

HIGHER ORDER GAUGE-INVARIANT LAGRANGIANS

Gheorghe Munteanu

Department of Geometry, "Transilvania" University of Brasov
Faculty of Science, 2200, Brasov, Romania

Abstract

Generally, the gauge theories have as a geometrical support the associated bundles of a principal G -bundle.

An associate bundle of a k -principal frame bundle $P^k(M)$ is the k -osculator bundle, $\text{Osc}^{(k)}M$ over some manifold M ([8]).

In the paper [9], we defined and studied the general concepts concerning the higher order gauge transformations. The theory becomes consistent when investigating the gauge invariance of k -order Lagrangians.

In the present paper we shall study the global and local invariance of k -order Lagrangians under the gauge infinitesimal transformations from classical gauge theory, generalizing the result from [3].

AMS Mathematics Subject Classification (1991): 53B40

Key words and phrases: Gauge-theory, higher order Lagrange space

1. K -gauge osculator bundle

In many physical theories, in addition to the local transformations on an M manifold appears another transformation determined by one group G (sometimes group of internal symmetry) which acts globally or locally on a manifold F , and is called gauge transformation.

The physical framework of the study is based on the Lagrange formalism, and the adequate geometrical support is the associated bundles of a principal G-bundle.

The particular case when the associate bundle has vector structure presents the advantage of having useful local expressions for physicists([1]). Moreover, if a nonlinear connection on the associate bundle is given, then the calculus is simplified ([2],[3],[7]).

Let (P, π_P, M, G) be a principal bundle, (U, Ψ_U^P) a local map $\Psi_U^P : \pi_P^{-1}(U) \rightarrow U \times G$, $p \rightarrow (x = \pi_P(p), g = \varphi_U(p))$, and $L: G \times F \rightarrow F$, $(g, f) \rightarrow gf$, the action of the group G on F . An associate bundle of P has F as a fibre and is defined by the quotient $E = (P \times F)/G$, identifying the elements $(p, y) \sim (p \cdot g, g^{-1}y)$. A local fibre map on E is (U, Ψ_U^E) , where $\Psi_U^E : \pi_E^{-1}(U) \rightarrow U \times F$, $[p, y] \rightarrow (\pi_E(p), \varphi_U(p)y)$ and as a manifold the change of maps is expressed by $(x, f) \xrightarrow{\Psi_U^E \circ (\Psi_U^E)^{-1}} (x, f)$ $(x, g_{UV}(x)y)$, with $g_{UV}(x) \in G$.

Let us consider $f^P: P \rightarrow P$ an automorphism of principal bundle, i. e. diffeomorphism and the equivariant condition:

$$(1.1) \quad f^P(p \cdot g) = f^P(p) \cdot g \quad .$$

A gauge transformation on P is a pair (f^P, f^0) , where f^0 is a diffeomorphism on the base manifold M and $\pi_P \circ f^P = f^0 \circ \pi_P$.

Usually, f^0 is taken to be id_M , because G is considered as a group of internal symmetry ([4],[5]).

The automorphism f^P induces an automorphism f^E on E , $f^E[p, y] = [f^P(p), y]$.

In the particular case of a product bundle $P = M \times G$ the right translation $L_g: P \rightarrow P$ is a gauge transformation, $L_g(x, g') = (x, g \cdot g')$ called global transformation. If $f^P(x, g') = (x, h(x)g')$ is a gauge transformation, then it is called local transformation.

Let $\dim M = n$, $F = \mathbb{R}^m$ and G be a group of matrices. Then G acts linearly on \mathbb{R}^m . An associate bundle E of (P, π_P, M, G) is said to be a gauge vectorial bundle. The local change of maps on E is of the form: $\tilde{x}^i = x^i(x)$, $\tilde{y}^a = M_b^a(x) \cdot y^b$, where $M_b^a(x) \in G$ and a gauge transformation (f^E, f^0) is locally expressed by: $\tilde{x}^i = X^i(x)$, $\tilde{y}^a = Y^a(x, y)$, with $\det\left(\frac{\partial X^i}{\partial x^j}\right) \cdot \det\left(\frac{\partial Y^a}{\partial y^b}\right) \neq 0$. This kind of gauge transformation is studied on a vector bundle in [1],[2],[7].

Generalizing such ideas a gauge transformation of higher order is studied

in [9].

Let G be a compact subgroup in $GL(m, \mathbb{R})$ and $G^{(k)}$ the k -prolongation of G (The elements of $G^{(k)}$ are k -jets of diffeomorphisms in \mathbb{R}^m to \mathbb{R}^m , preserving the origin and having a base of the \mathbb{R}^m matrix from G). Let $P_G^{(k)}(M)$ be a principal bundle over M and structural group $G^{(k)}$.

If $F = \mathbb{R}^{mk}$, then we can consider the action of $G^{(k)}$ on F :

$$(1.2) \quad gy = (g_{b_1}^a y^{(1)b_1}; g_{b_1 b_2}^a y^{(1)b_1} y^{(1)b_2} + g_{b_1}^a y^{(2)b_1}; \\ g_{b_1 b_2 b_3}^a y^{(1)b_1} y^{(1)b_2} y^{(1)b_3} + g_{b_1 b_2}^a y^{(1)b_1} y^{(2)b_2} + \\ g_{b_1 b_3}^a y^{(1)b_1} y^{(2)b_3} + g_{b_1}^a y^{(3)b_1}; \dots),$$

where $g = (g_{b_1}^a, \dots, g_{b_1 b_2 \dots b_k}^a) \in G^{(k)}$ and $y = (y^{(1)a}, \dots, y^{(k)a}) \in F$.

The associated bundle $(E_k, \pi^k, M, F, G^{(k)})$ of $P_G^{(k)}(M)$ is obtained, and the local coordinates on manifold E_k are changed according to the rules:

$$(1.3) \quad \begin{cases} \tilde{x} = \tilde{x}^i(x) \\ - (1)^a \\ y = g_{b_1}^a(x) y^{(1)b_1} \\ - (2)^a \\ y = g_{b_1 b_2}^a(x) y^{(1)b_1} y^{(1)b_2} + g_{b_1}^a(x) y^{(2)b_1} \\ \dots \end{cases}$$

In the particular situation when $n=m$ and $G \subset GL(n, \mathbb{R})$, $P_G^{(k)}(M)$ is a G -structure of k -order. Moreover, if $G = GL(n, \mathbb{R})$, taking y of the form $y = (y^{(1)i}, 2!y^{(2)i}, \dots, k!y^{(k)i}) \in \mathbb{R}^{nk}$ and $\tilde{x} = \tilde{x}^i(x)$ the local change of coordinates for the maps (U, φ) and $(\tilde{U}, \tilde{\varphi})$ in $x \in M$, the k -jet of $\tilde{\varphi} \circ \varphi^{-1}$ from $G^{(k)}$ is:

$$g_{j_1}^i = \frac{\partial \tilde{x}^i}{\partial x^{j_1}}; g_{j_1 j_2}^i = \frac{\partial^2 \tilde{x}^i}{\partial x^{j_1} \partial x^{j_2}}; \dots; g_{j_1 j_2 \dots j_k}^i = \frac{\partial^k \tilde{x}^i}{\partial x^{j_1} \partial x^{j_2} \dots \partial x^{j_k}}$$

and the (1.3) local changes of coordinates $u = (x^i, y^{(1)i}, \dots, y^{(k)i})$

$$(1.4) \quad \begin{cases} \tilde{x} = \tilde{x}^i(x), \det\left(\frac{\partial \tilde{x}}{\partial x^j}\right) \neq 0 \\ \tilde{y}^{(1)i} = \frac{\partial \tilde{x}^i}{\partial x^j} y^{(1)j} \\ 2 \tilde{y}^{(2)i} = \frac{\partial \tilde{y}^{(1)i}}{\partial x^j} y^{(1)j} + 2 \frac{\tilde{y}^{(1)i}}{y^{(1)j}} y^{(2)j} \\ k \tilde{y}^{(k)i} = \frac{\partial \tilde{y}^{(k-1)i}}{\partial x^j} y^{(1)j} + 2 \frac{\tilde{y}^{(k-1)i}}{\partial y^{(1)j}} y^{(2)j} + \dots + k \frac{\tilde{y}^{(k-1)i}}{\partial y^{(k-1)j}} y^{(k)j}. \end{cases}$$

The formulas (1.4) represent exactly the change of local coordinates on the k -osculator bundle, $\text{Osc}^{(k)}M$, systematically studied by R. Miron ([8]).

We emphasize here the structure of the $\text{Osc}^{(k)}M$ bundle as an associated bundle of principal k -frame bundle.

Definition 1.1 A k -order G -structure of $P_G^{(k)}(M)$ is said to be a gauge k -osculator bundle and is denoted by $\text{GOsc}^{(k)}M$.

It follows that in $u = (x^i, y^{(1)i}, \dots, y^{(k)i}) \in \text{GOsc}^{(k)}M$ the change of coordinates (1.4) is restricted to $\tilde{x}^i = \tilde{x}^i(x)$ for $\left(\frac{\partial \tilde{x}^i}{\partial x^j}\right)(x) \in G$. The whole construction made for the k -osculator bundle remains valid by restriction to $G^{(k)}$.

The bundle $(\text{GOsc}^{(k)}M, \pi^k, M)$ is also a fibre bundle over $\text{GOsc}^{(h)}M$, with $0 \leq h \leq k$ ($\text{GOsc}^{(0)}M \equiv M$). Thus, we have the bundle $(\text{GOsc}^{(k)}M, \pi_h^k, \text{GOsc}^{(h)}M)$, where $\pi_h^k(x, y^{(1)}, \dots, y^{(k)}) = (x, y^{(1)}, \dots, y^{(h)})$.

Definition 1.2. A gauge transformation in $\text{GOsc}^{(k)}M$ is a set of diffeomorphisms $T = \{f^{(0)}, f^{(1)}, \dots, f^{(k)}\}$, $f^{(h)} \in \text{DiffGOsc}^{(h)}M$, $h = \overline{0, k}$, that satisfy the conditions:

$$(1.5) \quad \pi_h^k \circ f^{(k)} = f^{(k)} \circ \pi_h^k (h = \overline{0, k})$$

The set of all gauge transformations in $\text{GOsc}^{(k)}M$ bundle is a group and a study of various geometric objects (nonlinear gauge connections, gauge covariant derivatives, metric gauge structures) related to the gauge transformations is developed in [9]. Here we shall only summarize some ideas.

In local coordinates on the $\text{GOsc}^{(k)}M$ bundle a gauge transformation $T = \{f^{(0)}, f^{(1)}, \dots, f^{(k)}\}$ is represented by the equations:

$$(1.6) \quad \begin{cases} \tilde{x}^i = X^i(x) \\ \tilde{y}^{(1)i} = Y^{(1)i}(x, y^{(1)}) \\ \dots \\ \tilde{y}^{(k)i} = Y^{(k)i}(x, y^{(1)}, \dots, y^{(k)}) \end{cases}$$

with $\det\left(\frac{\partial X^i}{\partial x^j}\right), \det\left(\frac{\partial Y^{(1)i}}{\partial y^{(1)j}}\right), \dots, \det\left(\frac{\partial Y^{(k)i}}{\partial y^{(k)j}}\right) \neq 0$, and globally defined in an open set $U \subset \text{GOsc}^{(k)}M$.

A gauge d-tensor is a system of functions $W_{j_1 \dots j_s}^{i_1 \dots i_r}(u)$ which has the following properties:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{W}_{j_1 \dots j_s}^{i_1 \dots i_r}(\tilde{u}) &= X_{h_1}^{i_1} \dots X_{h_r}^{i_r} \cdot \tilde{X}_{j_1}^{l_1} \dots \tilde{X}_{j_s}^{l_s} W_{l_1 \dots l_s}^{h_1 \dots h_r}(u) \\ \tilde{W}_{j_1 \dots j_r}^{i_1 \dots i_r}(\tilde{u}) &= \frac{\tilde{\partial x}^{i_1}}{\partial x^{h_1}} \dots \frac{\tilde{\partial x}^{i_r}}{\partial x^{h_s}} \cdot \frac{\tilde{\partial x}^{l_1}}{\tilde{\partial x}^{j_1}} \dots \frac{\tilde{\partial x}^{l_s}}{\tilde{\partial x}^{j_s}} W_{l_1 \dots l_s}^{h_1 \dots h_r}(u) \end{aligned}$$

where $X_j^i = \frac{\partial X^i}{\partial x^j}$ and $\tilde{X}_j^i \cdot X_m^j = \delta_m^i$.

A gauge nonlinear connection is a nonlinear connection N ([8]) with the property that the tangent map T_* preserves the distributions defined by N.

If $(\frac{\delta}{\delta x^i}, \frac{\delta}{\delta y^{(1)i}}, \dots, \frac{\delta}{\delta y^{(k)i}})$ is the adapted base determined by N:

$$(1.7) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{\delta}{\delta x^i} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} - N_{(1)i}^j \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{(1)j}} - \dots - N_{(k)i}^j \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{(k)j}} \\ \frac{\delta}{\delta y^{(\alpha)i}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{(\alpha)i}} - N_{(1)i}^j \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{(\alpha)j}} - \dots - N_{(k-\alpha)i}^j \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{(k)j}}, \alpha = \overline{1, k-1} \\ \frac{\delta}{\delta y^{(k)i}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{(k)i}}, \end{cases}$$

where $N_{(h)i}^j$ are the coefficients of nonlinear connection N, then N is a gauge nonlinear connection iff the vectors $(\frac{\delta}{\delta x^i}, \frac{\delta}{\delta y^{(1)i}}, \dots, \frac{\delta}{\delta y^{(k)i}})$ are all gauge d-tensors.

Let $g_{ij}(u)$ be a gauge d-tensor, symmetric and positively defined, $\text{rank}(g_{ij}) = n$. If $\{dx^i, \delta y^{(1)i}, \dots, \delta y^{(k)i}\}$ is the dual base of $(\frac{\delta}{\delta x^i}, \frac{\delta}{\delta y^{(1)i}}, \dots, \frac{\delta}{\delta y^{(k)i}})$, then a metric gauge structure on $\text{GOsc}^{(k)}M$ is defined by the pair $(G, \text{GOsc}^{(k)}M)$, where G is the structure:

$$(1.8) \quad G = g_{ij} dx^i \otimes dx^j + g_{ij} \delta y^{(1)i} \otimes \delta y^{(1)j} + \dots + g_{ij} \delta y^{(k)i} \otimes \delta y^{(k)j}.$$

2. K-order Euler-Lagrange equations

Let us consider $L_0(u)$, $u=(x^i, y^{(1)i}, \dots, y^{(k)i})$, a Lagrangian defined on the domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n(k+1)}$ and $N = (N_{(1)i}^j, \dots, N_{(k)i}^j)$ a nonlinear gauge connection, $G=(g_{ij})$ a metric structure on $\text{GOsc}^{(k)}M$. Certainly, the Lagrangian L_0 depends on the local maps in u, so that the action $\int_{\Omega} L_0(u) d\omega$ is not independent of the choice of coordinates. To eliminate this inconvenience we shall consider the Lagrangian density:

$$(2.1) \quad \mathcal{L}(x, y^{(1)}, \dots, y^{(k)}) = L_0(x, y^{(1)}, \dots, y^{(k)}) \cdot (\sqrt{g})^{k+1},$$

with $g = \det(g_{ij})$, that is independent of the system of local coordinates.

In gauge theories the Lagrangian L_0 depends on the coordinates of the point u by means of matter fields Φ^A , $A = \overline{1, p}$ and their derivatives $\frac{\delta\Phi^A}{\delta x^i}$, $\frac{\delta\Phi^A}{\delta y^{(\alpha)i}}$, so that we have:

$$(2.2) \quad L_0(x, y^{(1)}, \dots, y^{(k)}) = L(\Phi^A, \frac{\delta\Phi^A}{\delta x^i}, \frac{\delta\Phi^A}{\delta y^{(1)i}}, \dots, \frac{\delta\Phi^A}{\delta y^{(k)i}}), \quad A = \overline{1, p}.$$

The matter fields Φ^A are supposed to be gauge scalars and because the nonlinear connection is a gauge, their derivatives are gauge vectors.

The extremal value of the action $I(\Phi^A) = \int_{\Omega} \mathcal{L}(\Phi^A) d\omega$ results from the variational principle $\delta I(\Phi^A) = 0$ and involves the following k-order Euler-Lagrange equation ([9]):

$$(2.3) \quad \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \Phi^A} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \left(\frac{\delta \Phi^A}{\delta x^i} \right)} - \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{(1)i}} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \left(\frac{\delta \Phi^A}{\delta y^{(1)i}} \right)} - \dots - \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{(k)i}} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \left(\frac{\delta \Phi^A}{\delta y^{(k)i}} \right)} = 0$$

Taking into account the fact that L depends on $\frac{\delta\Phi^A}{\delta x^i}$ by means of $\frac{\delta\Phi^A}{\delta x^i}$, and $\frac{\delta\Phi^A}{\delta y^{(1)i}}$ by means of $\frac{\delta\Phi^A}{\delta x^i}$ and $\frac{\delta\Phi^A}{\delta y^{(1)i}}$, e.t.c., after a direct calculus we obtain the k-order (E-L) equation in adapted base (1.7):

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{aligned} & \sqrt{g^{k+1}} \left\{ \frac{\partial L}{\partial \Phi^A} - \frac{\delta}{\delta x^i} \left(\frac{h^i}{\Phi_A} \right) - \frac{\delta}{\delta y^{(1)i}} \left(\frac{v_1^i}{\Phi_A} \right) - \dots - \frac{\delta}{\delta y^{(k)i}} \left(\frac{v_k^i}{\Phi_A} \right) + \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{\delta N_{(1)j}^i}{\delta y^{(1)i}} \frac{h^j}{\Phi_A} + \frac{\delta N_{(2)j}^i}{\delta y^{(2)i}} \frac{v_1^j}{\Phi_A} + \dots + \frac{\delta N_{(k)j}^i}{\delta y^{(k)i}} \frac{v_{k-1}^j}{\Phi_A} + \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{\delta N_{(2)j}^i}{\delta y^{(k)i}} \frac{v_{k-2}^j}{\Phi_A} + \dots + \frac{\delta N_{(k)j}^i}{\delta y^{(k)i}} \frac{h^j}{\Phi_A} \right\} = \\ & = \frac{\delta \sqrt{g^{k+1}}}{\delta x^i} \frac{h^i}{\Phi_A} + \frac{\delta \sqrt{g^{k+1}}}{\delta y^{(1)i}} \frac{v_1^i}{\Phi_A} + \dots + \frac{\delta \sqrt{g^{k+1}}}{\delta y^{(k)i}} \frac{v_k^i}{\Phi_A}. \end{aligned}$$

where we use the gauge vectors:

$$\frac{h^i}{\Phi_A} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \left(\frac{\delta \Phi^A}{\delta x^i} \right)}, \quad \frac{v_{\alpha}^i}{\Phi_A} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \left(\frac{\delta \Phi^A}{\delta y^{(\alpha)i}} \right)} |_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_{\alpha-1}},$$

with $c_1 = \frac{\delta \Phi}{\delta x^j} = \text{const}$, $c_2 = \frac{\delta \Phi}{\delta y^{(1)j}} = \text{const}$, etc.

Since the adapted base changes with respect to (1.4) according to the rules: $\frac{\delta}{\delta x^i} = \frac{\partial \tilde{x}^j}{\partial x^i} \frac{\delta}{\delta \tilde{x}^j}$, $\frac{\delta}{\delta y^{(\alpha)i}} = \frac{\partial \tilde{x}^j}{\partial x^i} \frac{\delta}{\delta \tilde{y}^{(\alpha)j}}$, $\alpha = \overline{1, k}$, it is easy to check

A gauge d-tensor is a system of functions $W_{j_1 \dots j_s}^{i_1 \dots i_r}(u)$ which has the following properties:

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{W}_{j_1 \dots j_s}^{i_1 \dots i_r}(\bar{u}) &= X_{h_1}^{i_1} \dots X_{h_r}^{i_r} \cdot \bar{X}_{j_1}^{l_1} \dots \bar{X}_{j_s}^{l_s} W_{l_1 \dots l_s}^{h_1 \dots h_r}(u) \\ \tilde{W}_{j_1 \dots j_s}^{i_1 \dots i_r}(\tilde{u}) &= \frac{\partial \tilde{x}^{i_1}}{\partial x^{h_1}} \dots \frac{\partial \tilde{x}^{i_r}}{\partial x^{h_r}} \cdot \frac{\partial x^{l_1}}{\partial \tilde{x}^{j_1}} \dots \frac{\partial x^{l_s}}{\partial \tilde{x}^{j_s}} W_{l_1 \dots l_s}^{h_1 \dots h_r}(u)\end{aligned}$$

where $X_j^i = \frac{\partial X^i}{\partial x^j}$ and $\bar{X}_j^i \cdot X_m^j = \delta_m^i$.

A gauge nonlinear collection is a nonlinear collection N ([8]) with the property that the tangent map T_* preserves the distributions defined by N.

If $\left(\frac{\delta}{\delta x^i}, \frac{\delta}{\delta y^{(1)i}}, \dots, \frac{\delta}{\delta y^{(k)i}}\right)$ is the adapted base determined by N:

$$(1.7) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{\delta}{\delta x^i} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} - N_{(1)i}^j \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{(1)j}} - \dots - N_{(k)i}^j \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{(k)j}} \\ \frac{\delta}{\delta y^{(\alpha)i}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{(\alpha)i}} - N_{(1)i}^j \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{(\alpha)j}} - \dots - N_{(k-\alpha)i}^j \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{(k)j}}, \alpha = \overline{1, k-1} \\ \frac{\delta}{\delta y^{(k)i}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{(k)i}}, \end{cases}$$

where $N_{(h)i}^j$ are the coefficients of nonlinear connection N, then N is a gauge nonlinear connection iff the vectors $\left(\frac{\delta}{\delta x^i}, \frac{\delta}{\delta y^{(1)i}}, \dots, \frac{\delta}{\delta y^{(k)i}}\right)$ are all gauge d-tensors.

Let $g_{ij}(u)$ be a gauge d-tensor, symmetric and positively defined, $\text{rank}(g_{ij}) = n$. If $\{dx^i, \delta y^{(1)i}, \dots, \delta y^{(k)i}\}$ is the dual base of $\left(\frac{\delta}{\delta x^i}, \frac{\delta}{\delta y^{(1)i}}, \dots, \frac{\delta}{\delta y^{(k)i}}\right)$, then a metric gauge structure on $\text{GOsc}^{(k)}M$ is defined by the pair $(G, \text{GOsc}^{(k)}M)$, where G is the structure:

$$(1.8) \quad G = g_{ij} dx^i \otimes dx^j + g_{ij} \delta y^{(1)i} \otimes \delta y^{(1)j} + \dots + g_{ij} \delta y^{(k)i} \otimes \delta y^{(k)j}.$$

2. K-order Euler-Lagrange equations

Let us consider $L_0(u)$, $u=(x^i, y^{(1)i}, \dots, y^{(k)i})$, a Lagrangian defined on the domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n(k+1)}$ and $N = (N_{(1)i}^j, \dots, N_{(k)i}^j)$ a nonlinear gauge connection, $G=(g_{ij})$ a metric structure on $\text{GOsc}^{(k)}M$. Certainly, the Lagrangian L_0 depends on the local maps in u, so that the action $\int_{\Omega} L_0(u) d\omega$ is not independent of the choice of coordinates. To eliminate this inconvenience we shall consider the Lagrangian density:

$$(2.1) \quad \mathcal{L}(x, y^{(1)}, \dots, y^{(k)}) = L_0(x, y^{(1)}, \dots, y^{(k)}) \cdot (\sqrt{g})^{k+1},$$

up the invariance of the equations (2.4) with respect to the local change of coordinates (1.4) on GOsc^kM . The gauge invariance of the equations (2.4) will be studied in the following sections in the special case of gauge infinitesimal transformations.

Now let us give a simplified form of the equations (2.4). Let us consider $(L_{kj}^i, C_{(\alpha)kj}^i)$ the coefficients of a given d-connection on the GOsc^kM bundle ([8]) and

$$X|_k = \frac{\delta X^i}{\delta x^k} + L_{kj}^i X^j ; X^i|_k = \frac{\delta X^i}{\delta y^{(\alpha)k}} + C_{(\alpha)jk}^i, \alpha = 1, k$$

the rules of horizontal and v_α -vertical derivatives of one d-vector X. Then equations (2.4) are written in the equivalent form:

$$(2.5) \quad \frac{\partial L}{\partial \Phi^A} - \Phi_A|_i \frac{h^i}{\delta x^i} - \Phi_A|_i \frac{v_1^i}{\delta y^{(1)i}} - \dots - \Phi_A|_i \frac{v_k^i}{\delta y^{(k)i}} = E_A$$

where:

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{aligned} E_A = & \frac{1}{\sqrt{g^{k+1}}} \left\{ \frac{\delta \sqrt{g^{k+1}}}{\delta x^i} \Phi_A^i + \dots + \frac{\delta \sqrt{g^{k+1}}}{\delta y^{(k)i}} \Phi_A^i \right\} - \\ & - \left\{ L_{ji}^i + \frac{\delta N_{(1)j}^i}{\delta y^{(1)i}} + \dots + \frac{\delta N_{(k)j}^i}{\delta y^{(k)i}} \right\} \Phi_A^j - \\ & - \left\{ C_{(1)ji}^i + \frac{\delta N_{(1)j}^i}{\delta y^{(2)i}} + \dots + \frac{\delta N_{(k-1)j}^i}{\delta y^{(k)i}} \right\} \Phi_A^j - \dots - \\ & - \left\{ C_{(k-1)ji}^i + \frac{\delta N_{(1)j}^i}{\delta y^{(k)i}} \right\} \Phi_A^{j-1} - C_{(k)ji}^i \Phi_A^j. \end{aligned}$$

From the invariance of the left-hand side of equations (2.5) relative to the local changes of coordinates, it results that E_A are p scalar fields. Moreover, if the d-linear connection is gauge, then E_A becomes gauge scalar fields. For a particular choice of nonlinear connection and d-linear connection (Berwald type, for instance), the form (2.6) of E_A is simplified.

3. Global gauge invariance of k-order Lagrangians

Let us note that a gauge transformation $T = \{f^{(0)}, f^{(1)}, \dots, f^{(k)}\}$ locally represented by equations (1.6) in the form $\bar{u} = T(u)$, $u = (x, y^{(1)}, \dots, y^{(k)})$, is globally defined in a set $U \subset \text{GOsc}^k\text{M}$ and therefore depends implicitly on the elements of the Lie group G.

We shall suppose that $\dim G = m$ and $a = (a^1, a^2, \dots, a^m)$ are the parameters of the group G and that the gauge transformation (1.6) preserves the

identity. Hence, a gauge transformation is represented by the equations:

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{cases} \bar{u} = \mathcal{T}(u, a) \\ u = \mathcal{T}(u, 0) \end{cases}$$

Now let us consider an infinitesimal variation of parameters.

Taking the first terms in the Taylor series results in the infinitesimal transformation:

$$(3.2) \quad \bar{u} = u + \xi_\lambda \epsilon^\lambda,$$

where $\xi_\lambda(u) = \frac{\partial \tau}{\partial a^\lambda} |_{a^\lambda=0}$ and $\epsilon^\lambda = \delta a^\lambda$

The variation $\Delta u = \bar{u} - u = \xi_\lambda \epsilon^\lambda$ has the components :

$$\Delta y^{(\alpha)i} = \xi_\lambda^{(\alpha)i} \epsilon^\lambda, \text{ where } \xi_\lambda^{(\alpha)i} = \frac{\partial f^{(\alpha)}}{\partial a^\lambda} |_{a^\lambda=0}, \alpha = \overline{0, k}, y^{(0)i} = x^i.$$

An infinitesimal transformation of the matter field $\Phi^A(u)$ will be

$$(3.3) \quad \bar{\Phi}^A - \Phi^A = \delta \Phi^A = \frac{\partial \phi^A}{\partial y^{(\alpha)i}} \Delta y^{(\alpha)i} = \xi_\lambda^{(\alpha)i} \frac{\partial \phi^A}{\partial y^{(\alpha)i}} \epsilon^\lambda = (X_\lambda \Phi^A) \epsilon^\lambda.$$

The operators $X_\lambda = \xi_\lambda^{(\alpha)i} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{(\alpha)i}}$ are the generators of the transformation group and obey the structure equations $[X_\lambda, X_\mu] = C'_{\lambda\mu}{}^\nu X_\nu$, where $C'_{\lambda\mu}{}^\nu$ are the Cartan coefficients.

For all the p fields Φ^A we consider a real representation ρ in a p -dimensional space and $[X_\lambda]_B^A$ be the matrix of X_λ with respect to the representation ρ .

Therefore, an infinitesimal transformation of Φ^A is written:

$$(3.4) \quad \bar{\Phi}^A = \Phi^A + \delta \Phi^A,$$

where $\delta \Phi^A = \epsilon^\lambda [X_\lambda]_B^A \Phi^B$.

Definition 3.1. *The transformation (3.2) in which ϵ^λ are constants, $\lambda = \overline{1, m}$, is called global gauge transformation.*

In this paragraph we shall study the invariance of k -order Lagrangians to the infinitesimal transformation (3.4) determined by a global gauge transformation.

Analogous results can be inferred for $\overset{v_\alpha^i}{\Phi^A}$.

From (3.7) it follows:

Theorem 3.1. *The global conservative laws of a k-order Lagrangian are the gauge scalar conditions:*

$$(3.9) \quad J_{\lambda | i}^{(h)^i} + J_{\lambda | i}^{(v_1)^i} + \dots + J_{\lambda | i}^{(v_k)^i} = E_A [X_\lambda]_B^A \Phi^B, \lambda = \overline{1, m}.$$

4. Local gauge invariance of k-order Lagrangians.

Let us note that in infinitesimal transformations (3.2) the parameters ϵ^λ of the group can depend on the local point u in the $\text{GOsc}^k M$ bundle. In this case the transformation (3.2) is called *local* gauge transformation.

The infinitesimal transformation (3.4) will be:

$$(4.1) \quad \bar{\Phi}^A = \Phi^A + \delta\Phi^A \quad \text{where} \quad \delta\Phi^A = \epsilon^\lambda(u) \cdot [X_\lambda]_B^A \Phi^B$$

and the variations of the gauge fields $\frac{\delta\Phi^A}{\delta y^{(\alpha)i}}$ are:

$$(4.2) \quad \delta \left(\frac{\delta\Phi^A}{\delta y^{(\alpha)i}} \right) = \frac{\delta}{\delta y^{(\alpha)i}} (\delta\Phi^A) = \epsilon^\lambda [X_\lambda]_B^A \Phi^B + [X_\lambda]_B^A \Phi^B \frac{\delta\epsilon^\lambda}{\delta y^{(\alpha)i}},$$

for $\alpha = \overline{0, k}$, $y^{(0)i} = x^i$.

The local gauge invariance of a k-order Lagrangian L , $\delta L=0$, in addition to (3.6), implies the condition:

$$(4.3) \quad \left\{ \overset{h^i}{\Phi^A} \frac{\delta\epsilon^\lambda}{\delta x^i} + \overset{v_1^i}{\Phi^A} \frac{\delta\epsilon^\lambda}{\delta y^{(1)i}} + \dots + \overset{v_k^i}{\Phi^A} \frac{\delta\epsilon^\lambda}{\delta y^{(k)i}} \right\} [X_\lambda]_B^A \Phi^B = 0$$

Like in classical gauge theories, for obeying also the condition (4.3), a new Lagrangian is considered:

$$(4.4) \quad L_0(u) = L'(\Phi^A, \frac{\delta\Phi^A}{\delta x^i}, \dots, \frac{\delta\Phi^A}{\delta y^{(k)i}}, H_i^\lambda(u), V_i^{(1)\lambda}(u), \dots, V_i^{(k)\lambda}(u))$$

in which H_i^λ and V_i^λ are the components of the $(k+1)$ gauge d-covectors, called *local gauge fields*, satisfying the following nonhomogeneity conditions of variations:

$$(4.5) \quad \delta H_i^\lambda = \epsilon^\mu [P_\mu]_\nu^\lambda \cdot H_i^\nu + \frac{\delta \epsilon^\lambda}{\delta x^i}$$

$$\delta V_i^{(\alpha)\lambda} = \epsilon^\mu \left[Q_\mu \right]_\nu^\lambda \cdot V_i^{(\alpha)\nu} + \frac{\delta \epsilon^\lambda}{\delta y^{(\alpha)i}}, \alpha = \overline{1, k}, i = \overline{1, n}, \lambda, \mu, \nu = \overline{1, m}.$$

where $[P_\mu]$ and $\left[Q \right]$ are still unknown matrices.

The condition of local gauge invariance of L' , $\delta L' = 0$, is:

$$(4.6) \quad \frac{\partial L'}{\partial \Phi^A} \delta \Phi^A + \frac{\partial L'}{\partial \left(\frac{\delta \Phi^A}{\delta x^i} \right)} \delta \left(\frac{\delta \Phi^A}{\delta x^i} \right) + \dots + \frac{\partial L'}{\partial \left(\frac{\delta \Phi^A}{\delta y^{(k)i}} \right)} \delta \left(\frac{\delta \Phi^A}{\delta y^{(k)i}} \right) +$$

$$+ \frac{\partial L'}{\partial H_i^\lambda} \delta H_i^\lambda + \frac{\partial L'}{\partial V_i^{(1)\lambda}} \delta \left(V_i^{(1)\lambda} \right) + \dots + \frac{\partial L'}{\partial V_i^{(k)\lambda}} \delta \left(V_i^{(k)\lambda} \right) = 0$$

Using the formulas (4.1), (4.2) and (4.5) in (4.6), after separating the independent variations ϵ^λ , $\frac{\delta \epsilon^\lambda}{\delta x^i}$ and $\frac{\delta \epsilon^\lambda}{\delta y^{(\alpha)i}}$, the following local conditions of invariance are obtained:

$$(4.7) \quad \left\{ \frac{\partial L'}{\partial \Phi^A} \Phi^B + \frac{\partial L'}{\partial \left(\frac{\delta \Phi^A}{\delta x^i} \right)} \frac{\delta \Phi^B}{\delta x^i} + \dots + \frac{\partial L'}{\partial \left(\frac{\delta \Phi^A}{\delta y^{(k)i}} \right)} \frac{\delta \Phi^B}{\delta y^{(k)i}} \right\} [X_\lambda]_B^A +$$

$$+ \frac{\partial L'}{\partial H_i^\mu} [P_\lambda]_\nu^\mu \cdot H_i^\nu + \sum_{\alpha=1}^k \frac{\partial L'}{\partial V_i^{(\alpha)\mu}} \left[Q_\lambda \right]_\nu^\mu \cdot V_i^{(\alpha)\mu} = 0$$

$$(4.7') \quad \frac{\partial L'}{\partial \left(\frac{\delta \Phi^A}{\delta x^i} \right)} [X_\lambda]_B^A \Phi^B + \frac{\partial L'}{\partial H_i^\lambda} = 0$$

$$(4.7'') \quad \frac{\partial L'}{\partial \left(\frac{\delta \Phi^A}{\delta y^{(\alpha)i}} \right)} [X_\lambda]_B^A \Phi^B + \frac{\partial L'}{\partial V_i^{(\alpha)\lambda}} = 0, \alpha = \overline{1, k}.$$

The conditions (4.7') and (4.7'') suggest that H_i^λ and $V_i^{(\alpha)\lambda}$ should enter L' as a combination of $\frac{\delta \Phi^A}{\delta x^i}$, respectively $\frac{\delta \Phi^A}{\delta y^{(\alpha)i}}$ and $[X_\lambda]_B^A$.

Let us define the following gauge derivative operators:

$$(4.8) \quad \mathbf{D}_i \Phi^A = \frac{\delta \Phi^A}{\delta x^i} - H_i^\lambda [X_\lambda]_B^A \Phi^B$$

$$(4.9) \quad v_{\mathbf{D}_i}^{\alpha} \Phi^A = \frac{\delta \Phi^A}{\delta y^{(\alpha)i}} - V_i^{(\alpha)\lambda} [X_{\lambda}]_B^A \Phi^B, \quad \alpha = \overline{1, k}.$$

These are gauge tensors because H_i^{λ} , $V_i^{(\alpha)\lambda}$ and $\frac{\delta}{\delta x^i}, \frac{\delta}{\delta y^{(\alpha)i}}$ are gauge tensors.

Now we can look at the Lagrangian L_0 as being

$$(4.10) \quad L_0(u) = L''(\Phi^A, \overset{h}{\mathbf{D}} \Phi^A, \overset{v_1}{\mathbf{D}} \Phi^A, \dots, \overset{v_k}{\mathbf{D}} \Phi^A)$$

The relationships between L' and L'' will be:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial L'}{\partial \Phi^A} &= \frac{\partial L''}{\overset{h}{\partial(\mathbf{D}_i \Phi^B)}} H_i^{\lambda} [X_{\lambda}]_A^B - \sum_{\alpha=1}^k \frac{\partial L''}{\partial \overset{v_{\alpha}}{\mathbf{D}_i \Phi^B}} \cdot V_i^{(\alpha)\lambda} [X_{\lambda}]_A^B \\ \frac{\partial L'}{\partial \left(\frac{\delta \Phi^A}{\delta x^i} \right)} &= \frac{\partial L''}{\partial \left(\overset{h}{\mathbf{D}_i \Phi^A} \right)} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial L'}{\partial \left(\frac{\delta \Phi^A}{\delta y^{(\alpha)i}} \right)} = \frac{\partial L''}{\partial \left(\overset{v_{\alpha}}{\mathbf{D}_i \Phi^A} \right)} \\ \frac{\partial L'}{\partial H_i^{\lambda}} &= -\frac{\partial L''}{\partial \left(\overset{h}{\mathbf{D}_i \Phi^A} \right)} [X_{\lambda}]_B^A \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial L'}{\partial V_i^{(\alpha)\lambda}} = -\frac{\partial L''}{\partial \left(\overset{v_{\alpha}}{\mathbf{D}_i \Phi^A} \right)} [X_{\lambda}]_B^A \Phi^B. \end{aligned}$$

Looking at this relation it is easy to see that the conditions (4.7') and (4.7'') will be obeyed by L'' , and taking into account the expression of the bracket $[X_{\lambda}, X_{\mu}]$, the condition (4.7) becomes:

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{\partial L''}{\partial \Phi^A} [X_{\lambda}]_B^A \Phi^B + \frac{\partial L''}{\partial \left(\overset{h}{\mathbf{D}_i \Phi^A} \right)} \left\{ [X_{\lambda}, X_{\mu}]_B^A - [X_{\nu}]_B^A \cdot [P_{\lambda}]_{\mu}^{\nu} \right\} \cdot \Phi^B \cdot H_i^{\mu} + \\ &+ \frac{\partial L''}{\partial \left(\overset{h}{\mathbf{D}_i \Phi^A} \right)} [X_{\lambda}]_B^A \cdot \overset{h}{\mathbf{D}_i} \Phi^B + \sum_{\alpha=1}^k \frac{\partial L''}{\partial \left(\overset{v_{\alpha}}{\mathbf{D}_i \Phi^A} \right)} \left\{ [X_{\lambda}, X_{\mu}]_B^A - [X_{\nu}]_B^A \cdot \left[\overset{(\alpha)}{Q}_{\lambda}^{\nu} \right]_{\mu} \right\} \cdot \\ &\cdot \Phi^B \cdot \overset{(\alpha)}{V}_i^{\mu} + \sum_{\alpha=1}^k \frac{\partial L''}{\partial \left(\overset{v_{\alpha}}{\mathbf{D}_i \Phi^A} \right)} [X_{\lambda}]_B^A \cdot \overset{v_{\alpha}}{\mathbf{D}_i} \Phi^B = 0 \end{aligned}$$

This condition is simplified if the matrices of gauge fields have as elements the structure constants of group G , $[P_{\lambda}]_{\mu}^{\nu} = \left[\overset{(\alpha)}{Q}_{\lambda}^{\nu} \right]_{\mu} = C_{\lambda\mu}^{\nu}$, where $[X_{\lambda}, X_{\mu}] = C_{\lambda\mu}^{\nu} X_{\nu}$.

It results in the equivariant condition:

$$(4.11) \quad \left\{ \frac{\partial L''}{\partial \Phi^A} \Phi^B + \frac{\partial L''}{\partial \left(\overset{h}{\mathbf{D}_i \Phi^A} \right)} \overset{h}{\mathbf{D}_i} \Phi^B + \sum_{\alpha=1}^k \frac{\partial L''}{\partial \left(\overset{v_{\alpha}}{\mathbf{D}_i \Phi^A} \right)} \overset{v_{\alpha}}{\mathbf{D}_i} \Phi^B \right\} [X_{\lambda}]_B^A = 0$$

For the chosen matrices $[P_\lambda]$ and $[Q_\lambda]$, using the formulas (4.5), (4.8), and (4.9), the following variation of gauge derivatives is obtained,

$$(4.12) \quad \delta(\overset{h}{\mathbf{D}}_i \Phi^A) = \epsilon^\lambda(u) [X_\lambda]_B^A \overset{h}{\mathbf{D}}_i \Phi^B \quad \text{and} \quad \delta(\overset{v_\alpha}{\mathbf{D}}_i \Phi^A) = \epsilon^\lambda(u) [X_\lambda]_B^A \overset{v_\alpha}{\mathbf{D}}_i \Phi^B.$$

Therefore, we have:

Proposition 4.1. *The local gauge invariance of the k -order Lagrangian L'' is deduced from the global gauge invariance of the Lagrangian L .*

The conditions (4.11) and (4.12) are obtained from (3.6) and (3.5) respectively, by replacing $\frac{\delta}{\delta x^i}$, $\frac{\delta}{\delta y^{(\alpha)i}}$ with $\overset{h}{\mathbf{D}}_i$ and $\overset{v_\alpha}{\mathbf{D}}_i$.

However, we want to give a local gauge invariant Lagrangian L' that depends on both Φ^A , $\frac{\delta \Phi^A}{\delta x^i}$, $\frac{\delta \Phi^A}{\delta y^{(\alpha)i}}$ and H_i^λ , V_i^λ .

Having this in mind, we act as in classical electromagnetism ([6]). A local gauge invariant Lagrangian depending on H_i^λ and V_i^λ is built up, and according to general theory ([5]) the local gauge invariant Lagrangian L' is the sum of this with L'' .

Let us consider the brackets ([6]) of two gauge derivatives \mathbf{D}_j and \mathbf{D}_l . If $[\mathbf{D}_j, \mathbf{D}_l] = -F_{jl}^\lambda X_\lambda$, where \mathbf{D}_j or \mathbf{D}_l are one of the gauge derivative (4.8) or (4.9), then the (0.2) gauge d-tensors F_{jl}^λ have the following form for any $\lambda = \overline{1, m}$:

$$(4.13) \quad F_{jl}^{(\lambda)} = \frac{\delta H_l^\lambda}{\delta x^j} - \frac{\delta H_j^\lambda}{\delta x^l} - \frac{1}{2} C_{\mu\nu}^\lambda (H_j^\mu H_l^\nu - H_l^\mu H_j^\nu)$$

$$(4.14) \quad F_{ji}^{(\lambda)} = \frac{\delta V_l^\lambda}{\delta x_{(\beta)}^j} - \frac{\delta H_j^\lambda}{\delta y^{(\alpha)l}} - \frac{1}{2} C_{\mu\nu}^\lambda (H_j^\mu V_l^\nu - H_l^\mu V_j^\nu)$$

$$(4.15) \quad F_{ji}^{(\lambda)} = \frac{\delta V_l^\lambda}{\delta y^{(\alpha)j}} - \frac{\delta V_j^\lambda}{\delta y^{(\beta)l}} - \frac{1}{2} C_{\mu\nu}^\lambda (V_j^\mu V_l^\nu - V_l^\mu V_j^\nu)$$

Starting from (4.8), direct calculus yields the product $\mathbf{D}_j \mathbf{D}_l \Phi^A$ and the variation of F_{jl}^λ of bracket:

$$(4.16) \delta \left(F_{jl}^\lambda \right)^{(h)} = \epsilon^\nu(\mu) C_{\mu\nu}^\lambda F_{jl}^\mu, \quad \text{and similar for } F_{jl}^\lambda \text{ and } F_{jl}^\lambda.$$

Following the ideas from electromagnetism, with this and d-gauge tensors (4.13), (4.14), and (4.15) a set of local gauge invariant Lagrangians with respect to H_i^λ and V_i^λ can be built up. Let us consider for this the Cartan-Killing metric of group G, $h_{\lambda\mu} = C_{\lambda\theta}^\nu \cdot C_{\mu\nu}^\theta$ and $G = (g_{ij}(u))$ the metric structure on the $\text{GOsc}^{(k)}M$ bundle considering it in Lagrangian density.

By direct calculus, as in classical gauge theory, it is checked up that:

Proposition 4.2 *The following Lagrangians:*

$$(4.17) \quad L^{(F)} = -\frac{1}{4} h_{\lambda\mu} g^{ij} g^{lm} F_{il}^\lambda \cdot F_{jm}^\mu$$

$$L^{(F)} = -\frac{1}{2} h_{\lambda\mu} g^{ij} g^{lm} F_{il}^\lambda \cdot F_{jm}^\mu$$

$$L^{(F)} = -\frac{1}{4} h_{\lambda\mu} g^{ij} g^{lm} F_{il}^\lambda \cdot F_{jm}^\mu$$

are local gauge invariant Lagrangians with respect to H_i^λ, V_i^λ , i.e.,

$$\delta L^{(F)} \left(H_i^\lambda, V_i^\lambda, \frac{\delta H_i^\lambda}{\delta x^i}, \frac{\delta H_i^\lambda}{\delta y^{(\alpha)i}}, \frac{\delta V_i^\lambda}{\delta x^i}, \frac{\delta V_i^\lambda}{\delta y^{(\alpha)i}} \right) = 0.$$

Theorem 4.1. *The full local gauge invariant Lagrangian L' from (4.4) is the sum of the Lagrangian L'' obtained as in proposition 4.1 and one of the Lagrangians in (4.17):*

$$(4.18) \quad L' = L'' + L^{(F)}, \quad L' = L'' + L^{(F)}, \quad L' = L'' + L^{(F)}$$

References

- [1] Asanov G.S., Finsler geometry, relativity and gauge theories, D.Reidel, Dordrecht , 1985.
- [2] Balan V., A class of solutions for the generalized gauge Asanov equations, Math. Reports. Academy Eds. Bucharest, 45, 4 (1993), 289-292.
- [3] Bejancu A., Foundatios of direction-dependent gauge theories, Seminarul de Mecanica (13).Univ. Timisoara, 1988, 1-60.
- [4] Bleecker D., Gauge theory and variational principles, Addison -Wesley Publishing Company, 1981.
- [5] Chaichian M., Nelipa N.F., Introduction to gauge field theories, Springer-Verlag, 1984.
- [6] Drechsler W., Mayer M.E., Fiber bundle techniques in gauge theories, Lect. Notes in Physics 67, Spriger-Verlag, 1977.
- [7] Miron R., Anastasiei M., The Geometry of Lagrange Spaces.Theory and Applications, Kluwer Acad. Publ. 1993.
- [8] Miron R., Atanasiu Gh., Compendium sur les espaces Lagrange d'ordre superieur, Seminarul de Mecanica, Univ. Timisoara, 1994, 1-27.
- [9] Munteanu Gh., Techniques of higher order osculator bundle in generalized gauge theory, Proc. of Conf. on Diff. Geom. and Appl., Brno , 1995.
- [10] Utiyama R., Invariant theoretical interpretation of interaction, Phys. Rev. 101 (1956), 1957-1607.

Received by the editors October 26, 1996.