Novi Sad J. Math. Vol. 29, No. 3, 1999, 89-95 XII Yugoslav Geometric Seminar Novi Sad, October 8-11, 1998

ON A WEAKLY SYMMETRIC RIEMANNIAN MANIFOLD ADMITTING A SPECIAL TYPE OF SEMI-SYMMETRIC METRIC CONNECTION

U. C. De Joydeep Sengupta

Department of Mathematics, University of Kalyani Kalyani-741235, West Bengal, India

Abstract

The object of the present paper is to study a weakly symmetric manifold admitting a special type of semi-symmetric metric connection. It is proved that if a weakly symmetric manifold admits a special type of semi-symmetric metric connection, then it is a particular kind of a weakly Ricci symmetric manifold with non-zero and non-constant scalar curvature and also it is a sub-projective manifold in the sense of Kagan.

AMS Mathematics Subject Classification (1991): 53C25.

Key words and phrases: weakly symmetric manifold, semi-symmetric connection, weakly Ricci symmetric manifold, sub-projective manifold.

1 Introduction

The notion of a weakly symmetric manifold was introduced by L. Tamassy and T. Q. Binh ([1]). Such a manifold has been studied by T. Q. Binh ([2]), M. Prvanović ([3], [4]) and U. C. De and S. Bandyopadhyay [5].

A non-flat Riemannian manifold (M^n, g) (n > 2) is called weakly symmetric if its curvature tensor R satisfies the condition

$$(\nabla_X R)(Y, Z)W = A(X)R(Y, Z)W + B(Y)R(X, Z)W \tag{1}$$

$$+C(Z)R(Y,X)W+D(W)R(Y,Z)X+g(R(Y,Z)W,X)\rho,$$

where ∇ denotes the Levi-Civita connection on (M^n, g) and A, B, C, D and ρ are 1-forms and a vector field respectively which are non-zero simultaneously. Such a manifold has been denoted by $(WS)_n$. In [5] De and Bandyopadhyay proved the existence of a weakly symmetric manifold by an example. It

was proved in [3] that these 1-forms and the vector field must be related as follows

$$B(X) = C(X) = D(X), g(X, \rho) = D(X), \forall X,$$

That is, the weakly symmetric manifold is characterized by the condition

$$(\nabla_X R)(Y, Z)W = A(X)R(Y, Z)W + D(Y)R(X, Z)W$$

$$+D(Z)R(Y, X)W + D(W)R(Y, Z)X + g(R(Y, Z)W, X)\rho,$$

$$g(X, \rho) - D(X).$$
(2)

The 1-form A and D are the first and the second associated 1-forms respectively. Further, in a recent paper [6] Tamassy and Binh introduced the concept of weakly Ricci symmetric manifold. A non-flat Riemannian manifold $(M^n, g)(n > 2)$ is called weakly symmetric if its Ricci tensor S is not identically zero and if it satisfies the condition

$$(\nabla_X S)(Y, Z) = A(X)S(Y, Z) + B(Y)S(X, Z) + C(Z)S(Y, X)$$
(3)

Where A, B, C and ∇ have the meaning already stated. Such an n-dimensional manifold is denoted by $(WRS)_n$. From definitions it follows that, in general, a $(WS)_n$ is not necessarily a $(WRS)_n$. It is proved that a $(WS)_n(n > 3)$ admits a special type of semi-symmetric metric connection $\tilde{\nabla}$ whose torsion tensor is given by

$$T(X,Y) = D(Y)X - D(X)Y \tag{4}$$

and whose curvature tensor \tilde{R} and torsion tensor T satisfy the conditions

$$\tilde{R}(X,Y)Z = 0 \tag{5}$$

and

$$(\tilde{\nabla}_X T)(Y, Z) = D(X)T(Y, Z) \tag{6}$$

respectively, then a $(WS)_n$ reduces to a particular kind of a $(WRS)_n$ with non-zero and non-constant scalar curvature. It is also shown that if a $(WS)_n$ admits a type of semi-symmetric metric connection mentioned above, then the manifold is a subprojective manifold in the sense of Kagan.

2 Preliminaries

Let r denote the scalar curvature and L denote the symmetric endomorphism of the tangent space at each point of $(WS)_n$ corresponding to the Ricci tensor, i. e.

$$g(LX,Y) = S(X,Y). (7)$$

for every vector fields X, Y.

From (2) we get

$$(\nabla_X S)(Y, Z) = A(X)S(Y, Z) + D(Y)S(X, Z) + D(Z)S(Y, X)$$
(8)
+ $D(R(X, Y)Z) + D(R(X, Z)Y).$

Contracting (8) we have

$$dr(X) = A(X)r + 4S(X, \rho). \tag{9}$$

We shall use these formulae later.

3 $(WS)_n (n > 3)$ admitting a special type of semisymmetric metric connection

In this section we consider a $(WS)_n$ admitting a special type of semi-symmetric metric connection $\tilde{\nabla}$ whose torsion tensor T and the curvature tensor \tilde{R} satisfy the condition (5) and (6) respectively.

From (4) we have

$$\tilde{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y + D(Y)X - g(X, Y)\rho,\tag{10}$$

where ρ is given by

$$q(X, \rho) = D(X).$$

In virtue of (10) from a known result [7] we get

$$\tilde{R}(X,Y)Z = R(X,Y)Z - \alpha(Y,Z)X + \alpha(X,Z)Y \tag{11}$$

$$-g(Y,Z)QX + g(X,Z)QY,$$

where α is a tensor field of type (0,2) defined by

$$\alpha(X,Y) = (\nabla_X D)(Y) - D(X)D(Y)\frac{1}{2}D(\rho)g(X,Y) \tag{12}$$

and Q is a tensor field of type (1,1) defined by

$$g(QX,Y) = \alpha(X,Y) \tag{13}$$

for any vector fields X, Y.

Moreover, we have

$$(\tilde{\nabla}_X D)(Y) = (\nabla_X D)(Y) - D(X)D(Y) + D(\rho)g(X,Y). \tag{14}$$

From (4) we get

$$(C_{\perp}^{|T})(Y) = (n-1)D(Y) \tag{15}$$

where C_1^l denotes the operator of contraction.

From (15) it follows

$$(\tilde{\nabla}_X C_1^{\dagger} T)(Y) = (n-1)(\tilde{\nabla}_X D)(Y). \tag{16}$$

Again from (6) we get

$$(\tilde{\nabla}XC_{\perp}^{\dagger}T)(Y) = D(X)(C_{\perp}^{\dagger}T)(Y). \tag{17}$$

From (15) and (17) we obtain

$$(\tilde{\nabla}_X C_1^{\dagger} T)(Y) = (n-1)D(X)D(Y). \tag{18}$$

Hence from (16) and (18) we get

$$(\tilde{\nabla}_X D)(Y) = D(X)D(Y). \tag{19}$$

From (14) and (19) we get

$$(\nabla_X D)(Y) = 2D(X)D(Y) - D(\rho)g(X,Y). \tag{20}$$

Using (20), it follows from (12)

$$\alpha(X,Y) = D(X)D(Y) - \frac{1}{2}D(\rho)g(X,Y). \tag{21}$$

From (13) and (21) we get

$$QX = D(X)\rho - \frac{1}{2}D(\rho)X. \tag{22}$$

By virtue of (21) and (22), the equation (11) takes the form

$$\tilde{R}(X,Y)Z = R(X,Y)Z + D(X)[D(Z)Y - g(Y,Z)\rho]$$
(23)

$$+D(Y)[g(X,Z)\rho - D(Z)X] + D(\rho)[g(Y,Z)X - g(X,Z)Y].$$

Now using the condition (5), it follows from (23) that

$$R(X,Y)Z = D(X)[g(Y,Z)\rho - D(Z)Y]$$
(24)

$$-D(Y)[g(X,Y)\rho - D(Z)X] + D(\rho)[g(Y,Z)X - g(X,Z)Y].$$

Contracting (24) we have

$$S(Y,Z) = -(n-2)D(\rho)g(Y,Z) + (n-2)D(Y)D(Z). \tag{25}$$

Now contracting (25) we obtain

$$r = -(n-1)(n-2)D(\rho). (26)$$

Putting $Z = \rho$ in (25) we get

$$S(Y, \rho) = 0. \tag{27}$$

Again from (24) we have

$$R(X,Y)Z=0.$$

Therefore

$$R(X, Y, Z, \rho) = 0,$$

where

$$R(X, Y, Z, U) = g(R(X, Y)Z, U)$$

and hence

$$D(R(X,Y)Z) = 0. (28)$$

Using (28) from (8) we get

$$(\nabla_X S)(Y, Z) = A(X)S(Y, Z) + D(Y)S(X, Z) + D(Z)S(Y, X). \tag{29}$$

This shows, in virtue of (3), that the $(WS)_n$ under consideration is a particular kind of $(WRS)_n$.

Since $D(\rho) \neq 0$, it follows from (26) that $r \neq 0$.

Again in virtue of (26) it follows from (9) that r can not be constant, for if r is constant, then r must be zero. Also from (20) we find that the 1-form D is closed. Summing up we can state the following theorem:

Theorem 1 If a $(WS)_n$ (n > 3) admits a semi-symmetric metric connection whose torsion tensor is given by (4) and whose curvature tensor \tilde{R} and torsion tensor T satisfy the conditions (5) and (6) respectively, then it is a particular case of $(WRS)_n$ (n > 3) with non-constant and non-zero scalar curvature.

From (9) and (27) it follows that

$$dr(X) = A(X)r. (30)$$

Further, we get

$$rdA(X,Y) = 0.$$

Since $D(\rho) \neq 0$, it follows from (26) that

$$r \neq 0$$
.

Therefore A is closed.

From (7) and (25) it follows that

$$L(X) = -(n-2)D(\rho)X + (n-2)D(X)\rho.$$
(31)

Now,

$$(\nabla_X S)(Y, Z) = (\nabla_X S)(Y, Z) - S(\nabla_X Y, Z) - S(Y, \nabla_X Z). \tag{32}$$

Putting $Z = \rho$ In (32) and using (27) we obtain

$$(\nabla_X S)(Y, \rho) = -S(Y, \nabla_X \rho). \tag{33}$$

By virtue of (7) we get from (33)

$$D(\rho)L(X) = -L(\nabla_X \rho). \tag{34}$$

Now using (31) in (34) it follows that

$$\nabla_X \rho = D(\rho)X + \omega(X)\rho, \tag{35}$$

where

$$\omega(X) = -D(X) + \frac{D(\nabla_X \rho)}{D(\rho)}.$$

Again $D(P) \neq 0$ and ω is closed, it follows from (35) that ρ is a proper concircular vector field [8]. Hence we can state the following result

Theorem 2 If a $(WS)_n$ (n > 3) admits a semi-symmetric metric connection $\tilde{\nabla}$ satisfying (4), (5) and (6), then the vector field ρ is a proper concincular vector field.

It is known [7] that if a Riemannian manifold (M^n, g) (n > 3) admits a semi-symmetric metric connection whose curvature tensor vanishes, then the manifold is conformally flat. In virtue of (5) it follows that the $(WS)_n$ under consideration is conformally flat. Also it is known [9] that if a conformally flat manifold (M^n, g) (n > 3) admits a proper concircular vector field, then the manifold is a subprojective manifold in the sense of Kagan. Since the $(WS)_n$ under consideration is conformally flat and admits a proper concircular vector field, namely vector field ρ , we can state as follows:

Theorem 3 If a $(WS)_n$ admits a semi-symmetric metric connection $\tilde{\nabla}$ satisfying (4), (5) and (6), then the manifold is a subprojective manifold in the sense of Kagan.

References

- L. Tamassy and T. Q. Binh, On weakly symmetric projective symmetric manifolds, Coll. Math. Soc. J. Bolyai 56 (1992), 633-669.
- [2] T. Q. Binh, On weakly symmetric Riemannian manifolds, Publ. Math. Debrecen 42 1-2 (1993), 103-107.
- [3] M. Prvanović, On weakly symmetric Riemannian manifolds, Publ. Math. Debrecen 46 1-2 (1995) 19–25.
- [4] M. Prvanović, On totally umbilical submanifolds immersed in a weakly symmetric Riemannian manifold, Yzves. vuz. Matematika (Kazan) 6 (1998) 54-64.
- [5] U. C. De and S. Bandyopadhyay, On weakly symmetric Riemannian spaces, Publ. Math. Debrecen, to appear.
- [6] L Tamassy and T. Q Binh, On weak symmetries of Einstein and Sasakian manifolds, Tensor, N. S. 53 (1993) 140–148.
- [7] K. Yano, On semi-symmetric connection, Rev. Roumaine Math. Pure Appl. 15 (1970) 1479–1586.
- [8] J. A. Schouten, Ricci-Calculus Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1954.
- [9] T. Adati, On subprojective spaces III, Tohoku Math. J. 3 (1951) 343–358.