int_0^s S(r) C x dr dt ds = \frac{1}{\mu} \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda s} \int_0^s S(r) C x dr ds$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \frac{1}{\mu} \int_0^{\infty} S(r)Cx \int_r^{\infty} e^{-\lambda s} ds dr = \frac{1}{\mu} \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\lambda r} S(r)Cx \int_r^{\infty} e^{-\lambda(s-r)} ds dr \\
 &= \frac{1}{\lambda\mu} \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\lambda r} S(r)Cx dr = \frac{R^{\omega}(\lambda)Cx}{\lambda^2\mu}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus (18), (19) and (20) imply

$$\begin{aligned}
 (21) \quad & R^{\omega}(\lambda)R^{\omega}(\mu)x \\
 &= \frac{1}{\lambda - \mu} \left[\lambda\mu(\lambda - \mu) \left(\frac{1}{\lambda(\lambda - \mu)} \left(\frac{R^{\omega}(\mu)Cx}{\mu} - \frac{R^{\omega}(\lambda)Cx}{\lambda} \right) - \frac{R^{\omega}(\lambda)Cx}{\lambda^2\mu} \right) \right] \\
 &= \frac{1}{\lambda - \mu} \left[\mu \left(\frac{R^{\omega}(\mu)Cx}{\mu} - \frac{R^{\omega}(\lambda)Cx}{\lambda} \right) - \frac{\lambda - \mu}{\lambda} R^{\omega}(\lambda)Cx \right] \\
 &= \frac{1}{\mu - \lambda} \left(R^{\omega}(\lambda)Cx - R^{\omega}(\mu)Cx \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

and the family of operators $(R^{\omega}(\lambda))_{\operatorname{Re}\lambda > \omega}$ satisfies equation (17). \square

Lemma 2.

(i) *The null space*

$$\mathcal{N}(R^{\omega}(\lambda)) = \{x \in E_{\omega}; R^{\omega}(\lambda)x = 0\}$$

is independent of the choice of λ with $\operatorname{Re}\lambda > \omega$.

(ii) *The inverse $C^{-1}(\operatorname{Range}(R^{\omega}(\lambda)))$, $\operatorname{Re}\lambda > \omega$, is independent of the choice of λ .*

Proof.

(i) Let $x \in \mathcal{N}(R^{\omega}(\lambda))$. Then (17) implies

$$CR^{\omega}(\mu)x = CR^{\omega}(\lambda)x + (\lambda - \mu)R^{\omega}(\mu)R^{\omega}(\lambda)x = 0, \quad x \in E_{\omega}, \operatorname{Re}\lambda, \operatorname{Re}\mu > \omega.$$

The operator C is injective and we have $R^{\omega}(\mu)x = 0$ for $\operatorname{Re}\mu > \omega$. Then $\mathcal{N}(R^{\omega}(\lambda)) = \mathcal{N}(R^{\omega}(\mu))$.

(ii) Let $x \in C^{-1}(\operatorname{Range}(R^{\omega}(\lambda)))$. Then there exists $y \in E_{\omega}$ such that $Cx = R^{\omega}(\lambda)y$ for $\operatorname{Re}\lambda > \omega$. For $\operatorname{Re}\mu > \omega$ ($\lambda \neq \mu$) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 C^2x &= CR^{\omega}(\lambda)y = CR^{\omega}(\mu)y - (\lambda - \mu)R^{\omega}(\mu)R^{\omega}(\lambda)y \\
 &= R^{\omega}(\mu)(Cy - (\lambda - \mu)R^{\omega}(\lambda)y) = R^{\omega}(\mu)(Cy - (\lambda - \mu)Cx) = CR^{\omega}(\mu)(y - (\lambda - \mu)x).
 \end{aligned}$$

Since C is injective, we obtain

$$Cx = R^{\omega}(\mu)z \quad \text{for } z = y - (\lambda - \mu)x.$$

Therefore,

$$x \in C^{-1}(\operatorname{Range}(R^{\omega}(\mu))), \quad \operatorname{Re}\mu > \omega.$$

\square

Lemma 3.

- (i) The null space $\mathcal{N}(C - \lambda R^\omega(\lambda))$ is independent of λ with $\operatorname{Re} \lambda > \omega$.
(ii) The inverse $C^{-1}(\operatorname{Range}(C - \lambda R^\omega(\lambda)))$ is independent of λ with $\operatorname{Re} \lambda > \omega$.

Proof.

- (i) For $\mathcal{N}(C - \lambda R^\omega(\lambda))$ we have $Cx - \lambda R^\omega(\lambda)x = 0$. Hence,

$$R^\omega(\mu)Cx - \lambda R^\omega(\mu)R^\omega(\lambda)x = 0$$

and

$$CR^\omega(\mu)x - \frac{\lambda}{\lambda - \mu}(CR^\omega(\mu)x - CR^\omega(\lambda)x) = 0.$$

Since C is injective, we have $R^\omega(\mu)x - \frac{\lambda}{\lambda - \mu}(R^\omega(\mu)x - R^\omega(\lambda)x) = 0$, $\lambda \neq \mu$. By multiplying both sides of the equality by $\lambda - \mu$ it follows

$$\lambda R^\omega(\mu)x - \mu R^\omega(\mu)x - \lambda R^\omega(\mu)x + \lambda R^\omega(\lambda)x = 0$$

and

$$\lambda R^\omega(\lambda)x = \mu R^\omega(\mu)x.$$

Therefore,

$$Cx - \mu R^\omega(\mu)x = 0, \quad \operatorname{Re} \mu > \omega.$$

- (ii) Let $x \in C^{-1}(\operatorname{Range}(C - \lambda R^\omega(\lambda)))$. Then

$$(22) \quad Cx = Cy - \lambda R^\omega(\lambda)y$$

for some $y \in E_\omega$ and $\operatorname{Re} \lambda > \omega$. We will show that for $z = x + \frac{\mu}{\lambda}(y - x)$ the following holds

$$Cx = Cz - \mu R^\omega(\mu)z, \quad \operatorname{Re} \mu > \omega.$$

By using (22) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} C^2x &= C^2y - \lambda R^\omega(\lambda)Cy \\ &= C^2y - \lambda[R^\omega(\mu)Cy - (\lambda - \mu)R^\omega(\mu)R^\omega(\lambda)y] \\ &= C^2y - \lambda R^\omega(\mu)(Cy - (\lambda - \mu)R^\omega(\lambda)y) \\ &= C^2y - \lambda R^\omega(\mu)\left(Cy - \frac{\lambda - \mu}{\lambda}C(y - x)\right) = C\left(Cy - \lambda R^\omega(\mu)\left(x + \frac{\mu}{\lambda}(y - x)\right)\right). \end{aligned}$$

Since C is injective, we have

$$Cx = Cy - \lambda R^\omega(\mu)\left(x + \frac{\mu}{\lambda}(y - x)\right)$$

and after multiplication with $\frac{\mu}{\lambda}$ we obtain

$$0 = \frac{\mu}{\lambda}C(y - x) - \mu R^\omega(\mu)\left(x + \frac{\mu}{\lambda}(y - x)\right).$$

Finally

$$Cx = C\left(\lambda + \frac{\mu}{\lambda}(y - x) - \mu R^\omega(\mu)\left(x + \frac{\mu}{\lambda}(y - x)\right)\right)$$

and therefore

$$Cx = Cz - \mu R^\omega \mu(z), \text{ for } z = x + \frac{\mu}{\lambda}(y - x), \mu \neq \lambda, \operatorname{Re}\lambda > \omega.$$

Note that

$$\|R^\omega(\lambda)Cx\|_\omega \leq \frac{2|\lambda|M_\omega}{\omega(\operatorname{Re}\lambda - \omega)}\|x\|_\omega, \operatorname{Re}\lambda > \omega, \omega \in E_\omega.$$

and the operators $R^\omega(\lambda)C$ are bounded under the norm $\|\cdot\|_\omega$. \square

Theorem 4. For the family of operators $(R^\omega(\lambda))_{\operatorname{Re}\lambda > \omega}$ it holds:

(i) There exists some linear operator B^ω such that $\lambda I - B^\omega$ is injective and

$$(23) \quad \begin{cases} \operatorname{Range}(R^\omega(\lambda)) \subset D(B^\omega), \\ R^\omega(\lambda)(\lambda I - B^\omega) \subset (\lambda I - B^\omega)R^\omega(\lambda) = C, \\ \text{for all } \lambda \text{ with } \operatorname{Re}\lambda > \omega, \end{cases}$$

if and only if

$$\mathcal{N}(R^\omega(\lambda)) = \{0\}.$$

(ii) The largest operator which satisfies (23) is the closed linear operator A^ω defined by

$$(24) \quad \begin{cases} D(A^\omega) := C^{-1}[\operatorname{Range}(R^\omega(\lambda))] = \{x \in E_\omega; \\ \quad Cx \in \operatorname{Range}(R^\omega(\lambda))\}, \\ A^\omega x := (\lambda - (R^\omega(\lambda))^{-1})Cx, \quad x \in D(A^\omega), \\ \text{which is independent of } \lambda, \operatorname{Re}\lambda > \omega. \end{cases}$$

(iii) If B^ω satisfies (23) then $C^{-1}B^\omega C = A^\omega$, where

$$D(C^{-1}B^\omega C) = \{x \in E_\omega; Cx \in D(B^\omega) \text{ and } B^\omega Cx \in \operatorname{Range}(C)\}.$$

In particular $C^{-1}A^\omega C = A^\omega$.

Proof. (see [11] Theorem 3.4) \square

Let $D(A) = \bigcup_{\omega > 0} D(A^\omega)$, where A^ω is given in Theorem 4. For $x \in D(A)$ let $\omega > 0$ such that $x \in D(A^\omega)$. There exists $y \in E_\omega$ such that $Cx = R^\omega(\lambda)y$, $\operatorname{Re}\lambda > \omega$. We define

$$(25) \quad Ax := \lambda x - y.$$

We call A the *infinitesimal generator* of the once integrated C -semigroup of unbounded linear operators $(S(t))_{t \geq 0}$.

It is clear that $x \in D(A)$ implies $x \in D(A^\omega)$ for some $\omega > 0$ and

$$Ax = \lambda x - y = \lambda x - (R^\omega(\lambda))^{-1}Cx = A^\omega x.$$

For $y \in E_\omega$ we have $Cx = R^\omega(\lambda)y$. Thus, the operator A is well defined and

$$D(A|E_\omega) = A^\omega.$$

It is easy to show that $D(A)$ is a subspace of E and A is a linear operator.

Theorem 5.

(i) If $\omega_1 \leq \omega_2$ then $A^{\omega_1} \subset A^{\omega_2}$.

(ii) For all $x \in E_\omega$ the resolvent equation

$$(\lambda I - A)y = x, \quad \operatorname{Re} \lambda > \omega$$

has a unique solution belonging to E_ω and $y = C^{-1}R^\omega(\lambda)x$.

(iii) Let $\omega > 0$. Then for $t \geq 0$, $S(t)(D(A^\omega)) \subset D(A^\omega)$ and

$$S(t)A^\omega x = A^\omega S(t)x, \quad x \in D(A^\omega).$$

(iv) The operator A is closed under the topology induced by the norm $\|\cdot\|_\omega$ and

$$CA^\omega \subset A^\omega C.$$

(v) For all $t \geq 0$ and $x \in D(A)$, $\int_0^t S(r)x dr \in D(A)$. The function $t \rightarrow S(t)x$ is differentiable of t for $t > 0$. It holds $S'(t)x - Cx = S(t)Ax$, or equivalently, $S(t)x - tCx = \int_0^t S(r)Ax dr$, $t > 0$.

Proof.

(i) Let $\omega_1 \leq \omega_2$ and $x \in D(A^{\omega_1})$. Then we have $x \in \operatorname{Range}(C^{-1}R^{\omega_1}(\lambda)) = C^{-1}\operatorname{Range}(R^{\omega_1}(\lambda))$ and $x = C^{-1}R^{\omega_1}(\lambda)y$, for some $y \in E_{\omega_1}$ and $\operatorname{Re} \lambda > \omega_1$. It is clear that $\omega_1 \leq \omega_2$ implies $R^{\omega_1}(\lambda) \subset R^{\omega_2}(\lambda)$ and

$$C^{-1}R^{\omega_1}(\lambda)y = C^{-1}R^{\omega_2}(\lambda)y.$$

Hence

$$Cx = R^{\omega_2}(\lambda)y, \quad x \in D(A^{\omega_1}).$$

Then $A^{\omega_1}x = \lambda x - y = A^{\omega_2}(x)$, $\operatorname{Re} \lambda > \omega_2$, $x \in D(A^{\omega_1})$. It implies $A^{\omega_1} \subset A^{\omega_2}$.

(ii) We will show that $y = C^{-1}R^\omega(\lambda)Cx \in E_\omega$ is the unique solution of the resolvent equation. For $x \in E_\omega$ and $\operatorname{Re} \lambda > \omega$ we have

$$(\lambda I - A^\omega)C^{-1}R^\omega(\lambda)x = [\lambda I - (\lambda I - (R^\omega(\lambda)C)^{-1}C)]C^{-1}R^\omega(\lambda)x = x.$$

Then $A|E_\omega = A^\omega$ implies (ii).

(iii) For $x \in D(A)$, let $\omega > 0$ such that $x \in D(A^\omega)$. Therefore we have

$$S(t)Ax = S(t)A^\omega x = S(t)(\lambda x - y)$$

$$= \lambda S(t)x - S(t)y = A^\omega S(t)x = AS(t)x,$$

where $Cx = R^\omega(\lambda)y$ for $Re\lambda > \omega$.

(iv) The operator A^ω is closed under the norm $\|\cdot\|_\omega$ (Theorem 4) and

$$CA^\omega x = C(\lambda x - y) = \lambda Cx - Cy = A^\omega Cx.$$

(v) Let $\omega > 0$ and $t \geq 0$ be fixed. Then

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-\omega s} \left\| S(s)C \int_0^t S(r)xdr \right\| &\leq e^{-\omega s} \int_0^t \left\| S(s)S(r)Cx \right\| dr \\ &\leq e^{-\omega s} \frac{2M_\omega e^{\omega s}}{\omega} \|x\|_\omega \int_0^t dr \leq \frac{2M_\omega e^{\omega t}}{\omega^2} \|x\|_\omega < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\int_0^t S(r)xdr \in E_\omega$. There exists

$$R^\omega(\lambda) \int_0^t S(r)xdr = \lambda \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda s} S(s) \int_0^t S(r)xdr ds.$$

Let $y \in E_\omega$ such that $Cx = R^\omega(\lambda)y$. The operator A^ω is closed and for $A^\omega x = \lambda x - y$ we have

$$\int_0^\infty S(r)A^\omega xdr = A^\omega \int_0^t S(r)xdr = \lambda \int_0^t S(r)xdr - \int_0^t S(r)ydr.$$

Therefore $\int_0^t S(r)xdr \in D(A^\omega)$ and $\int_0^t S(r)xdr \in D(A)$ because $A|E_\omega = A^\omega$.

We obtain, for $x \in D(A^\omega)$, $Cx = R^\omega(\lambda)y$ for some $y \in E_\omega$ with $Re\lambda > \omega$ and $A^\omega x = \lambda x - y$. By Fubini's theorem it holds (cf. [7])

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{S(t+h) - S(t)}{h} Cx &= \frac{\lambda}{\mu} \left(S(t+h) \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda r} S(r)ydr - S(t) \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda r} S(r)ydr \right) \\ &= \frac{e^{\lambda h} - 1}{h} e^{\lambda t} \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda v} S(v)Cydv - \frac{e^{\lambda(t+h)}}{h} \int_0^{t+h} e^{-\lambda v} S(v)Cydv + \frac{e^{\lambda t}}{h} \int_0^t e^{-\lambda v} S(v)Cydv. \end{aligned}$$

Let $h \rightarrow 0$. We have

$$(26) \quad S'(t)x = e^{\lambda t} C^2 x - f'(t)$$

where

$$f(t) = e^{\lambda t} \int_0^t e^{-\lambda v} S(v) C y dv.$$

Differentiating, it follows

$$f'(t) = \lambda e^{\lambda t} \int_0^t e^{-\lambda v} S(v) C y dv + e^{\lambda t} \cdot e^{-\lambda t} S(t) C y$$

and (26) implies

$$(27) \quad S'(t) C x = e^{\lambda t} C^2 x - \lambda e^{\lambda t} \int_0^t e^{-\lambda v} S(v) C y dv - S(t) C y.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} e^{\lambda t} C^2 x - \lambda e^{\lambda t} \int_0^t e^{-\lambda v} S(v) C y dv &= \lambda e^{\lambda t} \left(\int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda v} S(v) C y dv - \int_0^t e^{-\lambda v} S(v) C y dv \right) \\ &= \lambda \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda p} S(p+t) C y dp = \lambda \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda p} (S'(p) S(t) y + S(p) C y) dp \\ &= \lambda S(t) \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda p} S'(p) y dp + \lambda \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda p} S(p) C y dp = \lambda S(t) C x + C^2 x. \end{aligned}$$

Since $S(t) C = C S(t)$ and by using (27) we obtain

$$C S'(t) x = C^2 x + \lambda C S(t) x - C S(t) y.$$

The operator C is injective and we have

$$S'(t) x = C x + \lambda S(t) x - S(t) y.$$

Therefore

$$S'(t) x = C x + S(t) A^\omega x, \quad \omega > 0,$$

and

$$S(t) A^\omega x = S'(t) x - C x.$$

Since $A = A^\omega$ on E_ω , it implies

$$\int_0^t S(r) A x dr = S(t) x - t C x, \quad t > 0.$$

□

References

- [1] Arendt, W., Resolvent positive operators and integrated semigroups. Proc. London Math. Soc., (3) 54 (1987), 321-349.
- [2] Arendt, W., Vector valued Laplace transforms and Cauchy problems. Israel J. Math., 59 (1987), 327-352.
- [3] Feller, W., On the generation of unbounded semigroups of bounded linear operators. Ann. Math., (2) 58 (1953), 166-174.
- [4] Hille, E., Phillips, R. S., Functional Analysis and Semigroups. Providence, R.I.: Amer. Math. Soc. Colloquium Publications, Vol 31, 1957.
- [5] Hughes, R. J., Semigroups of unbounded linear operators in Banach space. Trans. of the Amer. Math. Soc., 230 (1977), 113-145.
- [6] Kellermann, H., Hieber, M., Integrated semigroups. J. Func. Anal., 84 (1989), 160-180.
- [7] Kravarušić, R., Mijatović, M., Pilipović, S., Integrated semigroups of unbounded linear operators in a Banach spaces, Part I. Bull. TCXVI Acad. Serb. Sci. Math., 23 (1998), 45-62.
- [8] Kravarušić, R., Mijatović, M., Pilipović, S., Integrated semigroups of unbounded linear operators in a Banach spaces, Part II. Novi Sad J. Math., 28 (1998), 107-122.
- [9] Li, Y.-C., Shaw, S.-Y., N-Times integrated C -semigroups and the abstract Cauchy problem. Taiwanese J. of Math., 26 (1997), 75-102.
- [10] Mijatović, M., Pilipović, S., Vajzović, E., α -times integrated semigroup ($\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^+$). J. Math. Anal. Appl., 210 (1997), 790-803.
- [11] Neubrander, F., Integrated semigroups and their applications to the abstract Cauchy problem. Pac. J. Math., 135 (1988), 111-155.
- [12] Oharu, S., Semigroups of linear operators in Banach spaces. Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci., 204 (1973), 189-198.
- [13] Tanaka, N., Okazawa, N., Local C -semigroups and local integrated semigroups. Proc. London Math. Soc., 61 (1990), 63-90.
- [14] Thieme, Integrated semigroups and integrated solutions to abstract Cauchy problems. J. Math. Anal. Appl., 152 (1990), 416-447.
- [15] Wang, S. W., Mild integrated C -existence families. Stud. Math., 112 (1995), 251-266.

Received by the editors March 1, 2004