

ON CONGRUENCES ON n -GROUPS

Janez Ušan

Institute of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, University of Novi Sad
Trg Dositeja Obradovića 4, 21000 Novi Sad, Yugoslavia

Abstract

Let θ be an equivalence relation in a set Q , and let (Q, F) be an m -groupoid, $m \in N$. Then: a) θ is a congruence relation of the m -groupoid (Q, F) iff for all $a, b \in Q$ and for every sequence c_1^{m-1} over Q (:1.1) the following statement holds

$$\bigwedge_{i=1}^m (a\theta b \Rightarrow F(c_1^{i-1}, a, c_i^{m-1})\theta F(c_1^{i-1}, b, c_i^{m-1})); \text{ and}$$

b) θ is a normal congruence of the m -groupoid (Q, F) iff for all $a, b \in Q$ and for every sequence c_1^m over Q the following statement holds

$$\bigwedge_{i=1}^m (a\theta b \Leftrightarrow F(c_1^{i-1}, a, c_i^{m-1})\theta F(c_1^{i-1}, b, c_i^{m-1})); \text{ (:1.5).}$$

Further on, let (Q, A) be an n -group (:1.2), e its $\{1, n\}$ -neutral operation (:1.3) and f its inversing operation (1.4). The main result of the paper is: If θ is a congruence relation of the n -groupoid (Q, A) , then: 1) θ is a normal congruence of the n -groupoid (Q, A) for every $n \geq 2$; 2) θ is a normal congruence of the $(n-2)$ -groupoid (Q, e) for every $n \geq 3$; 3) θ is a congruence of the $(n-1)$ -groupoid (Q, f) for every $n \geq 2$; and 4) θ is a normal congruence of the $(n-1)$ -groupoid (Q, f) for $n = 2$.

AMS Mathematics Subject Classification (1991): 20N15

Key words and phrases: n -groupoids, n -semigroups, n -quasigroups, n -groups, $\{i, j\}$ -neutral operations on n -groupoids, inversing operation on n -group.

1. Preliminaries

1.1. About the expression a_p^q

Let $p \in N$, $q \in N \cup \{0\}$ and let a be the mapping of the set $\{i | i \in N \wedge i \geq p \wedge i \leq q\}$ into the set S ; $\emptyset \notin S$. Then:

$$a_p^q \text{ stands for } \begin{cases} a_p, \dots, a_q; & p < q \\ a_p; & p = q \\ \text{empty sequence } (= \emptyset); & p > q. \end{cases}$$

For example:

$$A(a_1^{j-1}, A(a_j^{j+n-1}), a_{j+n}^{2n-1}), j \in \{1, \dots, n\}, n \in N \setminus \{1, 2\}, \text{ for } j = n$$

stands for

$$A(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}, A(a_n, \dots, a_{2n-1})).$$

Besides, in some situations *instead of a_p^q we write $(a_i)_{i=p}^q$* (briefly: $(a_i)_p^q$).

For example:

$$(\forall x_i \in Q)_1^q$$

for $q > 1$ stands for

$$\forall x_1 \in Q \dots \forall x_q \in Q$$

[usually, we write: $(\forall x_1 \in Q) \dots (\forall x_q \in Q)$],

for $q = 1$ stands for

$$\forall x_1 \in Q$$

[usually, we write: $(\forall x_1 \in Q)$],

and for $q = 0$ it stands for an empty sequence $(= \emptyset)$.

In *some cases*, instead of a_p^q only, we write: sequence a_p^q (sequence a_p^q over a set S). For example: ... for every sequence a_p^q over a set S And if $p \leq q$, we usually write: $a_p^q \in S$.

1.2. About n -groups

Let $A:Q^n \rightarrow Q$ and $n \in N \setminus \{1\}$. Then:

1) (Q, A) is said to be an n -semigroup iff for every $i \in \{2, \dots, n\}$ and for every $x_1^{2n-1} \in Q$ the equality

$$A(A(x_1^n), x_{n+1}^{2n-1}) = A(x_1^{i-1}, A(x_i^{i+n-1}), x_{i+n}^{2n-1})$$

is satisfied;

2) (Q, A) is said to be an n -quasigroup iff for every $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and for every $a_1^n \in Q$ there is exactly one $x_i \in Q$ such that the equality

$$A(a_1^{i-1}, x_i, a_i^{n-1}) = a_n$$

holds; and

3) (Q, A) is said to be an n -group iff it is both n -semigroup and n -quasigroup. For $n = 2$ it is a group. The notion of an n -group has been introduced in [1].

1.3. On a $\{1, n\}$ -neutral operation in an n -groupoid

Let (Q, A) be an n -groupoid and $n \in N \setminus \{1\}$. Let also \mathbf{e} be an $(n-2)$ -ary operation in Q ; for $n = 2$ this is a nullary operation. We say that \mathbf{e} is a $\{1, n\}$ -neutral operation in the n -groupoid (Q, A) iff the following holds:

$$(1) \quad (\forall a_i \in Q)_1^{n-2} (\forall x \in Q) \\ (A(\mathbf{e}(a_1^{n-2}), a_1^{n-2}, x) = x \wedge A(x, a_1^{n-2}, \mathbf{e}(a_1^{n-2})) = x).$$

For $n = 2$, $\mathbf{e}(a_1^0)(= \mathbf{e}(\emptyset)) = e \in Q$ is a neutral element of the groupoid (Q, A) . The notion of an $\{i, j\}$ -neutral operation of an n -groupoid ($: n \in N \setminus \{1\}, \{i, j\} \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}, i \neq j$) has been introduced in [3]. The following propositions hold:

1.3.1 [3]: *In an n -groupoid ($n \in N \setminus \{1\}$) there is at most one $\{1, n\}$ -neutral operation;*

1.3.2 [3]: *In every n -group there is a $\{1, n\}$ -neutral operation¹;*

1.3.3 [3]: *For $n \geq 3$, an n -semigroup (Q, A) is an n -group iff (Q, A)*

¹The cases $\{i, j\} \neq \{1, n\}$ ($n \geq 3$) were described in [5]

has a $\{1, n\}$ -neutral operation

Therefore, an algebra $(Q, \{A, e\})$ satisfying (1) and

$$(2) \quad (\forall x_i \in Q)_1^{2n-1} \left(\bigwedge_{j=2}^n A(A(x_1^n), x_{n+1}^{2n-1}) = A(x_1^{j-1}, A(x_j^{j+n-1}), x_{j+n}^{2n-1}) \right),$$

for $n \geq 3$, is also taken to be an n -group.

1.4 t On inversing operation in an n -group

The following proposition holds:

1.4.1 [4]: Let (Q, A) be an n -semigroup and $n \in N \setminus \{1\}$. Then:

a) There is at most one $(n-1)$ -ary operation f in Q such that the following formulas hold

$$(3) \quad (\forall a_i \in Q)_1^{n-2} (\forall a \in Q) (\forall x \in Q) \\ A(f(a_1^{n-2}, a), a_1^{n-2}, A(a, a_1^{n-2}, x)) = x$$

and

$$(4) \quad (\forall a_i \in Q)_1^{n-2} (\forall a \in Q) (\forall x \in Q) \\ A(A(x, a_1^{n-2}, a), a_1^{n-2}, f(a_1^{n-2}, a)) = x ;$$

b) If there is an $(n-1)$ -ary operation f in Q such that the formulas (3) and (4) are satisfied, then (Q, A) is an n -group; and

c) If (Q, A) is an n -group, then there is an $(n-1)$ -ary operation f in Q such that the formulas (3) and (4) hold.²

Therefore, an algebra $(Q, \{A, f\})$ satisfying (2), (3) and (4) is also taken to be an n -group.

As for the case $n = 2$ we say that the operation f is an *inversing operation* in the n -group (Q, A) ; [4]. The following propositions hold:

² $f(a_1^{n-2}, a) \stackrel{def}{=} E(a_1^{n-2}, a, a_1^{n-2})$, where E is a $\{1, 2n-1\}$ -neutral operation of a $(2n-1)$ -group (Q, A) ; $A(x_1^{2n-1}) \stackrel{def}{=} A(A(x_1^n), x_{n+1}^{2n-1})$. We note that for $n = 2$, this is the inversing in a group.

1.4.2 [4]: Let (Q, A) be an n -group, e its $\{1, n\}$ -neutral operation, f its inversing operation and $n \in N \setminus \{1\}$. Then the following formula holds:

$$\begin{aligned} & (\forall a_i \in Q)_1^{n-2} (\forall a \in Q) (A(f(a_1^{n-2}, a), a_1^{n-2}, a) = \\ & e(a_1^{n-2}) \wedge A(a, a_1^{n-2}, f(a_1^{n-2}, a)) = e(a_1^{n-2})); \end{aligned}$$

and

1.4.3 [4] Let (Q, A) be an n -group, e its $\{1, n\}$ -neutral operation, f its inversing operation and $n \in N \setminus \{1\}$. Then the formula holds:

$$\begin{aligned} & (\forall x \in Q) (\forall y \in Q) (\forall a_i \in Q)_1^{n-2} (\forall b_i \in Q)_1^{n-2} \\ & A(x, b_1^{n-2}, y) = A(A(x, a_1^{n-2}, f(a_1^{n-2}, e(b_1^{n-2}))), a_1^{n-2}, y)^3 \end{aligned}$$

1.5. On congruences in an m -groupoid

Let (Q, F) be an m -groupoid and $m \in N$. Let also Θ be an equivalence relation in the set Q . Then, Θ is a *congruence relation* on the m -groupoid (Q, F) iff the following holds:

$$(\forall a_j \in Q)_1^m (\forall b_j \in Q)_1^m ((\bigwedge_{i=1}^m a_i \Theta b_i) \implies F(a_1^m) \Theta F(b_1^m)).$$

The following proposition is true: Θ is a *congruence* on an m -groupoid (Q, F) iff the following holds:

$$\begin{aligned} & (\forall a \in Q) (\forall b \in Q) (\forall c_j \in Q)_1^{m-1} \\ & (\bigwedge_{i=1}^m (a \Theta b \implies F(c_1^{i-1}, a, c_i^{m-1}) \Theta F(c_1^{i-1}, b, c_i^{m-1}))). \end{aligned}$$

A congruence relation Θ on an m -groupoid (Q, F) is said to be *normal* iff the following holds:

$$\begin{aligned} & (\forall a \in Q) (\forall b \in Q) (\forall c_j \in Q)_1^{m-1} \\ & (\bigwedge_{i=1}^m (F(c_1^{i-1}, a, c_i^{m-1}) \Theta F(c_1^{i-1}, b, c_i^{m-1}) \implies a \Theta b)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, an equivalence relation Θ in a set Q is a *normal congruence relation* on an m -groupoid (Q, F) iff the following holds:

$$(\forall a \in Q) (\forall b \in Q) (\forall c_j \in Q)_1^{m-1}$$

³ For $n = 2$: $(\forall x \in Q) (\forall y \in Q) A(x, y) = A(x, y)$.

$$\left(\bigwedge_{i=1}^m (a\Theta b \iff F(c_1^{i-1}, a, c_i^{m-1})\Theta F(c_1^{i-1}, b, c_i^{m-1}))\right)^4.$$

2. Main result

Theorem 2.1. *Let (Q, A) be an n -group, e its $\{1, n\}$ -neutral operation, f its inversing operation and $n \in N \setminus \{1, 2\}$. Let also Θ be an equivalence relation on Q satisfying:*

$$(0) \quad (\forall a \in Q)(\forall b \in Q)(\forall c_j \in Q)_1^{n-1} \\ \left(\bigwedge_{i=1}^n (a\Theta b \implies A(c_1^{i-1}, a, c_i^{n-1})\Theta A(c_1^{i-1}, b, c_i^{n-1}))\right)^5$$

Then, the following statements hold:

$$(1) \quad (\forall a \in Q)(\forall b \in Q)(\forall c_j \in Q)_1^{n-1} \\ \left(\bigwedge_{i=1}^n (a\Theta b \iff A(c_1^{i-1}, a, c_i^{n-1})\Theta A(c_1^{i-1}, b, c_i^{n-1}))\right);$$

$$(2) \quad (\forall a \in Q)(\forall b \in Q)(\forall c_j \in Q)_1^{n-2} \\ (a\Theta b \iff f(c_1^{n-2}, a)\Theta f(c_1^{n-2}, b));$$

$$(3) \quad (\forall a \in Q)(\forall b \in Q)(\forall c_j \in Q)_1^{n-3} \\ \left(\bigwedge_{i=1}^{n-2} (a\Theta b \iff e(c_1^{i-1}, a, c_i^{n-3})\Theta e(c_1^{i-1}, b, c_i^{n-3}))\right); \text{ and}$$

$$(4) \quad (\forall a \in Q)(\forall b \in Q)(\forall c_j \in Q)_1^{n-2} \\ \left(\bigwedge_{i=1}^{n-1} (a\Theta b \implies f(c_1^{i-1}, a, c_i^{n-2})\Theta f(c_1^{i-1}, b, c_i^{n-2}))\right).$$

Proof.

1) The following holds:

$$(0') \quad (\forall a \in Q)(\forall b \in Q)(\forall c_j \in Q)_1^{n-1} \\ \left(\bigwedge_{i=1}^n (A(c_1^{i-1}, a, c_i^{n-1})\Theta A(c_1^{i-1}, b, c_i^{n-1}) \implies a\Theta b)\right).$$

Indeed:

⁴For $m = 2$ e.g. in [2].

⁵To see : 1.5.

Let a, b, c_1^{n-1} be arbitrary elements of the set Q such that

$$A(c_1^{i-1}, a, c_i^{n-1}) \Theta A(c_1^{i-1}, b, c_i^{n-1}); i \in \{1, \dots, n\}.$$

We shall consider, respectively, the cases: $i = 1$, $i = n$ and $i \in \{1, \dots, n\} \setminus \{1, n\}$.

$i = 1$: By the assumption (0) and 1.4.1, we have the following sequence of implications

$$\begin{aligned} A(a, c_1^{n-1}) \Theta A(b, c_1^{n-1}) &\implies \\ A(A(a, c_1^{n-1}), c_1^{n-2}, f(c_1^{n-1})) \Theta A(A(b, c_1^{n-1}), c_1^{n-2}, f(c_1^{n-1})) &\implies \\ a \Theta b, \end{aligned}$$

hence

$$A(a, c_1^{n-1}) \Theta A(b, c_1^{n-1}) \implies a \Theta b,$$

$i = n$: By the assumption (0) and 1.4.1, we have the following implications:

$$\begin{aligned} A(c_1^{n-1}, a) \Theta A(c_1^{n-1}, b) &\implies \\ A(f(c_2^{n-1}, c_1), c_2^{n-1}, A(c_1^{n-1}, b)) \Theta A(f(c_2^{n-1}, c_1), c_2^{n-1}, A(c_1^{n-1}, a)) &\implies \\ a \Theta b, \end{aligned}$$

and thereby

$$A(c_1^{n-1}, a) \Theta A(c_1^{n-1}, b) \implies a \Theta b.$$

$i \in \{1, \dots, n\} \setminus \{1, n\}$: By the assumption (0), and since (Q, A) is an n -semigroup, and also by (0') for $i = 1$ and $i = n$, we have the implications

$$\begin{aligned} A(c_1^{i-1}, a, c_i^{n-1}) \Theta A(c_1^{i-1}, b, c_i^{n-1}) &\implies \\ A(d_i^{n-1}, A(c_1^{i-1}, a, c_i^{n-1}), d_1^{i-1}) \Theta A(d_i^{n-1}, A(c_1^{i-1}, b, c_i^{n-1}), d_1^{i-1}) &\implies \\ A(A(d_i^{n-1}, c_1^{i-1}, a), c_i^{n-1}, d_1^{i-1}) \Theta A(A(d_i^{n-1}, c_1^{i-1}, b), c_i^{n-1}, d_1^{i-1}) &\implies \\ A(d_i^{n-1}, c_1^{i-1}, a) \Theta A(d_i^{n-1}, c_1^{i-1}, b) &\implies \\ a \Theta b, \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$A(c_1^{i-1}, a, c_i^{n-1})\Theta A(c_1^{i-1}, b, c_i^{n-1}) \implies a\Theta b.$$

Since the conjunction of (0) and (0') is equivalent with (1), we conclude that (1) holds.

2) By (just proved) proposition (1), by 1.2, by 1.4 (:Proposition 1.4.2), and by 1.3, the following sequence of equivalences hold

$$\begin{aligned} f(c_1^{n-2}, a)\Theta f(c_1^{n-2}, b) &\iff \\ A(a, c_1^{n-2}, f(c_1^{n-2}, a))\Theta A(a, c_1^{n-2}, f(c_1^{n-2}, b)) &\iff \\ A(A(a, c_1^{n-2}, f(c_1^{n-2}, a)), c_1^{n-2}, b)\Theta A(A(a, c_1^{n-2}, f(c_1^{n-2}, b)), c_1^{n-2}, b) &\iff \\ A(A(a, c_1^{n-2}, f(c_1^{n-2}, a)), c_1^{n-2}, b)\Theta A(a, c_1^{n-2}, A(f(c_1^{n-2}, b), c_1^{n-2}, b)) &\iff \\ A(e(c_1^{n-2}), c_1^{n-2}, b)\Theta A(a, c_1^{n-2}, e(c_1^{n-2})) &\iff \\ b\Theta a & \end{aligned}$$

for all $a, b, c_1^{n-2} \in Q$, and hence (2) holds.

3) By (1), by 1.4.3 and by (2), we have the following sequence of equivalences

$$\begin{aligned} a\Theta b &\iff A(x, c_1^{i-1}, a, c_i^{n-3}, y)\Theta A(x, c_1^{i-1}, b, c_i^{n-3}, y)^6 \iff \\ A(A(x, a_1^{n-2}, f(a_1^{n-2}, e(c_1^{i-1}, a, c_i^{n-3}))), a_1^{n-2}, y)\Theta & \\ A(A(x, a_1^{n-2}, f(a_1^{n-2}, e(c_1^{i-1}, b, c_i^{n-3}))), a_1^{n-2}, y) &\iff \\ A(x, a_1^{n-2}, f(a_1^{n-2}, e(c_1^{i-1}, a, c_i^{n-3})))\Theta A(x, a_1^{n-2}, f(a_1^{n-2}, e(c_1^{i-1}, b, c_i^{n-3}))) &\iff \\ f(a_1^{n-2}, e(c_1^{i-1}, a, c_i^{n-3}))\Theta f(a_1^{n-2}, e(c_1^{i-1}, b, c_i^{n-3})) &\iff \\ e(c_1^{i-1}, a, c_i^{n-3})\Theta e(c_1^{i-1}, b, c_i^{n-3}), & \end{aligned}$$

and hence, (3) holds.

4) By (3) and since

$$f(a_1^{n-2}, a) \stackrel{def}{=} E(a_1^{n-2}, a, a_1^{n-2}),$$

where E is a $\{1, 2n - 1\}$ -neutral operation of the $(2n - 1)$ -group (Q, A)

⁶ $i \in \{1, \dots, n - 2\}; n \in N \setminus \{1, 2\}$.

(:footnote at 1.4.1), we have the following implications

$$a\Theta b \implies$$

$$E(c_1^{i-1}, a, c_i^{n-3}, c, c_1^{i-1}, a, c_i^{n-3})^7 \Theta$$

$$E(c_1^{i-1}, b, c_i^{n-3}, c, c_1^{i-1}, a, c_i^{n-3}) \text{ and}$$

$$a\Theta b \implies$$

$$E(c_1^{i-1}, b, c_i^{n-3}, c, c_1^{i-1}, a, c_i^{n-3}) \Theta$$

$$E(c_1^{i-1}, b, c_i^{n-3}, c, c_1^{i-1}, b, c_i^{n-3})$$

for all $a, b, c, c_1^{n-3} \in Q$, and hence we have the implication

$$a\Theta b \implies f(c_1^{i-1}, a, c_i^{n-3}, c) \Theta f(c_1^{i-1}, b, c_i^{n-3}, c)$$

for every $i \in \{1, \dots, n - 2\}$ and for every sequence a, b, c, c_1^{n-3} over a set Q , i.e. (4) holds. \square

3. Example

The groupoid $(\{1, 2, 3, 4\}, \cdot)$ represented in Table 1 is a cyclic group. $(\{1, 2, 3, 4\}, A)$, where

$$A(x_1^3) \stackrel{def}{=} x_1 \cdot x_2 \cdot x_3 \cdot 2$$

for all $x_1^3 \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, is a 3-group: tables $2_1 - 2_4$; $A_1(x, y) \stackrel{def}{=} 1 \cdot x \cdot y \cdot 2 = 2 \cdot (x \cdot y)$,

$$A_2(x, y) \stackrel{def}{=} 2 \cdot x \cdot y \cdot 2 = x \cdot y, A_3(x, y) \stackrel{def}{=} 3 \cdot x \cdot y \cdot 2 = 4 \cdot (x \cdot y),$$

$$A_4(x, y) \stackrel{def}{=} 4 \cdot x \cdot y \cdot 2 = 3 \cdot (x \cdot y).$$

\cdot	1	2	3	4
1	1	2	3	4
2	2	1	4	3
3	3	4	2	1
4	4	3	1	2

Table 1

A_1	1	2	3	4
1	2	1	4	3
2	1	2	3	4
3	4	3	1	2
4	3	4	2	1

Table 2₁

⁷ $i \in \{1, \dots, n - 2\}; n \in N \setminus \{1, 2\}$.

A_2	1	2	3	4
1	1	2	3	4
2	2	1	4	3
3	3	4	2	1
4	4	3	1	2

Table 2₂

A_3	1	2	3	4
1	4	3	1	2
2	3	4	2	1
3	1	2	3	4
4	2	1	4	3

Table 2₃

A_4	1	2	3	4
1	3	4	2	1
2	4	3	1	2
3	2	1	4	3
4	1	2	3	4

Table 2₄

The equivalence relation Θ in the set $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ given by

$$\{1, 2, 3, 4\}/\Theta = \{\{1, 2\}, \{3, 4\}\}$$

is a congruence on the 3-group $(\{1, 2, 3, 4\}, A)$; 1.5. The corresponding factor 3-groupoid $(\{1, 2, 3, 4\}/\Theta, \mathbf{A})$ is given in Tables 3₁ and 3₂.

$A_{\{1,2\}}$	$\{1, 2\}$	$\{3, 4\}$
$\{1, 2\}$	$\{1, 2\}$	$\{3, 4\}$
$\{3, 4\}$	$\{3, 4\}$	$\{1, 2\}$

Table 3₁

$A_{\{3,4\}}$	$\{1, 2\}$	$\{3, 4\}$
$\{1, 2\}$	$\{3, 4\}$	$\{1, 2\}$
$\{3, 4\}$	$\{1, 2\}$	$\{3, 4\}$

Table 3₂

A_1	1	2
1	2	1
2	1	2

Table 4₁

A_2	1	2
1	1	2
2	2	1

Table 4₂

A_3	3	4
3	3	4
4	4	3

Table 5₁

A_4	3	4
3	4	3
4	3	4

Table 5₂

$(\{1, 2\}, A)$ and $(\{3, 4\}, A)$ are 3-subgroups of the 3-group $(\{1, 2, 3, 4\}, A)$; respectively Tables 4₁ - 4₂ and Tables 5₁-5₂.

f	1	2	3	4
1	1	2	4	3
2	1	2	4	3
3	2	1	3	4
4	2	1	3	4

Table 6

The inversing operation f in the 3-group $(\{1, 2, 3, 4\}, A)$ is represented in Table 6. (See footnote at 1.4; here:

$$f(x, y) = E(x, y, x), E(x_1^3) = (x_1 \cdot x_2 \cdot x_3)^{-1}, A^2(x_1^5) = A(A(x_1^3), x_4^5) = (x_1 \cdot x_2 \cdot x_3 \cdot 2) \cdot x_4 \cdot x_5 \cdot 2 = x_1 \cdot x_2 \cdot x_3 \cdot x_4 \cdot x_5.$$

4. Remark

4.1: By 1.5, the part of Theorem 2.1 can be formulated also in the following way: Let (Q, A) be an n -group, e its $\{1, n\}$ -neutral operation, Θ its congruence and $n \in N \setminus \{1, 2\}$. Then Θ is a normal congruence relation on the algebra $(Q, \{A, e\})$.

4.2: Theorem 2.1 is proved under the assumption that $n \geq 3$. However, on analyzing the proof, one can easily see that (1) and (2) hold also for $n = 2$. Therefore, the following proposition holds: Let (Q, A) be an n -group, f its inversing operation, Θ its congruence and $n \in N \setminus \{1\}$. Then, for $n = 2$, Θ is a normal congruence relation on the algebra $(Q, \{A, f\})$.

4.3: From Table 6 we can see that the following proposition holds:

$$f(1, 3)\Theta f(3, 3) \wedge \not\equiv 1\Theta 3.$$

Hence, Θ is not a normal congruence of the groupoid $(\{1, 2, 3, 4\}, f)$. (Note that Θ is a congruence on the groupoid $(\{1, 2, 3, 4\}, f)$ indeed.)

References

- [1] Dörnte W., Untersuchungen über einen verallgemeinerten Gruppenbegriff, Math. Z., 29 (1928), 1-19.
- [2] Belousov V. D., Foundation of the theory of quasigroups and loops, Nauka, Moscow, 1967 (In Russian)
- [3] Ušan J., Neutral operations of n -groupoids, Rev. of Research, Fac. of Sci. Univ. of Novi Sad, Math. Ser., 18-2, 1988, 117-126. (In Russian)
- [4] Ušan J., A comment on n -groups, Rev. of Research, Fac. of Sci. Univ. of Novi Sad, Math. Ser., 24,1 (1994), 281-288.

- [5] Ušan J., On n -groups with $\{i, j\}$ -neutral operation for $\{i, j\} \neq \{1, n\}$, Rev. of Research, Fac. of Sci. Univ. of Novi Sad, Math. Ser., 25,2 (1995), 167-178.

Received by the editors August 5, 1996.