Univ. u Novom Sadu Zb. Rad. Prirod.-Mat. Fak. Ser. Mat. 25, 2 (1995), 167-178 Review of Research Faculty of Science Mathematics Series

ON *n*-GROUPS WITH $\{i, j\}$ -NEUTRAL OPERATION FOR $\{i, j\} \neq \{1, n\}$

Janez Ušan

Institute of Mathematics, University of Novi Sad Trg Dositeja Obradovića 4, 21000 Novi Sad, Yugoslavia

Abstract

The notion of an $\{i, j\}$ -neutral operation of an n-grupoid has been introduced in [5], as a generalization of the neutral element in a grupoid (:1.2). Every n-group $(:[1], 1.3), n \in |N\setminus\{1\},$ has (uniquely determined :1.2.2) $\{1, n\}$ -neutral operation (:[5], 1.3.2). The condition $\{i, j\} \neq \{1, n\}$ is fulfilled for $n \geq 3$; for n = 2 the equality holds. In the present article, is, among others, given a necessary and sufficient condition for an n-group $(n \geq 3)$ to have an $\{i, j\}$ -neutral operation with the condition $\{i, j\} \neq \{1, n\}$ (:Theorem 2.1).

AMS Mathematics Subject Classification (1991): 20N15 Key words and phrases: n-groupoids, n-semigroups, n-quasigroups, n-groups, $\{i, j\}$ -neutral operations on n-grupoids, inversing operation on n-group.

1. Preliminaries

1.1. About the expression a_n^q

Let $p \in \mathbb{N}$, $q \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ and let a be a mapping of the set $\{i | i \in \mathbb{N} \land i \ge p \land i \le q\}$ into the set S; $\emptyset \notin S$. Then:

$$a_p^q ext{ stands for } \left\{ egin{array}{ll} a_p, \dots, a_q; & p < q \ a_p; & p = q \ ext{ empty sequence } (=\emptyset); & p > q. \end{array}
ight.$$

For example:

 $A(a_1^{j-1}, A(a_j^{j+n-1}), a_{j+n}^{2n-1}), j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}, n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1, 2\}, \text{ for } j = n \text{ stands for }$

$$A(a_1,\ldots,a_{n-1},A(a_n,\ldots,a_{2n-1})).$$

Besides, in some situations

- 1) instead of a_p^q we write $(a_i)_{i=p}^q$ (briefly: $(a_i)_p^q$); or
- 2) instead of a_p^q we write $\overline{a_i|_{i=p}^q}$ (briefly: $\overline{a_i|_p^q}$).

For example:

a) $(\forall x_i \in Q)_1^q$ for q > 1 stands for

$$\forall x_1 \in Q \dots \forall x_q \in Q^1,$$

for q = 1 it stands for

$$\forall x_1 \in Q^2$$
,

and for q = 0 it stands for an empty sequence $(= \emptyset)$.

b) $\overline{\varphi^{i-1}(b_i)|_{i=p}^q}$ for q>p stands for

$$\varphi^{p-1}(b_p),\ldots,\varphi^{q-1}(b_q),$$

for q = p it stands for

$$\varphi^{p-1}(b_p),$$

and for q < p it stands for an empty sequence $(= \emptyset)$.

In some cases, instead of a_p^q only, we write: sequence a_p^q (sequence a_p^q over a set S). For example: ... for every sequence a_p^q over a set S And if $p \leq q$, we usually write: $a_p^q \in S$.

If a_p^q is a sequence over a set $S, p \leq q$ and the equalities $a_p = \ldots = a_q = b$ $(\in S)$ are satisfied, then

$$a_p^q$$
 is denoted by b^{q-p+1} .

In connection with this, if q - p + 1 = r (when we assume that there would be no missunderstanding),

instead of
$$\stackrel{q-p+1}{b}$$
 we write $\stackrel{r}{b}$.

In addition, we denote the empty sequence over S with b, where b is an arbitrary element from S.

usually, we write: $(\forall x_1 \in Q) \dots (\forall x_q \in Q)$.

²usually, we write: $(\forall x_1 \in Q)$.

1.2. On $\{i, j\}$ -neutral operations in an n-groupoid, $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$

The notion of an $\{i, j\}$ -neutral operation in an *n*-groupoid has been introduced in [5]:

1.2.1: Let $n \in \mathbb{N}\setminus\{1\}$, $(i,j) \in \{1,\ldots,n\}^2$ and i < j. Let also (Q,A) be an n-groupoid and E the mapping of the set Q^{n-2} into the set Q. Then, we say that E is an $\{i,j\}$ -neutral $[\{j,i\}$ -neutral] operation of (Q,A) iff the following formula holds:

$$\begin{split} (\forall a_t \in Q)_1^{n-2} (\forall x \in Q) (A(a_1^{i-1}, \mathsf{E}(a_1^{n-2}), a_i^{j-2}, x, a_{j-1}^{n-2}) &= x \; \land \\ & \land \; A(a_1^{i-1}, x, a_i^{j-2}, \mathsf{E}(a_1^{n-2}), a_{j-1}^{n-2} &= x). \end{split}$$

1.2.2: The $\{i,j\}$ -neutral operation is a generalization of the neutral element of a groupoid. Namely, for n=2, $\mathsf{E}(a_1^{n-2})$ [= $\mathsf{E}(\emptyset)$] is the neutral element of the groupoid (Q,A) (:n-2=0, i=1, j=2; 1.1, 1.2.1). Moreover, E is a nullary operation in Q.

The following proposition holds:

1.2.3 [5]: Let n be an arbitrary element of the set $\mathbb{N}\setminus\{1\}$ and (Q,A) an arbitrary n-groupoid. Let also $\{i,j\}$ be an arbitrary set such that $(i,j)\in\{1,\ldots,n\}^2$ and i< j. Then, (Q,A) has at most one $\{i,j\}$ -neutral operation.

1.3. About n-groups

The notion of an n-group has been introduced in [1]:

1.3.1: Let $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$ and let A be a mapping of the set Q^n into the set Q. (Q, A) is said to be an n-semigroup iff for every $i \in \{2, ..., n\}$ and for all $x_1^{2n-1} \in Q$ the following equality holds:

$$A(A(x_1^n),x_{n+1}^{2n-1})=A(x_1^{i-1},A(x_i^{i+n-1}),x_{i+n}^{2n-1}).$$

(Q,A) is an n-quasigroup iff for every $i \in \{1,\ldots,n\}$ and for all $a_1^n \in Q$ there is exactly one $x_i \in Q$ such that the equality

$$A(a_1^{i-1}, x_i, a_i^{n-1}) = a_n$$

holds. (Q, A) is said to be Dörnte n-group (briefly: an n-group) iff (Q, A) is both an n-semigroup and an n-quasigroup.

1.3.2: Dörnte's n-group is, for n = 2, a group.³

The following proposition holds:

1.3.3 [5]: In every n-group $(n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\})$ there is a $\{1, n\}$ -neutral operation.

1.4. On Hosszú-Glushkin algebras

The notion of a Hosszú-Glushkin algebra of order n (briefly: nHG-algebra) has been introduced in [7]:

1.4.1: Let \cdot be a binary and φ a unary operation in Q. Let also b be a (fixed) element of the set Q, and n a (fixed) element of the set $\mathbb{N}\setminus\{1,2\}$. $(Q,\{\cdot,\varphi,b\})$ is said to be a Hosszú-Glushkin algebra of order n (briefly: nHG-algbra) iff the following hold:

- (1) (Q, \cdot) is a group;
- (2) $\varphi \in Aut(Q, \cdot);$
- (3) $\varphi^{n-1}(x) \cdot b = b \cdot x$ for every $x \in Q$; and
- (4) $\varphi(b) = b$.

Theorem 1.4.2. (Hosszú-Glushkin [2-3])Let (Q, A) be an n-group and $n \in \mathbb{N}\setminus\{1,2\}$. Then, there is an nHG-algebra $(Q,\{\cdot,\varphi,b\})$ such that for each $x_1^n \in Q$ the equality

(5)
$$A(x_1^n) = x_1 \cdot \varphi(x_2) \cdot \ldots \cdot \varphi^{n-1}(x_n) \cdot b$$

holds.

By a simple verification we conclude that the following proposition also holds:

³Menger's n-quasigroup is, for n = 2, also a group (for example [4]).

1.4.3: Let $(Q, \{\cdot, \varphi, b\})$ nHG-algebra $(n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1, 2\})$. Let also

$$A(x_1^n) \stackrel{def}{=} x_1 \cdot \varphi(x_2) \cdot \ldots \cdot \varphi^{n-1}(x_n) \cdot b$$

for all $x_1^n \in Q$. Then (Q, A) is an n-group.

1.4.4: We shall say that an nHG-algebra $(Q, \{\cdot, \varphi, b\})$ corresponds to the n-group (Q, A) iff the equality (5) holds for all $x_1^n \in Q$.

An immediate consequence of Definition 1.4.1 is the following proposition:

1.4.5: Let $(Q, \{\cdot, \varphi, b\})$ be an nHG-algebra. Now, if (Q, \cdot) is a commutative group, then $\varphi^{n-1} = I$, where I is the identity permutation of the set Q.

2. Main result

Theorem 2.1. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}\setminus\{1,2\}$, $(i,j) \in \{1,\ldots,n\}^2$, i < j and $\{i,j\} \neq \{1,n\}$. Let also (Q,A) be an n-group and $(Q,\{\cdot,\varphi,b\})$ a corresponding (arbitrary) nHG-algebra. Then, the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) (Q, A) has an $\{i, j\}$ -neutral operation; and
- (ii) (Q, \cdot) is a commutative group, $\varphi^{i-1} = I$ and $\varphi^{j-1} = I$ (where I is the identity permutation of the set Q).

Proof.

1) Let (Q, \cdot) be a group (semigroup) with the neutral element e. In the proof we use the following convention:

(1)
$$\prod_{t=p}^{q} c_t \text{ stands for } \begin{cases} c_p \cdot \ldots \cdot c_q; & p < q \\ c_p; & p = q \end{cases}$$

$$e; & c_p^q = \emptyset.$$

⁴1.1.

⁵The case $p \leq q$ is based on the following convention: $\prod_{t=1}^{n+1} c_t \stackrel{def}{=} (\prod_{t=1}^n c_t) \cdot c_{n+1} \text{ and } \prod_{t=m}^m c_t \stackrel{def}{=} c_m.$

For example:

1*
$$\prod_{t=n+1}^{n} \varphi^{t-1}(b_{t-2}) = e \ (: \overline{\varphi^{t-1}(b_{t-2})}|_{t=n+1}^{n} = \emptyset; \ 1.1, (1)).$$

$$2* \hspace{3.5cm} A(b_1^{i-1},x,b_i^{j-2},y,b_{j-1}^{n-2}) =$$

$$(\prod_{t=1}^{i-1} \varphi^{t-1}(b_t)) \cdot \varphi^{i-1}(x) \cdot (\prod_{t=i}^{j-2} \varphi^t(b_t)) \cdot \varphi^{j-1}(y) \cdot (\prod_{t=j+1}^{n} \varphi^{t-1}(b_{t-2})) \cdot b$$

(:2.1, 1.4.4, (1)).

2) (i)
$$\Rightarrow$$
 (ii):

Let (Q, A) be an n-group $(n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1, 2\}), (Q, \{\cdot, \varphi, b\})$ a corresponding nHG-algebra, e the neutral element of the group (Q, \cdot) and $^{-1}$ the inversing operation in (Q, \cdot) . Let also E be an $\{i, j\}$ -neutral operation of (Q, A) (:1.2.1) such that the condition

$$(2) {i,j} \neq {1,2}$$

holds.

Then, using Hosszú-Glushkin Theorem (:1.4.2) and the convention (1), we conclude that for every $x, b_1^{n-2} \in Q$ the following equalities hold:

$$(\prod_{t=1}^{j-1} \varphi^{t-1}(b_t)) \cdot \varphi^{i-1}(\mathsf{E}(b_1^{n-2})) \cdot (\prod_{t=i}^{j-2} \varphi^t(b_t)) \cdot \varphi^{j-1}(x) \cdot (\prod_{t=j+1}^n \varphi^{t-1}(b_{t-2})) \cdot b = x$$

 $\mathbf{a}\mathbf{n}\mathbf{d}$

$$(\prod_{t=1}^{(4)} \varphi^{t-1}(b_t)) \cdot \varphi^{i-1}(x) \cdot (\prod_{t=i}^{j-2} \varphi^t(b_t)) \cdot \varphi^{j-1}(\mathsf{E}(b_1^{n-2})) \cdot (\prod_{t=j+1}^n \varphi^{t-1}(b_{t-2})) \cdot b = x,$$

hence, we conclude that for every $x, b_1^{n-2} \in Q$ the following equality holds (5)

$$\varphi^{i-1}(\mathsf{E}(b_1^{n-2})) \cdot (\prod_{t=i}^{j-2} \varphi^t(b_t)) \cdot \varphi^{j-1}(x) = \varphi^{i-1}(x) \cdot (\prod_{t=i}^{j-2} \varphi^t(b_t)) \cdot \varphi^{j-1}(\mathsf{E}(b_1^{n-2})).$$

Substituing x by e, we deduce from (3) that for every sequence b_1^{n-2} over Q the following equality holds:
(6)

$$\varphi^{i-1}(\mathsf{E}(b_1^{n-2})) = (\prod_{t=1}^{i-1} \varphi^{t-1}(b_t))^{-1} \cdot b^{-1} \cdot (\prod_{t=j+1}^{n} \varphi^{t-1}(b_{t-2}))^{-1} \cdot (\prod_{t=i}^{j-2} \varphi^t(b_t))^{-1}$$

(:1.4.1), hence, since (Q, \cdot) is a group and φ and $^{-1}$ are permutations of the set Q, we conclude that the following proposition holds:

1: E is a permutation of the set Q for n = 3, and (Q, E) is an (n - 2)-quasigroup for $n \ge 4$.

The consequence of the condition (2) is the following proposition:

 $\hat{\mathbf{2}}$: If $\overline{\varphi^t(b_t)}|_{t=i}^{j-2}$ is not the empty sequence, that at least one of the variables b_1 and b_{n-2} (for n=3: $b_1=b_{n-2}$) is not the variable in the term $\prod_{t=i}^{j-2} \varphi^t(b_t)$.

By Propositions $\hat{1}$, $\hat{2}$ and by the statement connected with (5), we conclude that the following proposition holds:

 $\hat{\mathbf{3}}$: (Q, \cdot) is a commutative group.

Since $\varphi(b^{-1}) = b^{-1}$ (: $\varphi(b) = b$; 1.4.1), if we put in (6) $b_1 = \ldots = b_{n-2} = e$, we conclude that the following proposition holds:

$$\hat{\mathbf{4}}$$
: $\mathsf{E}(^{n}\bar{e}^{2}) = b^{-1}$.

By Proposition $\hat{\mathbf{4}}$, putting in (3) and (4) $b_1 = \ldots = b_{n-2} = e$, we conclude that also the following proposition holds:

5:
$$\varphi^{i-1} = I \text{ and } \varphi^{j-1} = I$$
.

By Propositions $\hat{\mathbf{3}}$ and $\hat{\mathbf{5}}$, we finally conclude that the implication (i) \Rightarrow (ii) holds.

3) (ii)
$$\Rightarrow$$
 (i):

Let (Q,A) be an n-group $(n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1,2\}), (Q,\{\cdot,\varphi,b\})$ a corresponding nHG-algebra and let the statement (ii) holds. Let also, for every $b_1^{n-2} \in Q$

$$\mathsf{E}(b_1^{n-2}) \!\stackrel{def}{=} \! (\prod_{t=1}^{i-1} \varphi^{t-1}(b_t))^{-1} \cdot b^{-1} \cdot (\prod_{t=j+1}^n \varphi^{t-1}(b_{t-2}))^{-1} \cdot (\prod_{t=i}^{j-2} \varphi^t(b_t))^{-1}.$$

Then, for every $x, b_1^{n-2} \in Q$, the following two sequences of equalities hold:

$$\begin{split} A(b_1^{i-1},\mathsf{E}(b_1^{n-2}),b_i^{j-2},x,b_{j-1}^{n-2}) = \\ (\prod_{t=1}^{i-1}\varphi^{t-1}(b_t))\cdot\mathsf{E}(b_1^{n-2})\cdot(\prod_{t=i}^{j-2}\varphi^t(b_t))\cdot x\cdot(\prod_{t=j+1}^n\varphi^{t-1}(b_{t-2}))\cdot b = x; \text{ and} \\ A(b_1^{i-1},x,b_i^{j-2},\mathsf{E}(b_1^{n-2}),b_{j-1}^{n-2}) = \\ (\prod_{t=1}^{i-1}\varphi^{t-1}(b_t))\cdot x\cdot(\prod_{t=i}^{j-2}\varphi^t(b_t))\cdot\mathsf{E}(b_1^{n-2})\cdot(\prod_{t=j+1}^n\varphi^{t-1}(b_{t-2}))\cdot b = x. \end{split}$$

Hence, the implication (ii) \Rightarrow (i) also holds.

3. Three propositions and an example

If (Q, \cdot) is a **noncommutative** group and $A(x_1^n) \stackrel{def}{=} x_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot x_n$, $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1, 2\}$, then (Q, A) is an n-group **without** $\{i, j\}$ -neutral operations with the condition $\{i, j\} \neq \{1, n\}$ (:Theorem 2.1; \neg (i) $\Leftrightarrow \neg$ (ii)). Besides, for example, if (Q, \cdot) is a commutative group in which not every $a \in Q$ is selfinverse, $^{-1}$ is the inversing operation in (Q, \cdot) and $A(x_1^3) \stackrel{def}{=} x_1 \cdot x_2^{-1} \cdot x_3$, then (Q, A) is a 3-group **without** $\{i, j\}$ -neutral operations with the condition $\{i, j\} \neq \{1, n\}$ (:Theorem 2.1; $\varphi = ^{-1}$). Hence, the following proposition holds:

Proposition 3.1. There exist n-groups $(n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1, 2\})$ without $\{i, j\}$ -neutral operations with the condition $\{i, j\} \neq \{1, n\}$.

Theorem 3.2. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}\setminus\{1,2\}$, (Q,A) an n-group, and **e** its $\{1,n\}$ -neutral operation (:1.2.1, 1.2.3, 1.3.3). Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) (Q, A) is a commutative n-group;
- (ii) ${\bf e}$ is an $\{i,j\}$ -neutral operation of the n-group for every $(i,j)\in\{(p,q)|$

$$(p,q) \in \{1,\ldots,n\}^2 \land p < q\};$$

(iii) (Q, A) has an $\{1, n-1\}$ -neutral operation; and

(iv) (Q, A) has a $\{2, n\}$ -neutral operation.

Proof.

1) (i)
$$\Rightarrow$$
 (ii):

(Q,A) is commutative iff for every permutation α of the set $\{1,\ldots,n\}$ and for every $x_1^n \in Q$ the following equality holds

$$A(x_{\alpha(1)},\ldots,x_{\alpha(n)})=A(x_1^n).$$

Hence, by Proposition 1.3.3, we conclude that the implication (i) \Rightarrow (ii) holds.

2) (ii) \Rightarrow (iii):

By (ii), e is also an $\{1, n-1\}$ -neutral operation of the n-group (Q, A).

3) (iii) \Rightarrow (iv):

Let $(Q, \{\cdot, \varphi, b\})$ be an *n*HG-algebra **corresponding** to the *n*-group (Q, A) (:1.4.1, 1.4.4). Hence, by Theorem 2.1 and by the condition (iii), we conclude that

a) (Q, \cdot) is a commutative group; and

b)
$$\varphi^{n-2} = I$$
.

Further, by a) and by Proposition 1.4.5, we conclude that the following equality holds:

c) $\varphi^{n-1} = I$.

From b) and c) it follows that:

d) $\varphi = I$.

Finally, by a), c) and d), and by Theorem 2.1, we coculude that (Q, A) has a $\{2, n\}$ -neutral operation.

4) (iv) \Rightarrow (i):

Let $(Q, \{\cdot, \varphi, b\})$ be an nHG-algebra **corresponding** to the n-group (Q, A) (:1.4.1, 1.4.4). Thereby, and also by Theorem 2.1 and condition (iv), we conclude that (Q, \cdot) is a commutative group and that $\varphi = I$, hence, by 1.4.4, we finally conclude that (Q, A) is a commutative n-group.

The consequence of Theorem 3.2 is the following proposition:

Proposition 3.3. Let $n \in \{3,4\}$ and (Q,A) be an n-group. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) (Q,A) has an $\{i,j\}$ -neutral operation with the condition $\{i,j\} \neq \{1,n\}$; and
 - (ii) (Q,A) is a commutative n-group.

Example 3.4. Let (Q, \cdot) be a commutative group in which not every $a \in Q$ is selfinverse, e its neutral element and $^{-1}$ it the inversing operation. Let also

$$A(x_1^5) \stackrel{def}{=} x_1 \cdot x_2^{-1} \cdot x_3 \cdot x_4^{-1} \cdot x_5.$$

Then:

- a) (Q, A) is a 5-group $(:1.4.3; \varphi = ^{-1}, b = e);$
- b) for

$$\mathbf{e}(a_1^3) \stackrel{def}{=} a_1 \cdot a_2^{-1} \cdot a_3,$$

e is an $\{1,5\}$ -neutral operation of the 5-group (Q,A);

c) for

$$\mathsf{E}_1(a_1^3) \stackrel{def}{=} a_1^{-1} \cdot a_2 \cdot a_3,$$

 E_1 is a $\{3,5\}$ -neutral operation of the 5-group (Q,A); and

d) for

$$\mathsf{E}_2(a_1^3) \stackrel{def}{=} a_1 \cdot a_2 \cdot a_3^{-1},$$

 E_2 is an $\{1,3\}$ -neutral operation of the 5-group (Q,A).

4. Remark

Groups can be considered as universal algebras in different ways. For example:

4.1: An algebra $(Q,\{\cdot,^{-1}\})$, where \cdot is a binary and $^{-1}$ an unary operation

on Q, is considered to be a group iff the following laws are fulfilled:

$$(x \cdot y) \cdot z = x \cdot (y \cdot z);$$

 $a^{-1} \cdot (a \cdot x) = x;$ and
 $(x \cdot a) \cdot a^{-1} = x.$

An analogous treatement of n-groups (and in this case for every $n \in \mathbb{N}\setminus\{1\}$) is based on [6]. Namely the following proposition holds:

- 4.2 [6]: Let (Q, A) be an n-semigroup and $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$. Then:
- (i) There is at most one (n-1)-ary operation $^{-1}$ in Q such that the following formulas hold

$$\begin{array}{c} (1) \\ (\forall a_i \in Q)_1^{n-2} (\forall a \in Q) (\forall x \in Q) A((a_1^{n-2}, a)^{-1}, a_1^{n-2}, A(a, a_1^{n-2}, x)) = x^{-6} \end{array}$$

and

(2)
$$(\forall a_i \in Q)_1^{n-2} (\forall a \in Q) (\forall x \in Q) A(A(x, a_1^{n-2}, a), a_1^{n-2}, (a_1^{n-2}, a)^{-1}) = x;$$

- (ii) If there is an (n-1)-ary operation $^{-1}$ in Q such that the formulas (1) and (2) are satisfied, then (Q, A) is an n-group; and
- (iii) If (Q, A) is an n-group, then there is an (n-1)-ary operation $^{-1}$ in Q such that the formulas (1) and (2) hold.

Besides, n-groups for $n \geq 3$ can be considered as universal algebras $(Q, \{A, \mathbf{e}\})$, where (Q, A) is an n-semigroup and \mathbf{e} is an $\{1, n\}$ -neutral operation of the n-groupoid (Q, A) (:1.2.1, 1.2.3, 1.3.3). This is based on the following proposition:

4.3 [5]: For $n \geq 3$, an n-semigroup (Q,A) is an n-group iff (Q,A) has a $\{1,n\}$ -neutral operation.

Besides, the following proposition, for example, also holds:

⁶In [6], instead of ⁻¹, f has been used, and instead $(a_1^{n-2}, a)^{-1}$, $f(a_1^{n-2}, a)$ has been written.

 $^{^{7}(}a_1^{n-2},a)^{-1} \stackrel{def(2)}{=} (a_1^{n-2},a,a_1^{n-2})$, where $\stackrel{(2)}{e}$ is a $\{1,2n-1\}$ -neutral operation of a (2n-1)-group $(Q,\stackrel{2}{A})$; $\stackrel{2}{A} \stackrel{def}{=} A(A(x_1^n),x_{n+1}^{2n-1})$. We note that for n=2, this is the inversing operation in a group.

4.4 [6]: Let $n \in \mathbb{N}\setminus\{1\}$. Let also (Q,A) be an n-group, \mathbf{e} its $\{1,n\}$ -neutral operation and $^{-1}$ the inversing operation in (Q,A) (:4.2). Then the following formula holds:

$$(\forall a_i \in Q)_1^{n-2} (\forall a \in Q) \left(A((a_1^{n-2}, a)^{-1}, a_1^{n-2}, a) = \mathbf{e}(a_1^{n-2}) \land A(a, a_1^{n-2}, (a_1^{n-2}, a)^{-1}) = \mathbf{e}(a_1^{n-2}) \right).$$

References

- [1] Dörnte, W., Untersuchengen über einen verallgemeinerten Gruppenbegriff, Math. Z., 29 (1928), 1-19.
- [2] Hosszú, M., On the explicit form of n-group operations, Publ. Math., Debrecen, 10, 1-4 (1963), 88-92.
- [3] Glushkin, L. M., Position operatives, Mat. Sb. 68(110) No 3 (1965), 444-472. (in russian.)
- [4] Belousov, V. D., n-ary quasigroups, "Stiinca", Kishinev, 1972. (in russian.)
- [5] Ušan, J., Neutral operations of n-groupoids, Univ. u Novom Sadu Zb. Rad. Prir.-Mat. Fak. Ser. Mat. 18-2 (1988), 117-126. (in russian.)
- [6] Ušan, J., A comment on n-groups, Univ. u Novom Sadu Zb. Rad. Prir.-Mat. Fak. Ser. Mat. 24-1 (1994), 281-288.
- [7] Ušan, J., On Hosszú-Glushkin algebras corresponding to the same n-group, Univ. u Novom Sadu Zb. Rad. Prir.-Mat. Fak. Ser. Mat. 24-2 (1994).

Received by the editors October 13, 1994