

VARIATION OF AN ELEMENT IN THE MATRIX OF THE FIRST DIFFERENCE OPERATOR AND MATRIX TRANSFORMATIONS

Bruno de Malafosse¹

Abstract. In this paper we deal with some new properties of the operator of first difference represented by the infinite matrix Δ . We study the operator represented by the perturbed matrix $\Delta'_{pq}(a')$ obtained from Δ by changing one element. Then we give necessary and sufficient conditions for a matrix A to map $s_\alpha((\Delta'_{pq}(a'))^\mu)$ into s_β , μ being an integer.

AMS Mathematics Subject Classification (2000): 39B42

Key words and phrases: operator of first difference, perturbed matrix

1. Introduction

We are interested in the study of the first difference operator. This one can be represented by the infinite matrix Δ . Many authors have given results on this last operator, see for instance Malkowsky [8],[9], Kizmaz [2], Çolak and Et [1] and more recently de Malafosse [6]. These authors gave many characterizations of the operators A mapping the space $(\Delta^\mu)^{-1}(l^\infty)$ into l^∞ , that is $A \in (l^\infty(\Delta^\mu), l^\infty)$. Malkowsky [8], [9] and Malkowsky and Parashar [7] found new Schauder bases in the spaces $c_0(\Delta^\mu)$ and $c(\Delta^\mu)$. They gave many results concerning AK and BK spaces considering the Λ -strongly null and Λ -strongly convergent sequences and have studied extensions of some results given by Wilansky [12]. Note that many authors have dealt with the Cesàro operator and there is a simple relation between this operator and the operator represented by Δ . Recall that the spectrum of the Cesàro operator C_1 in certain spaces has been studied by Reade [11], Okutoyi [10] and de Malafosse [5]. Here are recalled some properties of Δ considered as an operator from the space s_α into itself. Further, as in [5], we deal with matrix perturbation and consider the new matrix $\Delta'_{pq}(a')$ obtained from Δ by changing only one element in the p -th row and in the q -th column of the infinite matrix and deduce some results on the spaces $s_\alpha((\Delta'_{pq}(a'))^\mu)$. Then we deal with matrix transformations between matrix domains such as $s_\alpha((\Delta'_{pq}(a'))^\mu)$ or s_β .

The paper is organized as follows. In the second section we recall some results and definitions concerning the infinite matrix theory. In the third section some properties of the spaces $s_r(\Delta^\mu)$, $s_r((\Delta^+)^mu)$ and $s_1(\Delta^\mu)$ are given. Next, we

¹LMAH Université du Havre, I.U.T, B.P 4006, Le Havre FRANCE

assert some results concerning the operators C_1 , Δ , Δ^+ and Σ in relation to s_r . In the fourth section Δ is replaced by $\Delta'_{pq}(a')$, (the matrix obtained from Δ by replacing the coefficient a_{pq} by a') and we study the new equation $\Delta'_{pq}(a')X = B$. Then, under some conditions, we characterize the matrix transformations mapping $s_\alpha(\Delta'_{pq}(a'))$ into s_β . Further, we give an upper bound of the distance $\|X_{pq}(a') - Z\|_{s_1}$, where Z is the solution of $\Delta X = B$ and $X_{pq}(a')$ the solution of equation $\Delta'_{pq}(a')X = B$, whenever it exists. In the final section, we deal with matrix transformations lying in the set $(s_\alpha((\Delta'_{pq}(a'))^\mu), s_\beta)$, μ being a given integer.

2. Notations and preliminary results

In the following, we shall consider infinite linear systems defined by

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_{nm}x_m = b_n \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

Such a system can be written as a matrix equation $AX = B$, where $A = (a_{nm})_{n,m \geq 1}$ and X, B are the one column matrices defined respectively by $(x_n)_{n \geq 1}$ and $(b_n)_{n \geq 1}$. The following spaces have been defined, for instance, in [3] and [5]. For a sequence $\alpha = (\alpha_n)_{n \geq 1}$, where $\alpha_n > 0$ for every $n \geq 1$, we consider the Banach algebra

$$(1) \quad S_\alpha = \left\{ A = (a_{nm})_{n,m \geq 1} / \sup_{n \geq 1} \left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} |a_{nm}| \frac{\alpha_m}{\alpha_n} \right) < \infty \right\},$$

normed by

$$(2) \quad \|A\|_{S_\alpha} = \sup_{n \geq 1} \left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} |a_{nm}| \frac{\alpha_m}{\alpha_n} \right).$$

S_α admits a unit element $I = (\delta_{nm})_{n,m}$ (δ_{nm} being equal to 1 if $n = m$ and equal to 0 otherwise). Denote by s the set of all sequences. We also define the Banach space s_α of one-row matrices by

$$(3) \quad s_\alpha = \left\{ X = (x_n)_n \in s / \sup_{n \geq 1} \left(\frac{|x_n|}{\alpha_n} \right) < \infty \right\},$$

normed by

$$(4) \quad \|X\|_{s_\alpha} = \sup_{n \geq 1} \left(\frac{|x_n|}{\alpha_n} \right).$$

We shall say that the sequence $X = (x_n)_n$ belongs to Γ if

$$\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\left| \frac{x_{n-1}}{x_n} \right| \right) < 1.$$

For any subset E of s , we put

$$(5) \quad AE = \{Y \in s / \exists X \in E \quad Y = AX\}.$$

If F is a subset of s , we shall denote

$$(6) \quad F(A) = F_A = \{X \in s / Y = AX \in F\}.$$

We can see that $F(A) = A^{-1}F$. If A maps E into F , we write that $A \in (E, F)$.

It is well-known that $A \in (s_1, s_1)$ if and only if $A \in S_1$ (see [4]).

For any sequence $\zeta = (\zeta_n)_{n \geq 1}$, we shall put $D_\zeta = (\zeta_n \delta_{nm})_{n,m \geq 1}$.

A being an infinite matrix, let us define the matrix $A \langle t \rangle = (a'_{nm})_{n,m \geq 1}$, (with $a'_{nn} \neq 0 \forall n$) obtained from A by addition of the row $t = (t_m)_{m \geq 1}$. In the same way, set for any scalar u : ${}^t B \langle u \rangle = (u, b_1, b_2, \dots)$. Then we have the following result given in [3], in which $a^* = (1/a'_{nn})_{n \geq 1}$:

Proposition 1 *If $\|I - D_{a^*} A \langle t \rangle\|_{s_\alpha} < 1$ and $D_{a^*} B \langle u \rangle \in s_\alpha$, then solutions of $AX = B$ in the space s_α are*

$$X = [D_{a^*} A \langle t \rangle]^{-1} D_{a^*} B \langle u \rangle \quad u \in C.$$

3. Some new properties of the operator $\Delta^{(\mu), \mu}$ being any real.

In this section we give some properties of Δ^μ and $(\Delta^+)^{\mu}$ in relation to the space s_r and we investigate the spectrum of each operator represented by the matrices C_1, Δ, Δ^+ and Σ in relation to the space s_r .

3.1. Properties of Δ^μ in relation to s_r

The well-known operator $\Delta^{(\mu)}: s \rightarrow s$, where μ is an integer ≥ 1 , is represented by the infinite lower triangular matrix Δ^μ , where $\Delta = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & O \\ -1 & 1 & \\ O & . & . \end{pmatrix}$.

We have for every $X = (x_n)_{n \geq 1}$, $\Delta X = (y_n)_{n \geq 1}$ with $y_1 = x_1$ and $y_n = x_n - x_{n-1}$ if $n \geq 2$. We can express the following result, in which $\Delta^+ = {}^t \Delta$ and $e = (1, 1, \dots)$.

Proposition 2 ([6]) *i) The operator represented by Δ is bijective from s_r into itself, for every $r > 1$ and Δ^+ is bijective from s_r into itself, for all $r, 0 < r < 1$.*

ii) Δ^+ is surjective and not injective from s_r into itself, for all $r > 1$.

iii) $\forall r \neq 1$ and for every integer $\mu \geq 1$ $(\Delta^+)^{\mu} s_r = s_r$.

iv) We have successively

\alpha) If μ is a real > 0 and $\mu \notin N$, then Δ^μ maps s_r into itself when $r \geq 1$ but not for $0 < r < 1$.

If $-1 < \mu < 0$, then Δ^μ maps s_r into itself when $r > 1$ but not for $r = 1$.

β) If $\mu > 0$ and $\mu \notin N$, then $(\Delta^+)^mu$ maps s_r into itself when $0 < r \leq 1$ but not if $r > 1$.

If $-1 < \mu < 0$, then $(\Delta^+)^mu$ maps s_r into itself for $0 < r < 1$ but not for $r = 1$.

v) For a given integer $\mu \geq 1$, we have successively

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \forall r > 1 : A \in (s_r(\Delta^\mu), s_r) \Leftrightarrow \sup_{n \geq 1} \left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} |a_{nm}| r^{m-n} \right) < \infty, \\ \forall r \in]0, 1[: A \in (s_r((\Delta^+)^mu), s_r) \Leftrightarrow \sup_{n \geq 1} \left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} |a_{nm}| r^{m-n} \right) < \infty. \end{array} \right.$$

vi) For every integer $\mu \geq 1$

$$s_1 \subset s_1(\Delta^\mu) \subset s_{(n^\mu)_{n \geq 1}} \subset \bigcap_{r > 1} s_r.$$

vii) If $\mu > 0$ and $\mu \notin N$ then q is the greatest integer strictly less than $(\mu + 1)$. $\forall r > 1$

$$\text{Ker}((\Delta^+)^mu) \cap s_r = \text{span}(V_1, V_2, \dots, V_q),$$

where:

$$(7) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} V_1 = {}^t e, V_2 = {}^t (A_1^1, A_2^1, \dots), V_3 = {}^t (0, A_2^2, A_3^2, \dots), \dots \\ V_q = {}^t (0, 0, \dots, A_{q-1}^{q-1}, A_q^{q-1}, \dots, A_n^{q-1}, \dots); \end{array} \right.$$

$A_i^j = \frac{i!}{(i-j)!}$, with $0 \leq j \leq i$, being the number of permutations of i things taken j at a time.

3.2. Spectrum of each operator C_1 , Δ , Δ^+ and Σ in relation to the space s_r

We give here some spectral properties of several well-known operators. Recall that $C_1 = (a_{nm})_{nm \geq 1}$ is the Cesàro operator of order 1, defined by the infinite matrix

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} a_{nm} = 1/n & \text{if } m \leq n, \\ a_{nm} = 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{array} \right.$$

(see [3], [5], [6], [7] and [12]). It is well-known that if Σ is the lower triangular matrix whose all entries below the main diagonal are equal to 1, we have $\Delta^{-1} = \Sigma$. There exists a relation between these operators. Indeed $D_{(n)_n} C_1 = \Sigma$ and $\Delta(D_{(n)_n} C_1) = I$, which proves that $C_1^{-1} = \Delta D_{(n)_n}$. Here A is an operator mapping s_r into itself, r being a given real > 0 . We shall denote by $\sigma(A)$ its spectrum, set of all complex numbers λ , such that $(A - \lambda I)$ as operator from s_r into itself, is not invertible. We obtain the next results.

Theorem 3. ([6]) *One has*

$$\begin{cases} i) \sigma(C_1) = \{0\} \cup \{\frac{1}{n} / n \geq 1\}, \\ ii) \sigma(\Delta) = \overline{D}(1, 1/r), \\ iii) \sigma(\Delta^+) = \overline{D}(1, r). \end{cases}$$

Note that i) has been shown in [5]. Analogously, concerning the operator Σ one gets

Proposition 4. ([6]) *Let $r > 1$. We have*

i) $\frac{1}{\lambda} \in \overline{D}(1, 1/r) \Leftrightarrow \lambda \in \sigma(\Sigma)$.

ii) *For all $\lambda \notin \sigma(\Sigma)$, $\lambda I - \Sigma$ is bijective from s_r into itself and if $(\lambda I - \Sigma)^{-1} = (\tau_{nm})_{n,m \geq 1}$, then*

$$(8) \quad \begin{cases} \tau_{nn} = \frac{1}{1-\lambda} \quad \forall n \geq 1, \\ \tau_{nm} = \frac{1}{(1-\lambda)^2} \left(\frac{-\lambda}{1-\lambda}\right)^{n-m-1} & \text{if } m \leq n, \\ \tau_{nm} = 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

4. Variation of an element in the infinite matrix Δ

In this section we are interested in the perturbed matrix $\Delta'_{pq}(a')$ and deal with the equation $\Delta'_{pq}(a')X = B$ and matrix transformations from $s_\alpha(\Delta'_{pq}(a'))$ into s_β .

4.1. First properties of the equation $\Delta'_{pq}(a')X = B$

We study the case when only one element of Δ is changed. So, we consider a given row of index p , and a given column of index q and denote by a the term a_{pq} of the matrix Δ . B being given, we study what becomes the solution of the equation $\Delta X = B$, when a is replaced by another element a' in the matrix Δ ; $\Delta'_{pq}(a')$, (or Δ' for short), will denote this new matrix.

We get the following result

Theorem 5. *Let B be any sequence.*

i) *The equation $\Delta'X = B$ admits a unique solution either in the cases: $q \leq p - 1$, or $q = p$ and $a' \neq 0$, or $q > p$ and $a' \neq -1$.*

ii) *a- Let $p < q$. When $\sum_{k=1}^q b_k = 0$ the equation $\Delta'_{pq}(-1)X = B$ admits infinitely many solutions in s . If $p = 1$, these solutions are given for every*

scalar u by

$${}^tX = \left(u + b_1, u + b_1 + b_2, \dots, u + \sum_{k=1}^{q-1} b_k, u, u + b_{q+1}, u + b_{q+1} + b_{q+2}, \dots, u + \sum_{k=q+1}^n b_k, \dots \right);$$

and for $p \geq 2$, these solutions are

$${}^tX = \left(b_1, b_1 + b_2, \dots, \sum_{k=1}^p b_k, u + \sum_{k=1}^{p+1} b_k, \dots, u + \sum_{k=1}^{q-1} b_k, u, u + b_{q+1}, \dots, u + \sum_{k=q+1}^n b_k, \dots \right).$$

When $\sum_{k=1}^q b_k \neq 0$ the equation $\Delta'_{pq}(-1)X = B$ does not admit any solution in s .

b- If $\sum_{k=1}^p b_k = 0$ the equation $\Delta'_{pp}(0)X = B$ admits infinitely many solutions in s given for any scalar u by

$${}^tX = \left(u, u + b_2, \dots, u + \sum_{k=2}^n b_k, \dots \right) \text{ for } p = 1,$$

and for $p \geq 2$

$${}^tX = \left(b_1, b_1 + b_2, \dots, \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} b_k, u, u + b_{p+1}, u + b_{p+1} + b_{p+2}, \dots, u + \sum_{k=p+1}^n b_k, \dots \right).$$

When $\sum_{k=1}^p b_k \neq 0$ the equation $\Delta'_{pp}(0)X = B$ does not admit any solution.

Proof. Assertion i). The result is trivial in the two first cases, since a triangle whose elements on the main diagonal are all different from zero is invertible. It remains to deal with the case when $1 \leq p < q$. Consider the case $1 < p < q$. We see that the equation $\Delta'X = B$ is equivalent to the system

$$(9) \quad \begin{cases} -x_{n-1} + x_n = b_n & \text{if } n = 1, 2, \dots, p-1, p+1, \dots; \\ -x_{p-1} + x_p + a'x_q = b_p, \end{cases}$$

where we use the convention $x_0 = 0$. We get

$$(10) \quad x_n = \sum_{k=1}^n b_k \quad \text{if } n = 1, 2, \dots, p-1,$$

$$(11) \quad x_n = x_p + \sum_{k=p+1}^n b_k \quad \text{if } n = p+1, \dots, q.$$

From the second equality given by (9) and (10) we obtain $x_p + a'x_q = \sum_{k=1}^p b_k$.

Putting $n = q$ in (11) we have $-x_p + x_q = \sum_{k=p+1}^q b_k$. Since $a' \neq -1$ one deduces easily that

$$(12) \quad x_n = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{a'+1} \sum_{k=1}^n b_k - \frac{a'}{a'+1} \sum_{k=n+1}^q b_k & \text{if } n = p, \dots, q-1, \\ \frac{1}{a'+1} \sum_{k=1}^q b_k, & \text{if } n = q, \\ -\frac{a'}{a'+1} \sum_{k=1}^q b_k + \sum_{k=q+1}^n b_k, & \text{if } n = q+1, q+2, \dots \end{cases}$$

When $p = 1 < q$ then the unique solution of equation $\Delta'X = B$, is given by

$$(13) \quad x_n = \begin{cases} \sum_{k=1}^n b_k - \frac{a'}{a'+1} \sum_{k=1}^q b_k & \text{if } n \leq q-1, \\ \frac{1}{a'+1} \sum_{k=1}^q b_k & \text{if } n = q, \\ \sum_{k=1}^n b_k - \frac{a'}{a'+1} \sum_{k=1}^q b_k & \text{if } n = q+1, q+2, \dots \end{cases}$$

which completes the proof of i).

Assertion ii) a. If $a' = -1$, take $p \geq 2$. We deduce from i) that the equation $\Delta'_{pq}(-1)X = B$ admits a solution if $x_p - x_q = \sum_{k=1}^q b_k = -\sum_{k=p+1}^q b_k$ that is, when $\sum_{k=1}^n b_k = 0$. Then we can take $x_q = u$ as an arbitrary scalar and the solutions are given by

$$(14) \quad x_n = \begin{cases} \sum_{k=1}^n b_k & \text{if } n = 1, 2, \dots, p-1, \\ u + \sum_{k=1}^n b_k & \text{if } n = p, p+1, \dots, q-1, \\ u + \sum_{k=q+1}^n b_k & \text{if } n = q+1, \dots \end{cases}$$

The case $p = 1 < q$ can be studied in a similar way.

ii) b. If $p \geq 2$ the equation $\Delta'_{pp}(0)X = B$ is equivalent to the systems

$$(S_1) \quad \begin{cases} -x_{n-1} + x_n = b_n & \text{if } n = 1, 2, \dots, p-1, \\ -x_{p-1} = b_p; \end{cases}$$

and

$$(S_2) \quad \{-x_{n-1} + x_n = b_n \quad \text{if } n = p+1, p+2, \dots$$

The second one is infinite. We get $x_n = \sum_{k=1}^n b_k$ if $n = 1, 2, \dots, p-1$, and if

$-x_{p-1} = b_p = -\sum_{k=1}^{p-1} b_k$ the system (S_1) admits a unique solution. We conclude

using the system (S_2) and setting $x_p = u$, that $x_n = u + \sum_{k=p+1}^n b_k$ if $n =$

$p+1, \dots$. If $\sum_{k=1}^p b_k \neq 0$ then the system (S_1) and equation $\Delta'_{pp}(0)X = B$ do not admit any solution. We get an analogous result when $p = 1$. \square

Remark 1. Consider the case when $p < q$ and let $B = (b_n)_n$ be a sequence such that $\sum_{k=1}^q b_k = 0$. We note that equation $\Delta'_{pq}(a')X = B$, where $a' = -1$ admits infinitely many solutions, and a slight variation of a' implies that the new equation $\Delta'_{pq}(a')X = B$ does not admit a solution any more. We get a similar result when $p = q$ and a' is the neighborhood of zero.

4.2. Operators mapping $s_\alpha(\Delta'_{pq}(a'))$ into s_β

In this subsection, under some conditions, we characterize the matrices $A \in (E, F)$, where $E = s_\alpha(\Delta'_{pq}(a'))$ and $F = s_\beta$. In order to assert the following results we need the next lemmas.

Lemma 6. Let $A = (a_{nm})_{n,m \geq 1}$ and $P = (p_{nm})_{n,m \geq 1}$ be two infinite matrices satisfying for all $n \geq 1$

$$(15) \quad \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} |a_{nk} p_{km}| \alpha_m < \infty.$$

Then $A(PX) = (AP)X$ for all $X \in s_\alpha$.

Proof. If we set $A(PX) = (y_n)_{n \geq 1}$, then for every $n \geq 1$:

$$y_n = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} p_{km} x_m \right).$$

The series intervening in the second member being convergent, since (15) holds and $X \in s_\alpha$. Condition (15) permits us to interchange the order of summation in the expression of y_n , which proves that $A(PX) = (AP)X$. \square

Remark 2.

Note that $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} |a_{nk} p_{km}| \alpha_m < \infty$ if and only if $\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_{nk} p_{km}| \alpha_m < \infty$.

Now we shall consider the sequences $\alpha = (\alpha_n)_n$ and $\beta = (\beta_n)_n$, whose general terms are > 0 . We get

Lemma 7. $A \in (s_\alpha, s_\beta)$ if and only if

$$(16) \quad \sup_{n \geq 1} \left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} |a_{nm}| \frac{\alpha_m}{\beta_n} \right) < \infty.$$

This result comes from the fact that $A \in (s_\alpha, s_\beta)$ if and only if, for all $X \in s_1$, $D_{1/\beta}AD_\alpha X \in s_1$. As we have seen in the preliminary results, this last assertion is equivalent to $D_{1/\beta}AD_\alpha \in S_1$.

We shall denote by $S_{\alpha,\beta}$ the linear vector space

$$S_{\alpha,\beta} = \left\{ A = (a_{nm})_{n,m \geq 1} / \sup_{n \geq 1} \left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} |a_{nm}| \frac{\alpha_m}{\beta_n} \right) < \infty \right\}.$$

We see that $S_{\alpha,\alpha} = S_\alpha$.

In the remainder of the subsection we shall suppose that the matrix $A = (a_{nm})_{n,m \geq 1}$ satisfies the condition

$$(17) \quad \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} |a_{nk}| \alpha_m < \infty, \text{ for all } n.$$

For every $n, m \geq 1$ denote by $\sigma_{nm}(\xi)$ the map defined for any scalar ξ by

$$\sigma_{nm}(\xi) = \sum_{k=m}^{p-1} a_{nk} + \xi \sum_{k=p}^{\infty} a_{nk},$$

and let $R_{nm} = \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} a_{nk}$. Then we can give the supplementary conditions:

For $a' \neq -1$

$$(18) \quad \sup_{n \geq 1} \left[\frac{1}{\beta_n} \left(\sum_{m=1}^{p-1} \left| \sigma_{nm} \left(\frac{1}{a'+1} \right) \right| \alpha_m + \sum_{m=p}^q \left| \frac{R_{nm} - a' \sum_{k=p}^{m-1} a_{nk}}{a'+1} \right| \alpha_m + \sum_{m=q+1}^{\infty} |R_{nm}| \alpha_m \right) \right] < \infty;$$

for $a' \neq 0$

$$(19) \quad \sup_{n \geq 1} \left[\frac{1}{\beta_n} \left(\sum_{m=1}^{p-1} \left| \sigma_{nm} \left(\frac{1}{a'} \right) \right| \alpha_m + \frac{1}{|a'|} |R_{np}| \alpha_p + \sum_{m=p+1}^{\infty} |R_{nm}| \alpha_m \right) \right] < \infty;$$

$$(20) \quad \sup_{n \geq 1} \left[\frac{1}{\beta_n} \left(\sum_{m=1}^{p-1} |\sigma_{nm}(-a')| \alpha_m + \sum_{m=p}^{\infty} |R_{nm}| \alpha_m \right) \right] < \infty;$$

and

$$(21) \quad \sup_{n \geq 1} \left[\frac{1}{\beta_n} \left(\sum_{m=1}^q |\sigma_{nm}(1-a')| \alpha_m + \sum_{m=q+1}^{\infty} |R_{nm}| \alpha_m \right) \right] < \infty.$$

We obtain the following results.

Theorem 8.

i) If $1 < p < q$ and $a' \neq -1$,

$$A \in (s_\alpha(\Delta'), s_\beta) \text{ if and only if (18) holds.}$$

ii) If $p = q \geq 2$ and $a' \neq 0$,

$$A \in (s_\alpha(\Delta'), s_\beta) \text{ if and only if (19) holds.}$$

iii) If $q = p - 1$,

$$A \in (s_\alpha(\Delta'), s_\beta) \text{ if and only if (20) holds.}$$

iv) If $q < p - 1$,

$$A \in (s_\alpha(\Delta'), s_\beta) \text{ if and only if (21) holds.}$$

Proof. Throughout the proof we shall set $(\Delta')^{-1} = (c_{nm})_{n,m \geq 1}$, $A(\Delta')^{-1} = (c'_{nm})_{n,m \geq 1}$ and put for every n : $\chi_n = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_{nk}| |c_{km}| \alpha_m$, when this double series exists. i) Now $A \in (s_\alpha(\Delta'), s_\beta)$ if and only if $A((\Delta')^{-1} X) \in s_\beta$ for all $X \in s_\alpha$. We can prove that

$$(22) \quad A((\Delta')^{-1} X) = (A(\Delta')^{-1}) X \quad \text{for all } X \in s_\alpha.$$

Indeed, we deduce from (12) in Theorem 5, that

$$c_{nm} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } 1 \leq m \leq n \leq p-1 \text{ or } q+1 \leq m \leq n, \\ \frac{1}{a'+1} & \text{if } p \leq n \text{ and } m \leq n \leq q, \text{ or } 1 \leq m \leq q \text{ and } q+1 \leq n; \\ -\frac{a'}{a'+1} & \text{if } p \leq n < m \leq q, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Since (17) holds, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_n &= \sum_{m=1}^{p-1} \sum_{k=m}^{p-1} |a_{nk}| \alpha_m + \sum_{m=q+1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} |a_{nk}| \alpha_m + \sum_{m=p+1}^q \sum_{k=p}^m \left| \frac{a_{nk} a'}{a'+1} \right| \alpha_m + \\ &\quad \frac{1}{|a'+1|} \left(\sum_{m=1}^p \sum_{k=p}^{\infty} |a_{nk}| \alpha_m + \sum_{m=p+1}^q \sum_{k=m}^q |a_{nk}| \alpha_m + \sum_{m=p+1}^q \sum_{k=q+1}^{\infty} |a_{nk}| \alpha_m \right). \end{aligned}$$

Hence the series χ_n is convergent for every $n \geq 1$ and using Lemma 6 and Remark 2, identity (22) is proved. We see that under (17) $A \in (s_\alpha(\Delta'), s_\beta)$ if and only if $A(\Delta')^{-1} \in S_{\alpha, \beta}$. The calculation gives

$$c'_{nm} = \begin{cases} \sum_{k=m}^{p-1} a_{nk} + \frac{1}{a'+1} \sum_{k=p}^{\infty} a_{nk} & \text{if } 1 \leq m \leq p-1, \\ -\frac{a'}{a'+1} \sum_{k=p}^{m-1} a_{nk} + \frac{1}{a'+1} \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} a_{nk} & \text{if } p \leq m \leq q, \\ \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} a_{nk} & \text{if } m \geq q+1; \end{cases}$$

for every n . And the condition $A(\Delta')^{-1} \in S_{\alpha, \beta}$ is equivalent to (18), which proves i).

ii) By a simple calculation we get

$$(23) \quad c_{nm} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } m \leq n \leq p-1 \text{ or } p+1 \leq m \leq n, \\ 1/a' & \text{if } n \geq p \text{ and } m \leq p, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We see that for all n

$$\chi_n = \sum_{m=1}^{p-1} \sum_{k=m}^{p-1} |a_{nk}| \alpha_m + \sum_{m=p+1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} |a_{nk}| \alpha_m + \sum_{m=1}^p \sum_{k=p}^{\infty} \left| \frac{a_{nk}}{a'} \right| \alpha_m.$$

And since (17) holds this series is convergent for every n . Reasoning as above, we have for every n

$$c'_{nm} = \begin{cases} \sum_{k=m}^{p-1} a_{nk} + \frac{1}{a'} \sum_{k=p}^{\infty} a_{nk} & \text{if } 1 \leq m \leq p-1, \\ \frac{1}{a'} \sum_{k=p}^{\infty} a_{nk} & \text{if } m = p, \\ \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} a_{nk} & \text{if } m \geq p+1. \end{cases}$$

We conclude writing that $A(\Delta')^{-1} \in S_{\alpha, \beta}$.

iii) Doing similar calculations, we obtain

$$(24) \quad c_{nm} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } m \leq n \leq p-1 \text{ or } p \leq m \leq n, \\ -a' & \text{if } n \geq p \text{ and } m \leq p-1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We see that for each n

$$\chi_n = \sum_{m=1}^{p-1} \sum_{k=m}^{p-1} |a_{nk}| \alpha_m + \sum_{m=p}^{\infty} \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} |a_{nk}| \alpha_m + \sum_{m=1}^{p-1} \sum_{k=p}^{\infty} |a_{nk} a'| \alpha_m$$

is convergent since (17) holds. Further, we get for every n

$$c'_{nm} = \begin{cases} \sum_{k=m}^{p-1} a_{nk} - a' \sum_{k=p}^{\infty} a_{nk} & \text{if } 1 \leq m \leq p-1, \\ \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} a_{nk} & \text{if } m \geq p. \end{cases}$$

Reasoning as above we obtain iii).

Assertion iv). Here the equation $\Delta'X = B$ is equivalent to

$$\begin{cases} -x_{n-1} + x_n = b_n & \text{if } n = 1, 2, \dots, p-1, p+1, \dots \\ a'x_q - x_{p-1} + x_p = b_p. \end{cases}$$

We deduce that the solution is

$$(25) \quad \begin{cases} x_n = \sum_{k=1}^n b_k & \text{if } n = 1, 2, \dots, p-1, \\ x_n = \sum_{k=q+1}^n b_k + (1-a') \sum_{k=1}^q b_k & \text{for } n \geq p. \end{cases}$$

Then

$$c_{nm} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } m \leq n \leq p-1 \text{ and } 1 \leq m \leq q, \text{ or } q+1 \leq m \leq n, \\ 1-a' & \text{if } n \geq p \text{ and } 1 \leq m \leq q, \\ 0 & \text{if } m > n. \end{cases}$$

Under (17) we see that the series

$$\chi_n = \sum_{m=1}^q \sum_{k=m}^{p-1} |a_{nk}| \alpha_m + \sum_{m=q+1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} |a_{nk}| \alpha_m \sum_{m=1}^q \sum_{k=p}^{\infty} |a_{nk}| (1-a') \alpha_m$$

is convergent for every $n \geq 1$ and identity (22) is proved. We conclude, since for each n

$$c'_{nm} = \begin{cases} \sum_{k=m}^{p-1} a_{nk} + (1-a') \sum_{k=p}^{\infty} a_{nk} & \text{if } 1 \leq m \leq q, \\ \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} a_{nk} & \text{if } m \geq q+1. \end{cases}$$

Remark 3 Note that if A is a matrix satisfying (17), we have $A \in (s_{\alpha}(\Delta'_{11}(a')), s_{\beta})$ ($a' \neq 0$) if and only if

$$(26) \quad \sup_{n \geq 1} \left[\frac{1}{\beta_n} \left(\frac{1}{|a'|} |R_{n1}| \alpha_1 + \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} |R_{nm}| \alpha_m \right) \right] < \infty.$$

4.3. The distance between two solutions of an infinite linear system

Given B , let $X_{pq}(a')$ (or X') denote the solution of $\Delta'_{pq}(a')X = B$. We shall denote by $Z = \left(\sum_{k=1}^n b_k\right)_{n \geq 1}$ the unique solution of $\Delta X = B$, for short. Then we see that if $q \neq p, p-1$, $Z = X_{pq}(0)$; if $p \geq 2$, then $Z = X_{pp-1}(-1)$ and if $p = q$, $Z = X_{pp}(1)$ for all $p \geq 1$. We have the following results:

Corollary 9. For a given matrix $B \in s_1$, and a given real a' , we have:

i) If $q > p$ and $a' \neq -1$,

$$(27) \quad \|X_{pq}(a') - Z\|_{s_1} = \left| \left(\frac{a'}{a'+1}\right) \sum_{k=1}^q b_k \right|$$

ii) If $a' \neq 0$ for each $p \geq 1$, we have

$$(28) \quad \|X_{pp}(a') - Z\|_{s_1} = \left| \left(\frac{a'-1}{a'}\right) \sum_{k=1}^p b_k \right|.$$

iii) For all $p \geq 2$:

$$(29) \quad \|X_{pp-1}(a') - Z\|_{s_1} = \left| (a'+1) \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} b_k \right|.$$

iv) If $q < p-1$ and $a' \neq -1$:

$$(30) \quad \|X_{pq}(a') - Z\|_{s_1} = \left| a' \sum_{k=1}^q b_k \right|$$

Proof. i) is deduced from the proof of the previous theorem, since $X' - Z = (\xi_n)_{n \geq 1}$, where

$$\xi_n = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n \leq p-1, \\ -\frac{a'}{a'+1} \sum_{k=1}^q b_k & \text{if } n \geq p. \end{cases}$$

Hence $\|X' - Z\|_{s_1} = \sup_{n \geq p} (|\xi_n|) = \left| \left(\frac{a'}{a'+1}\right) \sum_{k=1}^q b_k \right|$. If $p = 1$ one can verify (27) using similar calculations. Analogously we can prove ii), iii) and iv) using (23), (24) and (25) in the proof of Theorem 8.

5. Matrix transformations mapping $s_\alpha \left(\left(\Delta'_{pq}(a') \right)^\mu \right)$ into s_β , μ being any integer

In this section we generalize results given in [1], [6] and [7] concerning matrices mapping $s_1(\Delta^\mu)$ into s_1 . Malkowsky [7] introduced the sequence

$(R_{nm}^{(\mu)})_{n,m \geq 1}$, defined in the following way: $R_{nm}^{(1)} = R_{nm} = \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} a_{nk}$, $R_{nm}^{(s)} = \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} R_{nk}^{(s-1)} \forall s \geq 2$. He proved that $A \in (s_1(\Delta^\mu), s_1)$ if and only if

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{i) For every } n, \text{ the series } \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^\mu a_{nm} \text{ is convergent,} \\ \text{ii) } \sup_n \left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} |R_{nm}^{(\mu)}| \right) < \infty. \end{array} \right.$$

In [1], a necessary and sufficient condition is given for $A \in (s_1(\Delta^{+\mu}), s_1)$. Let us recall the following result given in [6], in which we define for any $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$

$$\binom{\mu + k - 1}{k} = \begin{cases} \frac{\mu(\mu+1)\dots(\mu+k-1)}{k!} & \text{if } k > 0, \\ 1 & \text{if } k = 0. \end{cases}$$

Theorem 10. *Let μ be a complex number. Assume that $A = (a_{nm})_{n,m \geq 1}$ satisfies the condition: for all $n \geq 1$ and $\lambda \neq 1$*

$$(31) \quad \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \binom{\mu + j - 1}{j} \frac{|a_{n,m+j}|}{|1-\lambda|^{\mu+j}} r^m < \infty.$$

For every $\lambda \neq 1$, we have $A \in (s_r((\Delta - \lambda I)^\mu), s_r)$ if and only if

$$(32) \quad \sup_{n \geq 1} \left[\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \left| \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \binom{\mu + j - 1}{j} \frac{a_{n,m+j}}{(1-\lambda)^{\mu+j}} \right| r^{m-n} \right] < \infty.$$

Under (31) in which $\lambda = 0$ and $r = 1$, $A \in (s_1(\Delta^\mu), s_1)$ if and only if

$$\sup_{n \geq 1} \left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \left| \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \binom{\mu + j - 1}{j} a_{n,m+j} \right| \right) < \infty.$$

Now, we need a result generalizing i) in Proposition 2.

Proposition 11. *i) $\alpha \in \Gamma$ if and only if there exists $\nu \geq 1$ such that*

$$\gamma_\nu = \sup_{n \geq \nu+1} \left(\frac{\alpha_{n-1}}{\alpha_n} \right) < 1.$$

ii) If $\alpha \in \Gamma$, then Δ is bijective from s_α into itself.

iii) Let r be a real > 0 . Then Δ is bijective from s_r into itself if and only if $r > 1$.

Proof. i) is obvious. Assertion ii) Denote for any integer $\nu \geq 1$ by $\Sigma^{(\nu)}$ the infinite matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} [\Delta^{(\nu)}]^{-1} & & O \\ & 1 & \\ O & & \ddots \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\Delta^{(\nu)}$ is the finite matrix whose elements are those of the ν first rows and of the ν first columns of Δ . We get $\Sigma^{(\nu)}\Delta = (a_{nm})_{n,m \geq 1}$, with $a_{nn} = 1$ for all n ; $a_{n,n-1} = -1$ for all $n \geq \nu + 1$; and $a_{nm} = 0$ otherwise. We see that if $\alpha \in \Gamma$, there exists an integer $\nu \geq 1$ such that $\|I - \Sigma^{(\nu)}\Delta\|_{s_\alpha} < 1$. We see that $\Sigma^{(\nu)}B \in s_\alpha$ for all $B \in s_\alpha$. Then the equation $\Delta X = B$ being equivalent to

$$\left(\Sigma^{(\nu)}\Delta\right)X = \Sigma^{(\nu)}B$$

admits only one solution in s_α for all $B \in s_\alpha$. This proves that Δ is bijective from s_α into itself.

Assertion iii). The necessity is a direct consequence of ii). Conversely, assume that Δ is bijective from s_r into itself and let $B = (r^n)_{n \geq 1} \in s_r$. The equation $\Delta X = B$ admits the unique solution $X = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n r^i\right)_{n \geq 1} \in s_r$. Then

$$\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n r^i}{r^n} = \frac{r - r^{n+1}}{(1-r)r^n} = O(1) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

which implies that $r > 1$.

Remark 4. *The converse of ii) in the previous proposition is false. Indeed, consider the sequence $\alpha = (\alpha_n)_{n \geq 1}$ defined by*

$$\alpha_n = \begin{cases} \gamma^{2j} & \text{if } n = 2j, \\ \gamma^{2j} & \text{if } n = 2j + 1, \end{cases}$$

for a given $\gamma > 1$. First we see that for all $\nu \geq 1$, $\sup_{n \geq \nu+1} \left(\frac{\alpha_{n-1}}{\alpha_n}\right) = 1$, that is $\alpha \notin \Gamma$. Furthermore, we see that

$$\frac{x_n - x_{n-1}}{\alpha_n} = \frac{x_n}{\alpha_n} - \frac{x_{n-1}}{\alpha_{n-1}} \frac{\alpha_{n-1}}{\alpha_n} = O(1) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

since $\frac{\alpha_{n-1}}{\alpha_n} = \frac{1}{\gamma^2}$ if n is even, and $\frac{\alpha_{n-1}}{\alpha_n} = 1$ if n is odd. This proves that $\Delta X \in s_\alpha$ for all $X \in s_\alpha$. Therefore the equation $\Delta X = B$, where $B \in s_\alpha$ admits only one solution in s_α , since there exists $M > 0$ such that

$$\left| \frac{\sum_{k=1}^n b_k}{\gamma^n} \right| \leq M \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\gamma^{2j}} \leq M \frac{\gamma^2}{\gamma^2 - 1} \quad \text{for all } n.$$

This proves that Δ is bijective from s_α into itself.

Here we deal with the matrix transformations mapping $s_\alpha ((\Delta'_{pq}(a'))^\mu)$ into s_β . We have

Theorem 12. *Let μ, p, q be integers ≥ 1 and a' any scalar. If $\alpha \in \Gamma$ and $\frac{\alpha_{n+1}}{\alpha_n} = O(1)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then*

$$(33) \quad (s_\alpha ((\Delta')^\mu), s_\beta) = S_{\alpha, \beta}.$$

Proof. We only have to prove that $s_\alpha(\Delta') = s_\alpha$ for all p, q, a' . Then, $s_\alpha((\Delta')^\mu) = s_\alpha$ and we deduce the theorem using Proposition 1.

First consider the case when $p = q$ and $a' = 0$. We are going to show that $s_\alpha(\Delta') = s_\alpha$. Take $Z = (z_n)_n \in s_\alpha(\Delta')$. Then $B = \Delta'Z \in s_\alpha$, which implies that the equation $\Delta^+X_p = -B_p$, where ${}^tX_p = (x_p, x_{p+1}, \dots)$ and ${}^tB_p = (b_{p+1}, b_{p+2}, \dots)$ admits the solution ${}^tZ_p = (z_p, z_{p+1}, \dots)$ in s_α . Indeed, since $\alpha \in \Gamma$ we have

$$\left\| I - \Sigma^{(\nu)} \Delta^+ \langle e_1 \rangle \right\|_{s_\alpha} = \left\| I - \Sigma^{(\nu)} \Delta \right\|_{s_\alpha} = \sup_{n \geq \nu+1} \left(\frac{\alpha_{n-1}}{\alpha_n} \right) < 1;$$

and $B \in s_\alpha$ implies

$$\frac{b_{n+p-1}}{\alpha_n} = \frac{b_{n+p-1}}{\alpha_{n+p-1}} \frac{\alpha_{n+p-1}}{\alpha_{n+p-2}} \dots \frac{\alpha_{n+1}}{\alpha_n} = O(1) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

which proves that $B_p \langle u_o \rangle \in s_\alpha$. Using Proposition 1, we deduce that the solutions of the equation $\Delta^+X_p = -B_p$ belong to s_α and can be written in the form $X_p = -\Delta^{-1}B_p \langle u \rangle$ for any scalar u . Then there exists a scalar u_o such that $Z_p = -\Delta^{-1}B_p \langle u_o \rangle \in s_\alpha$. We conclude that $Z \in s_\alpha$, since $\alpha \in \Gamma$ implies

$$\frac{z_n}{\alpha_n} = \frac{z_n}{\alpha_{n-p+1}} \frac{\alpha_{n-p+1}}{\alpha_{n-p+2}} \dots \frac{\alpha_{n-1}}{\alpha_n} = O(1) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

We have proved that $s_\alpha(\Delta') \subset s_\alpha$. Conversely, we see easily that $Z = (z_n)_n \in s_\alpha$ implies $\Delta'Z \in s_\alpha$, since $\alpha \in \Gamma$.

Now we consider the case when $q > p$ and $a' = -1$. Take $Z = (z_n)_n \in s_\alpha(\Delta')$. Then $B = \Delta'X \in s_\alpha$, reasoning as above we see that the equation $\Delta^+X_q = -B_q$, admits $Z_q = -\Delta^{-1}B_q \langle u_o \rangle$ as a solution for a well chosen u_o . This proves that $Z \in s_\alpha$. Conversely, if $X = (x_n)_n \in s_\alpha$, then $\Delta'X \in s_\alpha$.

Finally we consider the case when $q > p$ and $a' \neq -1$ or $p = q$ and $a' \neq 0$ or $q < p$. Take $Z = (z_n)_n \in s_\alpha(\Delta')$. Then $B = \Delta'Z \in s_\alpha$. As we have defined $\Sigma^{(\nu)}$ from Δ in the proof of Proposition 11, we define here $\Sigma'^{(\nu)} = (c'_{nm})_{n,m \geq 1}$ from Δ' . If we put $Z = \Sigma'^{(\nu_0)}Z'$ with $\nu_0 = \sup(p, q)$, then the equation $\Delta'Z = B$ is

equivalent to $(\Delta' \Sigma'^{(\nu_0)}) Z' = B$. One sees that the solution $Z' = (z'_n)_{n \geq 1}$ of the previous equation satisfies

$$(34) \quad \begin{cases} z'_n = b_n & \text{for } n \leq \nu_0, \\ z'_{\nu_0+1} = \sum_{m=1}^{\nu_0} c'_{\nu_0,m} b_m + b_{\nu_0+1}, \\ z'_n - z'_{n-1} = b_n & \text{if } n \geq \nu_0 + 2. \end{cases}$$

Then $\Delta Z'_{\nu_0} = -B_{\nu_0}$, where

$${}^t Z'_{\nu_0} = (z'_{\nu_0+1}, z'_{\nu_0+2}, \dots) \text{ and } {}^t B'_{\nu_0} = \left(\sum_{m=1}^{\nu_0} c'_{\nu_0,m} b_m + b_{\nu_0+1}, b_{\nu_0+2}, \dots, b_n, \dots \right).$$

Since $\alpha \in \Gamma$, we deduce that Z'_{ν_0} and $Z \in s_\alpha$. We have shown that $s_\alpha(\Delta') \subset s_\alpha$. The converse is trivially verified. This proves that $\Delta'_{pq}(a')$ is bijective from s_α into itself.

Remark 5. Note that we cannot have $s_\alpha(\Delta'_{pq}(a')) = s_\alpha$ for all $p, q, \mu \geq 1$. Consider for instance the space $s_1(\Delta'_{2,2}(0))$. It can be shown that

$$s_1(\Delta'_{2,2}(0)) = \left\{ {}^t \left(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_3 + x_4, \dots, \sum_{k=3}^n x_k, \dots \right) / (x_n)_{n \geq 1} \in s_1 \right\},$$

and we see that $X_0 = (n)_n \in s_1(\Delta'_{2,2}(0)) - s_1$.

Remark 6. Note that in the cases when $q > p$ and $a' \neq -1$, or $p = q$ and $a' \neq 0$, or $p = q = 1$ and $a' = 0$, or $q < p$, (33) holds under the single hypothesis $\alpha \in \Gamma$.

Remark 7. Consider the case $p = q \geq 2$ and $a' \neq 0$ and let $A = (a_{nm})_{n,m \geq 1}$ be a matrix such that (17) holds. If $\alpha \in \Gamma$, then (19) is equivalent to (16).

Indeed, from Theorem 8, we have $A \in (s_\alpha(\Delta'_{pq}(a')), s_\beta)$ iff (19) holds, and we conclude using Theorem 13.

Analogously, assume that $q = p - 1$ and A satisfies condition (17). If $\alpha \in \Gamma$, (20) is equivalent to (16).

From Theorem 12 we deduce

Corollary 13. i) Let r_1 and r_2 be two reals, with $r_1 > 1$ and $r_2 > 0$ and $p, q, \mu \geq 1$. Then

$$A \in (s_{r_1}((\Delta'_{pq}(a'))^\mu), s_{r_2}) \text{ if and only if } \sup_{n \geq 1} \left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} |a_{nm}| \frac{r_1^m}{r_2^n} \right) < \infty.$$

ii) If $r_1 > 1$, we get

$$A \in (s_{r_1}((\Delta'_{pq}(a'))^\mu), l^\infty) \text{ if and only if } \sup_{n \geq 1} \left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} |a_{nm}| r_1^m \right) < \infty.$$

Proof. i) We see that $\alpha = (r_1^n)_{n \geq 1} \in \Gamma$, since $\frac{\alpha_{n-1}}{\alpha_n} = \frac{1}{r_1} < 1$, moreover $r_1^{n+p-1}/r_1^n = r_1^{p-1} = O(1)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. ii) is obvious.

References

- [1] Çolak, R., Et, M., On some generalized difference spaces and related matrix transformations, Hokkaido Mathematical Journal Vol. 26 (1997), 483-492.
- [2] Kizmaz, H., On Certain Sequence Spaces, Canad. Math. Bull. 24 (2) (1981), 169-176.
- [3] Labbas, R., de Malafosse, B., On some Banach algebra of infinite matrices and applications, Demonstratio Mathematica vol. 31, 1998.
- [4] Maddox, I.J., Infinite matrices of operators, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg and New York, 1980.
- [5] de Malafosse, B., On the spectrum of the Cesàro operator in the space s_r , Faculté des Sciences de l'Université d'Ankara, Series A1 Mathematics and Statistics Vol. 48 (1999), 53-71.
- [6] de Malafosse, B., Properties of some sets of sequences and application to the spaces of bounded difference sequences of order μ , Faculty of Sciences of Hakkaido Japan. To appear.
- [7] Malkowsky, E., Parashar, S.D., Matrix transformations in spaces of bounded and convergent difference sequences of order m , Analysis 17 (1997), 87-97.
- [8] Malkowsky, E., Linear operators in certain BK spaces, Bolyai Mathematical Studies, S, Approximation Theory and Function Series Budapest (Hungary), 1995, Budapest (1996).
- [9] Malkowsky, E., BK spaces, bases and linear operators, Rend. del Circ. Mat. di Palermo, Serie II. Suppl. 52 (1998), 177-191.
- [10] Okutoyi, J.T., On the spectrum of C_1 as operator on bv , Commun. Fac. Sci. Univ. Ank. Series A₁, V. 41 (1992), 197-207.
- [11] Reade, J.B., On the spectrum of the Cesàro operator, Bull. London Math., Soc. 17 (1985), 263-267.
- [12] Wilansky, A., Summability through Functional Analysis, North-Holland Mathematics Studies 85, 1984.

Received by the editors November 26, 2001.