

ON PRIMITIVE Γ -SEMIRINGS

Sujit Kumar Sardar¹, U. Dasgupta²

Abstract. After introducing the notions of primitive Γ -semiring and primitive ideal of a Γ -semiring we study them via operator semiring and obtain some results analogous to those of semiring theory.

AMS Mathematics Subject Classification (2000): 16Y60, 16Y99, 20N10

Key words and phrases: irreducible, semi-irreducible, faithful Γ -semimodules, primitive Γ -semiring

1. Introduction

We introduce the notion of Γ -semiring S -semimodule which we call ΓS -semimodule along with the ideas of irreducible, semi-irreducible and faithful ΓS -semimodules with an intention to introduce the notion of primitive Γ -semiring and in future to introduce the notion of Jacobson radical of a Γ -semiring. Here we study primitive Γ -semiring via the operator semirings of a Γ -semiring which we introduced in [1]. We show that a Γ -semiring S is primitive if and only if its right operator semiring R is a primitive semiring ([6]). Lastly, we characterize primitive h-ideal of a Γ -semiring S using the relation between the annihilator of an irreducible ΓS -semimodule M in S and that of M in the right operator semiring R of the Γ -semiring S .

2. Preliminaries

Let S and Γ be two additive commutative semigroups. Then S is called a Γ -semiring if there exists a mapping $S \times \Gamma \times S \rightarrow S$ (images to be denoted by $a \alpha b$ for $a, b \in S$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$) satisfying the following conditions:

- (i) $a \alpha (b + c) = a \alpha b + a \alpha c$
- (ii) $(a + b) \alpha c = a \alpha c + b \alpha c$
- (iii) $a(\alpha + \beta)c = a \alpha c + a \beta c$
- (iv) $a \alpha (b \beta c) = (a \alpha b) \beta c$ for all $a, b, c \in S$ and for all $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$.

If A and B are subsets of a Γ -semiring S and $\Delta \subseteq \Gamma$, we denote by $A\Delta B$, the subset of S consisting of all finite sums of the form $\sum a_i \alpha_i b_i$ where $a_i \in A$, $b_i \in B$ and $\alpha_i \in \Gamma$. For the singleton subset $\{x\}$ of S we write $x\Delta B$ instead of $\{x\}\Delta B$. A *right(left)ideal* I of a Γ -semiring S is an additive subsemigroup

¹Department of Mathematics, University of Burdwan, Golapbag, Burdwan, West Bengal-713104, India, e-mail: sksardarbumath@hotmail.com

²Department of Pure Mathematics, University of Calcutta, India

of S such that $I \Gamma S \subseteq I$ ($S \Gamma I \subseteq I$). If I is both a right and a left ideal of S , then we say that I is a *two-sided ideal* or simply an *ideal* of S . An ideal I in a Γ -semiring S is called a *k-ideal* if $x + y \in I$, $x \in S$, $y \in I$ imply that $x \in I$. An ideal I in a Γ -semiring S is called an *h-ideal* if $x + y_1 + z = y_2 + z$, $x, z \in S$ and $y_1, y_2 \in I$ imply that $x \in I$. Let S be a Γ -semiring and G be the free additive commutative semigroup generated by $\Gamma \times S$. Then the relation ρ

on G , defined by $\sum_{i=1}^m (\alpha_i, x_i) \rho \sum_{j=1}^n (\beta_j, y_j)$ if and only if $\sum_{i=1}^m a \alpha_i x_i = \sum_{j=1}^n a \beta_j y_j$ for all $a \in S$ ($m, n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ = the set of all positive integers), is a congruence on G . Congruence class containing $\sum_{i=1}^m (\alpha_i, x_i)$ is denoted by $\sum_{i=1}^m [\alpha_i, x_i]$. Then G/ρ is an additive commutative semigroup. Now G/ρ forms a semiring with the multiplication defined by $(\sum_{i=1}^m [\alpha_i, x_i])(\sum_{j=1}^n [\beta_j, y_j]) = \sum_{i,j} [\alpha_i, x_i \beta_j y_j]$.

We denote this semiring by R and call it the *right operator semiring* of the Γ -semiring S . Dually we define the left operator semiring L of the Γ -semiring S where $L = \{ \sum_{i=1}^m [x_i, \alpha_i] : \alpha_i \in \Gamma, x_i \in S, i = 1, 2, \dots, m; m \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \}$ and the

multiplication on L is defined as $(\sum_{i=1}^m [x_i, \alpha_i])(\sum_{j=1}^n [y_j, \beta_j]) = \sum_{i,j} [x_i \alpha_i y_j, \beta_j]$. For

$N \subseteq S$ and $\Delta \subseteq \Gamma$ we denote by $[N, \Delta]$ the set of all finite sums $\sum_{i=1}^m [x_i, \alpha_i]$ in L , where $x_i \in N$ and $\alpha_i \in \Delta$. Thus in particular $[S, \Gamma] = L$. Similarly,

we denote by $[\Delta, N]$ the set of all finite sums $\sum_{j=1}^n [\beta_j, y_j]$ in R where $y_j \in N$, $\beta_j \in \Delta$ and in particular $[\Gamma, S] = R$. For simplicity $[\{x\}, \Gamma]$ is written as $[x, \Gamma]$ and $[\Gamma, \{x\}]$ is written as $[\Gamma, x]$. We also have $[x, \Gamma] \subseteq P$ ($[\Gamma, x] \subseteq P$) if and only if $[x, \alpha] \in P$ (respectively $[\alpha, x] \in P$) for all $\alpha \in \Gamma$, where P is a subset of L (respectively R) and $x \in S$. For $P \subseteq L$ ($P \subseteq R$) we define $P^+ = \{a \in S : [a, I] \subseteq P\}$ (respectively $P^* = \{a \in S : [\Gamma, a] \subseteq P\}$).

For $Q \subseteq S$ we define $Q^{+'} = \{ \sum_{i=1}^m [x_i, \alpha_i] \in L : (\sum_{i=1}^m [x_i, \alpha_i]) S \subseteq Q \}$ where

$(\sum_{i=1}^m [x_i, \alpha_i]) S$ denotes the set of all finite sums $\sum_{i,k} x_i \alpha_i s_k$, $s_k \in S$ and $Q^{*'} =$

$\{ \sum_{i=1}^m [\alpha_i, x_i] \in R : S(\sum_{i=1}^m [\alpha_i, x_i]) \subseteq Q \}$ where $S(\sum_{i=1}^m [\alpha_i, x_i])$ is the set of all finite

sums $\sum_{k,i} s_k \alpha_i x_i$, $s_k \in S$. Here we note that $S(\sum_{i=1}^m [\alpha_i, x_i]) \subseteq Q$ ($\sum_{i=1}^m [x_i, \alpha_i] S \subseteq Q$)

$\subseteq Q$) if and only if $\sum_{i=1}^m s\alpha_i x_i \in Q$ (respectively $\sum_{i=1}^m x_i \alpha_i s \in Q$) for all $s \in S$. If P is a $(k-, h-)$ ideal of $L(R)$, then $P^+(P^*)$ is a $(k-, h-)$ ideal of S . If Q is a $(k-, h-)$ ideal of S then so is $Q^+(Q^{*'})$ in $L(R)$. For a Γ -semiring S if there exists an element $0 \in S$ such that $0 + x = x$ and $0\alpha x = x\alpha 0 = 0$ for all $x \in S$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$ then 0 is called the *zero* of the Γ -semiring S and in that case we say that the Γ -semiring S is with zero. In such a case $[0, \alpha]$ is the zero of L and $[\alpha, 0]$ is the zero of R for any $\alpha \in \Gamma$. Again, if there exists an element $\sum_{i=1}^m [e_i, \delta_i] \in L$ ($\sum_{j=1}^n [\gamma_j, f_j] \in R$) such that $\sum_{i=1}^m e_i \delta_i a = a$ ($\sum_{j=1}^n a \gamma_j f_j = a$) for all $a \in S$ then S is said to have the *left unity* $\sum_{i=1}^m [e_i, \delta_i]$ (respectively the

right unity $\sum_{j=1}^n [\gamma_j, f_j]$). The left (right) unity of the Γ -semiring S , if it exists,

is the identity of the left operator semiring L (respectively the right operator semiring R) of S . An equivalence relation ρ , defined on a Γ -semiring S satisfying the condition that if $r\rho r'$ and $s\rho s'$ in S then $(r+s)\rho(r'+s')$ and $(r\alpha s)\rho(r'\alpha s')$ for all $\alpha \in \Gamma$, is called a Γ -congruence on the Γ -semiring S . For a proper ideal A of a Γ -semiring S the Γ -congruence on S , denoted by ρ_A , defined as $s\rho_A s'$ if and only if $s + a_1 = s' + a_2$ for some $a_1, a_2 \in A$, is called the *Bourne Γ -congruence* on S defined by the ideal A . We denote the Bourne Γ -congruence (ρ_A) class of an element r of S by r/ρ_A or simply by r/A and denote the set of all such Γ -congruence classes of the Γ -semiring S by S/ρ_A or by S/A . It should be noted here that for any proper ideal A of S and for any $s \in S$, s/A is not necessarily equal to $s + A = \{s + a : a \in A\}$ but surely contains it. For any proper ideal A of a Γ -semiring S , if the Bourne Γ -congruence ρ_A , defined by A , is proper i.e. $0/A \neq S$ then S/A is a Γ -semiring with the following operations: $s/A + s'/A = (s + s')/A$ and $(s/A)\alpha(s'/A) = (s\alpha s')/A$ for all $\alpha \in \Gamma$. We call this Γ -semiring the *Bourne factor Γ -semiring* or simply the *factor Γ -semiring* of S by A .

For preliminaries of semirings, Γ -semirings, operator semirings of a Γ -semiring and Γ -rings we refer to [4], [1], [2], [7].

Throughout this paper the Γ -semiring S is assumed to be with zero, left unity and right unity.

3. Irreducible, semi-irreducible, faithful Γ -semimodules

Definition 3.1. *Let S be a Γ -semiring. An additive commutative monoid M is said to be a right Γ -semiring S -semimodule or simply a ΓS -semimodule, if there exists a mapping $M \times \Gamma \times S \rightarrow M$ (images to be denoted by $a\alpha S$ for $a \in M$, $\alpha \in \Gamma$, $s \in S$) satisfying the following conditions:*

- (i) $(a + b)\alpha s = a\alpha s + b\alpha s$,
- (ii) $a\alpha(s + t) = a\alpha s + a\alpha t$,
- (iii) $a(\alpha + \beta)s = a\alpha s + a\beta s$,
- (iv) $a\alpha(s\beta t) = (a\alpha s)\beta t$ and
- (v) $0_M\alpha s = 0_M = a\alpha 0_S$ for all $a, b \in M$, for all $s, t \in S$ and for all $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$.

If in addition to the above conditions $\sum_j a\gamma_j f_j = a$ holds for all $a \in M$,

where $\sum_{j=1}^n [\gamma_j, f_j]$ is the right unity of the Γ -semiring S , then M is said to be a unitary ΓS -semimodule.

Left Γ -semimodule of S can be defined in a similar manner and it is called $S\Gamma$ -semimodule.

Example 3.2. Let S be a Γ -semiring, where S is the additive commutative semigroup of all 2×3 matrices over the set of all nonnegative rational numbers Q_0^+ and Γ is the additive commutative semigroup of all 3×2 matrices over the same set and aab denotes the usual matrix product of a, α, b where $a, b \in S$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$. Let M be the additive commutative monoid of all 3×3 matrices over Q_0^+ . Then M is a unitary ΓS -semimodule, where $m\alpha a$ denotes the usual matrix product of m, α, a with $m \in M, a \in S$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$. Here the right unity of S is

$\sum_{i=1}^3 [\gamma_i, f_i]$ where

$$\gamma_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \gamma_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \gamma_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$f_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{3} & 0 \end{bmatrix}, f_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{3} & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad f_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{3} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

A nonempty subset N of a ΓS -semimodule M is said to be a ΓS -subsemimodule of M if i) $a + b \in N$, ii) $a\alpha s \in N$ for all $a, b \in N$, for all $s \in S$ and for all $\alpha \in \Gamma$. N contains the zero of M .

A ΓS -subsemimodule N of a ΓS -semimodule M is said to be a $k\Gamma S$ -subsemimodule of M if $a + b, b \in N, a \in M$ imply that $a \in N$. Let N be a ΓS -subsemimodule of a ΓS -semimodule M . Then k -closure of N , denoted by \bar{N} , is defined by $\bar{N} = \{a \in M : a + b = c \text{ for some } b, c \in N\}$. A ΓS -subsemimodule N of a ΓS -semimodule M is said to be an $h\Gamma S$ -subsemimodule of M if $x + n_1 + z = n_2 + z, n_1, n_2 \in N, x, z \in M$ imply that $x \in N$. Let N be a ΓS -subsemimodule of a ΓS -semimodule M . Then h -closure of N , denoted by \hat{N} , is defined by $\hat{N} = \{a \in M : a + n_1 + z = n_2 + z \text{ for some } n_1, n_2 \in N \text{ and for some } z \in M\}$.

Proposition 3.3. Let N be a ΓS -subsemimodule of a ΓS -semimodule M . Then

N is a $k\Gamma S$ -($h\Gamma S$ -)subsemimodule if and only if $\bar{N} = N$ ($\hat{N} = N$).

Proof. The proof is a matter of routine verification. \square

A ΓS -semimodule M is said to be *cancellative* if $a + b = a + c$, $a, b, c \in M$ implies that $b = c$.

Throughout the rest of the paper a ΓS -semimodule is assumed to be cancellative.

Definition 3.4. A ΓS -semimodule $M \neq \{0\}$ is said to be *irreducible* if for any arbitrary fixed pair $u, v \in M$ with $u \neq v$ and for any $x \in M$ there exist $x_i, y_j \in S$, $\alpha_i, \beta_j \in \Gamma$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ and $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$, m, n are positive integers) such that $x + \sum_i u\alpha_i x_i + \sum_j v\beta_j y_j = \sum_j u\beta_j y_j + \sum_i v\alpha_i x_i$. A ΓS -semimodule M is said to be *semi-irreducible* if $M\Gamma S \neq \{0\}$ and M does not have any $k\Gamma S$ -subsemimodule other than 0 and M .

The notions of both irreducibility and semi-irreducibility coincide with the notion of irreducibility in a Γ -ring ([7], [8], [9]) S or in a ring R when R or S is treated as a Γ -semiring, where $\Gamma = R$ in case of R .

Proposition 3.5. Let P be an ideal of a Γ -semiring S and M be a ΓS -semimodule with $M\Gamma P \neq \{0\}$. Then the following statements are true.

(1) If M is semi-irreducible and m is an element of M then $m = 0$ if and only if $m\alpha p = 0$ for all $\alpha \in \Gamma$ and for all $p \in P$ i.e. $m = 0$ if and only if $m\Gamma P = \{0\}$.

(2) If M is irreducible and u, v are elements of M then $u = v$ if and only if $\sum_{i=1}^m u\alpha_i x_i = \sum_{i=1}^m v\alpha_i x_i$, for all $\alpha_i \in \Gamma$, for all $x_i \in S$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, p$; p is any positive integer.

Proof. (1) Let M be a semi-irreducible ΓS -semimodule and $m\alpha p = 0$ for all $p \in P$ and for all $\alpha \in \Gamma$. Let $M_0 = \{y \in M : y\Gamma P = \{0\}\}$. Then $m \in M_0$. Let $x, y \in M_0$. Then $(x + y)\Gamma P \subseteq x\Gamma P + y\Gamma P = \{0\}$. Thus $x + y \in M_0$. Let $\alpha \in \Gamma$ and $p \in P$. Then $(x\alpha p)\Gamma P = 0\Gamma P = \{0\}$. So $x\alpha p \in M_0$. Thus M_0 is a ΓS -subsemimodule of M . Let $x + y, y \in M_0$ and $x \in M$. Then $(x + y)\alpha p = 0$ and $y\alpha p = 0$ for all $\alpha \in \Gamma$ and for all $p \in P$. This implies that $x\alpha p = x\alpha p + y\alpha p = (x + y)\alpha p = 0$ for all $\alpha \in \Gamma$ and for all $p \in P$ whence $x\Gamma P = \{0\}$. Hence $x \in M_0$ proving that M_0 is a $k\Gamma S$ -subsemimodule of M . Since $M\Gamma P \neq \{0\}$, $M_0 \neq M$. Since S is semi-irreducible so $M_0 = \{0\}$. So $m = 0$. Conversely, if $m = 0$ then $m\alpha p = 0$ for all $\alpha \in \Gamma$ and for all $p \in P$.

(2) Let M be irreducible and $u, v \in M$ be such that $u \neq v$. Since $M\Gamma P \neq \{0\}$ so there exist $m \in M$, $\alpha \in \Gamma$, $p \in P$ such that $m\alpha p \neq \{0\}$. For this $m \in M$, there exist $x_i, y_j \in S$, $\alpha_i, \beta_j \in \Gamma$ ($1 \leq i \leq p$, $1 \leq j \leq q$; p, q are

positive integers) such that $m + \sum_{i=1}^p u\alpha_i x_i + \sum_{j=1}^q v\beta_j y_j = \sum_{j=1}^q u\beta_j y_j + \sum_{i=1}^p v\alpha_i x_i$.
Hence $m\alpha p + \sum_{i=1}^p u\alpha_i x_i \alpha p + \sum_{j=1}^q v\beta_j y_j \alpha p = \sum_{j=1}^q u\beta_j y_j \alpha p + \sum_{i=1}^p v\alpha_i x_i \alpha p$ i.e.,
 $m\alpha p + \sum_{i=1}^p u\alpha_i x'_i + \sum_{j=1}^q v\beta_j y'_j = \sum_{j=1}^q u\beta_j y'_j + \sum_{i=1}^p v\alpha_i x'_i$ where $x'_i = x_i \alpha p$ and $y'_j = y_j \alpha p$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, p$ and $j = 1, 2, \dots, q$. Since M is cancellative and $m\alpha p \neq 0$, so at least one of $\sum_{i=1}^p u\alpha_i x'_i \neq \sum_{i=1}^p v\alpha_i x'_i$ and $\sum_{j=1}^q u\beta_j y'_j \neq \sum_{j=1}^q v\beta_j y'_j$ holds. Converse follows easily. \square

Proposition 3.6. *Let M be a ΓS -semimodule and $M \neq \{0\}$. Then M is semi-irreducible if and only if for every non-zero $m \in M$ $\overline{m\Gamma S} = M$ i.e. for any $x \in M$ there exist $x_i, y_j \in S$, $\alpha_i, \beta_j \in \Gamma$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, p$ and $j = 1, 2, \dots, q$, p, q are positive integers) such that $x + \sum_i m\alpha_i x_i = \sum_j m\beta_j y_j$.*

Proof. Let $M \neq 0$ be semi-irreducible. Then $M\Gamma S \neq \{0\}$. Let $m \in M$ such that $m \neq 0$. Hence by Proposition 3.5, $m\Gamma S \neq \{0\}$; so $\overline{m\Gamma S} \neq \{0\}$. Since $\overline{m\Gamma S}$ is a $k\Gamma S$ -subsemimodule of M , $\overline{m\Gamma S} = M$. Hence for any $x \in M$ there exist $x_i, y_j \in S$, $\alpha_i, \beta_j \in \Gamma$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, p$ and $j = 1, 2, \dots, q$; p, q are positive integers) such that $x + \sum_i m\alpha_i x_i = \sum_j m\beta_j y_j$.

Conversely, suppose for any nonzero $m \in M$, $\overline{m\Gamma S} = M$. Let $N \neq \{0\}$ be a $k\Gamma S$ -subsemimodule of M . Then there exists $n \in N$ such that $n \neq 0$. So, by the given condition $\overline{n\Gamma S} = M$. Hence for any $x \in M$ there exist $x_i, y_j \in S$, $\alpha_i, \beta_j \in \Gamma$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, p$ and $j = 1, 2, \dots, q$; p, q are positive integers) such that $x + \sum_i n\alpha_i x_i = \sum_j n\beta_j y_j$. Since N is $k\Gamma S$ -subsemimodule of M and $\sum_i n\alpha_i x_i, \sum_j n\beta_j y_j \in N$, $x \in N$. Hence $N = M$. Now if $M\Gamma S = \{0\}$ then $m\Gamma S = \{0\}$ for all $m \in M$. In particular, $m\Gamma S = \{0\}$ for any nonzero $m \in M$. Hence $\overline{m\Gamma S} = \{0\}$ for any nonzero $m \in M$. This implies that $M = 0$ - a contradiction. Hence M is semi-irreducible. \square

Corollary 3.7. *If a ΓS -semimodule M is irreducible, then it is semi-irreducible and $\overline{m\Gamma S} = M$.*

Proof. Let M be an irreducible ΓS -semimodule. Then $M \neq \{0\}$. So, there exists $m(\neq 0) \in M$. Thus for any $x \in M$ there exist $x_i, y_j \in S$, $\alpha_i, \beta_j \in \Gamma$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, p$ and $j = 1, 2, \dots, q$; p, q are positive integers) such that

$x + \sum_i m\alpha_i x_i = \sum_j m\beta_j y_j$. Hence by Proposition 3.6, M is a semi-irreducible ΓS -semimodule. Then $M\Gamma S \neq \{0\}$ which implies that $\overline{m\Gamma S} = \{0\}$. Since $\overline{m\Gamma S}$ is a $k\Gamma S$ -subsemimodule of M , $\overline{m\Gamma S} = M$. \square

Proposition 3.8. *Let S be a Γ -semiring and R be its right operator semiring. Then M is an irreducible ΓS -semimodule if and only if M is an irreducible R -semimodule.*

Proof. Let M be an irreducible ΓS -semimodule. Now we define R -action on M as follows: for $a \in M$, $\sum_i [\alpha_i, x_i] \in R$, $a \sum_i [\alpha_i, x_i] = \sum_i a\alpha_i x_i$. If $\sum_i [\alpha_i, x_i] = \sum_j [\beta_j, y_j]$ in R then $\sum_i s\alpha_i x_i = \sum_j s\beta_j y_j$ for all $s \in S$. Since M is an irreducible ΓS -semimodule, $\overline{m\Gamma S} = M$. (Corollary 3.7). Then for $m \in M$, $m + \sum_k a_k \gamma_k s_k = \sum_t b_t \delta_t v_t$ where $a_k, b_t \in M$, $\gamma_k, \delta_t \in \Gamma$, $s_k, v_t \in S$ ($k = 1, 2, \dots, p$; $t = 1, 2, \dots, q$; p, q are positive integers). So, $\sum_i m\alpha_i x_i + \sum_{k,i} a_k \gamma_k s_k x_i \alpha_i = \sum_{t,i} b_t \delta_t x_i \alpha_i$, implying that

$$(1) \quad \sum_i m\alpha_i x_i + \sum_{k,j} a_k \gamma_k s_k \beta_j y_j = \sum_{i,j} b_t \delta_t v_t \beta_j y_j.$$

Again

$$(2) \quad \sum_j m\beta_j y_j + \sum_{k,j} a_k \gamma_k s_k \beta_j y_j = \sum_{t,j} b_t \delta_t v_t \beta_j y_j$$

Since M is cancellative so we have from (1) and (2) $\sum_i m\alpha_i x_i = \sum_j m\beta_j y_j$.

Thus the R -action defined above on M is well defined. Now it can be easily verified that M with the above action is an R -semimodule. Next, let $u, v \in M$ with $u \neq v$. Then for any $x \in M$ there exist $x_i, y_j \in S$, $\alpha_i, \beta_j \in \Gamma$ such that $x + \sum_i u\alpha_i x_i + \sum_j v\beta_j y_j = \sum_j u\beta_j y_j + \sum_i v\alpha_i x_i$ (using irreducibility of M as a ΓS -semimodule). This implies that $x + u \sum_i [\alpha_i, x_i] + v \sum_j [\beta_j, y_j] + v \sum_i [\alpha_i, x_i]$ where $\sum_i [\alpha_i, x_i], \sum_j [\beta_j, y_j] \in R$. Hence M is an irreducible R -semimodule ([6]).

Conversely, suppose M is an irreducible R -semimodule. We define Γ -action of S on M as follows: for $a \in M$, $\alpha \in \Gamma$ and $s \in S$, $a\alpha s = a[\alpha, s]$. Then, with this composition M is a ΓS -semimodule. Let $u, v \in M$ with $u \neq v$ and let $x \in M$.

Then there exist $\sum_i [\alpha_i, x_i], \sum_j [\beta_j, y_j] \in R$ such that

$$x + u \sum_i [\alpha_i, x_i] + v \sum_j [\beta_j, y_j] = u \sum_j [\beta_j, y_j] + v \sum_i [\alpha_i, x_i].$$

So $x + \sum_i u\alpha_i x_i + \sum_j v\beta_j y_j = \sum_j u\beta_j y_j + \sum_i v\alpha_i x_i$. Hence by definition M is an irreducible ΓS -semimodule. This completes the proof. \square

Let S be a Γ -semiring. The *zeroid* of S , denoted by $Z(S)$, is defined as $Z(S) = \{x \in S : x + z = z \text{ for some } z \in S\}$. Clearly, 0 is a member of $Z(S)$ of a Γ -semiring S with zero element 0 . The zeroid $Z(S)$ of a Γ -semiring S is an h -ideal of S . Let M be a ΓS -semimodule. We put $(0 : M) = \{x \in S : M\Gamma x = \{0\}\}$ where $M\Gamma x = \{\sum_{i=1}^k m_i \alpha_i x : m_i \in M, \alpha_i \in \Gamma, k \text{ is a positive integer}\}$. We call $(0 : M)$ the *annihilator* of M in S . We also denote it by $A_S(M)$. A ΓS -semimodule M is said to be *faithful* if $Z(S) = A_S(M)$.

Proposition 3.9. *Let M be a ΓS -semimodule. Then $A_S(M)$ is an h -ideal of S . Moreover, M is a faithful $\Gamma(S/A_S(M))$ -semimodule.*

Proof. Clearly $A_S(M)$ is an additive subsemigroup of S . Now let $x \in A_S(M)$, $\alpha \in \Gamma$, $s \in S$. Then $M\Gamma(x\alpha S) = (M\Gamma x)\alpha S = \{0\}$. Hence, $x\alpha S \in A_S(M)$ proving that it is a right ideal of S . To prove that $A_S(M)$ is also a left ideal of S we see that $M\Gamma(S\Gamma A_S(M)) = (M\Gamma S)\Gamma A_S(M) \subseteq M\Gamma A_S(M) = \{0\}$ which means $S\Gamma A_S(M) \subseteq A_S(M)$. Thus $A_S(M)$ is a two-sided ideal of S . Next, let $x + a + z = b + z$ where $x, z \in S$, $a, b \in A_S(M)$. Then for all $\alpha \in \Gamma$, for all $m \in M$, $m\alpha a = 0$ and so $m\alpha x + m\alpha z = m\alpha b + m\alpha z$. Since M is cancellative we have $m\alpha x = m\alpha b = 0$ for all $m \in M$, for all $\alpha \in \Gamma$. Hence $x \in A_S(M)$. Thus $A_S(M)$ is an h -ideal of S . Now let us define a Γ -action of $S/A_S(M)$ on M as follows: $m\alpha(s/A_S(M)) = m\alpha s$ for $m \in M$, $\alpha \in \Gamma$, $s/A_S(M) \in S/A_S(M)$. If $s/A_S(M) = t/A_S(M)$ then $s + p_1 = t + p_2$ for some $p_1, p_2 \in A_S(M)$. Then $m\alpha s + m\alpha p_1 = m\alpha t + m\alpha p_2$ for all $m \in M$, for all $\alpha \in \Gamma$ i.e. $m\alpha s = m\alpha t$ for all $m \in M$, for all $\alpha \in \Gamma$. Hence the Γ -action of $S/A_S(M)$ on M is well-defined. Now it is easy to see that M is a $\Gamma(S/A_S(M))$ -semimodule. It remains to show that $A_{S/A_S(M)}(M) = Z(S/A_S(M))$. Clearly $Z(S/A_S(M)) \subseteq A_{S/A_S(M)}(M)$. Now let $x/A_S(M) \in A_{S/A_S(M)}(M)$. Then $m\alpha(x/A_S(M)) = 0$ for all $m \in M$, for all $\alpha \in \Gamma$ i.e. $m\alpha x = 0$ for all $m \in M$, for all $\alpha \in \Gamma$. Hence $x \in A_S(M)$. This implies that $x/A_S(M) = 0/A_S(M)$. Hence $x/A_S(M) \in Z(S/A_S(M))$. Thus $A_{S/A_S(M)}(M) \subseteq Z(S/A_S(M))$. Hence $A_{S/A_S(M)}(M) = Z(S/A_S(M))$ (whence M is a faithful $\Gamma(S/A_S(M))$ -semimodule). \square

Proposition 3.10. *Let S be a Γ -semiring and R be its right operator semiring. Then*

- (i) $A_S(M)^{*'} = A_R(M)$ and $A_R(M)^* = A_S(M)$; where M is an irreducible ΓS -semimodule (and hence an irreducible R -semimodule)
- (ii) $Z(S)^{*'} = Z(R)$ and $Z(R)^* = Z(S)$.

Proof. (i)

$$\begin{aligned}
A_S(M)^{*'} &= \left\{ \sum_i [\alpha_i, x_i] \in R : S(\sum_i [\alpha_i, x_i]) \subseteq A_S(M) \right\} \\
&= \left\{ \sum_i [\alpha_i, x_i] \in R : M\Gamma S(\sum_i [\alpha_i, x_i]) = \{0\} \right\} \\
&= \left\{ \sum_i [\alpha_i, x_i] \in R : M(\sum_i [\alpha_i, x_i]) = \{0\} \right\} \\
&= A_R(M).
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
A_R(M)^* &= \{x \in S : [\Gamma, x] \subseteq A_R(m)\} \\
&= \{x \in S : M[\Gamma, x] = \{0\}\} \\
&= \{x \in S : M\Gamma x = \{0\}\} \\
&= A_S(M).
\end{aligned}$$

(ii) By Propositions 6.14 ([1]) and since zero is an h -ideal, $(Z(S)^*)^* = Z(S)$ and $(Z(R)^*)^{*'} = Z(R)$. So it is sufficient to prove one of the two relations. Let $x \in Z(R)^*$. Then $[\Gamma, x] \subseteq Z(R)$. So $S\Gamma x \subseteq SZ(R) \subseteq Z(S)$. Since S has the left unity, $x \in Z(S)$. Thus $Z(R)^* \subseteq Z(S)$. Now let $\sum_{i=1}^m [\alpha_i, x_i] \in [\Gamma, Z(S)]$ where $x_i \in Z(S)$ for all $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, m$. Then $x_i + z_i = z_i$ for some $z_i \in S$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$. Then $[\alpha_i, x_i] + [\alpha_i, z_i] = [\alpha_i, z_i]$ for all $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, m$. This implies that $\sum_{i=1}^m [\alpha_i, x_i] + \sum_{i=1}^m [\alpha_i, z_i] = \sum_{i=1}^m [\alpha_i, z_i]$ where $\sum_{i=1}^m [\alpha_i, z_i] \in R$. Hence $\sum_{i=1}^m [\alpha_i, x_i] \in Z(R)$ and so $[\Gamma, Z(S)] \subseteq Z(R)$. Thus $Z(S) \subseteq Z(R)^*$. Hence $Z(R)^* = Z(S)$. \square

Proposition 3.11. *Let S be a Γ -semiring and R be its right operator semiring. Then M is a faithful irreducible ΓS -semimodule if and only if M is a faithful irreducible R -semimodule.*

Proof. Let M be a faithful irreducible ΓS -semimodule. Then by Proposition 3.8, M is an irreducible ΓS -semimodule. Again, $A_S(M) = Z(S)$. So $A_S(M)^{*'} = Z(S)^{*'}$. This implies by Proposition 3.10, $A_R(M) = Z(R)$. Hence M is a faithful irreducible R -semimodule. Converse follows by reversing the above argument. \square

Definitions 3.12. *A Γ -semiring S is said to be primitive if it has a faithful irreducible ΓS -semimodule.*

An ideal P of S is said to be primitive if the Bourne factor Γ -semiring S/P

is primitive. Hence a Γ -semiring S is primitive if $\{0\}$ is a primitive ideal of S .

Lemma 3.13. *Let S be a Γ -semiring and R be its right operator semiring and Q be a proper ideal of S . Then $R(S/Q)$ and $R/Q^{*'}$ are isomorphic, where $R(S/Q)$ is the right operator semiring of the Bourne factor Γ -semiring S/Q .*

Proof. We define a mapping $\phi : R(S/Q) \rightarrow R/Q^{*'}$ as follows: $\phi(\sum_{i=1}^m [\alpha_i, x_i/Q]) = \sum_{i=1}^m [\alpha_i, x_i]/Q^{*'}$. Now let $\sum_{i=1}^m [\alpha_i, x_i/Q] = \sum_{j=1}^n [\beta_j, y_j/Q]$ in $R(S/Q)$. Then $\sum_{i=1}^m (s/Q)\alpha_i(x_i/Q) = \sum_{j=1}^n (s/Q)\beta_j(y_j/Q)$ for all $s/Q \in S/Q$ i.e. $\sum_{i=1}^m (s\alpha_i x_i)/Q = \sum_{j=1}^n (s\beta_j y_j)/Q$ for all $s \in S$, which means that $\sum_{i=1}^m s\alpha_i x_i + q = \sum_{j=1}^n s\beta_j y_j + q'$ for some $q, q' \in Q$ and for all $s \in S$. This implies that $\sum_{i=1}^m f_k \alpha_i x_i + a_k = \sum_{j=1}^n f_k \beta_j y_j$ for some $a_k, b_k \in Q$, for all $k, 1 \leq k \leq p$, where $\sum_{k=1}^p [\gamma_k, f_k]$ is the right unity of S . This implies that $\sum_{k,i} s\gamma_k f_k \alpha_i x_i + \sum_k s\gamma_k a_k = \sum_{k,j} s\gamma_k f_k \beta_j y_j + \sum_k s\gamma_k b_k$ for all $s \in S$ and for all $a_k, b_k \in Q, 1 \leq k \leq p$. This implies that $(\sum_{k=1}^p [\gamma_k, f_k])(\sum_{i=1}^m [\alpha_i, x_i]) + \sum_{k=1}^p [\gamma_k, a_k] = (\sum_{k=1}^p [\gamma_k, f_k])(\sum_{j=1}^n [\beta_j, y_j]) + \sum_{k=1}^p [\gamma_k, b_k]$, where $\sum_{k=1}^p [\gamma_k, a_k], \sum_{k=1}^p [\gamma_k, b_k] \in Q^{*'}$ (Proposition 3.5 [3]) i.e., $\sum_{i=1}^m [\alpha_i, x_i] + \sum_{k=1}^p [\gamma_k, a_k] = \sum_{j=1}^n [\beta_j, y_j] + \sum_{k=1}^p [\gamma_k, b_k]$, where $\sum_{k=1}^p [\gamma_k, a_k], \sum_{k=1}^p [\gamma_k, b_k] \in Q^{*'}$. This implies that $\sum_{i=1}^m [[\alpha_i, x_i]/Q^{*'}] = \sum_{j=1}^n [[\beta_j, y_j]/Q^{*'}]$ i.e. $\phi(\sum_{i=1}^m [\alpha_i, x_i/Q]) = \phi(\sum_{j=1}^n [\beta_j, y_j/Q])$. Thus ϕ is well-defined. Clearly, ϕ is surjective. Next, let $\phi(\sum_{i=1}^m [\alpha_i, x_i/Q]) = \phi(\sum_{j=1}^n [\beta_j, y_j/Q])$. Then $\sum_{i=1}^m [\alpha_i, x_i]/Q^{*'} = \sum_{j=1}^n [\beta_j, y_j]/Q^{*'}$. So $\sum_{i=1}^m [\alpha_i, x_i] + \sum_{k=1}^p [\gamma_k, a_k] = \sum_{j=1}^n [\beta_j, y_j] + \sum_{k=1}^p [\gamma_k, b_k]$, where $\sum_{k=1}^p [\gamma_k, a_k], \sum_{k=1}^p [\gamma_k, b_k] \in Q^{*'}$ (Proposition 3.5 [3]). This im-

plies that $\sum_{i=1}^m s\alpha_i x_i + \sum_k s\gamma_k a_k = \sum_{j=1}^n s\beta_j y_j + \sum_k s\gamma_k b_k$ for all $s \in S$, where $\sum_k s\gamma_k a_k, \sum_k s\gamma_k b_k \in Q$ for all $s \in S$. This implies that $\sum_{i=1}^m s\alpha_i x_i/Q = \sum_{j=1}^n s\beta_j y_j/Q$ for all $s \in S$ i.e. $\sum_{i=1}^m (s/Q)\alpha_i(x_i/Q) = \sum_{j=1}^n (s/Q)\beta_j(y_j/Q)$ for all $s/Q \in S/Q$. This implies that $\sum_{i=1}^m [\alpha_i, (x_i/Q)] = \sum_{j=1}^n [\beta_j, (y_j/Q)]$. Hence ϕ is injective. Clearly, ϕ is a semiring homomorphism. Therefore ϕ is a semiring isomorphism, whence $R(S/Q)$ and R/Q^* are isomorphic. \square

Proposition 3.14. *Let S be a Γ -semiring and R be its right operator semiring. If P is a primitive ideal of S then $P^{*'}$ is a primitive ideal of R .*

Proof. Let P be a primitive ideal of S . Then S/P is a primitive Γ -semiring. So there exists an irreducible faithful $\Gamma(S/P)$ -semimodule M . Then by Proposition 3.11, M is a faithful irreducible $R(S/P)$ -semimodule where $R(S/P)$ is the right operator semiring of S/P . Since $R(S/P)$ and $R/P^{*'}$ are isomorphic (Lemma 3.13), M is a faithful irreducible $R/P^{*'}$ -semimodule. Consequently, $R/P^{*'}$ is a primitive semiring ([6]), i.e. $P^{*'}$ is a primitive ideal of R . \square

Proposition 3.15. *Let S be a Γ -semiring and R be its right operator semiring. If Q is a primitive ideal of R then $Q^{*'}$ is a primitive ideal of S .*

Proof. Suppose that Q is a primitive ideal of R . Then R/Q is a primitive semiring. So, there exists a faithful irreducible R/Q -semimodule M . Then by Proposition 3.11, M is a faithful irreducible $\Gamma(S/Q^*)$ -semimodule (noting the fact that $R(S/Q^*)$ and $R/(Q^*)^{*'}$, i.e. R/Q are isomorphic). So, S/Q^* is a primitive Γ -semiring, whence Q^* is a primitive ideal of the Γ -semiring S . \square

From the above two propositions and Theorem 6.6 ([1]) the following theorem follows easily:

Theorem 3.16. *Let S be a Γ -semiring and R be its right operator semiring. Then there exists an inclusion preserving bijection between the set of all primitive ideals of S and the set of all primitive ideals of R via the mapping $P \rightarrow P^{*'}$, where P is an ideal of S .*

Theorem 3.17. *A Γ -semiring S is primitive if and only if its right operator semiring R is primitive.*

Proof. Let S be a primitive Γ -semiring. Then there is a faithful irreducible ΓS -semimodule M (say). Then, by Proposition 3.11, M is a faithful irreducible R -semimodule. So, R is a primitive semiring ([6]). Converse follows by reversing

the above argument. \square

Lastly, we have the following characterization of primitive h -ideal of a Γ -semiring which is analogous to that of a primitive ideal of a ring.

Theorem 3.18. *An h -ideal P of a Γ -semiring S is primitive if and only if $P = A_S(M)$ for some irreducible ΓS -semimodule M .*

Proof. Let the h -ideal P of the Γ -semiring S be primitive. Then by Proposition 6.11 ([1]) and Proposition 3.14, $P^{*'} is a primitive h -ideal of R . Hence $P^{*'} = A_R(M)$ ([6]), where M is an irreducible R -semimodule (Proposition 3.8). Then $(P^{*'})^* = A_R(M)^*$, which implies that $P = A_S(M)$ (Proposition 3.10). Converse follows by reversing the above argument. $\square$$

Acknowledgement. The authors are thankful to Prof. T. K. Dutta, Department of Pure Mathematics, University of Calcutta, for his constant guidance throughout the preparation of this paper. The authors are also thankful to the learned referee for his valuable suggestions.

References

- [1] Dutta, T. K., Sardar, S. K., On the Operator semirings of a Γ -semiring. Southeast Asian Bull. Math., Springer Verlag, 26(2002), 203–213.
- [2] Dutta, T. K., Sardar, S. K., Semiprime ideals and irreducible ideals of Γ -semirings. Novi Sad J. Math. 30(1)(2000), 97–108.
- [3] Dutta, T. K., Sardar, S. K., Study of Noetherian Γ -semirings via operator semirings of a Γ -semiring. Southeast Asian Bull. Math., Springer Verlag 25(2002), 599–608.
- [4] Golan, J. S., The theory of semirings with applications in Mathematics and theoretical computer science. Pitman Monographs and Surveys in Pure and Applied Mathematics, 54, Longman Sci. Tech. Harlow, 1992.
- [5] Hebisch, U., Weinert, H. J., Semiring Theory, Algebraic Theory and Applications in Computer Science. World Scientific, 1998.
- [6] Izuka, K., On the Jacobson radical of a semiring. Tohoku Math. J. 11(2)(1959), 409–421.
- [7] Luh, J., On primitive Γ -rings with minimal one-sided ideals. Osaka J. Math. 5(1968), 165–173.
- [8] Luh, J., On the theory of simple Γ -rings. Michigan Math. J. 16(1969), 65–75.
- [9] Kyuno, S., On a Nobusawa's Gamma Ring $\begin{bmatrix} R & \Gamma \\ M & L \end{bmatrix}$. Math. Japonica 26(1981), 55–64.
- [10] Rao, M.M.K., Γ -semirings-1. Southeast Asian Bull. Math. 19(1995), 49–54.

Received by the editors March 22, 2001.