

MINIMAL AND MAXIMAL DESCRIPTION FOR THE REAL INTERPOLATION METHODS IN THE CASE OF QUASI-BANACH TRIPLES

Ilie Stan¹

Abstract. In this note we give a minimal and a maximal description in the sense of Aronszajn-Gagliardo for the real methods in the case of quasi-Banach triples.

AMS Mathematics Subject Classification (2000): 46M35

Key words and phrases: real interpolation methods, triples of quasi-Banach spaces

0. Introduction

Our main reference to the theory of interpolation spaces is [7]. Let $\bar{A} = (A_0, A_1, A_2)$ be a (quasi)-Banach triple and $\bar{t} = (t_1, t_2) \in \mathbf{R}_+^2$. The Peetre's K -functional is defined for $a \in A_0 + A_1 + A_2 := \Sigma(\bar{A})$ by

$$K(t_1, t_2, a; \bar{A}) = \inf_{a=a_0+a_1+a_2} \left(\|a_0\|_{A_0} + t_1 \|a_1\|_{A_1} + t_2 \|a_2\|_{A_2} \right)$$

and similarly the J -functional for $a \in A_0 \cap A_1 \cap A_2 := \Delta(\bar{A})$ by

$$J(t_1, t_2, a; \bar{A}) = \max \left(\|a\|_{A_0}, t_1 \|a\|_{A_1}, t_2 \|a\|_{A_2} \right).$$

Let $\bar{A} = (A_0, A_1, A_2)$ be a triple of quasi-Banach spaces and $\bar{n} = (n_1, n_2) \in \mathbf{Z}^2$. For $0 < \theta_1, \theta_2 < 1$, $\theta_1 + \theta_2 < 1$ and $0 < q \leq \infty$ we define the real interpolation space $\bar{A}_{(\theta_1, \theta_2), q, K}$ as the set of all $a \in \Sigma(\bar{A})$ which have a finite quasi-norm

$$\|a\|_{(\theta_1, \theta_2), q, K} = \begin{cases} \left(\sum_{\bar{n} \in \mathbf{Z}^2} \left(2^{-n_1 \theta_1} 2^{-n_2 \theta_2} K(2^{n_1}, 2^{n_2}, a; \bar{A}) \right)^q \right)^{1/q} & \text{if } 0 < q < \infty \\ \sup_{\bar{n} \in \mathbf{Z}^2} \left\{ 2^{-n_1 \theta_1} 2^{-n_2 \theta_2} K(2^{n_1}, 2^{n_2}, a; \bar{A}) \right\} & \text{if } q = \infty \end{cases},$$

Also we define the real interpolation space $\bar{A}_{(\theta_1, \theta_2), q, J}$ as the set of all $a \in \Delta(\bar{A})$ that may be written as $a = \sum_{\bar{n} \in \mathbf{Z}^2} u_{\bar{n}}$, $u_{\bar{n}} \in \Delta(\bar{A})$ (convergence in $\Sigma(\bar{A})$) and which have a finite quasi-norm

¹Department of Mathematics, West University of Timisoara, Bd. V.Parvan, no.4, Timisoara, 1900, Romania, E-mail: stan@tim1.math.uvt.ro

$$\|a\|_{(\theta_1, \theta_2), q, J} = \inf_{a = \sum u_{\bar{n}}} \left(\sum_{\bar{n} \in \mathbf{Z}^2} \left(2^{-n_1 \theta_1} 2^{-n_2 \theta_2} J(2^{n_1}, 2^{n_2}, u_{\bar{n}}, \bar{A}) \right)^q \right)^{1/q}$$

with the usual interpretation when $q = \infty$.

If $\bar{A} = (A_0, A_1, A_2)$ and $\bar{B} = (B_0, B_1, B_2)$ are Banach triples, we write $T \in \mathcal{L}(\bar{A}, \bar{B})$ to mean that T is a linear operator from $\sum(\bar{A})$ into $\sum(\bar{B})$ whose restriction to each A_j defines a bounded operator from A_j into B_j ($j = 0, 1, 2$). We put

$$\|T\|_{\bar{A}, \bar{B}} = \max_{j=0,1,2} \{\|T\|_{A_j, B_j}\}.$$

Scalar sequence spaces are defined over \mathbf{Z}^2 and given any sequence of positive numbers $(w_{\bar{n}})_{\bar{n} \in \mathbf{Z}^2}$ we put

$$l_p(w_{\bar{n}}) = \left\{ (a_{\bar{n}}) : \|a_{\bar{n}}\|_{l_p(w_{\bar{n}})} := \|(w_{\bar{n}} a_{\bar{n}})\|_{l_p} < \infty \right\}.$$

Of special interest for us are the triples $\bar{l}_p = (l_p, l_p(2^{-n_1}), l_p(2^{-n_2}))$, ($0 < p \leq 1$) and $\bar{l}_\infty = (l_\infty, l_\infty(2^{-n_1}), l_\infty(2^{-n_2}))$.

A maximal description in sense of Aronszajn-Gagliardo [1] for the real method in the case of quasi-Banach couples is given in [2].

In this note we will establish a minimal and a maximal description for the real methods in the case of quasi-Banach triples.

1. Minimal description

Let $\bar{A} = (A_0, A_1, A_2)$ be a triple of quasi-Banach spaces. Recall that a quasi-norm $\|\cdot\|$ is said to be a p -norm ($0 < p \leq 1$) if

$$\|a + b\|^p \leq \|a\|^p + \|b\|^p.$$

Given any quasi-normed space $(A, \|\cdot\|)$ the functional

$$\| \|a\| \| = \inf \left\{ \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \|a_k\|^p \right)^{1/p} : a = \sum_{k=1}^n a_k, k \geq 1 \right\}$$

defines a p -norm equivalent to $\|\cdot\|$. Here p is defined by the equation $(2c)^p = 2$, where c is the constant in the triangle inequality $\|\cdot\|$ (see, for example [4]). Note also that if $\|\cdot\|$ is a p -norm then it is also an r -norm for any $0 < r \leq p$. Consequently, without loss of generality we may and do work with p -Banach spaces.

Definition 1.1. Let $0 < \theta_1, \theta_2 < 1, \theta_1 + \theta_2 < 1$ and $0 < q \leq \infty$. Assume that $\bar{A} = (A_0, A_1, A_2)$ is a triple of p -Banach spaces ($0 < p \leq 1$). Put $r = \min(p, q)$ and define $G_{(\theta_1, \theta_2), q, r}(\bar{A})$ as the collection of all those $a \in \Sigma(\bar{A})$ which can be represented as a convergent series $a = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} T_j a_j$ in $\Sigma(\bar{A})$ with $a_j \in l_q(2^{-n_1\theta_1 - n_2\theta_2})$, $T_j \in \mathcal{L}\left((l_p, l_p(2^{-n_1}), l_p(2^{-n_2})), (A_0, A_1, A_2)\right)$ and

$$\left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \|T_j\|_{\bar{l}_p, \bar{A}}^r \|a_j\|_{l_q(2^{-n_1\theta_1 - n_2\theta_2})}^r \right)^{1/r} < \infty.$$

This spaces become an r -Banach spaces endowed with the functional

$$\|a\|_{G_{(\theta_1, \theta_2), q, r}(\bar{A})} = \inf \left\{ \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \|T_j\|_{\bar{l}_p, \bar{A}}^r \|a_j\|_{l_q(2^{-n_1\theta_1 - n_2\theta_2})}^r \right)^{1/r} : a = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} T_j a_j \right\}$$

Theorem 1.2. Let $\bar{A} = (A_0, A_1, A_2)$ be a triple of p -Banach spaces, let $0 < \theta_1, \theta_2 < 1, \theta_1 + \theta_2 < 1$ and $0 < q \leq \infty$. Put $r = \min(p, q)$. Then

$$(A_0, A_1, A_2)_{(\theta_1, \theta_2), q, r} = G_{(\theta_1, \theta_2), q, r}(A_0, A_1, A_2)$$

Proof. Let $a \in (A_0, A_1, A_2)_{(\theta_1, \theta_2), q, r}$. Then $a = \sum_{\bar{n} \in \mathbf{Z}^2} u_{\bar{n}}$ (converge in $\Sigma(\bar{A})$) and $\sum_{\bar{n} \in \mathbf{Z}^2} [2^{-n_1\theta_1 - n_2\theta_2} J(2^{n_1}, 2^{n_2}, u_{\bar{n}}; \bar{A})]^q < \infty$. Let T be the operator defined by

$$T((b_{\bar{n}})_{\bar{n}}) = \sum_{\bar{n} \in \mathbf{Z}^2} u_{\bar{n}} \frac{b_{\bar{n}}}{J(2^{n_1}, 2^{n_2}, u_{\bar{n}}, \bar{A})}.$$

Since A_0 is a p -Banach space, we have

$$\|T((b_{\bar{n}})_{\bar{n}})\|_{A_0} \leq \left(\sum_{\bar{n} \in \mathbf{Z}^2} \left\| u_{\bar{n}} \frac{b_{\bar{n}}}{J(2^{n_1}, 2^{n_2}, u_{\bar{n}}, \bar{A})} \right\|_{A_0}^p \right)^{1/p} \leq \left(\sum_{\bar{n} \in \mathbf{Z}^2} |b_{\bar{n}}|^p \right)^{1/p} = \|b\|_{l_p}.$$

Similarly

$$\|T((b_{\bar{n}})_{\bar{n}})\|_{A_1} \leq \|(b_{\bar{n}})\|_{l_p(2^{-n_1})}, \quad \|T((b_{\bar{n}})_{\bar{n}})\|_{A_2} \leq \|(b_{\bar{n}})_{\bar{n}}\|_{l_p(2^{-n_2})}.$$

Thus

$$T \in \mathcal{L}(\bar{l}_p, \bar{A}) \quad \text{and} \quad \|T\|_{\bar{l}_p, \bar{A}} \leq 1.$$

It follows from

$$T\left(\left(J(2^{n_1}, 2^{n_2}, u_{\bar{n}}; \bar{A})\right)_{\bar{n}}\right) = a \quad \text{and}$$

$$\|T\|_{\bar{l}_p, \bar{A}} \left\| \left(J(2^{n_1}, 2^{n_2}, u_{\bar{n}}; \bar{A})\right)_{\bar{n}} \right\|_{l_q(2^{-n_1\theta_1 - n_2\theta_2})} \leq$$

$$\leq \left(\sum_{\bar{n} \in \mathbf{Z}^2} (2^{-n_1 \theta_1 - n_2 \theta_2} J(2^{n_1}, 2^{n_2}, u_{\bar{n}}; \bar{A}))^q \right)^{1/q}$$

that

$$a \in G_{(\theta_1, \theta_2), q, r}(\bar{A}) \quad \text{with} \quad \|a\|_{G_{(\theta_1, \theta_2), q, r}(\bar{A})} \leq \|a\|_{(\theta_1, \theta_2), q, J}.$$

Conversely, let a be in $G_{(\theta_1, \theta_2), q, r}(\bar{A})$ and have the form $a = T(\xi)$, where $T \in \mathcal{L}(\bar{l}_p, \bar{A})$ and $\xi = (\xi_{\bar{n}})_{\bar{n} \in \mathbf{Z}^2} \in l_q(2^{-\theta_1 n_1 - \theta_2 n_2})$.

Denote $T(e_{\bar{n}})$ by $v_{\bar{n}}$, where $e_{\bar{n}}$ are standard basis vectors of l_p . Then $\|v_{\bar{n}}\|_{A_0} \leq \|T\|_{\bar{l}_p, \bar{A}}$, $2^{n_1} \|v_{\bar{n}}\|_{A_1} \leq \|T\|_{\bar{l}_p, \bar{A}}$, $2^{n_2} \|v_{\bar{n}}\|_{A_2} \leq \|T\|_{\bar{l}_p, \bar{A}}$ and $\sum_{\bar{n} \in \mathbf{Z}^2} \xi_{\bar{n}} v_{\bar{n}} = a$ (convergence in $\sum(\bar{A})$).

If we put now $\xi_{\bar{n}} v_{\bar{n}} = u_{\bar{n}} \in \Delta(\bar{A})$, then $\sum_{\bar{n} \in \mathbf{Z}^2} u_{\bar{n}} = a$ and

$$J(2^{n_1}, 2^{n_2}, u_{\bar{n}}; \bar{A}) \leq |\xi_{\bar{n}}| \|T\|_{\bar{l}_p, \bar{A}}.$$

Hence $a = \sum_{\bar{n} \in \mathbf{Z}^2} u_{\bar{n}}$ is a J -representation of a with

$$\begin{aligned} \|a\|_{\theta_1, \theta_2, q, J} &\leq \left(\sum_{\bar{n} \in \mathbf{Z}^2} (2^{-n_1 \theta_1 - n_2 \theta_2} J(2^{n_1}, 2^{n_2}, u_{\bar{n}}; \bar{A}))^q \right)^{1/q} \leq \\ &\leq \|T\|_{\bar{l}_p, \bar{A}} \left(\sum_{\bar{n} \in \mathbf{Z}^2} (2^{-n_1 \theta_1 - n_2 \theta_2} |\xi_{\bar{n}}|)^q \right)^{1/q} = \|T\|_{\bar{l}_p, \bar{A}} \|\xi\|_{l_q(2^{-n_1 \theta_1 - n_2 \theta_2})}. \end{aligned}$$

If a is now any element of $G_{(\theta_1, \theta_2), q, r}(\bar{A})$ and $a = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} T_j \xi_j$ is an arbitrary representation of a with

$$\left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \|T_j\|_{\bar{l}_p, \bar{A}}^r \|\xi_j\|_{l_q(2^{-n_1 \theta_1 - n_2 \theta_2})}^r \right)^{1/r} < \infty$$

then, using that $(A_0, A_1, A_2)_{\theta, q, J}$ is r -normed we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \|a\|_{(\theta_1, \theta_2), q, J} &\leq \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \|T_j \xi_j\|_{(\theta_1, \theta_2), q, J}^r \right)^{1/r} \leq \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \|T_j\|_{\bar{l}_p, \bar{A}}^r \|\xi_j\|_{l_q(2^{-n_1 \theta_1 - n_2 \theta_2})}^r \right)^{1/r}. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, $a \in (A_0, A_1, A_2)_{(\theta_1, \theta_2), q, J}$ and $\|a\|_{(\theta_1, \theta_2), q, J} \leq \|a\|_{(\theta_1, \theta_2), q, r}$. This completes the proof. \square

2. Maximal description

In this case quasi-linear operators are needed.

Let T be a mapping from a quasi-Banach space A into a scalar sequence space \mathcal{M} . We say that T is quasi-linear with constant $C \geq 1$ if

$$\left| T(a+b) \right| \leq C \left(\left| Ta \right| + \left| Tb \right| \right), \quad a, b \in A$$

$$\left| T(\lambda a) \right| = \left| \lambda \right| \left| Ta \right| \quad a \in A, \lambda \in \underline{K} \quad (\underline{K}\text{-scalar field}).$$

Given any quasi-Banach triple $\bar{A} = (A_0, A_1, A_2)$ and $C \geq 1$ we denote by $\mathcal{L}_C(\bar{A}, \bar{l}_\infty)$ the collection of all those quasi-linear operators $T: \sum(\bar{A}) \rightarrow \sum(\bar{l}_\infty)$ with the constant C whose restriction to A_i ($i = 0, 1, 2$) defines a bounded operator from A_0, A_1, A_2 into $l_\infty, l_\infty(2^{-n_1}), l_\infty(2^{-n_2})$ respectively.

Definition 2.1 Let $0 < \theta_1, \theta_2 < 1$, $\theta_1 + \theta_2 < 1$ and $0 < q \leq \infty$. Given any quasi-Banach triple $\bar{A} = (A_0, A_1, A_2)$ we define $H_{(\theta_1, \theta_2), q, C}(\bar{A})$ as the collection of all those $a \in \sum(\bar{A})$ such that $Ta \in l_q(2^{-n_1\theta_1 - n_2\theta_2})$ for any $T \in \mathcal{L}_C(\bar{A}, \bar{l}_\infty)$ and quasi-norm

$$\|a\|_{H_{(\theta_1, \theta_2), q, C}(\bar{A})} = \sup \left\{ \|Ta\|_{l_q(2^{-n_1\theta_1 - n_2\theta_2})} : \|T\|_{\bar{A}, \bar{l}_\infty} \leq 1 \right\}$$

is finite.

Theorem 2.2. Let $\bar{A} = (A_0, A_1, A_2)$ be a quasi-Banach triple, let $0 < \theta_1, \theta_2 < 1$, $\theta_1 + \theta_2 < 1$ and $0 < q \leq \infty$. Assume that the constant in the triangle inequality of A_i is C_i ($i = 0, 1, 2$) and put $C = \max(C_0, C_1, C_2)$. Then

$$(A_0, A_1, A_2)_{(\theta_1, \theta_2), q, K} = H_{(\theta_1, \theta_2), q, C}(A_0, A_1, A_2).$$

Proof. Let $\bar{n} = (n_1, n_2) \in \mathbf{Z}^2$ and $a, b \in \sum(\bar{A})$. Given any decompositions $a = a_0 + a_1 + a_2$, $b = b_0 + b_1 + b_2$, with $a_i, b_i \in A_i$ ($i = 0, 1, 2$), it follows from

$$\begin{aligned} K(2^{n_1}, 2^{n_2}, a+b, \bar{A}) &\leq \|a_0 + b_0\|_{A_0} + 2^{n_1} \|a_1 + b_1\|_{A_1} + 2^{n_2} \|a_2 + b_2\|_{A_2} \\ &\leq C[(\|a_0\|_{A_0} + 2^{n_1} \|a_1\|_{A_1} + 2^{n_2} \|a_2\|_{A_2}) + (\|b_0\|_{A_0} \\ &\quad + (2^{n_1} \|b_1\|_{A_1} + 2^{n_2} \|b_2\|_{A_2})] \end{aligned}$$

that

$$K(2^{n_1}, 2^{n_2}, a+b, \bar{A}) \leq C[K(2^{n_1}, 2^{n_2}, a, \bar{A}) + K(2^{n_1}, 2^{n_2}, b, \bar{A})].$$

Let T be the operator defined by

$$Ta = (K(2^{n_1}, 2^{n_2}, a, \bar{A}))_{\bar{n} \in \mathbf{Z}^2}.$$

Then $T = \mathcal{L}_C(\bar{A}, \bar{l}_\infty)$. Moreover, $\|Ta\|_{l_\infty} \leq \|a\|_{A_0}$, $a \in A_0$, $\|Ta\|_{l_\infty(2^{-n_1})} \leq \|a\|_{A_1}$, $a \in A_1$, and $\|Ta\|_{l_\infty(2^{-n_2})} \leq \|a\|_{A_2}$, $a \in A_2$. So $\|T\|_{\bar{A}, l_\infty} \leq 1$. Now,

for any $a \in H_{(\theta_1, \theta_2), q, C}(\bar{A})$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|a\|_{(\theta_1, \theta_2), q, K} &= \left\| \left(K(2^{n_1}, 2^{n_2}, a, \bar{A}) \right)_{\bar{n}} \right\|_{l_q(2^{-n_1\theta_1 - n_2\theta_2})} = \\ &= \|Ta\|_{l_q(2^{-n_1\theta_1 - n_2\theta_2})} \leq \|a\|_{H_{(\theta_1, \theta_2), q, C}(\bar{A})}. \end{aligned}$$

This shows the embedding $H_{(\theta_1, \theta_2), q, C}(\bar{A}) \hookrightarrow \bar{A}_{(\theta_1, \theta_2), q, K}$.

Conversely, given any $T \in \mathcal{L}_C(\bar{A}, \bar{l}_\infty)$ with $\|T\|_{\bar{A}, \bar{l}_\infty} \leq 1$, we can represent Ta as

$$(T_{\bar{n}}a)_{\bar{n} \in \mathbf{Z}^2}, \text{ where } T_{\bar{n}} \in \mathcal{L}_C((A_0, A_1, A_2), (\underline{K}, \underline{K}, \underline{K})) \text{ with } \|T_{\bar{n}}\|_{A_0, \underline{K}} \leq \|T\|_{A_0, l_\infty} \leq 1,$$

$$\|T_{\bar{n}}\|_{A_1, 2^{-n_1} \underline{K}} \leq \|T\|_{A_1, l_\infty(2^{-n_1})} \leq 1 \text{ and } \|T_{\bar{n}}\|_{A_2, 2^{-n_2} \underline{K}} \leq \|T\|_{A_2, l_\infty(2^{-n_2})} \leq 1.$$

If $a \in \sum(\bar{A})$ and $a = a_0 + a_1 + a_2$ with $a_i \in A_i$, then we get

$$\begin{aligned} |T_{\bar{n}}a| &\leq C^2 (|T_{\bar{n}}a_0| + |T_{\bar{n}}a_1| + |T_{\bar{n}}a_2|) \\ &\leq C^2 (\|a_0\|_{A_0} + 2^{n_1}\|a_1\|_{A_1} + 2^{n_2}\|a_2\|_{A_2}) \end{aligned}$$

Whence

$$|T_{\bar{n}}a| \leq C^2 K(2^{n_1}, 2^{n_2}, a; \bar{A}).$$

Now, for any $a \in \bar{A}_{(\theta_1, \theta_2), q, K}$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|Ta\|_{l_q(2^{-n_1\theta_1 - n_2\theta_2})} &= \left(\sum_{\bar{n} \in \mathbf{Z}^2} \left(2^{-n_1\theta_1 - n_2\theta_2} |T_{\bar{n}}a| \right)^q \right)^{1/q} \leq \\ &\leq C^2 \left(\sum_{\bar{n} \in \mathbf{Z}^2} \left(2^{-n_1\theta_1 - n_2\theta_2} K(2^{n_1}, 2^{n_2}, a, \bar{A}) \right)^q \right)^{1/q} = C^2 \|a\|_{(\theta_1, \theta_2), q, K}. \end{aligned}$$

This shows the embedding $\bar{A}_{(\theta_1, \theta_2), q, K} \hookrightarrow H_{(\theta_1, \theta_2), q, C}(\bar{A})$ and completes the proof. \square

References

- [1] Aronszajn, N., Gagliardo, E., Interpolation spaces and interpolation methods, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. 68 (1965), 51-118.

- [2] Berg, J., Cobos, F., A maximal description for the real interpolation method in the quasi-Banach case, *Math. Scand.* 87(2000), 22-26.
- [3] Cobos, F., Peetre, J., Interpolation of compact operators: the multidimensional case, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3) 63(1991), 371-400.
- [4] Cobos, F., Person, L.E., Real interpolation of compact operator between quasi-Banach spaces, *Math. Scand.* 82(1998), 138-160.
- [5] Cofan, N., Stan, I., K - and J -real interpolation methods for Banach triples, *Analele Universitatii din Timisoara, Seria Matematica-Informatica*, Vol.XXXV, fasc 2, 1997, 183-192.
- [6] Janson, S., Minimal and maximal method of interpolation, *J. Funct. Anal.* 44(1981), 50-73.
- [7] Sparr, G., Interpolation of several Banach spaces, *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.* 99(1974), 247-316.
- [8] Stan, I., Real and complex interpolation methods for finite families of Banach spaces and applications, *Monografii Matematice*, No. 40, (1990), 1-78, Univ. of Timisoara.

Received by the editors August 21, 2001